

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + Make non-commercial use of the files We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + Maintain attribution The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + Keep it legal Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/

CLARK'S

FOREIGN

THEOLOGICAL LIBRARY.

NEW SERIES.
VOL. XXXVII.

Delitzsch's New Commentary on Genesis.
VOL II.

EDINBURGH:
T. & T. CLARK, 88 GEORGE STREET.
1889.

PRINTED BY MORRISON AND GIBB,

FOR .

T. & T. CLARK, EDINBURGH.

LONDON, HAMILTON, ADAMS, AND CO. DUBLIN, GEORGE HERBERT.

MEW YORK, . . . SCRIBNER AND WELFORD.

NEW COMMENTARY

ON

GENESIS.

BY

FRANZ DELITZSCH, D.D.,

Translated by SOPHIA TAYLOR.

VOL. II.

EDINBURGH: T. & T. CLARK, 38 GEORGE STREET. 1889.

[This Translation is Copyright, by arrangement with the Author.]

THE TOLEDOTH OF TERAH, XI. 27-XXV. 11—continued.

PROMISE OF AN HEIR AND THE PROMISE OF THE LAND CONFIRMED BY A COVENANT, CH. XV.

Two solemn revelations open in ch. xv. the second section of the life of Abraham. The narrative falls into two halves. is impossible to regard all from beginning to end as occurring For (1) if one revelation takes place at night, or in vision. at least with a transposition to night, the other is made in the day, and indeed at eventide, the sun being at ver. 12 about to set, and at ver. 17 actually set. And (2) the account of Abraham's believing reception of the promise of a posterity numerous as the stars of heaven ver. 6 separates what preceded from what follows, which though it appears from the ראטר אליז, 7a, to have immediately succeeded, has yet its own special introduction. Dillmann here carries analysis even farther beyond the bounds of the discernible than Wellhausen The safest criterion from Gen. i. to Ex. vi., and one which must only be relinquished for cogent reasons, is the The use of these is in both halves of cluxv. Divine names. In both mar is the prevailing one, and with it the same. occurs once in each ארני יהוה, to be read according to the punctuation אַלֹנִי אֵלְהִים, a combination of Divine names which, thus written, is unusual. This ארני יהוה, here twice used, gives to this historical picture in its two departments, as to the prophetic image, Isa. l. 4-9, where it is four times used, its own peculiar stamp; and as this ארני יהוה is only found VOL. II.

elsewhere in the Pentateuch at Deut. iii. 24, ix. 26, it may be concluded that it is Jahvistic. Dillmann has in his 5th edition deliberately omitted his former view, that man had been added by R to the original ארני of B (xx. 4, but there in the address). Equally weak is also Wellhausen's assertion (Composition des Hexateuchs, i. 413), that "אני and Ur Kasdim are not Jahvistic." Ur Kasdim is not Jahvistic, if it is here denied to J, which is but an arbitrary assertion and not a proof (see on xi. 31); and אני in the formula אני is so stereotyped (see on vi. 17) as to be common to every Pentateuchal source; it is Deuteronomic, xxix. 5, and also Jahvistic, Gen. xxviii. 13. The reference, too, xxiv. 7, to the covenant promise, xv. 18, and the list of the ten nations, xv. 19 sq., point to J as the narrator. The latter is indeed unique in this completeness, though still most akin to the list of seven. Deut. vii. 1; comp. Josh. iii. 10, which also closes with היבוסי. Nevertheless, ch. xv. is not throughout by J, ver. 2 being undoubtedly derived from another source, probably from E. Also in consideration of מאלרי as a synecdochical designation of the ancient population of Canaan, which is one of the tokens of the older Elohist, it may obviously be assumed that the narrative of the covenant sacrifice with its explanation was originally found in E, and derived in its present form from JE. Dillmann's opinion, that R inserted the glance at the future, vv. 12-16, "from his own resources," must be rejected, if only because the Divine directions stand in symbolic relation to the disclosures which follow them. cannot be inferred either from רָבוֹשׁ (see the Introd. to ch. xiv.) or from בשיבה מוכה, which occurs only once more in the Pentateuch, xxv. 8, that Q had any share in fashioning the material of the narrative.

A Divine revelation is made to Abraham, which is connected with the conflict he has just victoriously waged, ver. 1: After these events the word of Jahveh came to Abram in a vision, thus: Fear not, Abram: I am thy shield, thy reward is

very great. The parenthetical formula אַחַר הַּדְּבָרִים הָאֵלֶה (here and xxii. 1, 20, xxxix. 7, xl. 1, xlviii. 1) states that what is to be related followed what preceded after the lapse of some undefined time. The revelation mind, which is confined to no time of the day, is a step higher than Diag. Abram is to have no fear in the midst of his strange and hostile surrounding, for Jahveh is his shield (the consolatory figure is repeated, Deut. xxxiii. 29). Luther translates farther: and (I am) thy very great reward. But God does not give Himself to him as a reward (comp. Wisd. v. 15, ἐν κυρίφ ὁ μισθὸς αὐτῶν), but promises him one, and that very great,-only so can Abram's answer be understood, ver. 2: And Abram said, O Jahveh, Lord of all, what wilt Thou give me, since I depart childless, and the inheritor of my house is Damascus (is) Eliezer. A contrasted adverbial sentence begins, as at xviii. 13, with "Depart" is certainly meant, as at xxv. 32, 2 Chron. xxi, 20, Ps. xxxix. 14, and frequently, of death. עריר in itself means "alone," "lonely," here childless, like Lev. xx. 20 sq. With Abram all the fulness of the Divine blessing falls into the background in presence of his childlessness at that time; a man who is not his own flesh and blood having every prospect of being his heir. The unusual prin is used to symphonize The evident intention protects רמשק from with פיניילק. the suspicion of being a gloss (Hitzig, Tuch, Olshausen). The LXX, has the unmeaning vios Masen; Syr. Targ. Jer. II. prefer leaving out the not understood pur; others, apparently deriving it, according to the formation מֶרֶד, from מֶּרֶד, "to run about busily," translate: son of my household business (Onk. Targ. Jer. I. Samar. Theod.) = my steward, for which we should rather have expected בַעל משק, or: filius procuratoris domus meæ (Jer. comp. Luth.), but pwin in this concrete sense is, though possible, improbable. The verb مسك, ضيع (related to משך), which, as stem of בשׁרָ, is nearest, means, to draw to oneself, to seize, to take possession of, as is evident from prop, Zeph. ii. 9; and בוֹכְישׁ is the correct expression for one who

has the reversion or right of taking possession. Thus the inheritor of my house is רָמָשָׁלְ אֵלִיעָוֶר Lagarde views מישָׁלָם As a prefixed apposition in the sense of the Arabic دمشق البدين (according to Kâmûs, one is nimble with his hands), but this would yield a eulogy of Eliezer, not an allusion to his Dillmann, in accordance with Ew. § 286c, places the two words in genitival relation: the son of possession of my house is Damascus of Eliezer; but the subject aimed at is surely not the town, but the person whose rightful home it is. If however the narrator intended to say: Eliezer who is of Damascus (Ges. Lehrgeb. p. 648), אליעזר רכושלק would be required in the reverse order (like 2 Sam. xxiii. 24; comp. on Prov. xxx. 1). There is thus nothing left but to take אליעור as the more closely defining permutative of דמשק: the inheritor of my house is Damascus is Eliezer. It is just because the latter is aimed at that it is not said בחרמשק, as might have been expected if rout had been the main subject. The sense is clear: Damascus will inherit me, i.e. in the person of Eliezer. viz. (comp. 1 Chron. ii. 34 sq.) the Damascene. The Moslem tradition calls Abram's servant exactly دمشق, Dimašk, regards him according to the Arabic view as an Abyssinian, and says that he built Damascus and called it after his own name (DMZ. xvi. 701 sq.). Profane history is acquainted with a sojourn of Abram at Damascus on his journey from Chaldea to Canaan. Justinus the epitomizer of Trogus names Abram as one of the ancient kings of Damascus (xxxvi. 2); and Nicolaus Damascenus (in Josephus, Ant. i. 7, comp. Fragm. ed. Orelli, p. 114) says in B. iv. of his Universal History that "Abram, a foreigner who had come thither with an army from the so-called land of Chaldea above Babylon, ruled in Not long afterwards he went forth and trans-Damascus. planted himself hence (Damascus) with his people to the land

¹ The view that person is a marginal gloss to person, which has got into the text (see Driver in the Expositor, vii. 6), makes the words the result of an incomprehensible silliness.

now called Judæa, then Canaan, where his descendants became very numerous." "The name of Abram," adds Josephus, "is still held in great honour in Damascus, and a village owing its origin to him is shown and called Abram's dwelling ('Αβράμου Perhaps Berzat-el-Chalil, "the marriage tent of οίκησις)." Abraham," is meant, a village which lies one league north of Damascus, where the ravine of the Wadi Macraba opens into the 'Gata, and where the memorial day of the patriarch's wedding, a popular festival of the Damascenes, is annually kept in spring (Wetzstein in DMZ, xxii, 105), so vivid is still the remembrance of Abram in and around Damascus. the most renowned of all the great men of antiquity in the mouths of the Bedouin tribes of the neighbourhood, who, if asked concerning their religion, call themselves Din Ibra him. Ver. 2 is followed by the same saying of Abraham in a more comprehensible form, ver. 3: And Abraham said: Behold, to me hast Thou given no seed: and, lo, the son of my house is my heir. No hereditary claim existed, but Abram had, as is seen from vv. 2 and 3, destined the inheritance to his tried and faithful servant, in case he should die childless. The promise of God however raises him above this grievous force of circumstances, ver. 4: And, behold, the word of Jahveh to him, saying: This man shall not be thine heir, but he that shall go forth out of thine own body, he shall be thine heir. Instead of יְיָהִי, we have here יְיָהִי, which presents an object to the mind, and instead of מַחַלְצִיך , xxxv. 11, מעים only used of the wife in the more recent custom of the language (xxv. 23), but here, as in 2 Sam. vii. 12, xvi. 11, of the husband: The ecstatic condition of Abram is to be conceived of as continuing, ver. 5: And He led him into the open air, and said: Look towards heaven, and count the stars, if thou canst count them. And He said to him: So shall thy seed be. numerous as the stars of heaven (xxii. 17, xxvi. 4; Ex. xxxii. 13; comp. the fulfilment, Deut. x. 22). Demeanour of Abram with regard to this promise, so paradoxical in

itself, ver. 6: And Abram believed in Jahveh; and He reckoned it to him for righteousness. The conclusion of the first portion of the narrative, as ver. 18 sqq. is of the second. The perf. states in ver. 6 the basis, as the Imperf. consec. does the fact of the imputation (comp. on i. 2). The verb property, of whose various use we may take a survey even within the Pentateuch, means to be firm, certain, whence אמנה Ex. xvii. 12. in its first physical meaning firmness and אַכָּטוּ = אַכָּא (adverbially אַמנָה אָמָנָה and אָמנָה), truth as firmness and certainty, transitively: to secure, to support, whence אמנה pillar, as that which supports, and pik, a nurse, as he who supports, holds in leading strings, has care of. The Niph. means in a temporal sense to be wearisome, Deut. xxviii. 59: in a local sense, to be firm, unchangeable, see Isa. vii. 9, 1 Sam. ii. 35, and frequently; then to be certified, to be verified, to be proved true, xlii. 20, by man or God: to show oneself trustworthy, partic. genuine, faithful, Num. xii. 7; Deut. vii. 9. As אָשָׁ signifies faithful, πιστός, the Hiph. signifies to trust, πιστεύειν, the cherishing and manifesting a frame or disposition, which is certain of its object and relies upon it; with ? of the person or thing, Ex. iv. 8 sq., whose testimony is believingly accepted (comp. Lane under (,, oi); with 3 of the person or thing, Deut, xxviii 66, which is believingly rested on as a firm foundation, a certain warrant. Both constructions are met with to designate the attitude towards God. האמץ להי, Deut. ix. 23, but more frequently 'האסק בה', xv. 6; Ex. xiv. 31; comp. iv. 31, xix. 9; Num. xiv. 11, xx. 12; Deut. i. 32. The LXX. translates here, καὶ ἐπίστευσεν "Αβραμ τῷ Θεῷ; one of the New Testament phrases, πιστεύειν είς οτ έπλ τον Θεόν, έπλ or $\vec{\epsilon}\nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, would have been more in conformity with the text. For האסק בה denotes the faith, not as assensus, but according to the fiducia or acquiescentia in which it is perfected. We are not merely told that Abram believed the testimony of Him who promised, but that he relied upon

His person, and believingly rested in or upon Him. reckoned it, this faith, to him (which is the proper meaning of באים, here with ל of the person, like Ps. xxxii. 2) as righteousness (צְּוֹלֶקה, comp. לְצִּוֹלֶקה, Ps. cvi. 31, according to which the LXX. has καὶ έλογίσθη αὐτῷ εἰς δικαιοσύνην, like Rom. iv. 3; Gal. iii. 6; Jas. ii. 23). No external legal work whatever, but faith justified Abram before God, while as yet uncircumcised—a prechristian Scripture testimony that not in the way of law, but in the way of the promise which brings him salvation, does man attain to a righteousness valid before God, and that this righteousness, far from being self-effected, is as to its foundation a righteousness imputed in faith, which grasps the salvation offered in The promise too, here made to Abram, has truly Christ. Christ for its object (sub innumerabili illa posteritate latebat Christus, as Hunnius remarks); the faith in which he receives it, is faith in the promised seed, and Jahveh, in whom Abram believingly rests, is God the Redeemer. But that this faith is meant to be regarded as the motive power of a new life. is shown by the passage, Ps. cvi. 31, which bears the same relation to Gen. xv. 6 that St. James does to St. Paul. From the righteousness of faith proceeds a righteousness of life, which, for the sake of the source whence it comes, is, like faith itself, reckoned by God as צַּדְקָה.

According to the law, "To him that hath shall be given," the faith of Abram is rewarded with a renewed promise of the possession of the land, ver. 7: And He said to him: I am Jahveh that led thee out of Ur-Casdim, to give thee this land to take possession of it. This self-testimony of Jahveh is the preliminary stage to that of Ex. xx. 2—the one conditions and demands the other. It sounds Jahvistico-Deuteronomic. It is then no relapse to unbelief, no fit of weak faith, when Abram says, ver. 8: O Lord of all, Jahveh, whereby shall I know that I shall possess it? On high, with euphonic Dagesh, see Ewald, § 243b; and on yr, with a of the means,

comp. xlii. 33; Ps. xli. 12; Job xii. 9. It is a question, like Gideon's, Judg. vi. 36 sq., and Hezekiah's, 2 Kings xx. 8. not of doubt, but of supplication. God does not leave this justifiable desire of faith ungranted, ver. 9: And He said to him: Take to thee a heifer of three years old, and a she-goat of three years old, and a ram of three years old, and a turtle dove and a young pigeon. The part. Puhal לֹצָלִים means here, having reached three, i.e. three years. So most ancient translators (LXX. Sam., Targ. jer., Syr. Jer.); comp. also 1 Sam. i. 24, ἐν μόσχφ τριετίζοντι, where LXX. Syr. read בפר משלש. In spite of the various modes of expression, Isa. xv. 5, Judg. vi. 25, Ex. xii, 5 and elsewhere, no other meaning is possible. neither: having reached the third part of full maturity (which משׁלִישׁ, Baba mezia 68a, as a Denominative from שׁלִישׁ, a third of full maturity, means), nor: tripled (i.e. three calves, like Onkelos), nor: divided into thirds, for Abram divided them not into thirds, but halves, ver. 10: And he took to him all these, and divided them in the midst, and laid the piece of each over against the other, and the birds he divided not. איש בּתרוֹ, each, its piece = the piece of each, see on ix. 5. is as collective as at Ps. viii. 9, cxlviii. 10; Ezek. xxxix. 4. They are the five clean sacrificial animals according to the future sacrificial ritual, which Abram is to take; his leaving the turtle and the dove undivided is also in conformity with it (Lev. i. 17). From his laying the בּתרים opposite each other, it may be inferred that he also laid the turtle dove opposite the pigeon, so that four portions lay on This arrangement was to subserve a Divine each side. purpose, the attainment of which was however endangered. ver. 11: And the birds of prey came down upon the carcases, but Abram drove them away. He knows not what purpose that which has been thus brought is to serve, but he seeks to preserve it uninjured for a purpose which he hopes to And now preparation is made for the revelation about to be connected with the sacrifice thus lying ready,

ver. 12: The sun was just about to go down, and a deep sleep befell Abram, and, lo, terror, great darkness settled upon him. On the construction מְהֵהִי לְבוֹא, see Ges. § 132, note 1: הַרְּכְּמָה is deep sleep, ii. 21, here a violent plunging of the natural life of perception and thought into unconsciousness and inactivity, a cessation and, as it were, a casting into slumber of the ordinary activity of the mind and senses, for the purpose of unsealing the inner eye. LXX. here, as also ii. 21, has εκστασις. The succession of accents in אימה חשבה נדלה is the same as at vi. 9. awful and great darkness is supernatural, for it falls only on Abram, and indeed before sunset. After everything earthly has been rendered invisible to him, God lights up the future. vv. 13-16: And He said to Abram: Thou art to know, that thy seed shall be a stranger in a land not belonging to them, and they shall serve them, and they shall oppress them four hundred years. And again the nation, whom they shall serve, shall be judged by me, and afterwards they shall depart with great possessions. And thou shalt go to thy fathers in peace, and be buried at a good age. And in the fourth generation they shall return hither, for the iniquity of the Amorites is not yet full. The strange land, viz. Egypt, is first expressly named to Jacob. The subject of מַנְרָדִּים is the descendants of Abram: they are to serve the inhabitants of the strange land (עבר), with an acc., like xxix. 15; Ex. xxi. 6; Deut. xx. The LXX. has wrongly καλ δουλώσουσιν αὐτούς, they shall enslave them (thy descendants), which would be יְעַכְדוּ The Divine retribution begins with . The expression is like Ps. xlix. 19, and differs from xxv. 8. This is the first time in Holy Scripture that we meet with the word שלא, which (coming from אלש) means release, deliverance from care and want, and therefore peace, in the sense of both contentment and satisfaction. is וְדוֹר רַבִּיעִי an acc. of time (comp. xvi. 4b). The LXX. correctly has: τετάρτη δὲ γενεά. The synecdochic designation of the

inhabitants of the Promised Land as האַלוּ is a different one from that at xii. 6, xiii. 7. Thus the sojourn in Egypt is to last 400 years, so that 757 (as in Nestor, yeveá, ii. 1. 250) is a seculum of 100 years—a round number, instead of which we find, Ex. xii. 40 (Q), the more accurate statement, 430 years, with which the genealogy, Ex. vi. 16 sqq., apparently For the 137 years of Levi, the 133 of Kehath, the 137 of Amram, and the 80 of Moses at the exodus, undoubtedly the representatives of the four generations, give above 400 years, but only if they are added together without regard to synchronism. Hence the LXX. already reckons, Ex. xii. 40, in the 430 the sojourn in Canaan. This is the view handed down in the synagogue (e.g. Pesikta de Rab Cahana, ed. Buber, 47b; Mechilta Parasha, Rz. c. 14), and thence among the Syrians, from which also St. Paul proceeds, Gal. iii. 17. if we reckon the 25 years from Abraham's entrance into Canaan, and the first promises given him to the birth of Isaac, the 60 years from Isaac's birth to that of Jacob the 130 thence to Jacob's going into Egypt, together 215 years, with the 215 years of the Egyptian sojourn, they come to 430 years. The genealogy, Ex. vi. 16 sqq., with the numbers of the years of life of Levi, Kehath, and Amram, which together amount to 407 years, prove at least that a generation might at that period be reckoned at 120 (in round numbers 100) years; and we must at any rate estimate a generation according to the numbers in Ex. xii. 40, and not lessen the numbers to suit it. This is however a problem, the discussion of which belongs to Ex. vi. 16 sqq. or Ex. xii. 40, and not to our passage. The revelation here made to Abraham is both in its special and general meaning a new disclosure: he learns that the race, of which he is destined to become the ancestor, is to go through suffering to glory-henceforth a law in the history of redemption (comp. Luke xxiv. 26; Acts xiv, 22). What preceded this revelation now appears in the symbolical light thrown upon it thereby. The three years of age of the heifer, the goat and the ram impress upon what is in question the stamp of holiness, for three is the number of God in His nature (comp. the number seven, Judg. vi. 25). The carcases of the animals lying opposite each other in fours allude to the four seasons; the birds of prey rushing down like harpies upon the pieces (comp. Virgil, Æn. iii. 244 sqq.) to the nations hostile to the Lord's people (comp. Deut. xxviii. 49); and the awful darkness presents an anticipation and prefiguration of the fact that the light of glory will arise only from the dark background of previous suffering. But before God manifests Himself in perceptible majesty, it gets yet darker within and without, ver. 17: And it came to pass, the sun went down and deep darkness took place, and behold a smoking furnace and a flaming torch which passed between these The name of the sun, generally masculine, is here as elsewhere, only, Nah. iii. 17, Isa. xlv. 6, Mal. iii. 20, femi-What follows יהרי, is fashioned according to the scheme of contemporaneousness, like xxvii. 30, comp. vii. 6; the two perfects coincide, the state of the case is essentially the same at 12a (Driver, § 165). With sunset the darkness of night set in (הַיָּה for הַּיְהַה, according to Ges. § 147, note 2), then between the parts of the sacrifice there passed an appearance as of a smoking furnace (עַשָּׁי, adj. = עָשָׁין), i.e. (the point of comparison being only the cylindrical form 1) of a pillar of smoke and a flaming torch rising up from it. Jahveh, whose glory is in its manifestation a shining light from a dark background, who has ordained for all His creatures darkness as the substratum of light, and who also permits His people to attain to light in no other way than through darkness. Thus manifesting Himself, He confirms

¹ See on tanaar, Assyr. tinaru, Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg. 146; D. H. Müller in the Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde des Morgenlandes, i. 23 sq.; and for confirmation of the fundamental meaning there accepted, "hollow, concave vessel," Wetzstein in the Transactions of the Anthropological Society, 1882, p. 467. A detailed history of the word is given by Rud. Dvorak in the Zeitschrift für Keilschrift-forschung, 1882, but with the inadmissible result, that it is a word derived from the Persian.

what He had promised, vv. 18-21: On that day Jahreh made a covenant with Abram, saying: To thy seed I give this land, from the river of Egypt to the great river, the river Euphrates—the Kenite and Kenizzite and Kadmonite, and the Hittite and Perizzite and the Rephaim, and the Emorite and Canaanite and Girgashite and Jebusite. The perfect app applies, as at i. 29, ix. 2 sq., to what is determined; elsewhere as at xx. 16, to what is performed at the time of speaking. It is nowhere else promised that the land of Israel is to reach to Egypt, hence the נְהֵר מִצְרֵיִם here, and the ποταμὸς Αἰγύπτου, Judith i. 9, is the טֵקל מְצְרֵיִם (nahal Musur in Asurbanipal's account of the war) often named as the southern boundary of Palestine, the Wadi el-'Arts, which, now as a shallow brook, now as a rushing torrent, runs through the entire northern portion of the Sinaitic peninsula, and falls into the Mediterranean near the village el-'Aris, the ancient 'Ρινοκόλουρα, the " nose-docked town " (from κόλουρος, dock-tailed, then docked in general = κολοβός) of the Ethiopian conqueror 'Ακτισάνης, Diodor, i. 60. The appellation of this boundary stream as שיחור מצרים 1 Chron. xiii. 5, comp. 1 Kings viii. 65, Josh. xiii. 3, may arise from its having been erroneously regarded as the most westerly portion of the net of channels of the Nile, though it might also, as Ebers admits, have been so called as the first Egyptian water met with in coming from Palestine. On the names of the Euphrates, see on ii. 14. The nations cited are exactly ten. The Kenites dwelling in the farthest south-east, whose name corresponds with al-Kain, a branch of the Arabian tribe Koda (DMZ, xl. 181), the likewise southern Kenizzites (comp. on xxxvi. 15) and the Kadmonites, i.e. as it seems the Arabs dwelling farthest to the north-east, are first mentioned. Beginning thus from the border of the land, the enumeration proceeds in a zigzag from south to north to express absolute perfection, whose symbol is the number ten. Instead of the ten, six nations are named, Ex. iii. 8, 17, xxiii. 23, Deut. xx. 17; and seven, Deut. vii. 1,

Josh. iii. 10. In both instances the יְלְנֵי, קָנִיּ, קָנִיּ, קַנִיּ, קַנִיּי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיּי, קַנִיי, קּנִיי, קּנִיי, קּנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִּיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַנִיי, קַנִּיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קְנִיי, קְנִיי, קְנִיי, קַּנִיי, קְנִייּי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַנִּיי, קַנִיי, קַּנִיי, קַּיּיי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּיי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּי, קְנִייּיי, קְּיייי, קּייּיי, קְיייי, קְּייי, קּיייי, קּיייי, קּיייי, קּיייי, קְּייי, קְּייי, קְיייי, קְּיייי, קְייייי, קְּיייי, קְּיייי, קְיייי, קְיייי, קְייייי, קְּיייי, קְּייייי, קְיייייי, קְּיייייי, קְייייי, קְיייייי, קְייייייייי, קְּייייי, קְייייייי

The transaction here designated by כרת ברית consists in the engagement, ver. 18, comp. 7, and its pledge. This transaction has always been regarded (see e.g. the Targums) as the entering into a covenant by means of a covenant sacrifice; and not incorrectly, although neither a covenant proper is entered into nor a sacrifice proper offered. There is no proper entering into a covenant; for God grants and confirms a promise to Abram, on which account it is He only who passes between the portions of the sacrifice. Hence it is not a covenant in the sense of a pactio, but of a sponsio. ברת ברית is also elsewhere used, both of the promises of God to man, Ex. xxxiv. 10 (also cron. 2 Chron. vii. 18; comp. Hag. ii. 5), and of the promises of man to God, Ezra x. 3. Nor is a proper sacrifice offered, for this laying of the pieces (בּתָרִים or is not the same as the laying of the portions of the sacrifice upon the altar. Nor is it said that the fire of Jahveh consumed them (comp. Judg. vi. 21; 1 Kings xviii. 38); hence the expression of Josephus, Ant. i. 10. 3, θυσίαν προσφέρει τώ $\Theta \epsilon \hat{\varphi}$, is unsuitable. On the other hand, it is still a sacrificial transaction, to which, indeed, although the central mark of a sacrifice—the oblatio—does not apply, its fundamental mark -the sacratio-does, for it is for the purpose of worship that Abram slays the animals and lays the pieces. animals slain and divided into pieces on the occasion of entering into covenants are also called in Latin and Greek iepeia and hostice, and the oath combined with this rite is designated in Demosthenes as ομνύναι καθ' ίερῶν οτ καθ' ίερῶν τελείων, in Pausanias as δρκον διδόναι έπὶ τομίων (comp. Hesych. s.v. τόμια), although the pieces of the animals were neither burnt in honour of God nor partially eaten, but buried or thrown into water, and the eating of them, as affected by

the curse, interdicted. Accordingly we find in this case the performance of what the word בָּרָא (from בָּרָא to cut) originally means, and what the phrases בָּרָת בַּרִית (Aram. נַּוֹר כִּיָם), ὄρκια τέμνειν, fædus ferire, io. ferienda hostia, facere fædus (comp. Pers. peiman buriden, to cut an alliance, Turkish kabini kymak, to cut in pieces = to conclude a marriage settlement) properly state. God accommodates Himself, says Ephrem (Opp. vol. i. p. 162), in this transaction to the custom of the Chaldeans, for it was their solemn usage to pass torch in hand between the divided carcases of the animals, laid opposite each other in an appointed order, and so to inaugurate the covenants they entered into. They thus imprecated upon themselves a like death with these animals (comp. διγοτομεΐν, Luke xii. 46) in case they transgressed the covenant. Liv. ix. 5: ut eum ita Jupiter feriat quemadmodum a fetialibus porcus feriatur; xxi. 45: (Dii) ita mactarent, quemadmodum ipse mactasset agnum, and also the ancient oath, per Jovem lapidem, in which the swearer held a stone in his hand, and (according to Paulus Diaconus) said: Si sciens fallo, tum me Dispiter salva urbe arceque bonis ejiciat uti ego hunc lapidem. Here, where it is Jahveh who binds Himself by a covenant, self-imprecation seems out of the question. But it is just this which is essential in this custom, and that this was the case in Israel also is shown by Jer. xxxiv. 18, where Jahveh gives this reference to the year. The passing of Jahveh between the pieces is an act of deepest condescension, to the same effect as His elsewhere swearing by Himself, xxii. 16, or by His life, Deut. xxxii. 40, or still more anthropomorphically by His soul, Amos vi. 8; Jer. li. 14. It is thus that the occurrence is also viewed by St. Luke, Acts vii. 17, in his reproduction of the speech of Stephen. Jahveh condescends so deeply that He may testify to Abram as palpably, impressively, and memorably as possible, τὸ ἀμετάθετον τῆς βουλῆς αὐτοῦ (Heb. vi. 17). But the deeper His condescension, the more majestic is His appearance. God's manner of manifesting Himself in His intercourse with the patriarchs is on other occasions more gentle and familiar. Here it is purposely more sublimely terrible than elsewhere.

