



Theodoret and the Law Book of Josiah

Author(s): James A. Kelso

Source: *Journal of Biblical Literature*, Vol. 22, No. 1 (1903), p. 50

Published by: The Society of Biblical Literature

Stable URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/3268933>

Accessed: 12/03/2010 14:38

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/action/showPublisher?publisherCode=sbl>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The Society of Biblical Literature is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Journal of Biblical Literature*.

<http://www.jstor.org>

Theodoret and the Law Book of Josiah.

PROF. JAMES A. KELSO.

WESTERN THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY, ALLEGHENY, PA.

IN the last volume of the *Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft*, Professor Nestle¹ has called attention to the fact that several of the Church Fathers identified the law book, found in the reign of Josiah and referred to in 2 Ki. 22^{8ff.}, with Deuteronomy. He mentions three: Jerome, Procopius of Gaza, and Chrysostom. It is entirely unnecessary to repeat the details presented by Professor Nestle. However, his suggestion that other references along the same line might be found in the Patristic literature led the writer to investigate further. The search did not prove entirely futile, for in the commentary of Theodoret² on the Second Book of Kings, known as "*Quæstiones in Librum IV Regnorum*," the following passage was found under *Interrogatio LIV*: ὁ δὲ ἔκγονος τὴν ἄκραν ἤσκησεν ἀρετὴν, καὶ τοῦ προγόνου Δαβὶδ ἐκτέησατο τὴν εὐσέβειαν. καὶ πρῶτον μὲν τὰ θεραπείας δεόμενα τοῦ θείου νεῶ πάσης ἐπιμελείας ἤξιώσεν. ἔπειτα τῶν θείων λογίων ἀκούσας, τῶν ἐν τῷ Δευτερονομίῳ κειμένων, καὶ τὴν ἐσθῆτα διέρρηξε, καὶ ἐπὶ πλείστον ἐθρήνησε, καὶ μαθεῖν τὸ πρακτέον περὶ τοῦ θεοῦ τῶν ὄλων ἐζήτησεν.

This passage has apparently escaped the notice of investigators, as Holzinger,³ although giving a quotation from Theodoret, makes no allusion to it in his chapter entitled "*Geschichte der Kritik*," § 6, where he discusses the apparent traces of later critical views in the writings of the Church Fathers.

While Theodoret was a member of the celebrated Antiochian school of exegesis that rendered the cause of sound hermeneutics such signal service, it would be a serious historical anachronism to infer from the above quotation that he had even an inkling of the modern critical theory. Much less had he any conception of the part Deuteronomy and the narrative of 2 Ki. 22 would play in the documentary hypothesis. Notwithstanding this, it is of interest to know that Patristic testimony and modern scholarship agree in regard to the limits of the ספר התורה found in the reign of the godly Josiah.

¹ *ZATW.*, 1902, pp. 170, 312.

² Migne, *Patrolog.*, vol. 80, p. 794.

³ Holzinger, *Einleitung in den Hexateuch*, pp. 25 ff.