words used by St. Luke do not give us any reason to think that the prophecy was suggested by the narrative of Josephus. John A. Cross.

## Claudius Lysias.

In Hastings' Dictionary there is the following sentence about this excellent chiliarch:—

'In Ac 23<sup>27</sup> he represents himself as having rescued St. Paul because he discovered him to be a Roman, a falsification and inconsistency with Ac 22<sup>25-27</sup>.'

This is, no doubt, the common explanation of his statement. It is certainly a possible one, but is it a necessary one? If not, I do not see why we should write this honest soldier down a liar—even though his lie might be merely diplomatic. And I do not think that the common explanation is the necessary one, for with a different punctuation of his laconic report (of which Ac only gives a translation— $\tau \delta \pi o s$ ) it relates the actual sequence of events with strict and scrupulous truth.

May it not be read thus: This man was seized by the Jews, and was about to be slain of them, when I came upon them with the soldiers and rescued him. Having learned  $(\mu\alpha\theta\dot{\omega}\nu)$  that he was a Roman, and wishing  $(\beta o\nu\lambda \delta \mu\epsilon\nu \delta s \tau\epsilon)$  to know the cause, etc. There is more authority for  $\tau\epsilon$  after  $\beta o\nu\lambda \delta \mu\epsilon\nu os$  than for  $\delta\epsilon$ , and the former particle seems to connect the two participles (a rist and present) very closely together.

Newcastle-on-Tyne.

J. MOORE LISTER.

## Jsaia rrriv. 15.

שמה קננה קפוז ותמלמ מיקעה ודגרה בצלה

'There nests the arrowsnake, and lays eggs, And breaks (them) and cherishes her young ones (?).'

The 'young ones' were thought of already by the LXX (τὰ παιδία αὐτῆs), although τω is evidently meant to be represented by the following expression, μετὰ ἀσφαλείας. The rendering, 'in her shadow,' is rightly objected to, hence Duhm proposed τω. But perhaps there was a word τω 'young serpent,' with which might be compared Arab. sill, 'serpent' (in transferred sense also insfortune'). In that case τω, like so many other verbs, would be construed with τ. The Arab. sill (also in proverbial sayings sill aslal, and dialectical dirr adrâr) is further of interest in so far as it is the same word as the well-known Bab-Assyr. sirru, 'serpent.'

Fritz Hommel.

Munich.

P.S.—In my note on 'Mundo—Mampsis' in the March number, I cited Jerome (Onom. sacr. 85. 3) 'Pergentibus Aeliam [var. lect. Aelam] de Chebron.' Dr. Nestle has now called my attention to the Greek original of Eusebius (Onom. sacr. 210. 86), which I had overlooked, and where, dispelling all doubt, we find ἀπὸ Χεβρῶν εἰς Αἰλάν (i.e. Ailat, as formerly Μάψις for Mampsis). For the insertion of ρ we may compare 'Ραμψίνιτος, Σαμψικέραμος, and other names.

## Entre Mous.

WE do not remember ever seeing in the Guardian a heartier review of a book than that which we have just read of Mr. Ballard's The Miracles of Unbelief. In all works of apologetic much depends on the audience. One man is here, another there, and your apology may be somewhere else. This apology for the supernatural in Christianity has met the reviewer just at the right moment and in the right place. 'It came,' he says, 'into the hands of the present writer under circumstances which served from the first to put its value to an excellent test, while some popular lectures on Apologetics were actually in course of preparation. It is exactly for purposes like this that Mr. Ballard has written the book, and nothing could be more helpful. It is a perfect mine of quotation for men with little time for deep study, who are called, as modern ministers are, to be not only visitors and workers, but also preachers and teachers; not only

administrators and organizers, but also apologists and "Christian advocates"; not only dividers of the word, but also servers of tables.'

In another issue (6th March) of the Guardian we see our judgment of Nestle's Introduction to the Textual Criticism of the New Testament (Williams & Norgate) confirmed. The reviewer first proves himself competent, and then says, 'We rise from the study of this volume with the conviction that it is quite the best book on textual criticism now available for theological students.'

Printed by Morrison & Gibb Limited, Tanfield Works, and Published by T. & T. Clark, 38 George Street, Edinburgh. It is requested that all literary communications be addressed to The Editor, 12 Clarendon Terrace, Dundee.