THE EVENTS PRECEDING THE BIRTH OF ISHMAEL, CH. XVI.

The second portion of the second section, ch. xvi., which relates the birth of Ishmael, shows us once more how everything went on in the family of Abram contrary to human thoughts and ways. Sarai remains barren after the covenant as before. She rashly gives up the hope of being called to take part in the realization of the promise, and associates her Egyptian maid with Abram, by whom the latter becomes the mother of Ishmael. The narrator is J; but ver. 3 shows itself to be from Q, and the birth of Ishmael, ver. 15 sq., also is related in words from Q, because this source had in this case the advantage of greater accuracy.

A verse stating the circumstances precedes and is then. followed by the facts aimed at in the historical manner, vv. 1-4. And Sarai, Abram's wife, bare him no child: and she had an Egyptian maid, whose name was Hagar. And Sarai said to Abram: Behold, now, Jahveh has restrained me, that I should not bare. Go in, I pray thee, to my maid, perhaps I may obtain children from her. And Sarai, Abram's wife, took the Egyptian Hagar, her maid, after the lapse of ten years since Abram's dwelling in the land of Canaan, and gave her to Abram her husband to wife. And he went in unto Hagar, and she conceived, and when she perceived that she had conceived, her mistress became little in her eyes. 1a is not a repetition of xi. 30: the barrenness of Sarai continued in Canaan also. Probably Hagar was one of the female slaves bestowed upon Abram by Pharaoh, ch. xii. 16. The historic nature of the name is supported by the national name of the הַנְרִישׁ (הַנִּרִישׁים). Sarai's handmaid, she was, as is still the custom, entirely at her mistress's disposal. Sarai resigns her to Abram to use

her as אָשָׁה פּּלְנֵישׁ (i.e. אָשָׁה פּלְנֵישׁ), that she may herself be built up, i.e. obtain children by her (xxx. 3; comp. 1 Pet. ii. 5). The idea is a different one from when, with reference to the cottage or arbour set up for the nuptials of a newly-married pair (DMZ. xxxii. 153), it is said of the man in relation to the woman in Arabic بننّى عليها, or in Bedouin diction عرس عليها The family is represented as a house, procreation as building, and becoming a mother as being built up. who begets is called in Assyrian bani, "my father." abû bânija; she who begets bânîtu, and that which is begotten binatu or nabnîtu. The original form of 13 is banj (,,, according to Arabic grammarians = بنو), as that of אין is 'asj ا عُصُو = عُصًا). پاخل بها to a woman is the same as دخل بها he went in unto her, viz. into the marriage chamber. Abram consents to Sarai's request, מְבַקִּשׁ זֶרַע אֱלֹהִים (Mal. ii. 15). intention was good, but also nothing farther. Ten years had then elapsed since Abram settled in Canaan (אַבֶּהָה without the tone on the first syllable, because it subordinates genitivally the following subject). When Hagar found that she had conceived she felt herself raised above her former position, and behaved herself as if she had taken Sarai's place, her mistress, to whom she was indebted for her new position, being henceforth little accounted of by her. impf. Kal, with a of the intransitive, yy has an accented ultima, comp., on the contrary, יירע; the accentuation vacillates. Ges. § 67. note 3. Sarai now complains of the arrogant conduct of the concubine, and so requites it that she flees, vv. 5, 6: And Sarai said to Abram: My suffering wrong is thy fault. I gave my maid into thy bosom, and now that she sees she has conceived, I am little in her eyes. Jahveh judge between me and thee. And Abram said to Sarai; Behold, thy maid is in thy power, do to her as seems good to thee. And Sarai dealt hardly with her, and she fled from her. With חַמְסִי עָלֵיך Sarai makes her husband responsible for the injury she suffers (comp. Jer. li. 35), and appeals to the judgment of God. זכיניך has a super-punctuated second Yod, because 12, with the suffix of the second person, elsewhere has always the singular form. Abram saw his closest relation disturbed, and left it to Sarai to deal according to her own judgment with her slave, who was indeed entirely at her disposal. Hic se Abram ostendit, says Augustine, non amatorem servum, sed genitorem liberum. abundantly requited Hagar's arrogance by unkind treatment; and Hagar, who found the situation unendurable, took flight. Her name, הני, corresponds (we know not whether accidentally or intentionally) with this fact. For hagara (whence the name Higra and the erotic وصل وهيم union and separation) means to break off intercourse with any one, to separate oneself, to depart, in opposition to which mean has the meaning of to flee from the perverse, the crooked (comp. בַּרִית, cross-piece of timber) direction entered upon.

What a number of mishaps ensued from this course of action, which endeavoured arbitrarily to bring about the fulfilment of the Divine promise instead of patiently waiting for it! God's faithfulness to His covenant however turned all into blessing, ver. 7: And the angel of Jahveh found her by the fountain of water in the wilderness, by the fountain on the way to Sar. Hagar was purposing to flee to Egypt by the way of Beersheba. She made use of the road at all times the most frequented: the way to שור, a place no longer ascertainable (xx. 1) in מִרְבֵּר שׁוּר (Ex. xv. 22), i.a. (according to Saadia) in the desert region of el 'Gifâr (العفار), from five to seven days' journey long, between the south-west of Philistia and the north-east of Egypt, reaching as far south as beyond Kulzum (Suez), and including the Tih beni Isrâil (the wilderness of Pharan). The angel of Jahveh there This is the first time we meet with this appears to her. kind of revelation of God by means of an angel. we to understand it?

VOL. II.

Is the angel of God God Himself, making Himself visible as an angel, or only an angel of whom God makes use as the organ of His self-manifestation? The angel is called explicitly ההה (xviii. 33; Judg, vi. 14; Zech, i. 13, iii. 2, and frequently), אל and אל (xxxii. 25 sqq.; Hos. xii. 5, comp. 4), and designates Himself as the God of salvation (xxxi. 13; Ex. iii. 2, 6 sqq.). On the other hand, the very name מלאך (from וונט עלט, Aeth. la'áka, to cause to go, to bid go, hence: sending, properly, as the Arabs rightly interpret their JL, the accessory, and presumably the root-the personal distinction of the sender (xxiv. 7; Ex. xxxiii. 2; Num. xx. 16) and the sent. We have here then a problem with important pros and cons. The ancient synagogue regards the angel of God as a created angel, calls him מממרת, metator, as he who marches before and is the pioneer of Israel, and explains his speaking as though he were Jahveh Himself by Ex. xxiii. 21, according to which "his name is as the name of his Lord" (Sanhedrin 38b). The ancient Church, on the contrary, sees in this angel the appearance of the Son of God. the Logos, in the form of an angel. Παντί δήλον—says Basil, adv. Eunom, ii. 18- ότι ένθα καὶ ἄγγελος καὶ Θεὸς ὁ αύτος προσηγορεύεται ο μονογενής έστι δηλούμενος. prevailing ancient ecclesiastical view found welcome support in Isa. ix. 5, LXX. (μεγάλης βουλής άγγελος), and Isa. lxiii. 9, LXX. (οὐ πρέσβυς οὐδὲ ἄγγελος, ἀλλ' αὐτός). the other hand, the view that the angel of God is everywhere a created angel, is found in the ancient Church only in the Clementines (Hom. xx. 7, in the closing portion made known by means of Dressel). It is also found in Augustine, Jerome, Gregory the Great, most decidedly in Theodore and Theodoret, and more recently in Grotius, Clericus, and Calixtus. view has now for a long time been discredited, because Jewish expositors since the Middle Ages (see Levi b. Gerson

on Gen. xvi. 7) have maintained the creaturehood of the angel of Jahveh in an antichristian, and Socinians in an antitrinitarian interest. More recently however Steudel has been the first to attempt its complete establishment, and v. Hofmann, Baumgarten and Köhler (Comm. on Zechariah, 1861) are on the same side. The history (xviii.-xix. 28) will show that the LORD is conceived of as being in each of the three angels there appearing; that not one is, in preference to the others, Jahveh Himself in visible manifestation, but that all three are so, though in different manners, according to the will of God, who is using them as His organs, hence that all three are finite spirits made visible (Philo, Opp. ii. 17: ίεραὶ καὶ θεῖαι φύσεις, ὑποδιάκονοι καὶ ὕπαρχοι τοῦ πρώτου Θεοῦ, so too Josephus and the Talmud, Mexta 86b). then the מלאר ה' appears, it will not be Jahveh Himself, but the angel (המלאד, xlviii. 16), or an angel (מלאך) without au art., Ex. xxiii. 20, xxxiii. 2; Num. xx. 16; Hos. xii. 5) in whom Jahveh is and of whom He makes use as His That the angel of Jahveh can, without being Jahveh Himself, call himself and let himself be called Jahveh. takes place, according to Berachoth ver. 5, מפני ששלוחו של ארם כמוהג, i.e. because the delegated is equal to the delegator. With this may be compared that in the *Riad*, 18. 170 sqq. Iris, the messenger of Juno, speaks as though she were herself Juno, and Talthybios, 4. 204, as though he were the person who sends him; and further, that in Herodot. i. 212, the messenger of Tomyris speaks to Cyrus as though he were Tomyris himself; Psamenit, Herod. iii. 14, to the messenger of Cambyses, as though he were Cambyses; Cyrus, in Xenoph. Cyrop. 3. 3. § 56, to the ambassador of Cyaxares, as though the latter were in his presence. have too, in Zech. ii. 12 sqq., a remarkable example of the words of Jahveh and His angel being intermixed, and at Rev. xxii. 6 sqq. a New Testament parallel entirely corresponding to the manner of the מלאר ה'. Here the very same

angel, who elsewhere distinguishes himself in the most decided manner from God and His Christ (xxii. 9), says: ιδού ἔρχομαι ταχύ. The angel of Jahveh, speaking from himself, prays to Jahveh, Zech. i. 12: How long wilt Thou not have mercy on Jerusalem and the cities of Judah? Zech. iii. 2 he says to Satan: Jahveh rebuke thee! which, according to Jude 9, is only said by one who οὐ τολμᾶ κρίσιν επενεγκείν βλασφημίας (see Köhler on Zech. p. 60). When then he says to Moses, Ex. iii. 6: I am the God of thy fathers, the God of Abraham, Isaac and Jacob, it is the invisible God who is speaking from him who is His organ. This is how the New Testament regards it. For Stephen, Acts vii. 30, calls the angel who appeared to Moses in the burning bush ayyelos kuplov, or, according to A. B. C. S., merely ayyelos, and he cannot have thought of the angel, of whom he says, ver. 38, that he spake with Moses on Mount Sinai, as a Divine Being, since he says, ver. 53: ελάβετε νόμον είς διαταγάς άγγέλων, with which also St. Paul agrees, Gal. iii. 19 and Heb. ii. 2. The law is, as distinguished from the direct revelation of God in Christ Jesus, Siarayeis δι' ἀγγέλων, is δι' ἀγγέλων λαληθεὶς λόγος—these New Testament statements are absolutely opposed to the identification of the angel of Jahveh and the Logos. Equally unfavourable to this view is it, that the author of the Epistle to the Hebrews, xiii. 2, where he alludes to Gen. xviii. 19, would certainly have expressed himself differently if he had regarded one of the three angels as directly God (a fact to which Augustine appeals, de civ. xvi. 29). Also, that in the two first chaps. of St. Matthew's Gospel, the parallelism of which with the Pentateuchal primitive histories is unmistakeable, the Old Testament angel of Jahveh is transformed into ἄγγελος κυρίου (an angel of the Lord). Hence the New Testament Scriptures are on our side if we say, that Jahveh manifests Himself in the כלאך, in a manner which prefigures and prepares the way for the incarnation, by means of a finite spirit, which becomes visible. The angel of Jahveli is Jahveh's פָּנִים, and yet he is not. He is פָּנִים, Deut. iv. 37, but מלאף פניי Jsa. lxiii. 9. He is not that direct Presence of God which mortals cannot look upon, and therefore Manoah's fear proved groundless, when he feared he should die because he had seen God, Judg. xiii. 21 sqq. The angel of Jahveh is an angel in whom Jahveh lets His face be seen (xxxii. 31); but this is brought to pass by means of a created being, for no man can see it directly without dying, Ex. xxxiii. 20. He is, as שָׁמִי בִּקְרֵבוֹ in the unique passage, Ex. xxiii. 20-22, declares, the medium and mediator of God's self-manifestation, but not God manifest Himself. The angelophanies of God were a prefiguration of His Christophany. Hence the mediator of the new covenant is called, Mal. iii. 1, סלאון הברית (comp. Isa. xlii. 6, xlix. 8, and also Heb. iii. 1). is called thus, not as the incarnate angel of Jahveh, but as the man in whom Jahveh fulfils the covenant, for the realization of which He was preparing the way by appearing in His angel. It is significant that in our passage the angel of Jahveh appears first, not to Abram, but to Hagar, and indeed after the concluding of the covenant, ch. xv. If he were the God of the revelation Himself, his appearance would have been no new event, since Jahveh had not only spoken, but also appeared (xii. 7) to Abram before, ch. xv. If, on the contrary, the appearance by the means of the angel is a new and peculiar manner of revelation, this explains the fact of its not taking place till after the conclusion of that covenant, the purpose of which it was appointed to serve, by being a means of that gradual realization of its promise which was now commencing.

Hagar is resting by a fountain when he finds her; אָּמְדָּאָה, like 1 Chron. xx. 2, for תְּבָּי, A fountain is called עָיי, as though

¹ The section, Ex. xxxii. 30-xxxiv. 10, can no more than Ex. xiv. 19 be turned to account in ascertaining what is the idea of the 'ת, ד', without critical analysis.

it were a weeping eye of earth, in Spanish Arabicized as ojo de Shakespeare, in the Winter Night's Tale, compares a beautiful landscape to a female face, and the surface of the water to its eyes. Here at the fountain the angel sends Hagar back to her mistress, vv. 8, 9: And he said: Hagar, Sarai's maid, whence camest thou and whither goest thou? She said: I flee before Sarai, my mistress. And the angel of Jahveh said to her: Return to thy mistress, and bow thyself Upon this condition he promises her, under her hands. again taking the initiative, an innumerable posterity, ver. 10: And the angel of Jahreh said to her: I will increase, yea increase thy seed, and it shall not be able to be numbered for multitude. Then taking the initiative for the third time, he promises her the son through whom the promise made to Abram, xv. 5, shall find a reflected fulfilment in her case, on the ground of her belonging to the patriarch's family, vv. 11, 12: And the angel of Jahveh said to her: Behold, thou art pregnant, and shalt bear a son, and shalt call his name Jisma'el; for Jahreh hath heard thy affliction. And he shall be a wild ass of a man; his hand shall be against every one, and the hand of every one against him. And he shall dwell eastward of all his brethren. Together with the participial adj. הַּרָה, as at xxxviii. 24 and frequently, comp. 2 Sam. xi. 5, stands אָלָרָה, the unaltered root-form of אָלָרָה, as at Judg. xiii. 5, 7; comp. on the contrary, xvii. 19; Isa. vii. 4. Elsewhere also in J, from iv. 1 onwards, the mother appears as the giver of the name, as the father does in Q, from v. 3 onwards. In the reason for the name ישמעאל we have ידהה we have instead of the more obvious אלהים, xxi. 17. The genitival combination פרא אדם, a wild ass of human species, is like בְּכִיל ארָם, a fool of human species, i.e. one conspicuous in it as such, Prov. xv. 20. This image of the RDS, Arab. ferâ, the beautiful and swift animal which when grown cannot be caught, described Job xxxix. 5-8, brings vividly before us the unbounded love of freedom of the hardy and frugal Bedouin, who despises the life of cities, and roves about, spear in hand, in the desert on his camel (delul), or subsequently on his horse. The words ירוֹ בַבּל = לפל ונו' describe an incessant war of attack and defence, as an Arabic poet says: I have fraternized with war; if I do not stir up the war myself, I am the shield of him who stirs it up.1 The words 'על־פּנֵי ונו' (like xxiii. 19, xxv. 18) state the eastern dwelling-place of the Ishmaelites among those of the Abrahamites: the peninsula between the Tigris, the isthmus of Suez and the Red Sea, which became the cradle of wandering hordes for the tropical latitudes of North Africa and South Asia, an active human fountain whose streams have flowed for millenniums far and wide, eastward and westward, conquering the nations from the Ebro to the Oxus, and remaining themselves invincible. Hagar rightly recognises in the angel who had thus placed before her eyes the future of her son, the Presence of Jahveh, ver. 13: And she called the name of Jahveh who spake to her: Oh, Thou God of sight; for she said: Have I here also looked after him that seeth me? She calls him אל כאי, God of seeing, i.e. the All-seeing, whose all-seeing eye the helpless and forsaken does not escape, even in the remotest corner of the wilderness; for — as she says — have I here (D). elsewhere huc, Ex. iii. 5, here hic), even here in the wilderness, far from the patriarch's home, looked after him who is seeing me (who has seen me?). לאי is generally, but wrongly, taken for a pausal form of אָי, which must have been לאי, with the tone on the penultima, like לָּאִי, from צרי, Ezek. xxvii. 17, found at Job vii. 8 (see Baer) as a various reading, but as a masoretically authenticated one, only at 1 Sam. xvi, 12. And ראיתי is usually understood, as already by Onkelos, in the sense of videns = vivus (like ὁρέων or δεδορκώς = ζων) mansi, which would have required or אַנִי רֹאָה, or better, as Wellh. (Proleg. 2nd edit. p. 339,

¹ Schwarzlose, Die Waffen der alten Araber (1886), p. 34.

note 2) reads, according to Judg. vi. 22, xiii. 22, Ex. xxxiii. רַאִיתִי וַאַחִי : 20 But this ואחי makes אחרי ראי inexplicable, which cannot mean "after my seeing" (so already Gesch. 344), for which אחרי ראוֹתי is the expression required. Hence must be taken together, אחרי in a local sense, like Isa. xxxvii. 22, and the "looking after" in the sense of Jahveh appeared to her in His angel. Ex. xxxiii. 23. While he was speaking to her he saw her, but it was not granted her to look him in the face; however, as he was disappearing, she could look after him, whose gracious Providence had not overlooked her in her misery. fountain also received a name from the occurrence, ver. 14: Therefore the well was called Beer lachai rof; it lies between It was in remembrance of Hagar's Kades and Bered. experience a sacred place, xxiv. 62, xxv. 11. The 5 in the name is the Lamed of dedication, like Isa. viii. 1. 13b, could mean vivus mansi, the explanation, "He who sees me is (remains) alive," might commend itself; but then God or the angel would be the speaker, which is inconceivable. Hence it is, on the contrary: the well of the Living One, my beholder, i.e. who sees me (like Job viii. 8, instead of דֹאני, Isa. xxix. 15, or יֹאני, Isa. xlvii. 10). Onkelos, with real correctness, has: Well of the angel of the Living One (סלאד) איפיא), who made himself visible to me. For בוד and בוד the Syriac has: between בית גרר Onkelos: between מית and תנרא (which in him, ver. 7 = הנרא), Targ. Jerus.: between מחל and הלתיה (Elusa); the Targums elsewhere render Kadesh-Barnea by (בְּיַעָה (נֵיאָה). The problematic situation of Kadesh was spoken of at xiv. 7. John Rowlands, whose observations H. Clay Trumbull found on the spot to be perfectly trustworthy, discovered Hagar's well, or at least that which is now esteemed such, at Muweilih (مويلم, the name of the black camel, which is esteemed the best), a place still provided with water, south It is a chief station on the caravan road from of Beersheba.

Beersheba along the 'Gebel es-Sûr which runs from north to south, and is combined by Rowlands with שנר. The Bedouins not only connect the well of Muweilih, but also a rocky dwelling in the neighbourhood, with Hagar, perhaps because and حکجر (rock) seem to them as much combined as in the Text. Rec. of Gal. iv. 25. It is certainly this very well of which Jerome says: hodieque Agar puteus demonstratur. Here the fugitive Hagar seems to have had that manifestation of God by which she was directed to return to Abram's She also showed herself obedient, and became the mother of Ishmael, vv. 15, 16: And Hagar bore Abram a son: and Abram called the name of his son, whom Hagar bare, Jišma'el. And Abram was eighty-six years old when Hagar bare Jisma'el to Abram. That what the angel had predicted came to pass is told by J also, but the Redactor preferred to reproduce it as found in Q. The birth of Ishmael took place in Abram's eighty-sixth year, for he was seventy-five years old at his entry into Canaan, and ten years (ver. 4) together with the time of Hagar's pregnancy had elapsed. Abram has now a son, but is he the seed which the promises of God have in This question Abram cannot himself answer. must often have asked it of God, till at last he received the answer related ch. xvii.

THE SIGN OF THE COVENANT, THE CHANGE OF NAME, AND THE PROMISE OF THE BIRTH OF ISAAC, CH. XVIL

The third section of Abram's life begins with ch. xvii, a portion characteristic of Q. Elohim seals His covenant with Abram, giving him the name of promise, Abraham, and instituting circumcision as the sign of the covenant (1-14). Sarai also receives the name of promise, Sarah, and is now distinctly designated as the mother of Isaac, who, while to Ishmael also are awarded abundant blessings, is to receive the one all-sur-

passing blessing, that God will make with him and with his seed an everlasting covenant (15-22). Elohim, who has since the Fall been enthroned far from men, and since the Flood far from the earth, having reascended, Abraham in his ninety-ninth year, and in Ishmael's thirteenth, circumcises himself, his son and his whole household (23-27). Thus this first portion of the third section, which corresponds with and continues the first portion of the second section, falls into three strictly distinct divisions. This strophic artistically rounded off design, with its terminating exclamations, its frequent repetitions like strokes upon the same nail, the Divine names אל שרי and אל שרי, the whole system of favourite expressions grouped about these names and always found with them (אָרוֹ פָנַעוֹ, אָרוֹאָה not מאד מאד, לדרתם, בלדוכר, בבת ברית not נתן ברית, הקים ברית ילד לְבָרִית and לַאַחָזָת עוֹלָם וָנְכָרָתָה הַנָּפֵשׁ הַהוֹא מַעַפֵּיהָ מָקְנַת־בֶּּסֵף מָנְרִים עוֹלָם, בְּעָצֵם הַיּוֹם הַאָּה, in short all and everything bears the mark of Q, who here gives completely in its historical place an important portion of the Thorah, which is afterwards taken for granted in the Codex, Lev. xii. 3, without farther explanation. Elsewhere too he refers to this fundamental confirmation of the covenant, Ex. vi. 3 sq., and when xvii, 16 sq. is compared with xviii, 10-15, shows himself to be an independent and separate narrator. בְּרֵית is repeated thirteen times, whence an ancient eulogy of circumcision (Nedarim 31b, comp. Berachoth 48b) says: נרולה מילה שנכרתו טליה שלש עשרה בריתות.

There has been much contention as to whether a custom existing elsewhere was transferred by Divine sanction to the race of the promise, or whether the origin of all circumcision is to be traced back to its Divine sanction for Abram. The circumcision of boys of thirteen, already existing among the Arabic Ishmaelites before Mohammed (Joseph. Ant. i. 12. 2), refers itself to the patriarch as a component part of the Dîn Ibrâhim (the religion of Abraham). There is however, besides these two possibilities, still a third. When Herodotus testifies

to the customariness of circumcision among the Colchians, Egyptians and Æthiopians, among the Syrians at the rivers Thermôdon and Parthenios, among the Phænicians and Macronians, and remarks that the Palestinian Syrians and the Phœnicians confess to having learnt it from the Egyptians, as the Syrians at the Thermôdon and Parthenios do to having it from the Colchians (ii. 104): its dissemination by way of imitation among this circle of nations (to which belong also, according to Diodorus, iii. 32, the Troglodytes, and apparently, according to Jer. ix. 25, Edom, Ammon and Moab) is indeed still conceivable; and we may assume, with Ewald, that the still existing custom among the Ethiopian Christians, the negroes of the Congo, etc., is the remnaut of an ancient African view of the matter which started from the valley But we also meet with circumcision in America of the Nile. among many Indian tribes, e.g. the Salivas, the Guamos, the Otamocos on the Orinoco, who circumcise infants of both sexes on the eighth day after birth, as also among the inhabitants of Yucatan and the Mexicans (see Martius, Indianer Südamerika's, p. 582 sq.). It has likewise been found in the South Sea Islands, e.g. in the Fiji Islands, in a manner similar to the Jewish, and among the most southerly negro tribes, e.g. the Damaras (Owaherero) in tropical South Africa, whose chiefs, we are told by Francis Galton, slew half a dozen oxen on a circumcision day, as on a day of festivity. we cannot imagine any connection with either the Abrahamic or the ancient Egyptian circumcision, unless we were, with the crack-brained author of the Palaeorama (1868), to transfer the primitive history of mankind from Asia to America, and let it be played out originally in the latter, and only imitatively in the The case is the same with heathen circumcision as with heathen sacrifice. As sacrifice arose from the feeling of the need of an atonement, so did circumcision from the feeling of the impurity of human nature. This too is the point of sight under which it is placed in Israel. The uncircumcised

is esteemed as עָרֶלָה the foreskin מָמָאָה as מָמָאָה κατ' έξ., on which account hereditary spiritual uncleanness is figuratively called (Lev. xxvi. 41; Deut. x. 16, xxx. 6 and frequently) of the heart, while circumcision is regarded as the taking away of טְמָאָה (whence it is in Arabic simply called tuhar or tathir, purification), and as the first of all covenant duties for every member of the holy nation, Ex. xix. 6; comp. Num. The uncircumcised appeared not merely as one standing outside the holy covenant, but also as one naturally unclean (comp. Ex. xii. 48 with Lev. vii. 20). The natural and ethical prerequisites of circumcision are however implied in each other. The reason for circumcision appearing as a requirement of bodily purity, is to be found in the fact that human natural life culminates in the intercourse of the sexes. and therefore its carnalization culminates in the flesh kar' ¿ξ. בּשִׂר), Lev. xv. 2; Ezek. xvi. 26), that there is the chief seat of both moral and natural impurity, and that there sin prevails most unrestrictedly and is transmitted in ever new combinations from parents to children. Hence also the injunction that the child is to be circumcised on the eighth day after birth (ver. 12; Lev. xii. 3), for both the male child and she who bare him are in a state of uncleanness for seven days, and the child is not to be subjected to circumcision till after separation for the embryonal aliment. To the physico-ethic prerequisites of circumcision is also added the historical, viz. that a nation of redemption is to be begotten, that it may become the redemption of the nations. There is therefore no place of human nature which could be more in need of a sign of the Divine approval than the place of generation. cision is intended to show that God approves of generation, notwithstanding the sinful corruption which has taken possession of it, and purposes to use it in that work of redemption to which history is tending. The circumcised man is to know himself to be a member of a tribal and national society, with which God has entered into an eternal covenant, upon the ground of promises which have for their contents the redemption of mankind, and whose generations form a genealogical chain issuing in the redemption of the world. is to remind him of the covenant into which he has entered with God, and of the high calling in which he has a share, is to be to him a perpetual reminder, warning not to obstruct in rude immoral lust his power of generation, and also, in its natural use, not to forget its impurity and need of sanctifica-So far circumcision certainly is also, as Philo says, a sign of the ήδονῶν ἐκτομὴ αι καταγοητεύουσι διάνοιαν. It told the man that he had Jahveh for his bridegroom, to whom he was betrothed by the blood of circumcision, Ex. iv. 25; hence not only the Jews, but the Ishmaelites and the Moslems in general, call the day of circumcision the circumcision marriage, and celebrate it with the solemnity of a wedding. Still circumcision is no sacrament in the New Testament sense, and differs from baptism in this respect also, that it is no initiatory rite properly so called. It is not circumcision which makes the Israelite an Israelite, i.e. a member of the Israelite Church. He is this by birth. For in the Old Testament the nation and the Church are one and the same. Every בן ישראל belongs as such to the קַחֵל שׂראל, for God has placed Israel in covenant relation to Himself, and in virtue of this position the nation is at the same time a religious community. covenant relation involves however covenant obligations. which again have as their correlative covenant promises. The first of all these covenant obligations is the מָלָה. reception of circumcision is for the born Israelite the fulfilment of his first covenant obligation. The born Israelite does not thereby become a member of the אַהַל ה, but proves himself to be such. The case is however different with the He can in no other manner enter the community of the covenant than by submitting to the first covenant obligation, the מְלֵה, by which he at the same time takes upon himself all the duties of a born Israelite, and receives all his privileges and benefits. Circumcision, which is to the born Israelite only the seal of the relation in which the seed of Abraham is placed toward Jahveh, is to the non-Israelite the rite of admission, which qualifies him henceforth to keep the Passover with Israel (Ex. xii. 43-49), and so incorporates him into Israel that there is no difference between the circumcised and the אורה (Ex. xii, 48). So far then as it compensates in the case of the non-Israelite for birth among the covenant people, and in that of the Israelite is a seal of that birth, Circumcision and Baptism may certainly be compared as means of grace, incorporating into the Church. They are also similar, in that both are a recasting of an already existing rite of purification, for the sacrament of Baptism is in conformity with the מבילת נרים (the baptism of proselytes), and at all events with that of John the Baptist. In other respects however they essentially differ. Circumcision impresses an outward characteristic, Baptism an inward one. Circumcision places a man in relation, by way of promise, to the coming redemption; Baptism, by way of impartation, to the redemption that is come. Circumcision is for the seed of Abraham, and only secondarily for those who enter it; Baptism is for the whole human race without national prerogative, and also without distinction of sex. Circumcision is a sign in the flesh; Baptism is a spiritual transaction, which is but transitorily represented in the earthly element of water, περιτομή άγειροποίητος, Col. ii. 11. For the Old Testament Church is the visible organism of a nation; the New Testament Church is, on the contrary, the body of Christ, i.e. the invisible organism which the Lord, who is the Spirit, has produced for Himself. It is the vocation of the New Testament Church to carry on the development of that spiritual life which is its true nature, and to procure for it an ever more and more commanding, sanctifying influence upon the natural, both within and without her body; it is, on the other hand, the vocation of the Old Testament Church more and more to internalize and spiritualize the sanctified natural life which is its true nature. The tendency of the New Testament Church is from within outwards, from the centre to the circumference, from the world to come to this world, to raise the latter to the former. The tendency of the Old Testament Church, on the contrary, is from without inwards, from the circumference to the centre, from this world to that which is to come.

The name 'n just appears, ver. 1, for the purpose of connecting ch. xvii. with ch. xvi. (comp., on the other hand, xxxv. 11): Abram was ninety and nine years old when Jahveh appeared to Abram, and said to him: I am El Saddai: walk before me, and be spotless. It was then twenty-four years after his migration, thirteen after the birth of Ishmael, and at least fourteen after the entering into covenant of ch. xv., when Jahveh appeared to him to seal the covenant by the institution of a sign. The divine name is, according to ancient interpretation, the same as ישליש, He who is self-sufficingthe All-Sufficient iκανός (=αὐτάρκης), which can in no respect be accepted. Neither is it an original plural: potentes mei (Nöldeke), the form being opposed to this interpretation, and no trace appearing of the position of the word in the address; but it is from שָׁרֵד (according to the form חַנֵּי), which, from the root-meaning of making fast or tight, i.e. knotting, barring, barricading, contained in the Arabic ..., advances to that of powerful intervention, and not from a synonymous שָׁיָה, which the usage of the Hebrew language does not exhibit, nor from a synonymous שׁר, whence שׁל, the powerful, the Lord, plur. Friedr. Delitzsch thinks differently, and would refer this Divine name to the Assyrian šadu, to be high. But even supposing that the proper name יקויאוי is to be explained according to the Assyrian sade uru, the rise of the morning (שלות השחר), which is very tempting, and granting also that

¹ See his Prolegomena, p. 95 sq. It is worthy of notice that the LXX. translates ΥΤΕ΄ ΣΕ, xvii. 1, by merely δ θιός σου, xxviii. 3, δ θιός μου, Εχ. vi. 3, θιὸς ῶν αὐτῶν, and Ps. lxviii. 15, τὸν ἰπουράνων.

the form שָׁשִׁי, not שִׁשִּׁי, can be referred to a verb הַנָּ, we find the meaning, "the All-Powerful," far more sensible than the meaning, "the All-elevated," for which the Hebrew has a whole series of other words, as אָלִיוֹן, רָם, עָלִיוֹם (מָרוֹם). most ancient feeling for language derived שֵׁיֵי from מַרָּד, as may be inferred from Joel i, 15, and the former meaning is in any case more helpful to the understanding of Ex. vi. 2 sq. The Divine names, יהוה, אל שרי אלהים, are the than the latter. signs-manual of three degrees of Divine revelation and Divine knowledge. אלהים is the God who so made nature that it exists, and so preserves it that it consists. אל שרי is the God who so constrains nature that it does His will, and so subdues it that it bows to and subserves grace. mm is the God who carries out the purposes of grace in the midst of nature, and at last puts a new creation of grace in the place of nature. is the God who created the soil of nature. (explained by Ibn Ezra and Kimchi: מנצח המערכת העליונה, by Nachmani: שורד את־המולות, He who breaks through the influxus siderum, and therefore the course of nature) is the God who omnipotently ploughs it and scatters therein the seed of יהה is the God who brings this seed of promise to Hence the covenant with Noah and the its flower and fruit. Noachidæ was made in the name אלהים; for this covenant is by its very nature a renewal and guarantee of the order of creation, which had been broken through by the Flood; the covenant with the patriarchs in the name אל שרי, for it is by its nature the subdual of corrupted and perishable nature and the foundation of the marvellous work of grace; and the covenant with Israel in the name arm, for it is in its nature the completion of this work of grace and its carrying on to the climax of its perfection, to which אַנְי הוּה, when occurring in the history of the patriarchs (xv. 7, xxviii. 13), propheti-The times of the patriarchs are the period of cally points. Their characteristic is the violence done to the El-Shaddai. natural to make it subserve the purposes of salvation.

ethic prerequisites of this new state are, with respect to Abram, a walk with constant regard to God and a disposition entirely devoted to Him (מַמָּם, see on vi. 9). Thereupon God offers, ver. 2: So will I make my covenant between me and thee, and will increase thee beyond measure, properly with weight, weight i.e. in the most important and intense manner. The phrase נתן ברית (here as at ix. 12; Num. xxv. 12) designates the covenant as a gracious free offer of God. impression made upon Abram by the appearance and word of God, ver. 3a: And Abram fell upon his face. tion of what God will perform in accordance with His covenant and change of Abram's name, 3b-5: And Elohim talked with him, saying: As for me, behold, my covenant with thee, and thou art to become the father of a multitude of nations. And no longer shall thy name be called Abram; but thy name shall be Abraham, for the father of a multitude of nations have I appointed thee. אני here, like אובי at xxiv. 27, stands first, in an absolute sense, correlatively with מאָקה, ver. 9. the covenant implies something that is to be, may be used in continuation, in the sense of "thou art to become." The before והיה after a preceding אל has, as at xlii. 10, the meaning of בי אם. The accusative of the object is found with passives as at 5a, also at iv. 18, and frequently, it is an ordinary construction. אַב instead of אָב is said with reference to the name אַבַרָהָם, in which אַב, as also elsewhere e.q. המה (with אַבְשַׁלוֹם), is the form of combination. המה (from המה, to roar, to rush), which symphonizes with the last syllable of אַברָהָם, is purposely chosen instead of אָברָהָם, xxxv. 11, xlviii. 4, xxviii. 3. And while, where this promise is made to Jacob xxviii. 3 (לקהל עמים), xxxv. 11 (קהל נוֹים), and to Joseph xlviii. 4 (לקהל עָפִים), ינוים) is meant of the national tribes to which the sons of Jacob should grow, we must here, where as nowhere else מָּלְוּ בּוֹיִם is used, understand not Israel alone, but all the nations of whom Abraham became the ancestor: the Arab tribes descended from him VOL TI.

through Hagar and Keturah and the Edomites. The quotation too (Rom. iv. 17) presupposes that the promise extends beyond Israel—the apostle placing it in the light of xii. 3, and understanding it spiritually. The name אברם means exalted father, or, the father is exalted, which certainly is to be understood as a word of acknowledgment with respect to God, like אליאב, God is a father, אביעור, the father is a support, and the like (see Nestle, Eigennamen, pp. 182-188). By the change to אברהם, the acknowledgment of God on the part of him who is named becomes God's acknowledgment For אַבְּרָהָם means—and this is certainly the best explanation — father of a מָּמָלָּהְ (=חָמֹלָּה), of a rushing, i.e. a noisy, multitude (Arab. رهام; comp. Isa. xvii. 12, 13); nor is it perhaps accidental that a n, the fundamental letter of mm, is interwoven in it. After the name of the patriarch is made the prophetic cipher of his high destiny, the promise is further unfolded and repeated in grander terms than ever before, vv. 6-8: And I will make thee exceedingly fruitful beyond measure, and appoint thee to be nations, and kings shall come forth from thee. And I will establish my covenant between me and thee and thy seed after thee, according to their generations, for an everlasting covenant, to be a God to thee and to thy seed after thee. And I will give unto thee, and to thy seed after thee, the land of thy pilgrimage, the whole land of Canaan, for an everlasting possession, and I will be their own God. This fact to which the promise returns is the climax of the covenant: God promises Himself, with all that He is and purposes and can effect, to the descendants of Abraham. Henceforth the narrative no longer speaks of the patriarch as Abram, but as Abraham.

The Divine address having now reached the goal so admirably prepared for, begins again, vv. 9-11: Elohim said also to Abraham: And as for thee, thou shalt observe my covenant, thou and thy descendants after thee, according to their generations. This is my covenant, which ye shall observe, between

me and you and thy seed after thee: Every male among you shall be circumcised. Ye shall circumcise the flesh of your foreskin, and it shall be the sign of a covenant between me and you. The obverse to אָנְי, 4a, follows in this אָנְי, thou, on thy part. As הקים ברית means at one time the making, at another the confirmation, of a covenant, so does ברית mean at one time a covenant promise, at another, as here, a covenant obligation or condition. To circumcise (comp. on the notion, Job xxiv. 24) is called 500 (perhaps related to כר, from the drawing backwards and forwards of the cutting instrument). Niph. נְמֵלְתֵּם = נִמֵלְתָּם (with an accus of the object, as is also the case with the passive at vv. 5, 14, 24), not from a verb which does not exist in this sense, and probably also the impf. (Ps. xxxvii. 2: Job xiv. 2, xviii. 16); or מול (post-biblical מול), Niph. מול (according to the post-biblical formation, למול ניולן בעול Luzz. Gramm. § 521), whence the imperatively used inf. abs. Sign. The mode of performance is now more particularly defined, the law of circumcision specialized, vv. 12-14: And eight days old shall every male be circumcised according to your generations: the home-born and the bought with money of all strangers, who do not belong to thy seed. Circumcised, yea, circumcised shall be thy home-born and he that is bought with thy money, and my covenant shall be in your flesh for an everlasting covenant. And an uncircumcised one, a male, who is not circumcised in the flesh of his foreskin—this soul shall be extirpated from his fellow-countrymen, my covenant has he broken. Circumcision is to be performed on a child when he is eight days old, in which injunction seven days are reckoned, according to Lev. xii., for purification from the uncleanness which adheres to the child as well as to the mother directly after birth. It is also to be performed on every slave of the patriarchal family, whether vernae or mancipia, so that the family may be esteemed a unity which is neither accidental nor one merely serving the earthly. Especially must this be

the case with the nation developing in this family, into which all who are susceptible of salvation in the heathen world are to be incorporated by circumcision as subsequently by baptism. Extirpation (ווְכְּרָתָה) from the national society is to be the lot of the uncircumcised. The same threat is found with the command to observe the Sabbath, there including the capital punishment to be inflicted by the congregation, Ex. xxxi. 14, comp. also xxxv. 2, Num. xv. 32-36; its proper meaning however is the being snatched away by direct Divine judgment, according to tradition the premature and childless death of one who is uncircumcised and of full age. In this threat of the so-called Carath, סַעְפֵּיהָ (for which Ex. xxxi. 14 has is interchanged with the synonymous מָּקֶרֶב עְפֵּיהָ, Ex. xii. 15, Num. xix. 13, or כערת ישראל, Ex. xii. 19, Num. The plural עמיה does not assume that the singular Dy may signify a single fellow-countryman (as the post-biblical means also a single heathen); by means the people as a whole, and עַמִים the parts of the whole nation (tribes, families and individuals, בֵּנֵי הַעָּם, Lev. xix. 18, comp. 16). reason את־בּריתי המר implies that it is not defectus, but contemtus, which incurs the penalty of the Carath; on the pausal like הַּמָּר Isa. xviii. 5, see Ges. § 67, note 6.

The Divine address begins again. Sarai's name, which she brought with her from her heathen ancestral home, is also to be transformed, in accordance with the new times rich in promise, which were to begin with Abraham, vv. 15, 16: And Elohim said to Abraham: Sarai thy wife—thou shalt not call her name Sarai, for Sarah shall her name be. And I will bless her and also give thee a son of her, and will bless her and she shall become nations. Kings of nations shall arise from her. The fundamental letter of the name arise is entwined in the name of the ancestress also of that promised seed, which is the germ and star of the promised future. The warlike (אַלַיִּר, LXX. Σάρα, from אָלַיִר, to struggle, to fight, with "the old feminine suffix,

which still occurs in the Syriac as ai, and is written in the Arabic, & in the Ethiopic," DMZ. xl. 183) becomes a princess (שִׁרה, fem. of שֶׁר, prince, LXX. צְׁמֹרְסָׁם, with double ρ as a compensation for the length of the a; Assyr. šarratu, fem. of šarru, according to Friedr. Delitzsch,1 from sarâru, to rise brilliantly, to beam forth). She is to become Dia, the twelve tribes of Israel, and the multitude of the heathen spiritually incorporated therein being traced back to her. The promise now included Sarah also in its miraculous circle. Impression made upon Abraham by the glorious yet paradoxical announcement, ver. 17: And Abraham fell upon his face and laughed, and he said in his heart: Shall a child be born to one a hundred years old, or shall Sarah - shall one that is ninety years old bear? The succession of interrogative particles מְיִי מָאַל יה is more emphatic than at Num. xi. 12, 22, and the Dagesh in is like xviii. 21, xxxvii. 32. His desire concerning the son whom he already has, ver. 18: And Abraham said to God: Would that Ishmael might live in Thy sight! That he might only remain an object of God's loving care! (Prov. iv. 3). This shall suffice him; he ventures to ask and to hope for nothing higher. God's answer to the petition which thus evades His promise, vv. 19-21: And God said: Nay, but Sarah thy wife shall surely bear thee a son, and thou shalt call his name Isaac, and I establish my covenant with him for an everlasting covenant, with his seed after him. And as for Ishmael, I have heard thee: Behold, I have blessed him and made him fruitful and increased him exceedingly; twelve princes shall he beget; and I have appointed him for a great nation. But my covenant I establish with Isaac, whom Sarah shall bear unto thee about this time in the next. year. The particle אָבֶל (apparently from אָב, whence also בעל, בֵּל, to be powerful = potenter, vero) introduces a counterassurance, and then an assurance in general (comp. Erubin

¹ See the satisfactory proof in his Prolegomena, p. 92,

20b, אמרו להן אבל, they answered: certainly). The 5 of is that of reference, as at xix. 21, xlii. 9; comp. On the twelve נשׂיאים of Ishmael, see Isa. xxxii. 1b. xxv. 12-16. Ishmael also is abundantly blessed, but the covenant surpassing all that is earthly is made with Isaac, who will be born about this time, בשנה האחרת, in the year next following, properly that coming behind the present; comp. $\delta \pi \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$, afterwards = future (see this referred to, The name Jishak (laugher) is to be the continuous expression of the impression made upon Abraham by the promise. Its matter was so immensely great that he fell in adoration on the earth, so immensely paradoxical that he could but involuntarily laugh. Contrast is the essence of the ridiculous. What אל שרי does, takes nature captive to the obedience of grace, and reason to the obedience of faith.

Cessation of the Divine address, ver. 22: And when He had ended His speaking with him, Elohim went up leaving Abraham. Jerome also marks the period thus: ut desiit loqui cum eo, etc. 22a being logically an accessory sentence. the subject אֵלֹהִים is reserved for the principal sentence. can signify that God went away from Abraham, withdrew from him (comp. Ex. xxxiii, 1); but the parallel passage. xxxv. 13, shows that ascension to heaven is intended,-the heavenly one then had descended, for since the Fall God is far from man, and since the Flood the place of His throne has been super-terrestrial. Abraham now executes the order of Him who has disappeared, vv. 23-27: And Abraham took Ishmael his son and all his servants born in his house and bought, every male among the people of Abraham's house, and circumcised the flesh of their foreskin on the same day, as Elohim had said unto him. And Abraham was ninety-nine years old when the flesh of his foreskin was circumcised. And Ishmael his son was seventeen years old when the flesh of his foreskin was circumcised. On one and the same day was Abraham circumcised and Ishmael his son.

And all the people of his house, the home-born and those bought of a stranger, were circumcised with him. The ב סל בַּאָרָשׁ, 23a, is partitive, like vii. 21, xxiii. 18, and like the הַ סל בַּאָרָ, 12b; while, on the other hand, מַבֵּר (בְּאַרָּ, 27a, according to Lev. xxvii. 24, comp. Gen. xxiii. 20 (בַּאַרָּ, xxxiii. 19), belongs to בַּאַרָּ, does a naturally living being, hence eo ipso die, eodem die. On account of the great importance of circumcision, the obligation of which is presupposed in subsequent legislation, its performance is related as circumstantially and accurately as possible.

THE HEAVENLY MESSENGERS AT MAMRE AND SODOM, CHS. XVIII.—XIX.

1. Renewed promise of a son by Sarah, xviii, 1-15.

The Elohistic introduction, ch. xvii., which, by relating the inauguration of a new period for Sarah and Abraham, at the same time prepares for the birth of the son of promise, is followed by the second portion of the third section of Abraham's life, chs. xviii,-xix. In this the angelic visits in the grove of Mamre and in Sodom, together with the promises in the former case and the infliction of judgment in the latter which accompanied them, are, with the exception of xix. 29, narrated throughout by that master of the epic art, J. He is at once recognisable by the flowing, vivid and graphic mode of statement which both enters into details and stedfastly pursues its conscious object, by the Divine name יהוה, together with ארני, by the promise that the nations shall be blessed in the seed of the patriarchs, xviii. 18, comp. xii. 3, and by certain favourite expressions, such as הַּנַּה־נָא xviii. 27, 31, xix. 2, 7, 19, 20 comp. xii. 11; בְּי עֵל־כֵּן xviii. 5, xix. 8 comp. xxxiii. 10, xxxviii. 26; Num. x. 31, xiv. 43; לְמָה אָה xviii. 13 comp. xxv.

22, 32, xxxiii. 15. The style touches closely upon the Deuteronomic, e.g. in the frequent energetic imperfect form in an, xviii. 28-32, and in the contracted from xix. 8, 25 comp. xxvi. 3, 4, Deut. iv. 42, vii, 22, xix. 11 (elsewhere only once in the Law of Holiness, Lev. xviii. 27 and 1 Chron. xx. 8). The first part of this historical picture, extending from xviii. 1 to xix. 28 (29), and continuing in the appendix, xix. 30 sqq., viz. xviii. 1-16, is (within the extant composition of extracts from sources), as it were, the continuous historical development of xvii. 21. For the promise, which forms the central point of xviii. 1-16, is not very differently expressed, vv. 10 and 14. Hence it was not long after the institution of circumcision that the heavenly visitants made their appearance. Theophanies increase in frequency in proportion as that great event in the history of redemption, the birth of Isaac, draws near,

What follows is in accordance with its nature introduced as an appearance of Jahveh, ver. 1: And Jahveh appeared to him by the terebinths of Mamre, as he was sitting at the door of the tent in the heat of the day. The grove of Mamre has continued to be the abode of Abraham since xiii, 18, xiv. 13. פַּתַח האהל is, like 10b, the accus. of the place. He was sitting outside in the shadow of the tent, when suddenly a surprising sight appeared, ver. 2: And he lifted up his eyes and looked, and, lo, three men standing at a short distance from him. He saw and ran to meet them, and bowed himself to the earth. The impression of the uncaused is enhanced by the expression mem. To remain standing was according to custom, an unassuming appeal to hospitality. ילֵין over against him is equivalent to at some, but not at a great distance from him. The invitation and its acceptance, vv. 3-5: And he said: O Lord, if now I have found grace in Thine eyes, pass not away from Thy servant. Let a little water be fetched and wash your feet and rest under the tree. And I will bring a picce of bread, and strengthen ye your heart, after that ye may go farther, for therefore are ye come to your servant!-They said: So do as thou hast said. With the expression of the condition is blended in אָבריא, the wish that it may be so; so too at xxiv. 42, xxxiii. 10, xlvii. 29, 1 4; Ex. xxxiii. 13, xxxiv. 9; compare the simple DM. Num. xxxii. 5, xi. 15. The washing of the feet was. especially when sandals were worn, the first kind office rendered to travellers on their reception (e.g. in the N. T. 1 Tim. v. 19, νίπτειν τοὺς πόδας), and before they were means here to rest thoroughly by entertained. To recline at table was leaning and propping oneself. not an ancient Semitic custom. sounds modest: courtesy makes little of its own doings. Food and drink were, according to the ancient view, the strengthening of the heart, Judg. xix. 5, 1 Kings xiii. 7, comp. Acts xiv. 17. is here an adv. as at x. 18, xxiv. 55, Num. xxxi. 2 and frequently. Therefore—thinks Abraham—it has so fallen out, that I might have the opportunity of showing kindness to you; בי על־בן, as at xix. 8, xxxiii. 10, xxxviii. 26, Num. x. 31, xiv. 43, comp. אַשֶּׁר עֵל־בֵּן, Job xxxiv. 27, not everywhere the same as על־בֵּן בִּישׁר or עַל־בֵּן אֲשָׁר: therefore that = because, but so conceived as it reads: for this purpose. The three men then accept the kindly persuasive invitation. מברה, as at xix. 21, has not a pausal Kametz. Abraham's hospitable preparations, vv. 6-8: And Abraham hastened into the tent to Sarah and said: Fetch quickly three Seah of fine meal, knead, and make cakes. And Abraham ran to the herd and took a calf tender and good and gave to the servant, and he hasted to dress it. And he took butter and milk and the calf which he had dressed, and placed it before them, while he stood by them under the tree, and they ate. The tone in Third (according to Baer's text) is upon the ultima, but in xxiv. 67 upon the penultima. אנג (from אינ, to curve, to round) is a usual dish

¹ But see Frensdorff's edit. of the *Darche ha-Nikkud* of Moses Punctator (1877), pp. 21 and xxxiii.

of hospitality, which the Bedouin women prepare rapidly and even while riding upon the camel; the addition of three סאָה (Aram. אָסָאתָא, Assyr. sútu), hence $\frac{3}{3} = 1$ ephah, was superabundant for three men, comp. Ex. xvi. 16. Butter and milk served, according to Bedouin custom, for the basting of the meat; the traditional explanation of Ex. xxiii. 19 and elsewhere rejects this. It was also a requirement of good manners that Abraham should not sit with his honoured guests, but remain standing and awaiting their commands. The narrative-says Lane (Sitten und Gebräuche, ii. 116)-contains a perfect description of the manner in which a Bedouin Sheikh of the present day entertains a traveller arriving at his tent. And General Daumas (Die Pferde der Sahara, p. 195) says: "A stranger appears before the Duâr, he remains at some distance and says, Deif rabbi, i.e. a guest sent by the Lord. The effect is magical, all spring up, hasten towards him, and bring him into the tent . . . the master of the tent keeps him company all day long . . . there is never the impertinent question: Whence comest thou, or whither goest thou?"

Now follows, ver. 9 sqq., the conversation at table. The guests beginning it, ver. 9: And they said to him: Where is Sarah thy wife? And he said: There in the tent. The fact that wife? And he said: There in the tent. The fact that you have super-punctuated may point to a various reading b, and is favourable to the view that a model copy is the basis of the Masoretic text. The promise and its impression upon Sarah, vv. 10-12: And he said: Return, yea return will I to thee about the time when it revives, and, lo, Sarah thy wife has a son; but Sarah heard it in the door of the tent, and this was behind him. And Abraham and Sarah were old, well stricken in age; the rules, after the manner of women, had ceased with Sarah. And Sarah laughed within herself, saying: After I am worn with age should I have pleasure now, when my lord is old? The definition of time, you, means at the reviving time, or rather, since you is without an article, at the time

when it revives, Ges. § 109. 2b; comp. the synonymous expression περιπλομένου ένιαυτοῦ, 1 Sam. i. 20. κητη, 10b, refers to the door, according to others (LXX) to Sarah, which is contrary to the traditional text. The door was behind him who gave the promise, hence she heard without being seen by is the monthly purification (comp. xxxi. 35, LXX. translates classically to yvvaikeia), which is the condition of the power of conception. These so-called rules had long been discontinued in the case of Sarah, hence what had been promised made her laugh. On the Perf. יְּחַחָהְיּלָ (should it yet be to me), see on xxi. 7. Her calling her husband is quoted in her praise, 1 Pet. iii. 6. Her laughter however was that of contemptuous doubt, the laughter of Abraham that of delighted astonishment. He needed to have his faith encouraged, she to be brought back to the humility of faith, vv. 13, 14: And Jahveh said to Abraham: Why then did Sarah laugh, thinking: Should I also really bear, when I am old? Is anything unattainable for Jahveh? At the set time I return to thee, at the time when it revives, and Sarah has a son. With אָף אָסָנָ, "in very truth" (reality), comp. אף אָסְנָם, " yea certainly," Job xxxiv. 12, xix. 4. אַר אָסָנָם is a synonym to יָבְּצֶר xi. 6. Instead of מֶיהוָה, like xxiv. 50, 1 Sam. i. 20, Hahn and Theile have here erroneously Sarah's vain evasion, ver. 15: And Sarah denied, saying: I laughed not: for she was afraid. But he said: Nay, thou didst indeed laugh. Matter of great and eternal importance is here related in plain and childlike words. Brought back to the humility of faith. Sarah received indeed the strength

י The writing מְאַרֹנִי בּי מִיהְוֹהְ with audible או follows the Masoretic rule, מאַרני מוּרְיָה (מוּצִיא) וכלב מכנים הי. א. Moses led (Israel) forth, and Caleb led (him) in, i.e. grammatically: the letters מ, א. ה. make the א סוֹ מוּמוֹשׁם audible; ס, סוֹ הוֹ מוּמוֹשׁם (with Metheg of the counter-tone) and also וְאַרֹנִי בְּיִדְיְהָה. The vox memorialis, which includes also the ז, is מֵלְכֵּ בּוֹ נְעֵלֶם מֹן, all in Him is mysterious, i.e. grammatically: the prefixes ז, ל, בּוֹ נְעֵלֶם זֹן, ז have after them a latent (quiescent) א.

of the naturally impossible, ἐπεὶ πιστὸν ἡγήσατο τὸν ἐπαγειλλάμενον (Heb. xi. 11). The fulfilment itself was the repeated appearance of Jahveh after the space of a year, for the God of the promise was Himself present to effect its fulfilment.

Dillmann is of opinion, with Knobel, that the three were Jahveh and two angels, and besides, regards the אלני, 3a, as erroneous, because premature. But it is just this אַלֹיֵי which leads to the true meaning of the narrator. It is not the case that one of the three angels is the appearance of Jahveh, but that there are three heavenly messengers, in whom Jahveh manifests Himself, three by reason of the threefold nature of their vocation, which is not to promise only, but also to punish Because however the message of grace to and to deliver. Abraham is a higher one than the messages of judgment and of mercy to Lot, the two are subordinate to the one, and Jahveh is specially present to Abraham in the one, whom he recognises as above the other two and addresses as אליי, Lord of all (קרש) according to the Masora, in distinction from אדני, my lords), because He has made upon him the impression of a being in whom God is, and whom he is to receive as God Himself. A Greek legend tells of a similar event to that related in chs. xviii. and xix.: Jupiter, Mercury and Neptune visit an old man of the name of Hyrieus, in the Bœotian town of Tanagra, he prepares a meal for them, and at his request obtains, though hitherto childless, a son, Orion, Ovid, Fast. v. 494 sqq.; Palæph. ch. v. And then—as a pendant to ch. xix.-Jupiter and Mercury are travelling in the form of men; no one will receive them but Philemon and Baucis, an old and childless couple, wherefore the gods deliver them, taking them away with them to a mountain, and transforming the inhospitable neighbourhood of the hospitable cottage into a pool, and the cottage into a temple, Ovid, Metam. viii. 611-724. Here the three and then the two angels become respectively three and then two Gods; but Abraham recognises in the three and especially in the one, and Lot in the two, the presence of the one God. They treat them nevertheless as human travellers, for the Godhead in them is concealed, and only manifest to the eye of the spirit. Josephus, Ant. i. 11. 2, explains their eating as mere appearance: of $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$ δόξαν αὐτῷ παρέσχον ἐσθιόντων. So too Philo (Opp. ii. 18): τεράστιον καλ τὸ μὴ πεινώντας πεινώντων καλ μὴ ἐσθίοντας έσθιόντων παρέχειν φαντασίαν, and also the Targum, Talmud Mezia 86b, Midrash, Tob. xii. 19, Ephr. Procop. and most of It must however be differently explained, the Fathers. whether we hold that the human form in which they appeared was only a symbolization of their invisible being, or that it was, as Tertullian, adv. Marc. iii. 9, asserts: non putativa caro, sed veræ et solidæ substantiæ humanæ. In the first case they ate, "as we say of fire that it consumes everything" (Justin, dial c. Tr. c. 34); in the other they ate, as the risen Christ did, of whom Augustine says: Quod manducavit, potestatis fuit, non egestatis. Aliter absorbet terra aquam sitiens, aliter solis radius candens: illa indigentia, iste potentia. The intercourse of Jahveh with the patriarch was just at this time more humanely intimate than ever, because the birth of Isaac, the great type of the human appearance of God in Christ, was the subject of the message. At the beginning of the period of the νόμος, which brought to consciousness the infinite distance between the Holy God and the sinful creature, Moses heard from the burning bush the call: "Draw not nigh hither: put off thy shoes from thy feet!" Ex. iii. 5. The patriarchal period is more evangelical, as the time before the law it is a pattern of the time after the law.

2. Abraham's transaction with God concerning Sodom and Gomorrah, xviii. 16 sqq.

This second part of the Jahvistic portion, chs. xviii.-xix., forms a transition to what follows, as the first part was a connection with what preceded. It prepares for the history

of the destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah. Departure of the three, ver. 16: And the men rose up from thence, and looked toward Sodom, and Abraham went with them, to accompany According to an interesting tradition (Jer. Ep. eviii. ad Eustochium), he accompanied them as far as the site of the subsequent Caphar-berucha, whence the solitudinem ac terras Sodomæ may be perceived; אֶל־פְּנֵי, like xix. 28, Num. xxi. 20. Resolution of Jahveh, vv. 17-19: And Jahveh xxiii. 28. said: Shall I hide from Abraham what I am about to do, since Abraham shall surely become a great and mighty nation, and all the nations of the earth shall be blessed in him? For I knew him, that he will command his children and his household after him, that they keep the way of Jahveh, to do justice and judgment; that Jahveh may bring upon Abraham what He has spoken of him. He knew him, i.e. He chose him in preventing love (γτ', like Amos iii. 2, and New Testament γινώσκειν). The purpose of that loving communion with Himself to which He has admitted him follows in לְמַעוּ אֲשָׁר (מָשָׁבָּה בְּמָעוּ). He is to inculcate upon the present, and indirectly upon the future members of his family, the religion of Jahveh ("הְּדֶּדֶּ like Ps. xix. 10, יוואת ה', that they may practise אָדְקָה זִּמְשָׁפָּם (so here and Ps. xxxiii. 5; Prov. xxi. 3; comp. Deut. xxxiii. 21, instead of the more customary משמם תדקה), so that Jahveh may realize to him what He has promised in respect of his great vocation in the redemptive history. The LXX., as also the Syr., adds to ἀπὸ ᾿Αβραὰμ, τοῦ παιδός μου (מברץ), for which Philo has τοῦ φίλου μου (comp. Jas. ii. 23). There is scarcely a passage where this עברי (xxvi 24) or אתבי (Isa. xli. 8, 2 Chron. xx. 7) would be more in place than just here. Abraham is the friend of God,-an appellation which has become among Moslems a surname to his name, خليل الله, الخليل the insinuate, i.e. the intimate of God, or merely whence also Hebron is called Beit-el-chalil or El-chalil, and from a friend we keep nothing secret. Hence Jahveh dis-

closes to him the judgment which He purposes to inflict, vv. 20, 21: Then Jahveh said: The cry of Sodom and Gomorrah is become really great, and their sin really very heavy. however go down and see if they have altogether done according to the cry concerning it, which has come to me; or if not, I will in-The circumstantializing perfect ויהוה אמר is followed by the principal fact, viz. the communication, with ויאמר יהוה. The cry of Sodom is the cry for punishment which comes up thence demanding it. The assuring of the case is such that then = revera) stands elsewhere also in the middle of the sentence, xli. 32; Ps. cxviii. 10-12, cxxviii. 2. Milel, and therefore 3rd pr.; comp. on the other hand, Hos. He will go down to see the state of the case (quite like xi. 5), viz. into the valley of the Jordan district, will in the long-suffering of His wrath see whether their behaviour entirely corresponds with the cry for vengeance which has proceeded from it. The Athnach, ver. 21, is rightly placed, the second member of the disjunctive question being made independent by a verb of its own. The Pasek between עשו | כלה shows that here is to be understood, not as in the phrase עשה כלה, "to put an end to," but as at Ex. xi. 1, as an adverb in the meaning of omnino. הַבָּאָה is, according to the penultimate tone, not a particip. but a finitum, hence n (5) has, as at xlvi. 27, Job ii. 11 (comp. Ges. § 109), the value of a relatively used demonstrative pronoun, just as al (alli) and hal (halli), with the meaning "that which," are quite common (DMZ. xxii. 124) in the Bedouin speech and in the book

language also, e.g. الْلَيْرَفَى به, is qui acceptus kabetur, may be said. The departure for Sodom, ver. 22: And the men turned thence, and went toward Sodom, and Abraham remained still standing before Jahveh. A parallel verse to ver. 16; there all three are going farther, here two (xix. 1). But it is Jahveh who betakes Himself to Sodom in the two, while, on the other hand, He remains behind, Abraham continues stand-

ing before the one in whom Jahveh specially manifests Himself to him, and through whose angelic-human form he rightly discerns the LORD. According to tradition, 22b is a חקק הקק בסיים ביי למביים, correctio scribarum (see my Commentary on Habakkuk, pp. 206-208, and Perles' Biographie Salomo's b. Adereth, 1863, pp. 206-208, and was originally served being the usual expression for standing to serve. The originality however of the existing reading is defended by xix. 27. The two others departed, while Abraham still retained the third, and in him Jahveh.

To Him he turns with intercession for Sodom, vv. 23-25: And Abraham drew near, and said: Wilt Thou then utterly cut off the righteous with the wicked? Perhaps there are fifty righteous in the city, wilt Thou really cut off and not forgive the place for the fifty righteous' sake that are therein? Far be it from Thee to do thus, to kill the righteous with the wicked, so that it should happen to the righteous as to the wicked that be far from Thee. Should not the Judge of all the earth do right? The particle ye, ver. 23 sq., means etiam, not as at iii. 1 in the sense of adeo, but of revera (Sand. يقينًا). with , like Num. xix. 19 and frequently, means to grant acceptance and forbearance, i.e. forgiveness. In בּוֹשִׁע is conceived of as a noun, like the Latin instar: in such correlative repetition of the objects to be compared, it may either precede, as here, comp. xliv. 18, Hag. ii. 3, or follow. חַלְּלָה לְּךּ means, as is shown by the Targumico-Talmudic הלין המא לך, to the unholy ad profanum; in this sense is permitted for use, shown licitus by חלילה ; בלעל however is not a feminine with a retraction of the tone, for the penultimate accentuation is not found only before the monosyllabic 75, but elsewhere also, e.g. xliv. 7, before לַעַבֵּרֶיךָ. The question, 25b, is like that at Rom. iii. 3. Jahveh agrees, ver. 26: And Jahveh said: If I find in Sodom fifty righteous within the city, I will forgive the

whole place for their sake. Abraham reduces the number by five, vv. 27, 28: And Abraham answered and said: Behold now, I have taken upon me to speak to the Lord, who am but dust and ashes. Perhaps there may lack five of the fifty righteous: wilt Thou destroy the whole city for lack of five? said: I will not destroy it if I find there forty-five. The interchanging here and vv. 31, 32, as at xviii. 3, with mm, belong to the ארני i.e. the 134 true (really written) ארני. The pair of words עפר ואפר symphonize like נין ונכר, הור והרר, and the like. On the construction of the verb non with the acc. of what is lacking, comp. Ges. § 138. 3. מַחְמַשָּׁמָה, 28a, is equivalent to בַּעְבוּר חמשה, for the sake of so few less as five. again reduces the number by five, ver. 29: And he continued to speak to Him, and said: Perhaps forty will be found there. said: I will not do it for the forty's sake. He grows bolder, and deducts ten, ver. 30: He said: Let not the Lord be angry that I speak: perhaps thirty may be found there, And He said: I will not do it if I find thirty there. On לְּחָהֵה he grows hot, he falls into the heat (of anger), see iv. 5. On the cohortative ואוברה, see Ges. § 128. 2. From thirty down to twenty, ver. 31: And he said: Behold now, I have taken upon me to speak to the Lord: perhaps there shall be found twenty there. He said: I will not destroy it for the twenty's From twenty down to ten, ver. 32: And he said: Let not the Lord be angry that I speak yet but this once: Perhaps ten will be found there. And He said: I will not destroy it for the ten's sake. Immediately after this promise Jahveh disappears, ver. 33: And Jahveh went away, when He had finished speaking to Abraham, and Abraham returned to his place. It is the syntactic scheme of the coincident, like vii. 6. Jahveh departed (not to Sodom, as Wellhausen, expunging ألاية), xix. 1, thinks), i.e. He withdrew from the further importunity of the bold petitioner, and the latter, perceiving the limit thus placed, returned to the grove of Mamre.

This intercession of Abraham, which, with increasing VOL. II.

boldness six times takes advantage of concession, is somewhat singular. While however it excites laughter in a Voltaire, and while Hausrath and Gesenius find impressed upon it the stamp of the Jewish "trading spirit" (see Geiger's Jüdische Zeitschr. x. p. 157), it moved a Lavater to admiration. "As for the whole dialogue, - I exclaim as publicly as I can,-where in all the world is its equal in greatness and simplicity to be found!" It is, to begin with, highly significant that Abraham does not intercede specially for his relatives in Sodom; that he believes in the existence of righteous persons among the heathen therein; that his intercession proceeds from the assumption that man as such is his neighbour; that it applies to the cities of those seven nationalities on which the Mosaic law inflicts unsparing extermination (Deut. vii. 2, xx. 16). The subsequent different measurement of the duty of Israelites towards fellowcountrymen and foreigners did not as yet exist; religion had not yet assumed its temporary intermediate and national form. And what depths of Divine condescension, what heights of human faith do we here meet with! Accompanied, indeed, by a boldness which New Testament piety does not sanction with respect to God. The intimacy borders on irreverence. Even the Son of man finds the Thews out of Peter (Matt. xvi. 22) unbearable, and how could we, in presence of the actual experience that war and calamities carry off, as Job ix. 22 says, both the righteous and the wicked, appeal to God's justice for the contrary? We must lay our hand upon our mouth, hoping for a solution in another world of the enigmas Old Testament piety is still affected by a residuum of this. of polytheism, the gods of which were more human than The reduction too of the numbers from fifty to ten is more childish than child-like, but Jahveh condescends to this childish avalous (Luke xi. 8) of bargaining intercession. All answers to prayer depend upon such condescension. when God created free beings, He at the same time granted the possibility of allowing His actions to be determined by their conduct, and of permitting their prayer, i.e. their invocation of His goodness and mercy, to influence Him. The bold familiarity of the intercessor reduced to ten the number of the righteous, for whose sake Sodom was to be spared. But ten were not found. His intercession did not however fall to the ground. Four were found, Lot, his wife and his two daughters—these did not suffice to be the means of saving Sodom, but they were themselves not destroyed with the wicked, but delivered.

3. The destruction of Sodom and Gomorrah by fire, and the deliverance of Lot, xix. 1-29.

In accordance with Deut. xxix. 22, the prophets frequently refer to the matter of this third part of the second portion by holding up, as a warning to the people of God, the fate of Sodom and the other cities (Amos iv. 11; Hos. xi. 8; Isa. i. 9 sq., iii. 9 and elsewhere), just as the "days of Gibeah" (Judg. xix.) are also remembered for a like purpose (Hos. ix. 9). Arrival of the two Divine messengers, ver. 1: And the two angels came to Sodom at evening, as Lot was sitting in the gate of Sodom. And Lot, perceiving them, rose up to meet them, and bowed himself down with his face towards the earth. The gate is usually in the nearer East a vaulted entrance, with large recesses on both sides. It was here, beneath or near the gate, that people assembled either for business purposes, or to discuss, in larger or smaller circles, the affairs of the town (xxxiv. 10; Deut. xxi. 19). It was here that Lot was sitting, and when he saw the angels coming he rose up and went to meet them, greeting them no less reverently than Abraham had done, ver. 2: And he said: Behold now, my lords, turn aside, I pray, into your servant's house, and stay the night and wash your feet and rise up early and go your But they said: Nay, we will spend the night in the

street. Only here is הְּנֶה־נָא written instead of הְנָה־נָא . And only here do we incidentally find אַליי with Pathach, which the Masora distinguishes as אָרָע הול, הסניסע, from לָּדָשׁ as לָּדָשׁ. Lot's spiritual vision is weaker than Abraham's, he greets the men with only the courteous "my lords;" he does not at first recognise them as angels, nor as the LORD, who was manifesting Himself in them. He invites them in the kindest manner, but they refuse, just as Jesus (Luke xxiv. 28) seemed at first about to refuse the disciples at Emmaus. Their nay (Ven. πώμαλα) is κ, written with emphatic Dagesh, as at 1 Sam. viii. 19, 1 Kings xi. 22. At last they yield to his solicitation, ver. 3: And he urged them much, and they turned in unto him and entered his house, and he prepared a meal and baked sweet cakes, and they ate. cakes, מצין (from מציה, to suck in and out), are unleavened cakes, which would be the sooner ready. But before the guests retired, the sin of Sodom is manifested, vv. 4, 5: They had not yet lain down, when the people of the city, the people of Sodom, surrounded the house, from the boy to the old man, the whole people from the utmost end. And they called to Lot and said to him: Where are the men which came to thee this night? bring them out to us, we will know them. The construction of מֵכְם is like ii. 5, and, in a like connection, Instead of מַקצה יי וְעַוּ־קצה, xlvii. 21, from one Josh. ii. 8. end to the other, we have here and Jer. li. 31 מַפַּצָּה, from the end, i.e. of the city in its whole extent. Without respect to hospitality, they say shamelessly what they desire: מַמאַתָם הַנְירוּ לֹא כחַרוּ, Isa. iii. 9. The travellers are young and beautiful (Mark xvi. 5), the inhabitants of Sodom desire to "know" them, Judg. xix. 22; their unnatural lust, according to Rom. i. 27 a curse of heathenism, according to Jude 7 a copy of demoniacal error, according to the Mosaic law (Lev. xviii. 22, xx. 13) a הוֹעָבה to be punished with death (named by Ezekiel, xvi. 49 sq., as the worst among the sins of Sodom), wears no mask, no æsthetic nimbus, as in Greece. Lot now tries his utmost to save his guests, vv. 6-8: And Lot went out to them to the entrance and shut the door behind him. And he said: Pray, brethren, do not so wickedly. Behold, I have two daughters who as yet have known no man. bring them out to you, and do ye to them as seems good to you. only to these men do nothing, for therefore have they come under the shadow of my roof. The formation הפתחה is like קרשה Judg. iv. 10, the former from אָפָּ, the latter from קוֹשׁבּ, בַּיִלשׁ for fight, here 8b, as at 25a, xxvi. 3 sq., Lev. xviii. 27, Deut. iv. 42, vii. 22, xix. 11, and elsewhere only at 1 Chron. xx. 8, is no archaism; the Arabic ulâ, Ethiop. ellâ, Aram. illen, illech, showing that this demonstrative originally terminated with a vowel (perhaps illai). בֵּי עֵלְבֵּן (see xviii. 5) is said of the purpose of their becoming guests, viz. to be protected. Lot acts like the old man in Gibeah of Benjamin, Judg. xix. 23 sq.; he is willing to sacrifice his duty as a father to the duty of hospitality, and commits the sin of desiring to prevent one sin by another. But this also is of no avail, ver. 9: But they said: Stand back! And they said: This one came to sojourn, and is playing the judge: now will we deal worse with thee than with them! And they pressed upon the man, upon Lot, and came near to break The exclamation לש הלאה has the meaning of move away! הלאה (comp. the verb, Micah iv. 7) has the tone upon the penult.; it is the locative of 50, which directs to a distance. They threaten Lot, the one man, who is enjoying among them the rights of hospitality, and yet . . . (imperf. consec. of the contrasting context, the paradoxical result, like xxxii. 31; Prov. xxx. 25-27; Job ii. 3). The inf. intens. to page emphasizes this troublesome censorious behaviour as incessant (Ges. § 131. 3b). To take, with Hupfeld, the ה of האחר interrogatively, like Num. xvi. 22, Neh. vi. 11, comp. Judg. xii, 5, and also הארם, Deut. xx. 19, is not advisable, the determinative of אחד (this one) being indispensable. is conclusive: they will consequently deal worse with him than with his protégés. The permutative combination בַּאָלִישׁ בְּלֹּוֹט is like בְּחָרֵה גִינוֹוֹ, xviii. 26. They prepare to break the door, when Lot's guests become his protectors, vv. 10, 11: And the men stretched out their hand and took Lot in unto them, into the house, and shut to the door. And the men who were at the entrance of the house, they struck with blindness, from the least unto the greatest, and they wearied themselves to find the entrance. Instead of the more usual מַּבְּעַבְּרַיִּם, Zech. xii. 4, Deut. xxviii. 28, we here have בַּבְּעַבְּרַיַם, from the blind, a Shaphel—the original causative

form—with بُوْرَ = بِيْر to blind. Summons to Lot to escape with his family, vv. 12, 13: And the men said to Lot: Whom hast thou here? Son-in-law, and thy sons and daughters, and all that belongs to thee in the city, bring them out of the place: for we are about to destroy this place, because the cry concerning them is become great before the face of Jahveh, and Jahveh has sent us to destroy it. The suffix of phpry (to be understood like xviii. 20 sq., Clamat ad calum vox sanguinis et Sodomorum) refers to the inhabitants, and the suffix of לְשֵׁחָתָה to the city. וְחָהָ is purposely an indefinite collective singular. Lot finds no audience with his sons-in-law, ver. 14: And Lot went out, and spake to his sons-in-law, who had taken his daughters, and said: Get you up, go out of this place, for Jahveh is about to destroy the city, -- but he was as one who mocked in the eyes of his sons-in-law. The LXX. and Targ. Jer. I. have correctly: τους είληφότας τὰς θυγατέρας αὐτοῦ, not: qui accepturi erant filias ejus (Jerome), for in ver. 15 the two daughters, still at home, are distinguished from those who were married; and the two saved with Lot have not, ver. 30 sq., to lament the loss of bridegrooms. Those offered to the Sodomites were still his virgin and, as may be also inferred from ver. 8, his unbetrothed daughters. In we the y has the emphatic Dagesh to ensure its clear

pronunciation between two u sounds (comp. Ex. xii. 31; Deut. ii. 24). This carelessness, when destruction was close at hand, is referred to Luke xvii. 28. Even Lot does not follow his preservers with the gratitude of a joyful faith, vv. 16, 17: And as soon as the dawn began, the angels urged Lot to hasten, saying: Arise, take thy wife, and thy two daughters, which are here, that thou be not consumed in the iniquity of the city. But he lingered; then the men seized his hand and the hand of his wife and of his two daughters, by reason of the forbearance of Jahveh ruling over him. and led him out, and let go of him outside the city. While the biblical ? is always merely a preposition, in? serves here like באשׁר as a conjunction, which its analogous formation from and מה=מו permits, comp. Isa. xxvi. 18; Ps. The daughters still in the parental house are called in distinction from those already married, as is explained Bereschith rabba c. 50, and in Ephrem. The angels urge Lot to hasten, but he delays: he is no Abraham, and it is not gladly, but with inward reluctance, that he leaves the beautiful city and his home in it. The angels are obliged to bring him and his family out by force, and this takes place שליח ה' עלים. Olshausen would prefer בחסלת ה' עלים, but in the Psalms also בַּחִסוּד, xxv. 7, and בַּחִסוּד, xxxi. 17, are interchanged. They do not let go of him (Min, different in use from הַּנְיִם) till he is outside the city. Here Jahveh, speaking by the angels, invites him to save himself by hastening straight onward, ver. 17: And it came to pass when they (the angels) had led them (Lot and his family) forth, He (Jahveh) said: Escape for thy life, look not behind thee, stay not in all the plain; escape to the mountain, that thou be not consumed. Jahveh is in the two angels, as in the three: they are all three messengers, i.e. organs of God present in them (as the apostles were messengers and organs of Christ present in them). Without looking backwards (אַל־הַבָּים instead of the more regular אֶל־תְּבֶּט), he is to seek to place himself in safety by reaching the (subsequently Moabite) mountains. But here too he shows how weak and defective is his faith and obedience, vv. 18-20: And Lot said: O Lord, not so. Behold now, Thy servant hath found grace in Thy sight, and Thou hast magnified Thy favour, which Thou hast showed to me to preserve my soul alive; and I cannot escape to the mountain, misfortune might overtake me, and I die. Behold now, this city is near to flee thither, and it is indeed but small: let me escape thither—it is indeed so small—that my soul may live. deprecative strengthened by R (Ruth i. 13) is followed by two sentences, each commencing with הנהדנא, and apparently marking two premisses, the first of which, ver. 19, gives. as a reason for the request, the mercy of God and the impotence of the suppliant, the second, 20a, the smallness of the thing requested, and then by אָמֵלְטָה־נָא the conclusion. now knows that it is Jahveh Himself who has snatched him as a brand from the burning; he no longer says אַדֹעַי, but אָלְנֵי; yet even with this nearness of God to him and care of God for him, he does not attain to entire obedience: the mountain is too far for him; he fears lest the approaching catastrophe should catch him (תִּרְבַּקנִי, with uniting vowel a, like xxix. 32; Ges. § 60, note 2); he would rather flee to the small town which is near, and whose insignificance might excite compassion. Jahveh agrees, vv. 21, 22: And He said to him: See, I favour thee in this also, not to destroy the city of which thou hast spoken. Hasten to escape thither, for I can do nothing till thou art come thither—therefore the name of the city was called So'ar. The phrase ניוא פני means to let the presence, appearance, or person of any one make an impression and find access. The 5 of is that of reference. has ב, according to the Masora, like בָּנָנִם, Ex. xii. 27. is an adverbial infinitive, like Ps. lxix. 18. The city was that regarded by Lot as Type, a trifle, a small matter, and hence called צׁעֵר (smallness), at the south-eastern entrance of the then valley of Siddim. The crusaders found it still

existing under the name of Segor (صفر οτ مغر LXX. Σηγώρ), pleasantly situated among palm-trees, girato lacu a parte australi, hence, after going round the southern end of the Dead Sea on its eastern side, where it lay, not as Irby-Mangles and Robinson suppose, upon the peninsula jutting far into the southern half of the sea from the east, but, as Wetzstein has pointed out, on the south-eastern end, in that part of the Arabah which is now called Gôr es Safieh. catastrophe, vv. 23-25: The sun was risen upon the earth, and Lot was come to So'ar. Then Jahveh rained down upon Sodom and Gomorrah brimstone and fire from Jahveh from heaven. And He overthrew those cities and all the plain, and all the inhabitants of the cities and that which grew on the ground. By sunrise Lot had already arrived at Zoar. צֹעֵרָה has in Baer an accented local ah, but Heidenheim accentuates this word like הָאֹחֵלָה according to Moses Punctator as Milel. The causative הְּמְמִיר has for its object rain proper, ii. 5; hail, Ex. ix. 18, manna, Ex. xvi. 4, here פַּרִית וָאשׁ (for which we have אש ונפרית, Ps. xi. 6; Ezek. xxxviii. 22). הפר , in the sense of evertere, refers not only to cities but to men (as at Prov. xii. 7; Isa, i. 7) and plants. Brimstone and fire came through the intervention of God present in His angels from (מַאַת, like Micah v. 6) Him who is enthroned in heaven. The statement distinguishes still more decidedly than Hos. i. 7, Zech. x. 12, 2 Tim. i. 18, the supermundane and the historically manifested God. But we should be more correct to say that the mundane presence of God in the angels was a prefiguration of the ἐφανερώθη ἐν σαρκί, than to agree with Justin, Eusebius, and the Council of Sirmium, which decreed, after these authorities: Pluit Dei filius a Deo patre. Not only Sodom and Gomorrah, but Admah and Zeboiim, the two other cities of the Pentapolis (xiv. 2), as we are told, Deut. xxix. 23 (the fundamental passage for Hos. xi. 8), or, as it is here said, the whole plain, Zoar alone excepted, perished by fire and

brimstone—a catastrophe to which Strabo, Tacitus, and Solinus Polyhistor also testify, and which, in the subsequent literature down to the Apocalypse, is often both alluded to and directly mentioned (e.g. Ps. xi. 6). Fate of Lot's wife, ver. 26: And his wife looked back from behind him, and became a pillar of salt. She was following him and, whether from affection, compassion, or curiosity, looking about behind her, and became, in consequence of this disregard of the Divine command, a prey to the catastrophe. She was covered with a saline incrustation and changed, as it were, into a statue of In the time of the author of the Book of Wisdom this στήλη άλός, Wisd. x. 7 (comp. Clement, ad Cor. c. xi.), was still pointed out. Josephus (Ant. i. 11. 4) declares that he had seen it: ἱστόρηκα αὐτήν, ἔτι γὰρ καὶ νῦν διαμένει. A poem among the works of Tertullian (ed. Oehler, ii. 773) relates of it, that when it is mutilated it completes itself again, which Irenæus (iv. 31. 3, 33. 9) explains typically. These are legends which have their very obvious rise in the partly cylindrical, partly pyramidal cones of salt still found, in consequence of the winter rains, on the salt-mine track, Hagar Usdum, which extends not far from the eastern shore of the Dead Sea, two leagues and a half towards its southern extremity (see Tuch, Quæstio de Flav. Josephi loco B. J., iv. 8. 2, 1860). What is related in ver. 26 however is regarded as history in the New Testament also (Luke xvii. 32, comp. ix. 62). The disappearance of Eurydice when Orplieus, contrary to the command of Proserpine, looks round at her when brought from Hades before arriving at their native land, as related in the Greek legend, is somewhat similar. What Abraham had to behold next morning, vv. 27, 28: And Abraham got up early in the morning to the place where he had stood in the presence of Jahveh, and looked toward the face of Sodom and Gomorrah and toward the whole face of the country of the plain, and beheld, and, lo, the vapour of the land went up as the vapour of the furnace. Instead of giv,

smoke (Ex. xix. 18), we have here the less usual אינים, steam or vapour (Ps. cxix. 83); comp. Wisd. x. 7, καπνιζομένη χέρσος, and Brocardus: mare mortuum est semper fumans et tenebrosum sicut os inferni, ut oculis meis vidi, ob tetrum vaporem inde fumantem. So far the account of J, to which is now joined the sketch of Q, ver. 29: And it came to pass, when Elohim destroyed the cities of the plain, then Elohim remembered Abraham and led Lot out of the overthrow, when He overthrew the cities in which Lot had dwelt. Thus Lot was delivered for the sake of Abraham, and indeed for the sake of his intercession. "In which is the same as in one of which, like Judg. xii. 7. Instead of אַבּהַבָּבָּה, occurring here only, אַבּהַבָּב is the Deuteronomico-prophetic word.

The Dead Sea, as it appears at present, has no kind of odour; its water is clear as crystal, and has in fair weather the blue colour of heaven like other seas. Flights of birds are frequently seen passing over its waters. It nevertheless gives an impression of awe. Neither fish nor other living creatures are hidden in its bosom, those who enter it with the current from the Jordan dying immediately, and its lonely shores are entirely devoid of vegetation. The atmosphere over its waters is purest at night, but never quite pure. If it is agitated by a storm, the spray that is driven about covers everything with an incrustation of salt. Liquid bitumen is not found, but the Moses and Asphalt stone so frequent on the coast lead to the conclusion, that a great bed of asphalt forms the bottom of the After the earthquake of 1837, which destroyed Tiberias, a mass of asphalt the size of a house appeared upon the surface, it was driven on to firm ground on the western side not far from Usdum, and furnished the Arabs with 150 ctr. of asphalt.1 The length of this unique waste of waters amounts to 40, and its average breadth to 8 miles; at its

¹ See Zincken, Fossile Kohlen und Kohlenwasserstoffe, 1884, pp. 327-331 (Bituminose Schichten und Equanationen Palästina's).

southern extremity its whole breadth is fordable. According to Symond's measurement it lies 1231 feet, while the Sea of Tiberias is only 308 feet below the surface of the Mediter-As Moore found the bottom to be in some places 1700 feet deep, it reaches to almost 3000 feet beneath the surface of the Mediterranean. The Lake of Achen in Tyrol, and especially Lake Baikal in Asiatic Russia, are far deeper, but their situation is incomparably less deep, that of the Dead Sea being one of the deepest depressions on the surface The view advocated by great authorities (Ritter, of the globe. v. Schubert, Daubeny, J. B. Roth), that the Jordan, the Dead Sea and the Gulf of Akaba originally formed one connected waterway, has been proved untenable by more recent investigations (Russegger, Robinson, Thornton, Fraas). The land between the Arabian Gulf and the Dead Sea rises to a height of 2100 feet above the level of the sea, and it can be geologically proved that the Wadi Arabah has undergone no elevation since the existence of the present basins. Lartet, who accompanied the Duke de Luynes, arrived at the result that the Dead Sea had at all times been a basin for the deposits which fell on its declivities, and that its surface was at the end of the Tertiary period 100 metres higher than at present; but that volcanic catastrophes subsequently took place at the east and north-east in the form of effusions of basalt, and that hot mineral springs, bituminous eruptions and earthquakes were, in historic times, the last forces which shaped the basin Fallmerayer too (1853) is of opinion of the Dead Sea. that the southern part of the Dead Sea, between the great peninsula jutting in on its eastern side and the hill of lava, ashes and salt, 'Gebel Usdum, was originally the dry land of the plain of Siddim, and was covered with water in consequence of a catastrophe. He thinks that the Dead Sea has advanced, and has volcanically overwhelmed tracts of land, which formerly lay beyond its reach, and in the enjoyment of sunlight. That where to-day are the bare peninsula

and the Dardanelle current, there was once the termination and southern boundary of the Dead Sea. And that the formerly flourishing and abundantly watered Vale of Siddim. the Lectonia (ii. 14, 283 sq.) of Canaan, of which only the great Delta in Southern Gor remains besides its extremely irregular borders on the east and west, extended from this natural enclosure to the wall of hills across the Wadi Arabah. With this agrees also the result arrived at by Capt. Lynch, who undertook in 1848 an expedition to the Dead Sea in two boats, one of iron, the other of copper, which were brought thither over land. It was ascertained that the bed of the sea forms two sunken plains, one from 1000 to 1200, the other on an average only 13 feet below the surface. shallower southern part, as may now be considered almost settled, would thus have to be regarded as the submerged Fritz Noetling however judges otherwise in Vale of Siddim. the three articles on the Dead Sea which he has published in the Berliner Tageblatt, Aug. 1886. He denies that there is any kind of connection between a catastrophe in the time of Abraham and this body of water which has always existed in the deepest part of the Ghôr, regards the Wadi Zerka as the only conceivable place of the site of Sodom and Gomorrah. and is convinced that the volcanic action in the region of the Dead Sea was still operative when the district had already almost exactly its present relief; for "the most recent streams of lava have flowed down from the plateau into the valleys, which were already hollowed out to their present depth." It is however evident from the circumstance that the stream of lavathat has descended from the Attarus mountain chain appears to be sawn through the midst by the never resting water of the Wadi in such wise that its two portions adhere to both sides of the slopes of the valley in the form of terraces, that this last outburst of volcanic force in Palestine took place in the Alluvial period thousands of years previously. The narrator certainly does not tell us in ch. xix. that the cities were submerged in the sea which arose in consequence of the fiery judgment, only xiv. 3 seems to proceed from this view.

4. The incestuous generation of Moab and Ben-Ammi, xix. 30-38.

The second portion of the third section of Abraham's life closes with xix. 30-38. What is here related is closely linked with xix. 1-28, and there is no valid ground against our admitting that it is still J who here continues the narrative. The distinction of age by מַּלְיָה and בַּּבְיָה occurs also with him at xxix. 26, and דֵּלְיֹה at vii. 3. It is he also who relates how the hero of the Flood committed himself ix. 20 sqq., after having stood such a test of his faith; and if the histories of Abraham, Gideon, David and other models of faith terminate with a fall from their ideal height, this is the less amazing in the case of Lot.

He moved from Zoar, and dwelt in a cave in the mountain, vv. 30-32: And Lot went up out of Soar, and dwelt in the mountain, and his two daughters with him. And the first-born said to the younger: Our father is old, and there is no man in the land to come in unto us according to the manner of all the world. Up, we will give our father wine to drink, and we will lie with him and will propagate the race from our father. When invited to escape to the (Moabite) mountain, Lot had requested permission to flee to Zoar; but it was just there that he now felt himself insecure and departed thence to the mountain, whither he had formerly desired not to go. There was this former nomad compelled by poverty and fear to become a dweller in a cave (בּפְעָרָה with the article of the species, unless it has the meaning of the definite cave known as the birthplace of the two nations). The two daughters of Lot, called by Mas'ûdi, Zewî and 'Arva, are those who were still unmarried at the catastrophe. In the absence of all prospect of marriage, the younger is persuaded by the elder to

the desperate resolve of lying with their father after they have made him drunk; דֵרָן כֵּלְהַאָּרִן is here the usual human manner of sexual intercourse, as the husband in the Jewish marriage articles promises: ואנא אעל לותך כָּאוּרָח כָּל־אַרְעָא. Not as if they supposed that the Divine judgment had extirpated all men (so e.g. Irenœus, iv. 31. 2); but that they felt themselves so branded as the remnants of an accursed city, that they feared that their family must die out with themselves who were without husbands and their aged father. It was not lust, but the wish to keep their race from perishing, that impelled them. The means was however worthy of Sodom, and Lot became the blind instrument of an infamy punishable by the subsequent law with death by He is, as F. G. v. Moser designates him, a memorable example of an impure man, or, to speak more correctly (comp. 2 Pet. ii. 7), of a very frail righteous man. The proposal carried out, vv. 33-36: And they gave their father wine to drink that same night, and the first-born came and lay with her father, and he knew neither her lying down nor her rising up. And it came to pass the day after, that the first-born said to the younger: Behold, I lay last night with my father. we will give him wine to drink this night also, and come thou, lie with him, and we will propagate the race by our father. And they gave their father wine to drink that night also, and the younger arose and lay with him, and he knew neither of her lying down nor of her rising up. And the two daughters of Lot were with child by their father. On two successive nights Lot became the blind instrument of a desire which obtained its satisfaction in a sinful manner. בלילה המא ver. 33, for כללה ההוא, ver. 35, is in itself the more possible (xxxviii. 21; Ps. xii. 8), and here, as at xxx. 16, xxxii. 23. 1 Sam. xix. 10, the preferable expression by reason of the החתים, thus pointed, may be contracted from המשמחה, thus pointed, may be contracted from like the Aramæan מחר ; but מחר comes from מחר, to be in front, commonly used in the Assyrian and meaning the approaching day, which forms, as it were, the front of the

present line of time. On wind, the previous evening, the evening (the night, the day before), from פּשָׁה, to graze, to touch (said of the sun sinking on the horizon), here, ver. 34, used as an accus. of time: to pass the night, i.e. the past night, see Fleischer on Job xxx. 3. With the writing, print, comp. Ges. § 47, note 3. יוש has ב of the object, like Ps. xxxi. 8; Job xii. 9, xxxv. 15. The formation שׁבְבַּה is like מכרם, Amos ii. 6, with מכרה, Ex. xxi. 8; Ewald, § 225d. wine and evil lust combine to plunge Lot, not indeed into absolutely passive unconsciousness, but into animal insensibility, in which he surrendered himself without moral consideration to mere blind instinct. The point over the second ו of הבקומה is said, according to the opinion of the Midrash, to indicate שבשכבה לא ידע ובקומה ידע (Nazir 23a), which Jerome also relates, but it certainly has only critical and not actual significance. Birth of the children, vv. 37, 38: And the firstborn bare a son, and called his name Moab, he is the father of Moab to this day. And the younger she too bare a son, and called his name Ben-'Ammi, he is the father of the Bene-Ammon to this day. In consequence of their crafty incest they became the ancestresses of two nations, of the Moabites, who took possession of the dwellings of the Emim, and of the Ammonites, who took possession of the dwellings of the Zamzummim. Deut. ii. 9-21. The LXX adds to the naming of Moab: λέγουσα 'Εκ τοῦ πατρός μου. That Moab means begotten by my father is clear, and according to מַאָבִיע vv. 32, 34, and מָאָבֶיהָן, ver. 36, it seems to be equivalent to בָּאָבָ, But it is also possible that it may be equivalent to 💘 ", aqua patris (ים אם, from מה, diffluere, fluidum esse, like או, from מה, from מה, diffluere, fluidum esse, like או, from מה for semen patris (comp. Num. xxiv. 7, Prov. v. 16, also Isa. xlviii. 1, according to the extant text, though there ממני may be intended for במי), to which במי Keri במי Isa. xxv. 10, seems to allude. The name mans, according to the narrative, the son of parents of the same stock; finy, the belonging to a nation (abs. then concr.), is related to שָׁ as אָנִמוֹ is to אָנָה. The people is called בְּיֵי עְשׁׁלִּח, for which my is first used at a later period of the language (Ps. lxxxiii. 8, comp. 1 Sam. xi. 11, Heb. with LXX.).

Lot is not again mentioned, nor even his death. His history terminates the collateral line of Haran, and at the same time relates the origin of two nations interwoven in the history of De Wette, Tuch, Ewald, Knobel, Böhmer, and Dillmann see in this parrative the invention of Israelite national But how should this be the root of the legend, when their descent from Lot is reckoned an honour to the Moabites and Ammonites, Deut. ii. 9, 19, and Israel is directed to leave unmolested the land awarded to them as בָּנֵי לוֹש, and consequently congeners? It was not till they had behaved in an unbrotherly manner to Israel, that they were excluded from the congregation of the Lord,—on no other grounds but just this unbrotherly conduct, Deut. xxiii. 4 sq. And if lewdness (Num. xxv.) and want of natural feeling (e.g. 2 Kings iii. 26 sq.) subsequently appear to be fundamental in the character and cultus of both nations, we are at least equally justified in assuming that these their hereditary sins are derived from their origin, as that the legend fashioned their origin accordingly.

SARAH'S PRESERVATION AT THE COURT OF ABIMELECH, CH. XX.

The long Jahvistic section in four parts is now followed by an Elohistic one, relating how the honour of Sarah, which had been endangered by her being taken into the harem of Abimelech, was preserved. This narrative is a pendant to the Elohistic narrative, xii. 10 sqq., where it is the harem of Pharaoh into which Sarah is carried off. Whether the two histories are two forms of the same legend or not, the narrators are at all events different. If Q is however regarded as the narrator of ch. xx., it is but a shallow inference to esteem him as such from the use of the Divine name with the control of the Curkunden des Jerusalemer Tempelarchivs, 1798) already vol. II.

distinguished two Elohists, and the same perception dawned quite independently upon Hupfeld (Quellen, 1853), especially with regard to ch. xx. Apart from האלהים, which is besides exchanged, ver. 4, for אָלי, there is nothing which absolutely leads to Q, the tone of the language being more closely related to that of J (e.g. הארץ לפניך, xx. 15, xiii. 9; תַּשְׁבָּם בַּבּלַכָּך, xx. 8, xix. 27; על־דְּכַר, xx. 11, xii. 17; על־דָּכַר, xx. 13, xix. 19; P. xx. 11, xix. 8), but also characteristically differing from it (e.g. אָסְנָה, xx. 12, comp. אָסְנָם, xviii. 13; אַסְנָה with a plural of the predicate, xx. 13, like xxxv. 7, the many peculiar to him, xx. 7, with the usual אָשָׁבָּחֹת, xx. 14). It is also here only that Abraham is called גייא, xx. 7 (comp. Ps. cv. 15), and the mediatorial position implied in this notion appears here in an instructive and ancient light; the direction of Abimelech to the intercession of the patriarch recalls Job xlii. 8. in E that R found this narrative, which he here inserts retrospectively and not in its original place, as e.g. the Synoptists bring in the purification of the Temple, which took place in the first Jerusalem Passover, in the third.

Abraham's departure to the south, ver. 1; And Abraham departed thence to the land of the south, and dwelt between Kades and Sur. He leaves Mamre and its curse-stricken neighbourhood and journeys אָרְצָה הָנָנֶב; so here instead of אָנוּמָה, xii, 9, xiii. 1, with He locale to the connecting form like xxiv. 67, xxviii. 2, xliii. 17, xlvi. 1; Ew. § 216b. southern part of Canaan, the subsequent territory of the tribes of Judah, Benjamin and Simeon, is divided by the features of the country into four distinctly separate parts. The mountainous (הַהַּה) or high land, on whose western slope lies a hilly district which gradually sinks into a plain (שָׁמֵלֶה), forms the centre; while towards the east the wilderness (מָרָבֶּר) inclines towards the Jordan valley and the Dead Sea, to the south the South-land (נְנֵב), Josh. xv. 21) forms in several plainly marked terraces a spur of the mountains towards the Petræan peninsula. It was here that Abraham sojourned in the district between

Kadesh and Shur (where was, according to xvi. 7, 14, the well of Hagar), wandering occasionally from these his headquarters to Gerar south of Gaza (see on xxvi. 17). Here in the south-west of Canaan already dwelt the Philistines; for though the narrator both here and xxi. 22-34 calls Abimelech only king of Gerar, and not, as the narrator in ch. xxvi., king of the Philistines, yet this is not to be regarded as his abstinence from a non-historical anticipation (Bertheau, Kn.); it was an actual tradition that the Philistines had settled on this coast long before Israel became a nation (Hitzig, Philist. p. 146). Unlike as the Philistines of the patriarchal age are to those of the times of the Judges, Ewald refers to the unmistakeable similarity of the proper names, especially אַבִּימלָן, according to P. Haupt, not = Abimalki but Abimilki, father of the council, and masculine proper names in ath, as now and not. Abraham fares in this pre-Mosaic Philistine kingdom as according to ch. xii. he had done in Egypt, ver. 2: And Abraham said of Sarah his wife: She is my sister, and Abimelech king of Gerar sent and took Sarah. He did not say it to her, but to others of her, but to her, comp. Ps. ii. 7, xli. 6. In the position which is given to the history by R, we should have to admit that Abimelech was not concerned for sensual enjoyment, but that he desired to ally himself as brother-in-law to Abraham the wealthy nomad prince. But this time also Elohim interposes in her behalf, vv. 3-5: And Elohim came to Abimelech in a dream of the night, and said unto him: Behold, thou must die because of the woman whom thou hast taken, since she is the wife of a husband. Abimelech had not come near her, and he said: Lord God, wilt Thou destroy also a righteous nation? Did he not say unto me: She is my sister? and did not she herself also say: He is my brother? In the integrity of my heart and the cleanness of my hands have I done this. We may hesitate as to whether here and xxxi. 24, 1 Kings iii. 5, is meant for an acc. of time or a dependent gen.; the accentuation assumes the latter,

and indeed correctly (Targ. בְּחֵלְמָא דְלֵילָיָא). A dream, as the experience of one who is asleep, is the lowest grade of revelation, hence Elohim comes to Abimelech and Laban in a dreamof the night; but Jacob also, xxviii. 12, xxxi. 11, and Joseph, xxxvii. 5, receive Divine disclosures בחלום (different from the vision of the night, xlvi. 2). It is E who delights in relating these Divine revelations by night. A married woman is called בְּעֵלֶת בַּעֵל, as at Deut. xxii. 22, in post-biblical terminology אישה איש Death is placed before the king as certainly at hand by en te moriturum. He was then (according to vv. 7, 17) sick like Hezekiah, Isa. xxxviii. 1, and even on that account he had not come near her (Isa. viii. 3). here, as at xix. 18, is one of E's points of contact with J. The original text was perhaps הַנְם צָּוְיִיכְ, at all events זו, if it here meant an individual heathen (Targ. Jer. בר עממיז), would have to be regarded, as by Geiger, Urschr. 365, as a later insertion; however is like by (comp. on xvii. 14), an elastic notion, Abimelech is generalizing, which as king he had a right to do. The question is similar to xviii. 23, but there it is and, adeo, here Β, ομως, Ew. § 354a: a nation which is nevertheless righteous. In היא והיא־נִם־הוא and the double-gendered הוא stand incorrectly together. בּחָם לְבבִי, in the innocence of my heart, is the usual expression, not בתם לבי. "Cleanness of hands," as in the phrase "to wash the hands in נקיץ," Ps. xxvi. 6, lxxiii. 13. Abimelech's exculpation admitted, vv. 6. 7: And God said to him in a dream: I also know that thou hast done this in the integrity of thine heart, and I also withheld thee from quilt towards me; therefore have I not suffered thee to touch her. And now give back the man's wife, for he is a prophet and will pray for thee, and thou shalt live; but if thou do not give her back, know that thou shalt die, thou, and all thine. On the form iono, see Ges. § 75, note 21c; and with the construction with 5, comp. e.g. Ps. li. 6. m with an accus. and > means either authorization, or as here and xxxi. 7, making possible, permitting. God commands the king under a

fresh threat at once to restore Abraham's wife, for he is a געיא. Such is the term applied to one who makes known, proclaims, speaks, viz. of God and Divine mysteries, xviii. 17-19, and not the authorized, the inspired, the God-counselled, or any other kind of passive meaning, but like פּלִיל ,נדִיב ,חַמִיד , the intensive of the part. act., as shown in Fleischer's excursus to the former edition of this commentary. The Assyrian, which presents for naba the general meaning to call, to name, to reckon, does not alter it. From the fact that Abraham as נביא is an acceptable petitioner, an interceding mediator, we see that according to the scriptural view the official characteristic of the prophet presupposes the general one of piety and personal association with God (Wisd. vii. 27; 2 Pet. i. 21 comp. iii. 2).1 imper. יחיה is not equivalent to חַחָּה, it declares, like Prov. iv. 4 and elsewhere, as well the means as the end intended, The God-fearing heathen monarch accepted the reproof of God, but not without taking Abraham to task, vv. 8-10: And Abimelech rose up early in the morning and called all his servants and told them all these things audibly; and the men were much afraid. And Abimelech called Abraham and said to him: What hast thou done unto us? and wherein have I been guilty against thee, that thou hast brought on me and on my kingdom a great guilt? Deeds which ought not to be done, hast thou done to me. And Abimelech said to Abraham: What sawest thou, that thou hast done this thing? To speak באוני of another means not confidential, but (comp. e.g. xxiii. 10) audible and unreserved communication. With 9b (what ought not to be done) comp. xxxiv. 7, and with מָה רָאִית, Ps. xxxvii. 37. God's prophet thus put to shame seeks to excuse himself, vv. 11-13: And Abraham said: Because I thought, Surely there is no fear of God in this place, and they will kill me for my wife's sake. And she is besides really my sister, the daughter of

[&]quot;Kuenen (Einl. § 13, note 20) thinks that the designation of Abraham as points to the century in which the prophets undertook the spiritual guidance of the people and were honoured as the confidents of the Deity, an inference on the ground of self-made history and devoid of internal necessity.

my father, but not the daughter of my mother, and she became my wife. And it came to pass, when Elohim led me forth from my father's house, that I said to her: This is thy favour which thou mayst show me; wherever we come, say of me: He is my brother. בי, 11a, gives the reason for the understood sentence: I did it, comp. xxvii. 20, xxxi. 31, Ex. i. 19, like the understood "thou shalt" at Ex. iii. 12. ? is restrictive, then, because what is simply thus and not otherwise is certainly the case, affirmative (as also at Num. xx. 19; Ps. xxxii. 6). By the statement of Abraham that Sarah is his half-sister (ομοπάτριος), what preceded at xi. 29, xii. 13, is incontestably completed. What he says too as to the time of his agreement with Sarah is easily reconcilable with xii. 11. Nor is it strange that he should speak of his wanderings according to outward appearance, reserving to himself their motive and purpose. too התעו אתי אלהים may be an accommodation to heathen modes of thought and speech, but Israelite piety does not elsewhere shun to speak of the one God in the plural, e.g. xxxv. 7; 2 Sam. vii. 23; Josh. xxiv. 19; Ps. lviii. 12; 1 Sam. stands for בכל־המקום, attracted by what follows (comp. with respect to the art., Ex. xx. 24). Abimelech's obedience and generosity, vv. 14, 15: And Abimelech took sheep and oxen and men-servants and maidservants and gave them to Abraham, and restored to him Sarah his wife. And Abimelech said: Behold, my land is open to thee; dwell where it seems good to thee. He also compensates Sarah, ver. 16: And to Sarah he said: Behold, I give a thousand shekels of silver to thy brother: behold, let this be to thee a covering of the eyes for all those with thee, and in the presence of all, then art thou righted. The thousand silver shekels (Ges. § 120, note 2) are not the money's worth of the presents given for appeasing Abraham, ver. 14, but a special present, the purpose of which referred personally to Sarah, delivered to Abraham himself. It is clear what is meant by בְּכוּת שֵׁינִים: a covering of the eyes, which

renders one blind to what has happened (comp. Job ix. 24). and makes it as though it had not happened (comp. xxxii. 21). The only question is whether it was Sarah or those around her whose eyes the present was to cover. Dillm. explains it with Hofmann (Schriftbeweis, 2nd edit. i. 233): let it be to thee a covering of the eyes for all who constitute thy surrounding, that they may no more see dishonour in thee, Then 12, as dat. commodi, would precede the dative of destination. לכל, which is improbable, and כסות עינים has indeed the meaning of a propitiatory present, and as such befits Sarah, on which account 555 cannot be equivalent to is, on the contrary, the dative of destination, and 525 the dative of relation: with relation to all or for all who are with thee. We translate further: and in the presence of all—then (1 apod., like xxii. 4, then he lifted up) art thou proved (Passive to הוֹכְיח, Job xiii. 15, xix, 5), i.e. to be one to whom a propitiation is due. According to the most obvious view, ונוֹכְחַת is equivalent to וְנוֹכְחַת, the Dagesh lene is however lacking, as indeed it would be also at xxx. 15. if ילְּקְחָת were there equivalent to יְלְקָחָת. The punctuators however always place Dagesh lene in such formations, e.g. pypu for אָטְעָשָּׁ, 1 Kings i. 11 and frequently, and distinguish the second pers. מַרָאת, xvi. 11, from the third pers. אַרָאָר, by the added Sheva (according to which Olsh. § 35b, must be They therefore took napin as a participle, but scarcely like Gesenius (Thes. p. 700, 592): and she was convicted (of her fault), since not shame, but the preservation of her honour is awarded to Sarah; but תוכחת stands elliptically for מוֹכַחַת אָתּן (comp. xxiv. 30; Hab. ii. 10; Ps. vii. 10, xxii. 29, lv. 20; Isa. xxix. 8, xl. 19), unless we prefer with Dill mann to point it מִנֹכְחָתְּן (comp. König, Lehrgeb. i. 423). By a truly royal extra present, Abimelech makes amends for the wrong done to Sarah, inasmuch as he thereby manifests a respectful acknowledgment of the marital relation against which he had unconsciously almost offended. Abraham

accepts the money, because it was meant in all seriousness His prayer is heard, ver. 17: And as an atonement. Abraham prayed to God, and Elohim healed Abimelech and his wife and his maid-servants, and they bare children. have here אַמָהוֹת instead of חִישְׁפָּהוֹ, the notion of service adhering more to אָמָה than to אָמָה, 1 Sam. xxv. 41—the n in this plural formation, for which the Arab. is amarat, is a compensation for an original 1. The Arabic diminutive umajja (little maid) gave a name to the dynasty of the Umajjades. We here first learn that Abimelech and the women of his house were visited with sickness, according to which seems to include Abimelech, and hence to be meant, as at Hos. ix. 16, of the power of procreation as well as of birth. Ver. 18 too may be understood of a hindrance to both conception and bringing forth. Ver. 18: For Jahveh had fast closed every womb of the house of Abimelech for the sake of Sarah, the wife of Abraham. The additional clause rightly originates from the fact, that the sickness and recovery of the women took place in the short period of time between the carrying off and the release of Sarah. Those who were pregnant had to lament the absence of travail pains, or their lack of result; the עצר (בעד) עצר comprises both, when as here it means incapacity of giving birth, Isa. lxvi. 9, and not as at xvi. 2, comp. xxix. 31, xxx. 22, incapacity of conception. It is here construed with בָּעָר, as in a like sense with סנר, is found in both E, ver. 11, and J, xii. 1 Sam. i. 6. 17. xliii. 18. Ver. 18 might in itself well be a free exegetical addition; but the diction gives it, like xxii. 15-18, the appearance of conformity to the source.

BIRTH OF ISAAC AND EXPULSION OF ISHMARL, CH. XXL 1-21.

This fourth portion of the third section of Abraham's life is divided into two parts, the first of which, xxi. 1-5, relates the birth of Isaac, the second, xxi. 9-21, the expulsion of

Ishmael from the parental house. Apart from the parenthesis, ver. 1, the first part, xxi. 1-5, is essentially from Q: it falls back upon ch. xvii, and forms one whole with it. The second part, xxi. 6-21, is, on the other hand, from E, in ver. 6, the counterpart to xviii. 12, and from J, in vv. 9-21, the counterpart to ch. xvi. The diction of this older Elohist nearly approaches the Jahvistico-Deuteronomic. Thus the likewise Jahvistic formula אַלְּבָּׁבֶּׁר בַּבֶּּלֶּךְ is here repeated, ver. 14, as at xx. 8; and אַלָּבְּּלָּה, vv. 11, 25, is not less Jahvistic, xxvi. 32. The noun אָלָבְּיָּה vv. 10, 12, 13, is moreover so very Deuteronomic, that אַלְּבָּרָּה occurs with it only once, xxviii. 68, in Deuteronomy.

The occurrence in Gerar, according to the order here preserved, took place in the year which had been fixed, xviii, 10, 14, to elapse until the birth of Isaac. Ver. 1 points back to this promise given in Mamre: And Jahveh visited Sarah as He had said, and Jahveh did unto Sarah as He had spoken. The structure of the verse is like ii, 5a, and its contents are, as it were, the obverse of xx. 18. have to give up the perception of the origin of these two verses; enough that they form a transition from an extract from E to one from Q, for in ver. 2 follows the text of Q: And Sarah conceived, and bare Abraham a son in his old age, at the appointed time which Elohim had said. Following ch. xvii. 19, 21, the reference back to xvii. 21 strikes one immediately. According to xxv. 7, Abraham attained the age of 175, hence at Isaac's birth he had still a long life before him, and yet he was in קנים (only found besides here, xxxvii. 3, xliv. 20), and was, looking backwards, well stricken in years. He gives to his new-born son the name prescribed, xvii. 14, ver. 3: And Abraham called the name of his son who was born to him, whom Sarah bare him, Isaac. impossible that הגולד, thus written with Pathach, should be a participle, it is 3 pers., the article standing for אישר, as at xviii. 21, xlvi. 27. The circumcision of Isaac as prescribed, xvii, 12, ver. 4: And Abraham circumcised his son Isaac when he was eight days old, as Elohim commanded him. Abraham's age at the time, ver. 5: And Abraham was one hundred years old when his son Isaac was born. This refers back to xvii. 17. The construction of the Passive with ne (here and ver. 8, comp. on iv. 18) is, in the Pentateuch, no indication of a source. The extract from E now begins with an historical statement of the motive for the name of Isaac, ver. 6: And Sarah said: Elohim has prepared laughter for me; every one who hears it will laugh at me. The Pentateuch always has pry, and never prize, for to laugh. As at xvii. 17 (comp. Ps. cxxvi. 2), it is the laughter of joyful surprise that is intended, but here not unmingled with some feeling of shame. In prov. as in Jer. xxii. 15, the union of the syllables is loosened, Ges. § 10, Sarah is in a state of solemn maternal rapture, note 2. hence her words have a poetic elevation and arrangement. As ver. 6 is a distich, ver. 7 is a tristich: She said also: Who would have said to Abraham: Sarah shall give children suck! For I have borne him a son in his old age. translates: Who will announce to Abraham: Sarah is giving children suck! and takes the words as a call to take the joyful news to the father. But then instead of we should expect יויד, and instead of היניקה rather מינקת, and instead of the more definite 12. In Num. xxiii, 10, Lam. iii. 37, also p, with a perfect following, means: who has done, i.e. ever ventured or been able to do. So here: Who has ever said to Abraham, for which we should say: Who would have said (and yet it is so); comp. on this use of the perfect in questions, xviii. 12, Num. xxiii. 10, Judg. ix. 9 sq., 2 Kings xx. 9 (where no means iveritne), Ps. xi. 3. Job xii. 9, Zech. iv. 10 (quis contemserit). Only with this meaning is the general plur. בָּיִם (comp. מַשַּ, xix. 29, as also Isa. xxxvii. 3, 1 Sam. xvii. 43) in place. The expression is brief, well turned and choice (20, a poetic Aramaism.

occurs in the Pentateuch only here). Festival at weaning, ver. 8: And the child grew, and was weaned: and Abraham prepared a great feast on the day of Isaac's weaning. took place in his second or third year, a child being, in the East, often nourished by its mother or wet-nurse till its third year (1 Sam. i. 23 sqq.; 2 Macc. vii. 27). To be weaned is called הַּנְמֵל, from נְמֵל, related to הַנְּמֵל; from the fundamental meaning "to fill, to complete," may be explained all the meanings: to perform == to do actually, to develop fully = to ripen, Niph. to be suckled to the end = to be The announcement, the birth, the weaning of the child—all furnish matter for varied and joyful laughter; means one who laughs, who has abundant joy. Lord (John viii, 56) expresses the deepest cause of this joy. Sarah the wife of the one, by becoming the mother of Isaac, became the mother of Israel, Isa. li. 1 sq., comp. Mal. ii. 15, Ezek. xxxiii. 24, and by becoming the mother of Israel, the ancestress, and thus indirectly the mother of the Messiah, who has flesh and blood from Isaac through Israel, and in whom Abraham became a blessing to all nations. at Verdun the birth and circumcision of Isaac and the birth and circumcision of Christ are correctly placed together on the altar; while above is the announcement of Isaac on the same line as the salutation of the angel. The ancient synagogal Haggadah, that Isaac was born on the night of the Passover, that night of redemption, also fits in to this historical chain. St. Paul, Gal. iv., equally regards what is further related, xxi. 9-21, as typical and allegorical history. Ishmael behaves insolently to his brother, ver. 9: And Sarah saw the son of Hagar the Egyptian, whom she bore to Abraham, mocking. The masoretically testified reading is prop, with a small Pathach, i.e. Segol in pause, comp. PMY, Ex. xxxii. 6; Deut. xxxii. 11, and the pausal transition of Ty into Ty. The word does not here mean innocent joking, but insolent rudeness (comp. xxxix. 14; Ezek. xxiii. 32, synon. יַלֵּץ, לָעָג.).

contemptuous attested in word and deed, which Isaac suffered from Ishmael, is regarded by the apostle as a prophecy of the persecution which the believing Church of Christ suffers from the bondmen of the law given in the desert of Sinai, and thus in the Hagarene land. Hofmann closely connects ver. 9 with 8: At the festival of Isaac's weaning, Ishmael, instead of sharing in the joy of the family, was mocking at the son of his father. Sarah's demand, ver. 10: And she said to Abraham: Cast out this bond-woman and her son; for the son of this bond-woman shall not be heir with my son, with Isaac. This request vexed Abraham, but God bade him comply with it, vv. 11-13: The thing appeared very displeasing to Abraham because of his son. But Elohim said to Abraham: Let it not be displeasing to thee because of the boy and because of thy bond-maid; in all that Sarah says to thee, hearken to her words; for through Isaac shall thy seed be named, And also the son of the bond-maid will I make a nation, because he is thy seed. Sarah's request, in which proud contempt was mingled with just displeasure, was very repugnant to Abraham. not indeed on account of Hagar, who was and continued nothing more to him than his wife's bond-maid, but on account of his son whom she had borne, and whom he loved as his own flesh and blood (אַל־אוֹדֹת), on account of the turns. conditions, circumstances; comp. احوال, from حال, to turn, an ancient "on account of" occurring outside the Pentateuch only Josh. xiv. 6, Judg. vi. 7, Jer. iii. 8, comp. the corrupt passage, 2 Sam. xiii. 16). God however requires of him the denial of his natural feeling, basing this denial on the promise פי ביצחק יפרא לד ורע, and making it easier by the promise that He would also make the son of the bond-maid the ancestor of a nation, even him (a retrospective pron. like xlvii. 21). because he is his seed. Three explanations of this èv I oadk κληθήσεταί σοι σπέρμα (Rom. ix. 7; Heb. xi. 18) are possible: after Isaac's name shall thy seed be called (v. Hofm., comp. Ges. § 154. 3a), or: in, through, from Isaac shall seed be

called into existence for thee (Drechsler), or: in Isaac, through him shall it happen, that a seed of Abraham is spoken of (Bleek), or more accurately: through him shall a seed be bestowed on thee, who shall bear thy name, and propagate the blessings connected with it in a direct line (Kn. Dillm.). Since with the first view we should have expected Dia, Isa. xliii. 7, xlviii. 1, and moreover the nation of the promise is only once, Amos vii. 9, called אָרָא, and since אָרָא has indeed the meaning "to call into existence," Isa. xli. 4, Rom. iv. 17, but never so without an addition, the third view must be preferred. In Isaac shall the nation, which is and is called the genuine seed of Abraham (Isa. xli. 8), have its point of Abraham understands this in a vision of the night, or a dream, for he acts in the morning according to the Divine direction, ver. 14: Then Abraham arose early in the morning, and took bread and a skin with water, and gave it to Hagar, laid it upon her neck, and the boy, and sent her away. And she went and wandered in the wilderness of Beërséba'. He obeyed the voice of God, much as his attachment to the child and his mother, and his compassion for both, strove against it. Ishmael having been at Isaac's birth, xvii. 25, thirteen years of age, must now have been fourteen, and yet Abraham puts him together with the bread and water upon Hagar's neck. So indeed according to the LXX., καὶ ἐπέθηκεν ἐπὶ τὸν ὦμον αὐτῆς τὸ παιδίον, which Dillm. looks upon as the original wording. But even supposing that E was not as aware of the age of Ishmael as Q was, why should he have looked upon him as a little child to be carried by his mother? why should אַת־הילֵד, governed by אַחָ, be a harmonistic correction? The state of the case is in reality similar to אַאַרבּנִימָץ, xliii, 15. Hagar no more took Ishmael astride upon her neck than his brothers took Benjamin in their hand like the money; by. like مُعْدِّلُ xviii. 14, is the perf. of the accessory action (Driver, is impf. Kal from תעה not חעש. From Hagar's wandering in the wilderness, afterwards called that of Beërsheba, we may infer that Abraham at that time resided in the Negeb. Nor does it follow from vv. 15, 16 that the narrator regarded Ishmael as a little child: And the water in the skin was spent, then she cast the child under one of the shrubs, and went and sat over against, about a bow-shot off; for she said: Let me not look upon the death of the child-therefore she sat over against and lifted up her voice and wept. The appellation (comp. iv. 23; 1 Kings xii. 8; Dan. i. 4; Eccles. iv. 13) leaves the age undecided. To cast is like Matt. xv. 30 (comp. to cast into prison, Jer. xxxviii. 6), to lay down hastily, here said of the sudden resolve of hopeless resignation. of water was spent, and Ishmael in a state of extreme exhaustion was unable to drag on any farther, and she laid him The branchy woody perennial desert down under a שַּׁיִּחַשׁ. plant which furnishes the usual fuel, and in the shade of which a scanty vegetation exists in the hot season, is still called Under such a shrub she laid him, that he might at least die in the shade, and sat down over against הרחק בממחו קשָׁת, at the distance of shootings of the bow (Gen. like Jer. iv. 29), i.e. according to the usual comparatio decurtata: as far as bow-shots are accustomed to carry, from מְחָה, original form מחם, Pilel מחם, like מחם, Ges. § 75, note 18. Maternal love was not able to look upon the death of the child (באה ב, said of compassionate beholding, as at xliv. 34, xxix. 32; Num. xi. 15), but at the same time could not lose sight of him. voice of comfort then resounded from heaven, vv. 17, 18: Then Elohim heard the voice of the boy, and the angel of Elohim called to Hagar from heaven, and said to her: What aileth thee, Hagar? fear not, for Elohim has heard the voice of the boy where he is. Arise, lift up the boy and hold him with firm hand; for I will make him a great nation. God heard (as the name ישמעאל signifies); He who had entered into covenant with Abraham, even the Angel of the covenant, proclaimed from heaven words of comfort and encouragement to the שָּׁם אָשֶׁר הוּא שָׁם, where (= בָּמִקּוֹם אֲשֶׁר הוּא שָׁם, 2 Sam. xv. 21) mother.

he now is (in so helpless a state). With החויקי is here placed אחריוף, which elsewhere has to be supplied, ex quo manifestum est, as Jerome remarks, eum qui tenetur non oneri matri fuisse, sed comitem. The immediate help, ver. 19: Then Elohim opened her eyes, and she saw a spring of water, and went and filled the skin with water and gave the boy drink. where (as at xxvi. 15) הַאֵר means a well dug by human hands, here a spring that might be seen, Assyr. bêru (differing from בּוֹר=בּוֹר, cistern, i.e. a receptacle for rain water, Assyr. bûru), as at xiv. 10, with מְּמֶר, the bitumen spring. A spring from which water was flowing appeared before her eyes, which had become enlightened, and with it she refreshed the exhausted boy. How it afterwards fared with Ishmael, vv. 20, 21: And Elohim was with the boy, and he grew up, and dwelt in the wilderness and became an archer. And he dwelt in the wilderness of Pharan, and his mother took him a wife out of the land of Egypt. Entrance into adolescence is meant by תוביל. sentence concerning the vocation may be translated: growing up, he became an archer; רְבָה from רָבָה, to increase = to grow, comp. on Prov. xxviii. 28; Arab. , to grow up (whence رب, according to the spirit of the Arabic: educator, guardian, In the Mishnic too לבה means the youth (plur. הבים), according to which R. Chananel and other ancient expositors (see Abulwalid's Lexicon) and the Targ. translate as רֹבֵה juvenis sagittarius. But it is better to take הביא קשרא the more general word, which is more particularly explained by nep, a caster (shooter), viz. an archer, a permutative combination as at xiii. 8; 1 Kings i. 2, v. 29; Ges. § 113. LXX. too took רבה in the sense of רבב, to shoot (like xlix. 23; Ps. xviii. 15; Job xvi. 13), translating the two words together דימה and hence read רֹבֶה מְשֵׁת in the same sense as רֹמָה מִשׁח, according to which Onkelos also translates (as Gr. Ven.

¹ See my article on the song of the well, Num. xxi. 17 sqq., in Luthardt's Zeitschr. 1882, pp. 449-451.

does, βάλλων τόξω), and for which Hitzig on Jer. iv. 29, Hupf. on Ps. lxxviii. 9, Kn. Olsh. Dillm. decide. קובר פָּאָרָן is the name of the entire desert plateau, bounded on the west by 'Gebel Helâl and 'Gelek, on the east by the Edom country, on the north by the southern mountains of Judæa, on the south by el-Tih proper, which here as a whole extending far and wide is opposed to the מִרְבֵּר בְּאֵר שָׁבֵע. Hagar, herself an Egyptian, representing herein the father (xxxiv. 4, xxxviii. 6), took for her son a wife from Egypt.

TREATY BETWEEN ABRAHAM AND ABIMELECH, CH. XXL 22-34.

The fifth part of the third section of the life of Abraham (xxi. 22-34) relates the solemn conclusion of a treaty between Abimelech and Abraham. The narrator is E, the same who related Sarah's preservation in Gerar, and the expulsion of Ishmael and his mother; the scene is everywhere the south country, with the neighbouring Gerar and the great wilderness opening somewhat farther southwards. The diction of the narrator here too has points of contact with J, it contains specially classical expressions. The conclusion of the covenant (denoted by כרת ברית, only used by J and E, never by Q) is represented with the same archæological preciseness as the history of the redemption by the Goel in ch. iv. of the book Only at the end does R complete and frame the narrative of E by an extract from J. The desire and proposal of Abimelech, vv. 22, 23: And it came to pass at that time, that Abimelech spake, and Phicol, the captain of his host, to Abraham thus: Elohim is with thee in all that thou doest. Now then swear unto me by Elohim, on the spot, that thou wilt not be faithless to me, nor to my offspring and posterity, that the same kindness that I have shown thee, thou wilt show to me and to the country in which thou sojournest as a guest. A friendly relation, introduced by Abimelech, already exists; the question is concerning its establishment for all future

Phicol accompanies Abimelech, to be present as a The LXX. adds, from the Jahvistic counterpart (xxvi. 26), the name of ning. The appellations of the king and his official are Canaanite, as are also the Philistine names of the cuneiform inscriptions. ווה, locative of the demonstrative תובד are נין נובד are יין נובד a pair of words alliterating like an acrostic, found elsewhere only Job xviii. 19; Isa. xiv. 22. Abraham consents, ver. 24: Then Abraham said: I swear. אַלֶּבֶּע added to with the original i instead of e, like prov, Judg. xvi. 26, together with REER. xx. 38) is as emphatic an expression as 2 Kings vi. 2; Prov. xxiv. 32. He swears, yet not without a "but," ver. 25: And Abraham reproved Abimelech on account of the well of water, which the servants of Abimelech had taken away. The article points to some definite well, for an indefinite one would have been called בָּאֵר מָיִם (xxi. 19). The king declares that he has had no part in this unjust appropriation of Abraham's property, ver. 26: Then Abimelech said: I know not who has done this, and neither hast thou told it to me, nor have I heard it except to-day. The perf, והוכח, 25a, relates in a preparatory manner to this declaration of Abimelech (in which the correlatives, neque . . . neque, are as explicit as e.g. at Num. xxiii. 25). This was satisfactory, ver. 27: And Abraham took sheep and oxen and gave them to Abimelech, and they both made a covenant. Abraham however causes the acknowledgment of his property in the well, which had been disputed, to be confirmed by a special formality, which forms, as it were, an additional article of the covenant. formality is symbolical and needs explanation, vv. 28-30: And Abraham placed seven lambs of the flock apart. Abimelech said to Abraham: What mean the seven lambs which thou hast set apart? He said: Because thou shalt take the seven lambs from my hand, that it may be a witness for me that I have digged this well. "Seven lambs of the flock"—this is one of the cases where, as at 2 Sam. xii. 30, Ps. cxiii. 9, VOL IL

comp. on Cant. i. 11b, the article is connected with the gen. In the question: what are (i.e. mean), etc., is not an adv. of locality as at 23a, but like and (Zech. i. 9), an expression of the copula (Ew. § 297b). The לְבָדֶנָה, interchanging with לְבִּיְּהָן, is an emphatic form, like בָּלֶנָה, xlii. 36; Prov. xxxi. 29 = לכהן בפלחנה, comp. לכהן 1 Kings vii. 37. On the absence of the article in אַר־שֶׁבֶע בָּבָשׁת, see Ges. § 117, note 2. The testimony given by Abimelech by his acceptance of the seven lambs is like an oath, for seven is the number of God as manifesting Himself; and to swear ישׁבּע is the same as to seven oneself, i.e. to submit the truth of a statement to the Divine inspection. Hence seven things, as e.g. among the Arabs, seven stones smeared with the blood of the covenantmakers, and lying between them (Herod. iii. 8), are therefore in treaties the symbolical instruments of sanction in the name of God, or take the place of an oath for confirmation. Generally speaking, a gift, which one of the contracting parties accepts from the other, makes the contract the more binding. So in Homer, Il. xix. 243-246, where Agamemnon, after swearing reconciliation with Achilles, sends also seven three-footed kettles and seven women to Briseis; and similarly also Gen. xxxiii. 8-15. The name given to the place on account of the occurrence, ver. 31: Therefore the place was called Beer-'Seba', for there they both swore. xvi. 14, has the most general subject. The name means the seven-well, or, what is indirectly the same, the well of the oath. After a similar covenant between Isaac and Abimelech, the servants of Isaac find a well, which they call יְשֶׁבְעָה, and from it the name of the city is said to have been also called באר שבע (xxvi. 32 sq.). Robinson actually found there not one but two deep wells of clear, excellent water, still called i. 337-341), which means, in Arabic custom of language, either the lion's well or also the well of impreca-

tion, for اللَّغنة is a synonym of اللَّغنة "the curse" (DMZ.

xxii. 177). The extra שָׁבֶּע (Josh. xix. 2) has perhaps a similar relation to פובר as בארישבע בע בעים, has to שבם (Neapolis), and is thus the locality of Isaac's well, named as the annex of Beersheba, as Sychar is of Jacob's well. clusion of the narrative, vv. 32-34: And they made a covenant in Beer-'Séba'; and Abimelech and Phicol, the captain of his host, rose up and returned to the land of the Philistines. he planted a tamarisk tree in Beër- Séba', and there called upon the name of Jahveh the eternal God. And Abraham sojourned a long time in the land of the Philistines. Matter not appertaining to the narrative of E is here blended with it. According to J it is assumed, ver. 34 (xxvi. 1, 26), that Gerar was in Philistia and Beersheba, beyond the Philistine district. Both the treaties were without effect upon subsequent history. We nowhere find a trace that the Philistine nation remembered them, and Israel was directed to expel the Philistines from the land of promise,—a direction indeed which they did not carry into effect. But what is related, ver. 33 and xxvi. 25, from J made Beersheba, for all future time, a place of sacred remembrance which false worship turned to profit (Amos v. 5, viii. 14). Abraham there planted אֵישׁל (as the Tamarix orientalis, abundant in Egypt, Petræa and Palestine, is called), comp. those in Gibeah, 1 Sam. xxii. 6, and Jabesh, 1 Sam. xxxi. 13. The statement that he there called upon and proclaimed the name of Jahveh belongs to the series, iv. 26, xii. 8, xiii. 4, xxi. 33, xxvi. 25; comp. viii. 20, xii. 7, xiii. 18, xxxiii. 20, xxxv. 7. The additional name לעולם developes what the name mer declares, which hence designates, not Him who brings into existence, but the existing One, or Him to whom absolute Jahveh as such is אל עולם, who in His existence belongs. power is always equal to Himself. Such He proved Himself to Abraham, ever and again meeting his weakness by His own faithfulness. Hence Abraham dedicates to Him a tamarisk. Its durable wood and evergeen foliage is a symbol of His eternity.1

¹ Trumbull in his Blod Covenant (New York 1885) takes this tamarisk, as

But hardly had the countenance of the Eternal been thus favourable to the patriarch than it was again overcast with clouds, and this time of the very darkest. For it seemed as though he were to lose the son of promise who, as ver. 34 gives us to understand by way of transition, had grown up in Philistia.

THE SACRIFICE UPON MORIAH, CH. XXII. 1-19.

This first portion of the fourth section of the life of Abraham corresponds with those of the call, of the covenant sacrifice, of the institution of circumcision, which open the three preceding sections. The father of the faithful is now perfected. The obedience of faith drew Abraham into a strange land; by the humility of faith he gave way to his nephew Lot; strong in faith, he fought four kings of the heathen with three hundred and eighteen men; firm in faith, he rested in the word of promise, notwithstanding all the opposition of reason and nature; bold in faith, he entreated the preservation of Sodom under increasingly lowered conditions; joyful in faith, he received, named and circumcised the son of promise; with the loyalty of faith he submitted at the bidding of God to the will of Sarah and expelled Hagar and Ishmael; and with the gratitude of faith he planted a tamarisk to the ever faithful God in the place where Abimelech had sued for his friendship and accepted his present,-now his faith was to be put to the severest test to prove itself victorious, and to be rewarded accordingly. Analysis leads to the incontestable results, that the narrative as to the warp of its fabric is from E with insertions from J, but that it was not Jwho worked up the account of E, but R who completed it from J, especially by taking from J the second angelic voice (vv. 15-18), the naming of the place with its explanation also the terebinths of Mamre, as covenant trees, and, starting from the assumption that the fundamental rite of ancient covenanting (ברת ברית) consisted in a mutual mingling of blood, thinks besides that they were smeared

with the blood of the covenant.

(ver. 14), and calling the angel of God (who could not well be called at one time מלאך אלהים and at another מלאך יהודי), both at vv. 11 and 15, מלאך יהודי It cannot however be maintained that the goal of the journey was not already called אַרָּיָה in E, especially as it is not necessary to regard Moriah as containing the Divine name היי. Not only does the Divine name מסומים point to E as the original narrator, but also the mode of statement (מֹיִנְיִם after a Divine revelation by night, xxii. 1-3, comp. xxii. 12-14; the voice of the angel from heaven, xxii. 11, comp. xxii. 17; the ram seen upon looking up, xxii. 13, comp. xxii. 19) and also the mode of expression in nowise to be verified in Q, but in many instances found elsewhere in E (e.g. the local מֹיִנְ xxii. 5, xxxi. 37) or akin to J (comp. xxii. 12, with xxxix. 6, 9, 23).

The narrative begins with the same acolouthic formula as xv. 1: It came to pass after these events, God, testing Abraham, said unto him: Abraham! And he said: Behold, here I am. The sentence והאלהים נפה is not an apodosis proper, but a statement of the circumstances of the apodosis which follows with לאמד (comp. without , xl. 1). Abraham had in the midst of his Canaanite surrounding the practice of sacrificing children before his eyes. He saw how the heathen surrendered their dearest to appease the deity and render him propitious. Hence the question might easily arise within: Wouldst thou be able to do the like to please thy God? Justice is done to the words "God tested him" when we thus psychologically account for the testing becoming a temptation. The temptation had its origin in him, and it became a test when God received it into His plan and gave it a pre-descried goal. God desired thus to try him that he might stand the test. calls Abraham by name, who answers with willing attention, Now follows the hard demand, ver. 2: He said: Take thy son, thine only one whom thou lovest, Isaac, and go to the land of Moriah and offer him there as a burnt-offering upon one of the mountains that I will tell thee. The obj. is made prominent by a threefold The. Isaac is called his only son not as the only one after the expulsion of Ishmael, but as the only one of his one proper marriage (Prov. iv. 3, Cant. vi. 9). LXX. τὸν ἀγαπητόν (ί.ε. ידידן), but this is stated by אָשֶׁראָהְבָּהְ, whom thou lovest as the long desired, the gift of God, endowed with the glorious promises of God. Of the inward conflict, which this command called forth in Abraham, we read not a word. He fought it out to victory, he remained firm in faith, of which Luther says: fides conciliat contraria nec est otiosa qualitas, sed virtus ejus est mortem occidere, infernum damnare, esse peccato peccatum, diabolo diabolum, adeo ut mors non sit mors, etiamsi omnium sensus testetur adesse mortem. The "Land of Moriah" occurs only here, but "Mount Moriah" (הַר הַפּוֹרְיַה) is, as the testimony of 2 Chron. iii. 1 confirmed upon internal grounds says, the height upon which was the threshing-floor of Ornan, the subsequent temple mount.1 Prepared for the worst, Abraham starts with Isaac on the morning after this revelation at night, ver. 3. Then Abraham arose early in the morning, and saddled his ass and took his two young men with him and Isaac his son, and clave wood for the burnt-offering and arose and went to the place that God had told him. By the two שרים whom he took with him are said, by the Targ. Jer. Pirke de-Rabbi Eliczer, ch. 31, and by the Midrash in general, to be meant Ishmael and Eliezer; but we are not justified in assuming Ishmael's return to his father's house after ch. xxi., without such express testimony as xxv. 9, and Eliezer's age (comp. xxiv. 2 with xv. 2) and Ishmael's position in the family would prevent either of them being called ... distance from Beersheba to Jerusalem by way of Hebron amounts to about 38 miles, and still when the traveller arrives on the third day at Mar Elias he is all at once sur-

¹ Kuenen (Einl. § 13, note 29) thinks, with Wellh. and Dillm., that JE (who worked up the two into a whole) put Moriah in the place of another Ephraimite local name for the sake of transposing Abraham's act of faith to Jerusalem; but to what purpose is this roundabout way, why not rather suppose that the chronicler erroneously indicated the name Moriah?

prised by the sight of the temple-mount; hence it is with topographical fidelity that we are further told, vv. 4, 5: On the third day Abraham lifted up his eyes, and saw the place afar off. Then Abraham said to his young men: Stay here with the ass, and I and the lad will go yonder and worship and return to you. Worship—he is certainly going to perform in a devout, submissive frame of mind an act of worship to God; return -so say in him both nature and faith, but with very different meanings, ver. 6: Then Abraham took the wood for the burntoffering, and laid it on Isaac his son, and took in his hand the fire and the knife, and they went both together. hardest path that ever father went with his child, Isaac at last breaks the long silence, vv. 7, 8: Then Isaac spake to Abraham his father, and said: My father! and he said: Here am I, my And he said: Behold the fire and the wood; but where is the lamb for the burnt-offering? Abraham said; Elohim will provide Himself the lamb for the burnt-offering, and they went both together. Isaac, by way of gradually venturing upon a question, says: אָבִי. To this now heartrending word Abraham replies: הנגי בני. After the deeply stirred father had uttered this word of affection, Isaac further asks about the lamb for the sacrifice. This question agitates his paternal heart to its inmost depth; but master through faith of even the strongest emotions of nature, he finds the right answer, an answer inspired by forbearing love and foreboding hope: God will provide Himself the sacrificial lamb (אָפָה like אָפָה, Job xv. 22), and they went both together—the third stage of the journey, upon which each step was a fresh martyrdom for Abraham, and required a fresh victory. The simply yet deeply-felt and touching delineation recalls the last journey of Elijah and Elisha, 2 Kings ii, 1-8. Arrival at the mountain, vv. 9, 10: And they came to the place which God had told him, and Abraham built there the altar, and laid the wood in order, and bound Isaac his son, and laid him on the altar upon the wood. And Abraham stretched out his hand, and took the knife to slay

his son. The narrative accompanies Abraham's victoriously advancing act of obedient faith step by step to the climax of the fatal moment. Isaac, whose fundamental characteristic is quiet endurance, lies without resistance like a lamb upon the pile of wood, and Abraham has already raised the knife for the deadly stroke. Then suddenly the angel of Jahveh lights up the thick darkness that has gathered over the enigma of this history, vv. 11, 12: Then the angel of Jahveh called to him from heaven, and said: Abraham, Abraham! And he said. Here am I. And he said: Stretch not out thy hand against the lad, and do nothing to him; for now I know that thou fearest Elohim, and hast not withheld thy son, thy only one, from me. Isaac, after Abraham had not spared him (307), to keep back = φείδεσθαι, Rom. viii. 32), was as good as already sacrificed. Abraham is proved to be one who fears God above all things, and obeys Him absolutely (Jas. ii. 21-23, comp. Heb. xi. 17-19). The animal provided by God for sacrifice, ver. 13: And Abraham lifted up his eyes, and saw, and behold, a ram in the rear had entangled itself in the thicket with its horns; then Abraham went and took the ram and offered him as a burnt-offering in the place of his son. Ganneau tries to make the ram into a stag but it is not Isaac but Jephthah's daughter who resembles Iphigenia, of whom a stag takes the place. The reading איל אחר, הסוס פוֹג, הסוס פוֹג (LXX. Samar. Syr. Targums, Book of Jubilees, Gr. Ven.), preferred by Olshausen and Ewald, tells nothing, while the local אחד (here as at Ps. lxviii. 26, an adverb, and of like meaning as when used as a preposition, Ex. iii. 1) states why the animal had hitherto remained unperceived. The MSS vacillate between the finite אמון and the part. און; the noun sentence is more graphic. They also vacillate between ago or (which better suits the plur. בַּמְּבַךְּ (מְבָבֵי Naming of the memorable place, ver. 14: Then Abraham called the name of that place Jahveh sees, so that it is said to this day: Upon the mountain Jahveh is seen, not as it is accented, upon the mountain of

Jahveh (with the genitive attraction of the subject, as at v. 1b) there is He seen (a kind of elliptical relative sentence scarcely to be authenticated). "Jahveh sees" is meant like xvi. 13 and like "Jahveh hears" in ישמעאל (xxi. 17): He sees to it, interposing in extreme necessity. But יֵצֶה cannot be the passive of ran in this meaning, for the Niph. in the sense of provideri is unauthenticated, and when in the course of the history this mountain is spoken of, always means either the appearing (self-manifestation) of God or the appearing of men before Him. Nevertheless "", "so that" (as at xiii. 16, comp. x. 9), presupposes an internal connection of the words customary to this day (which besides form only a fragment of a sentence; comp. x. 9; Num. xxi. 14 sq.) with the saying of Abraham. Nor is this connection difficult to discover; the אות of Jahveh coincided in the case of Abraham as in that of Hagar, xvi. 13, xxi. 17, with הראווה: He saw to it by taking upon Himself to see, i.e. to interpose. This ver. 14 sounds like a voice from very ancient times, and not as if the word מֹרָיה were to be explained by it, which moreover cannot be explained from קראה אה, something given to see (Ex. xxv. 40) = appearing of Jah, without phonetic difficulty; we expect מָרְאִיָה (comp. יָרְאִיָּה) and the article הַּמֹרְיָה, which also the chronicler, 2 Chron. iii. 1, still maintains is strange (for the case is different in Ps. cxviii. 5); the word seems rather to rank with מַאַפּלְיָה, 1 Chron. iv. 18, than with מַאָפּלְיָה, Jer. ii. 31. In any case ver. 14 does not read as if the naming, ver. 2, could be regarded as conscious anticipation. Nor do any of the ancient translators express the Divine name in מריה, not even Symmachus, who translates της ὀπτασίας; the Jewish Targums translate ארעא דפולחנא, Land of worship, the Samaritan Targum ארע חויחה, and the Samar. Arab.: the chosen land. Differently again, and not worth mentioning, the LXX. and Syriac.

The narrative apparently terminates with ver. 14. Whatever may be the case with this ver. 14, it is evident why it seems to stand more appropriately here (nearer to vv. 8 and 13) than after the repeated promise which now follows, vv. 15-18: And the angel of Jahveh called to Abraham a second time from heaven, and said: By myself have I sworn, a saying of Jahveh, that because thou hast done this and not withheld thy son, thine only one—that I will bless, yea bless thee, and increase, yea increase thy posterity like the stars of heaven, and like the sand which is on the sea-shore; and thy seed shall take possession of the gate of their enemies: And in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth be blessed, because thou hast obeyed my voice. Not an addition by R, but from J (comp. xii. 1-3, xxiv. 60, also on עקב אשר, xxvi. 5)-a point of unprecedented lustre in the Old Testament, for Jahveh here swears what He promises, as He does nowhere else in His intercourse with the patriarchs (comp. the passages referring to it, xxiv. 7, Ex. xxxii. 13, Luke i. 73, Acts vii. 17) and for the first time in the sacred history; for His promise that there should no more be so universal a deluge is indeed like an oath in value, Isa. liv. 9, but is not one in words. swears by Himself, because He can swear by no greater, Heb. vi. 13, engages Himself by means of His own Person (2 used in swearing of the means of corroboration). The exalted יאס־ה', unusual as introducing Divine declarations in the primitive history, is the subsequent formula of attestation in prophecy (in the Pentateuch it occurs again only Num. xiv. 28, not even Deut. xxxii.). The resumption too of '? (that) at ver. 17 is very emphatic. Thus the form as well as the contents is exuberant, for the victor of Moriah is higher than the victor Abraham conquered himself and offered up Isaac. He won him back as ancestor of an innumerable world, subduing people, possessing the gate of their enemies, and a seed blessed to be a blessing to all nations. Thus gloriously recompensed does the patriarch depart, ver. 19: And Abraham returned to his young men, and they arose and went together to Beer- Seba'.

The change of the Divine name is occasioned by the account being composed from E and J, and is in its present

state (which it has not attained without the interposition of R in ver. 11) significant. The God who commands Abraham to sacrifice Isaac is called אלהים, and the Divine appearance, which forbids the sacrifice, מלאך יהוח. He who requires from Abraham the surrender of Isaac is God the Creator, who has power over life and death, and hence power also to take back what He has given; but it is Jahveh in His angel who forbids the fulfilment of the extreme act, for the son of promise cannot perish without the promise, and therewith God's truthfulness and His counsel of salvation also coming to In fact, the God who requires Abraham to sacrifice his only son after the manner of the Canaanites (2 Kings iii. 27; Jer. xix. 5), is only apparently the true God. demand was indeed only made to prove that Abraham was not behind the heathen in the self-denying surrender of his dearest to his God, and that when the demand had been complied with in spirit, the external fulfilment might be rejected. Schelling exaggerates the contrast when he thinks that the same evil principle, which misled other nations to human sacrifices, is here called אלהים. The Thorah knows of human sacrifice, and indeed of the sacrifice of a man's own children (sons or daughters, and especially the first-born), only as an abomination of Moloch-worship (Lev. xviii. 21, xx. 1-5; comp. Baudissin's Jahveh et Moloch, 1874, and Schlottmann's article, "Moloch," in Rhiem). Jephthah's vow was like that of Idomeneus on his return from Troy, heathen, Israelite and Canaanite popular notions coinciding at that period. true Israel possessed in the transaction with Abraham an ever valid Divine protest against human sacrifice, and abhorred it. The ram in the thicket, which Abraham offered in the place of Isaac, is the prototype of animal sacrifice, which is here sanctioned upon the same mountain on which, during the entire Old Testament period, the typical blood of animal sacrifice was to be shed, while in the times of apostasy the abomination of human sacrifice, branded by the prophets, was

continued in the valley of Benê-Hinnom below. The prototype is however at the same time a type: quis illo (ariete) figurabatur—asks Augustine (Civ. xvi. 32)—nisi Christus Jesus, antequam immolaretur, spinis Judaicis coronatus? Isaac was only offered up $\partial \nu \pi a \rho a \beta o \lambda \hat{\eta}$ (Heb. xi. 17-19), is preeminently the abiding parable of the son of Abraham and Son of God, who bore His cross of wood and was really sacrificed thereon, Christi in victimam concessi a patre, lignum passionis suæ bajulantis (Tertullian, adv. Judæos, c. 10). Isaac carried the wood, says also the Midrash (Pesikta rabbathi, 54a), like a man who takes up his cross (צלוב). The love of Abraham, loving God above all else and depriving himself of what was dearest for Him, serves the Church as a figure of the superabundant love of God, who spared not His only-begotten Son, but, Rom. viii. 32, so loved the world that He gave Him up to death, John iii. 16. Hence ancient ecclesiastical art took delight in representing the sacrifice of Isaac especially upon sarcophagi. Quis picturam Abrahæ cernens et gladium pueri cervicibus imminentem-asks Gregory the Great in a letter to the Emperor Leo the Isaurian—non compungitur et collacrimatur?

THE NEWS OF NAHOR'S FAMILY, CH. XXII. 20-24.

The special object of the second portion of the fourth section of Abraham's life, xxii. 20 sqq., is Rebecca; she is therein as "the rose among thorns." For it contains intelligence concerning the progeny of Nahor, his brother, which in the difficulties of intercourse then existing arrived thus opportunely. It is J who, in the genealogy of the Cainites, and in that part of the ethnographical table which is to be referred to him, uses to of the father; the which is too of vv. 20 and 24 is like iv. 4, 22, 26, x. 21; and though the derivation of yru and arra here is not necessarily in opposition to x. 22 sq., yet it is more probable that intelligence which sounds so differently should be from a different than from the

same hand. Hence Budde (pp. 220-226) will be right when he says that it is J, who here follows up the history of the temptation related by him, by what prepares for the history of Isaac's marriage which he is about to relate.

A connecting verse, ver. 20: And it came to pass after these occurrences that it was told to Abraham thus: Behold Milcah, she also has borne sons to thy brother Nahor. Eight sons of Nahor, the brother of Abraham, by Milcah, are now enumerated and finally summed up with 'שַלָּה אָלָה וֹשׁ for הַאָלָה for הַאָלָה for הַאָלָה. as fixed as of Judg. vi. 14, comp. Josh. ix. 13). 1. ray, the first-born, who, according to x. 23 (which see), was the son of Aram and, according to xxxvi. 28, the grandson of Seir the Horite. Combining thus, we must distinguish within the old Aramæan my a younger Nahorite branch, and perhaps also a Seirite ingredient. 2. ก... In the book of Job a fourth opponent appears in the person of Elihu the Buzite (xxxii. 1). Jeremiah seems, xxv. 23, to reckon the Buzites among the shorn Arabic wandering tribes; and the Asarhaddon-Prisms mention, after the section treating of Arabia, a land Bazu and a land Hazt, coinciding in sound with the in here named, 22a (Paradies, p. 306 sq.). 3. קמואל אַבִּי אַרָם, i.e. certainly: the ancestor of a younger branch of the Aramæan people, x. 22. 4. وعام, by no means the ancestor of the ancient Chaldeans, after whom אור בשורם is named, xi. 28, but of a Nahorite tribe 5. in, the cuneiform Hazû, perhaps mingled with them. Χαζήνη, according to Arrian in Steph. Byz., a satrapy on the Euphrates in Mesopotamia. In Strabo, xvi. 736, a satrapy of Assyria between Kalachene and Adiabene bears this name; perhaps these two Χαζήνη are one and the same. 6. Είνη. As a masculine name, פלדשן is Nabatæan, DMZ. xiv. 440. 7. דְּרִאָּל, 8. אָרָאָד, which has always been a personal, and not a tribal or a local name. This Bethuel, called besides, as well as Laban, רָבָקה in E and Q, begat רָבָקה, the future wife of the son of promise. To these eight sons of Nahor, four more are added, ver. 24: And his concubine, and her name

was Reamah, she also bure . . . The i of my is not that of the apodosis: and his concubine, whose name was Reûmah (which cannot be proved as syntactically possible from Ps. cxv. 7; Prov. xxiii. 24), but the relation is as follows: As to his concubine (xxiv. 29) of the name of Reûmah, she also bare, Ges. § 129, note 1. The children of Nahor by Reûmah: 1, חַבֶּה. Places according in sound with this name, and geographically appropriate, are חַבְּחַת, one of the cities of Hadadezer, 1 Chron. xviii. 8 (for which 2 Sam. viii, 8, הַמַם), and Thæbata in north-western Mesopotamia, in Plin. vi. 30, compared by Kn., also $\Theta \in \beta \eta \theta \acute{a}$, according to Arrian in Steph. Byz.; but according to Tab. Peut. xi., south of Nisibis. 2. Dry. 3. En. mentions 'Atapyás, north-west of Nisibis, in Procopius, de ædif. ii. 4, but as not quite geographically appro-The name means the sea-dog (phoca), in Assyr. the wether (see Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg. 77). 4. מעכה, the ancestor of ארם פעכה, 1 Chron. xix. 6, of an Aramæan tribe settled πλησίον δρους 'Αερμών, Euseb. and Jerome in the Onomasticon אבל בית הפעבה (2 Sam. xx. 15 and frequently under Μαγαθί without an article), i.e. Abel in Beth-Ma'acha is Abil, a little to the south-west of Banias. There are together twelve sons of Nahor, and their relative numbers are the same as in the case of the twelve sons of Jacob: eight by the wife Milcah, as in Jacob's eight by Leah and Rachel; four by the concubine Reûmah, as in Jacob's four by Bilhah and Zilpah. Another parallel to the twelve sons of Jacob are the twelve of Ishmael. find at once an artificial schematism in such circumstances would be rashness; accidental coincidences are often curious. and history itself brings much surprising schematism to pass.

DEATH OF SARAH, AND PURCHASE OF THE CAVE OF MACHPELAH, CH. XXIIL

From this point onwards there follow only the last experiences, testamentary dispositions and arrangements of

Abraham, and first in the third part of the section, the account, ch. xxiii., of Sarah's death, and of the acquisition of a family grave in the cave of Machpelah. Q. who delights in formulas and schemes, who is fond of an almost strophic arrangement, even when the matter is not of a nature to be tabulated, and who, in order to inculcate firmly what he testifies, does not shun tautological repetitions, is immediately recognisable as the narrator. Here in ch. xxiii. he works up matter especially adapted to his style of historical composition, not only with legal accuracy, but at the same time with such vivid directness, that we are transposed into the life of the period with its forms of courtesy and mode of dealing. It is to him that we are indebted for this authentic narrative concerning the acquisition of the cave of Machpelah (comp. his intentional references thereto, xxv. 9 sq., xlix. 29-32, l. 13), which is characteristic of his mode of statement, not only by the use of certain favourite words (such as מְקנָה מָקנָה, מְקנָה) and turns (such as the distributive , ver. 10, and 3, ver. 18), but also by a peculiar kind of historiographic art, which knows how to produce great pictures and impressions with the simplest means.

The portion is divided into two parts. The first two verses relate the death of Sarah and the mourning of Abraham, vv. 1, 2: And the life of Sarah amounted to a hundred and twenty-seven years—the years of the life of Sarah. And Sarah died in Kirjath Arba', which is Hebron, in the land of Canaan, and Abraham came to mourn for Sarah and to weep for her. As Sarah was ninety (xvii. 17) at the birth of Isaac, he must have been thirty-seven when his mother died (comp. xxv. 20), so that at least twenty years elapsed between the occurrence on Moriah and the death of Sarah. Hence we cannot be surprised to find Abraham, whom we left, xxii. 19, in Beersheba, again in Hebron. Hebron lay to the north-east of Beersheba, about two-thirds of the distance thence to Jerusalem. The narrator first calls the town קרות ארבע, and then explains this by הַברוֹן, just as at xxxv. 27; while, on the other hand, it is found without the older name at xiii. 18, xxxvii. 11. The name Kirjath-arba' is the Arba', according to Josh. xiv. 15, xv. 13, more ancient. xxi. 11 comp. Judg. i. 10, was the name of a ruler of the ancient city who belonged to the primitive gigantic population. The city was, according to Num. xiii. 22, built seven years before Zoan (Tanis) in Egypt. The name might also mean the four-town, i.e. the town of four quarters, which to this day would be a suitable one (see Furrer's art. "Hebron," in the Bibellexicon); and when it is called, xxv. 27, קרות הארבע, this meaning seems really to be combined with it. Since Caleb, in order to get possession of it, had to drive out this race of Anakim (Josh. xiv. 12 sqq.), while in Abraham's time these anything but barbarous Hethites, who, with other Phenician tribes dwelt in a wider circuit upon the mountains of Judah, were lords of the city,1 it must have often changed both masters and names. Sarah died here in Hebron, and Abraham went into the inner part of the tent, to the corpse of his wife, to mourn for her (Lat. plangere aliquem, Heb. with 5 of him to whom the planetus or θρηνος applies, once לְּפָנֵי, 2 Sam. iii. 31: before the dead, when carried to the grave) and to weep for her לְּבְּכֹּתָּה, with small dageshed 3, as also the 5, Ps. xl. 15, and generally the aspirate after 5 are mostly dageshed, but with exceptions such as

¹ It need not be brought to bear against credibility of the Hethites of Hebron, that Q is the most recent of the Pentateuchal sources, for in the Jehovistic history also (JE) 'nini is everywhere an element of the population of the Holy Land, whether ten nations (xv. 19-21) or six (Ex. iii. 8, 17, xxiii. 23, xxxiv. 11) or five (Ex. xiii. 15), or not reckoning Amalek, four (Num. xiii. 29) are named. And where in Deuteronomy seven nations are named, vii. 1 (comp. Josh. xxiv. 11), or six, xx. 17, 'nini stand first. The historical authenticity of a southern branch of the Hethites is justly maintained by W. Wright, The Empire of the Hittites (1884, 2nd edit. 1886), by Frederick Brown in his article the "Hittites," in the Presbyterian Review, 1886, pp. 277-303, as well as by Sayce, Alte Denkmäler, p. 110. An allusion to the northern Hittite land (Josh. i. 4) is found Judg. i. 26 (comp. xi. 3, where LXX. S reads in the first passage 'Nin, and in the second 'nini). In Egyptian documents, Kadesh on the Orontes, and in Assyrian, Carchemish, is the Hethite centre.

Jer. i. 10, xlvii. 4). It is purposely that the narrator adds [2] . It was in the Land of Promise that Sarah the ancestress of Israel died. The Old Testament does not relate with such intensity of purpose the termination of any other woman's life — for Sarah is historically the most important woman of the ancient covenant, she is the mother of the seed of promise, and in him of all believers, 1 Pet. iii. 6, π ἐγενήθητε τέκνα, she is the Old Testament Mary. In her unclouded faith Mary stands far above Sarah, and yet Scripture is silent concerning her age and death. This happens because he whom Sarah bore is not greater than herself, but Mary bore a son, before whose glory her own personality vanishes.

After Sarah's death, Abraham applies to the Hethites for a burying-place, vv. 3, 4: And Abraham lifted up himself from the face of his dead and spoke thus to the sons of Heth: A stranger and a sojourner am I among you, give me a burying-place with you, that I may bury my dead out of What now takes place is, as F. C. v. Moser remarks, a delightful scene of courtesy, simplicity, kindheartedness, naïveté, humility, modesty, magnanimity, not without some shadow of ambition and of the kind of expectation entertained, when in a bargain everything is ventured upon the kind-heartedness of the buyer. bury is called פָּבֶּר, which, as the Syriac shows, means as a synonym of צָבֶר cumulare, tumulare, and hence points to humatio not crematio as the most ancient mode of burying. Abraham calls his dead מַתָּה not מָתָה, because in the case of a corpse the distinction of sex is, as henceforth without importance, in the background. Answer of the Hethites, vv. 5, 6: Then the sons of Heth answered Abraham, saying to him: Hear us, my lord, a prince of God art thou among us, in the choicest of our sepulchres bury thy dead, none of us will withhold from thee his burying-place to bury thy dead. Here, as also ver. 14, the לאמר seems with the LXX. drawn to the next verse, and to need to be read there according to VOL IL

ver. 13, שְׁמָשֵׁנֵי לֹּ, "hear us, we pray," though the combination is according to Lev. xi. 1 allowable, and on the other side 35 with the imp. unusual (comp. on the contrary xvii. 18, xxx. 34). This construction is escaped by correcting with LXX. Samar. 15 into 15 after 11a (nay, my lord, hear us); but this \$ with the imp. is defended by ver. 13, it gives to the invitation a touch of desire, as the enclitic & does to the petition. Instead of the first מחך, Bereshith rabba c. 58 assumes the reading מחיך. Touched and encouraged by so respectful and kind a reception, Abraham combines with his thanks a definite request, vv. 7-9: Then Abraham rose and bowed himself down before the people of the land, the sons of Heth. And he talked with them, saying: If it is your will to receive my dead into a grave out of my sight, hear me, and entreat for me Ephron the son of Sohar, that he may give me the cave of Machpelah, which belongs to him, which is in the end of his field; for its full money let him give it me in the midst of you for a possession of a buryingplace. The Hethites, as the prevailing population of Hebron and its neighbourhood are called, "the people of the land," just as at Josh i. 4 all Canaan is called per synecdochen "Full money" is equivalent to the sum corresponding to the value of the piece of land, 1 Chr. xxi. 22. To express without saying so how readily and quickly this was done, the narrator at once introduces Ephron himself as speaking, vv. 10, 11: And Ephron was sitting in the midst of the children of Heth, and Ephron the Hethite answered aloud before the sons of Heth, so many of them as went in to the gate of his town, saying: Nay, my lord, hear me, the field give I thee and the cave that is in it, to thes I give it before the eyes of my fellow-countrymen, I give it thee to bury thy dead. To read of for the first word of ver. 11 (2 Sam. xviii, 12 comp. 1 Sam. xiv. 30) is not so necessary as at 1 Sam. xiii. 13;1 for Maurer's

¹ See K. Kohler's art. on 15 in Geiger's Jud. Zeitschrift, vi. (1868) 21 sqq.

remark that is rustici quid habet is refuted by the fact, that the refusal of the purchase money is in itself a courtesy great in proportion as the refusal is a decided one. It is a solemn deed of gift which Ephron performs, but which Abraham declines, vv. 12, 13: Then Abraham bowed himself down in the presence of the people of the land, and spoke to Ephron in the hearing of the people of the land, saying: If thou on thy part wouldst only hear me! I give the price of the field, take it of me, and I will bury my dead there. Showing reverence before all the people to the chief of the city, and even exceeding him in expressions of courteous urgency, he answers that he will accept his offer, yet with the earnest desire and only under the condition, that he will allow himself to be duly requited. is the optative and sits intensifying permutative. Hitzig's explanation of the man "if thou agreest" is tempting, but the usage of the language nowhere shows the Kal of אות (to agree), but only the Niph. The combination of the two optative particles with the imperative is indeed rare, on which account LXX., Samar., Onkelos read אם אחה לי (if thou wishest me well). It cannot be supported by Job xxxiv. 16 (where בְּינָה is to be accented as a subst.), still we think that it must be regarded as possible on the ground of our passage. Ephron now delicately gives Abraham to understand at what rate he values the land, while apparently persisting in his refusal, vv. 14, 15: Then Ephron answered, saying to him: My lord, hear me—a piece of land of four hundred shekels of silver between me and thee, what is it? And bury thy dead! The bargain which is here made between Ephron and Abraham, is to this very day repeated in that country. In Damascus, when a purchaser makes a lower offer than can be accepted, he is answered: What, is it a matter of money between us? Take it for nothing, friend, as a present from me (hedije minni); don't feel under any kind of constraint! (DMZ. xi. 505). Dieterici (Reisebilder, 2.

168 sq.) had a similar experience in Hebron: "In our excursions we had noticed a fine grey horse belonging to the Quarantine inspector. Mr. Blaine, my fellow-traveller, had appeared to wish to buy the animal. It now made its appearance at our tents. We inquired the price, and our astonishment may be conceived, when the dirty Turk offered us the animal as a present. Mr. Blaine declared that he by no means intended to take it as a present, when the Turk replied: What then are five purses (£25 sterling) to thee?" Similar experiences take place every day in Egypt (Lane, Abraham well understood the meaning of this figurative turn of speech, ver. 16: But Abraham understood Ephron, and Abraham weighed to Ephron the money, which he had stated in the audience of the sons of Heth: four hundred shekels of silver current with the merchant. The mercantile expression exactly corresponds with לבר לַפֿחַר exactly corresponds with עבר לַפֿחַר à recevoir frequent upon coins, DMZ. xxxiii. 356 (comp. also current coins, from عامل to trade together, to do busi-Jerome translates, probatæ monetæ publicæ. Money ness). coined and certified by authority did not as yet exist, but even then merchants may have furnished the bars of gold and silver with a mark to signify that they were of full weight, as we are told of the Phenicians (Rhetor. Gr. xiii. p. 180, ed. Ald.), that they πρώτοι χαρακτήρα ἔβαλλον upon weighed The normal weight of the heavy (sacred or royal) shekel (ישָׁקֵל from ישָׁקֵל pendere) amounted according to Jewish tradition to 320 medium barleycorns, with which the weight of the Maccabæan shekel (about 218 English grains, and so a little short of the half-ounce avoirdupois) tolerably agrees. If with Cavedoni, Numismatica biblica 1850, we admit that the shekel is to be reckoned as in the Mosaic law and in subsequent commerce, the price would be high (nearly £525), which the Rabbis explain as the result of Ephron's covetousness (see Zunz, Zur

Literatur, p. 138), but still not be incredible. For Jacob's

piece of ground at Shechem cost one hundred קשלימה, xxxiii. 19, and the site upon which Samaria was built two סָבֶּר of silver. 1 Kings xvi. 24, i.e. six hundred heavy shekels. Close of the transaction, vv. 17-20: So the field of Ephron which was in Machpelah, which was before Mamre, the field and the cave therein and all the trees that were in the field, that were in its border round about, remained to Abraham as a purchased possession in the presence of the sons of Heth, according as each went into the gate of his city. And after this Abraham buried Sarah his wife in the cave of the field of Machpelah, which is before Mamre: the same is Hebron, in the land of Canaan. And so the field and the cave therein remained to Abraham as a burying place on the part of the sons of Heth. The Silluk divides the one connected sentence vv. 17, 18, into two, as e.g. also Ex. vi. 28, 29, Num. xxxii. 3, 4 (see Arnheim, Hebr. Grammatik, § 254, because it would have been too long if interpunctuated as one). of remaining as a lawful possession, as at Lev. xxv. 30, xxvii. 19. מכפלה is throughout not the name of the cave, but of the district in which was the field with the cave in it. The occasion of its being so called is obscure. A Cod. Pocock. in Kennicott and a Spanish one offered for sale at the Viennese Universal Exhibition 1882 by Prof. Garcia Blanco of Madrid, have at ver. 9 the reading מערת המבלה, certainly an error of transcription, but nevertheless a remarkable curiosity.

The first landed property of the patriarchs was a grave. Such was the sole possession which they purchased from the world, and the only permanent one they found here below. Abraham buys a grave in Canaan; he buys and will not accept it as a gift, that he may not appear to take from man what God has promised to give him (Iren. xxxii. 2). And what he purchases is a grave, just because he will rest when dead in the land in which as a living man he as yet has no possession, because he is certain through faith that the promise cannot deceive. In virtue of that promise, which

will be fulfilled to his posterity, the land of Canaan is holy In this grave were Abraham and Sarah, Isaac and Rebekah buried there Jacob buried Leah, there did. Jacob desire to rest after death, and there was his corpse actually There rested the ancestors and ancestresses of the tribes of Israel,1 confessors even in death of faith in the promise. This burying place became the punctum saliens of the promised possession of the land. It is with a purpose that its honourable acquisition for the ancestors of Israel is so accu-It was the tie which continued to bind the rately described. descendants of Abraham in Egypt to the Land of Promise: it magnetically attracted their aspirations thither, and when they entered Canaan they were to know where the ashes of their fathers were reposing, and that they were themselves called to inherit the promise, trusting in which their fathers had been buried in Canaan.

When the city of Hebron is now approached from the north by the high road, the supposed district of Mamre passed, and the last mountain peak gone round, the view suddenly opens of the deep-lying valley of Hebron (Wady-cl-Chalil), in the foreground of which the city spreads out to the right, and the fortified and palatial buildings of the mosque of Ibrahim with its two minarets to the left. This Haram (sanctuary) with its lofty external walls of not less than from fifty to sixty feet high, the lower part of which, built in peculiar pilaster style of colossal blocks of stone, belongs to the most ancient remains of buildings in Palestine, conceals beneath the floor of its interior and beneath its court the cave of Machpelah. visit paid by the Prince of Wales and his suite to the Harâm April 7, 1862, placed it beyond doubt that the shrines of the patriarchs, which are found variously adorned in recesses in the walls, are only Cenotaphs. At the corner of the shrine of Abraham however is a circular opening, about 8 inches in

¹ According to Josephus (Ant. ii. 8. 2, Bell. iv. 9. 7), the eleven patriarchs of the tribes, whose graves (including Joseph's) another legend transports to Sichem. On Acts vii. 16, see my Hebr. N. T.

diameter, with an edge built up a foot high ending in a deep obscure space, and through which a burning lamp is usually let down into the burying place by means of a chain. The Crown Prince of Prussia and Capt. v. Jasmund looked down into it Nov. 1869, long enough to let them perceive all the details of this space measuring 40 feet square. It appeared empty, the floor polished by hand, the walls formed from the rock itself without masonry, and at the one end of the cave was seen a low grated opening, which seemed to lead to a second cave (LXX. ας στήλαιον τὸ διπλοῦν). The Harâm, a building consisting of parts of very different dates (see Baedeker's Palestine, 2nd edit. p. 172 sq.), lies on the southwestern slope of the mountain Ge'abire. But the cave, according to vv. 17-19, lay על-פני of Mamre, i.e. opposite Mamre, and indeed in a southerly direction (comp. Josh. xviii. 14). Hence, as Consul Rosen rightly infers, Mamre must have lain on the eastern declivity of the height Rumeidi. a spur of the Kuppe Na'îr (recalling עָנֵר) near to the remarkable well 'Ain el-'Gedid. The terebinths of the patriarchal time have indeed disappeared, but these were xiii. 18; and though the town was formerly of greater extent than at present, yet its situation must not be transposed to such a distance as by the tradition concerning Mamre (see on ch. xiii. towards the end).

THE MARRIAGE OF ISAAC, CH. XXIV.

The fourth portion (ch. xxiv.) relates a further arrangement on the part of Abraham, in view of his own death, viz. the marriage of Isaac, which was prepared for both by the glance at the Nahorite descent of Rebekah, xxii. 20-24 (J), and the blank left in Abraham's family by the departure of Sarah, ch. xxiii. (Q). It is self-intelligible that the statement, that Isaac married a wife of his father's Aramaic kindred, would not be omitted in either of the three chief sources of Genesia.

It is evidently Q who expressly makes it xxv. 20, and probably E who mentions Rebekah's nurse by name and honours her memory, xxxv. 8. But nowhere did the history of this marriage offer itself in such detail to the redactor as in J; for it is to him that we are indebted for the charming idyll, the captivating picture of the wooing and bringing home of Rebekah in ch. xxiv. Everything here bears the mark of his pen: God is called ההה, the birthplace of Rebekah ארם נהרים (not אָרָם as in Q, e.g. xxv. 20), the sum of all good, תסר ואסת (vv. 27, 49, comp. xxxii. 11, xlvii. 29). Towards the end are found a few words which seem to lead to E, such as ארץ הנגב ver. 62 (comp. xx. 1, elsewhere only Num. xiii. 29, Josh. xv. 19, Judg. i. 15), and ver. 65 (comp. only again xxxvii. 19); but vv. 62-65 cannot be referred to E, without admitting that E relates the story as fully as J, which is improbable. We take ch. xxiv. as the The recapitulation of the servant falls under sole work of J. the same point of sight as Pharaoh's recapitulation of his two dreams-ancient epic delights in such repetitions. The ethic and psychologic sentiment of this history has been appreciated by no one so much as by F. C. v. Moser in his Doctor Leidemit.

It begins, ver. 1: Abraham was now an old man, well stricken in age, and Jahreh had blessed Abraham in everything. His great age (the same expression as xviii. 11, J) obliged him, and his prosperity encouraged him, to think of Isaac's marrying and of the transmission of his blessing to his remoter descendants, vv. 2-8: Then Abraham said to his servant, the eldest of his house, who ruled over all that was his: Put thy hand, I pray thee, under my thigh. And I will make thee swear by Jahreh, the God of heaven and the God of earth, that thou take not a wife for my son of the daughters of the Canaanite, in whose neighbourhood I dwell. But to my country and to my home shalt thou go and take a wife for my son Isaac. And his servant said to him: Perhaps the woman will

not be willing to follow me into this land-must I then take back thy son into the land whence thou camest? And Abraham said unto him: Beware that thou take not back my son thither. Jahveh, the God of heaven, who took me away from my father's house and from my own country, and who spake to me and swore to me, saying: To thy seed will I give this land, He will send His angel before thee, and thou shalt take a wife for my son from thence. But if the woman be not willing to follow thee, then art thou free of this my oath, only thou shalt not take back my son thither. Parallels to this in both style and matter from J, are the mode of swearing, xlix. 29; the reference to God as God of heaven and earth, xiv. 19, 22, בנות הכנעני vv. 3, 37 (not בנות בנות בגאיווו. 1, 6, 8, xxxvi. 2, Q); ארצי and טולדתי vv. 4, 7, like xii. 1, xxxi. 3, xxxii. 10. Isaac's wife must be one corresponding with his Divine calling, and therefore not one of the daughters of the Canaanite (comp. on the matter, Ex. xxiv. 16, Deut. vii. 3 sq.), though such a marriage, externally regarded, opened up all manner of favourable prospects. Nor must Isaac return to Aramæa. whence the God of redemption brought Abraham, he is not to leave the district into which God has transposed his father and himself; on the contrary, his future wife must come to it. But if none can be found, or if the one found is unwilling to leave her home? About this Abraham is not He leaves the future of his son absolutely to the direction of Jahveh, and appoints the eldest retainer of his house to be the wooer-certainly the Eliezer mentioned xv. 2 (E), who, since sixty years have now elapsed, was himself an old man. He is to take a so-called bodily oath, by putting his hand under Abraham's thigh. By placing his hand ירד of Abraham, he binds himself upon the basis of the covenant of circumcision. If the woman will not follow him, the wooer, to the land of promise, he shall be

released (יָפָּה Niph.), free or quit (יָקָי like نقى DMZ. xxii. 129)

from the obligation imposed on him by his oath (שָׁבַתְּעָה, for which ver. 41 $\frac{1}{128}$ = Arab. alwa, with unchangeable \hat{a} , comp. إلى conj. iv. from إلى to swear). The servant swears, sets out upon his journey, and on his arrival prays for God's decision, vv. 9-14: Then the servant put his hand under the thigh of his master Abraham and swore to him concerning this And the servant took ten camels of the camels of his master, and departed with all kinds of precious things of his master's in his hand-he arose and went to Aram of the two rivers, to the city of Nahor. And he made his camels kneel down outside the city by the well of water at evening time, at the time when the water-drawers come out. And he said: Jahveh, God of my master Abraham, let it happen favourably for me this day, and show kindness to my master Abraham! Behold, I stand at the fountain of water, and the daughters of the inhabitants of the city are coming out to draw water. it then thus happen; the damsel to whom I shall say: Let down, I pray thee, thy pitcher that I may drink, and she shall say: Drink, and I will also water thy camels—this one Thou hast appointed for Thy servant, for Isaac, and thereby shall I know that Thou hast showed kindness to my master. The journey of Hazael, 2 Kings viii. 9, was similarly supplied. ארם נהרים (ancient Egyp. Neheren, Neherina, Naharina) is the country between the Euphrates and Tigris (in the strict sense exclusive of Babylonia), called since Alexander ή Μεσοποταμία, that is, Zupla, the land north of the great desert, which the Arabians call the הַּלְּרָה means here, as at xxvii. 20, to cause to meet, to let happen, viz. what one has in mind. נער (from נער, to shoot forth, to shake out, of the fruit of the body, therefore one not long since born) is in the Pentateuch and in this exclusively, double-gendered. is written only Deut, xxii, 19, everywhere else it is the Keri to הנער which is pointed as fem. הוכיח, 14b (LXX. אָדוֹנוֹעִם, is meant of pointing out by means of an act, here with 3 as

appointed for the son of Abraham. 32 does not refer to the maiden, but is a neutral fem. as at xv. 6, 8. Guidance of her who had been prayed for, vv. 15-21: And it came to pass: he had not yet ceased speaking, lo, Rebekah came forth, who was born to Bethuel the son of Milcah, the wife of Nahor, with her pitcher on her shoulder. And the damsel was very fair to look on, a virgin, and no man had known her-she went down to the fountain, filled her pitcher and came up. And the servant ran to meet her and said: Let me, I pray thee, drink a little water from thy pitcher. And she said: Drink, my lord, and let down quickly the pitcher upon her hand, and gave him to drink. And when she had given him enough to drink, she said: I will draw also for thy camels, till they have drunk enough. And she quickly emptied her pitcher into the trough and ran again to the well to draw, and drew for all his camels. And the man looked wonderingly at her, holding his peace, to know whether Jahveh had prospered his journey The name רַבְּקַה means a tie, a band (Lat. copula), i.e. a collar for coupling to and coupling together. A maiden is called Assyr. batultu, fem. of batulu, a youth), certainly from ידע בַּתֵּל to separate, reflective tabattala, to keep oneself in modest consecrated retirement, from her characteristic of maidenly remoteness from marriage, and עַלְמָה (ver. 43), from غلم = على to be marriageable, من غل to swell, from the characteristic of nearness to marriage by reason of maturity. The Talmud (Jebamoth 61b) is correct in inferring from the addition ואיש לא ידעה does not in itself imply the characteristic of virgin purity, but only states age and condition (איז בתולה אלא נערה). The servant beholds with astonishment, and regards with investigation the quick and welcome alacrity of the maiden to serve him and to anticipate his wants. Knobel and Dillmann take השתאה as equivalent in meaning to השתעה, but the analogy of השחומם and popp rather favours the derivation from new desolate, then also like the Aramaic תְּהָה, תְּהָה, to be confused, to wonder; on the connective form of the participle before > comp. Ps. lxiv. 9. The maiden answers perfectly to the moral test, she indefatigably fetches water from the deep well, to which, according to ver. 16, she went down and fetched water for the man and his cattle; hence it was a spring enclosed by a wall with steps leading down to it (Burckhardt, Syrien, p. 232), and is therefore alternately called באר המים and שים המים; note how שתה, which has itself no Hiphil, borrows one from ישקה Preliminary requital and inquiry, vv. 22, 23: And it came to pass after the camels had drunk enough, then the man took a gold nose-ring, a half shekel in weight; and two bracelets for her hands ten shekels of gold in weight. Then he said: Whose daughter art thou! tell me, I pray thee! Is there room in thy father's house to lodge us in? He makes her a present of a nose-ring (ver. 22, comp. 47, Ezek. xvi. 12, and on the other hand Gen. xxxv. 4, where מום means an ear-ring) weighing a בָּקע, i.e. half a shekel of gold, no very great weight in itself, but great for this ornament, which was fastened to one of the nostrils. nose-ring was in use from Egypt to India, and is still so among the Arabs as a betrothal gift. He also gave her a pair of bracelets of ten shekels of gold. is the acc. of nearer definition to מָאָה שָׁנָה in שׁנָה in מָאָה שָׁנָה, xvii. 17, xxiii. 1. Answer of the maiden, vv. 24, 25: And she said to him: I am the daughter of Bethuel, the son of Milcah, whom she bore to Nahor. And she said farther to him: We have both straw and provender enough, also room to lodge in. She calls herself, with a circumstantiality which betrays self-consciousness, the daughter of Bethuel, the son of Milcah (comp. on the inverted position of the genit. apposition, ii. 19b, xiv. 12) the wife of Nahor, and represents her home in as hospitable a light as possible. The pious servant first of all gives thanks to God, vv. 26, 27: And the man bowed and fell down before Jahveh. And he said: Blessed be Jahveh, the God of my

master Abraham, who has not withdrawn His mercy and truth from my master-me, yea me has Jahveh led by the right way to the house of my master's brother. Bowing (viz. of the head, קרקר) and falling down appear in combination at xliii. 28 (J) also. is free love, and אַמָּה truth, sincerity, faithfulness, binding itself to what love has promised. אָלכִי stands as nom. abs. emphatically, first like אַלָּה xlix. 8, Deut. xviii. 14. יוֹבָּרֶעְ is, as ver. 48 shows (comp. on Job xxxi. 7), equal to, by the right way. Rebekah's intelligence and its impression upon Laban, vv. 28-31: And the maiden ran and told her mother's house according to these things. And Rebekah had a brother, of the name of Laban, and Laban ran to the man outside at the fountain. it came to pass, when he saw the nose-ring and the bracelets on the hands of his sister, and when he heard the words of Rebekah his sister saying: Thus spake the man to me, then he came to the man, and lo, he was standing by the camels at the fountain. And he said: Come in, thou blessed of Jahveh, wherefore standest thou without? and I, I have made room in the house, and a place for the camels. As the text stands, the mood of the sequence מתי, 30b, declares the effect from the cause by a retrogressive movement of thought, but probably the sentence: and Laban ran to the man outside at the fountain, has been removed from its original place before מְרֵבֹא, 30b (Ilg. Dillm.). Instead of בְּרָאֹת the Samaritan has בראתו; this is not necessary as far as the style is concerned. עמר stands briefly for הוא עמר, see on Ps. vii. 10. The entrance and zeal of the servant, vv. 32, 33: And the man came into the house, and he unloaded the camels and gave straw and provender to the camels, and water to wash his feet and the men's feet that were with him. And meat was set before him to eat, but he said: I will not eat till I have said what is incumbent on me. And he said: Speak on! 32 Laban is the subject to man and my, the change of subject disappears if we read Man (Jerome introduxit), but then might be expected. The object of his journey is asked by no one, for this would be contrary to Eastern

hospitality, which does not permit such a question at least till after a meal. The Keri runs passively there was placed), not Divin, as mistakenly in recent editions—the Chethib is מַשְּׁשֵׁ (one placed, like l. 26, comp. Isa. viii. 4), to be read as written, l. 26, from pt, which is not authenticated elsewhere, but verbs יבשׁ, ולך, ימב (=ביש, to be ashamed), offer metaplastic forms. The servant will eat nothing till he has said what is incumbent on him to say. The subject to מָאמֶר 33b is Laban, who represents the family of Bethuel. two verses 32, 33 are a specimen of the carelessness of the Oriental style, which leaves only too much to be supplied by the reader, vv. 34-49: And he said: I am the servant of Abraham. And Jahveh has abundantly blessed my master, so that he has become great, and has given him sheep and oxen, and silver and gold, and servants and maidens, and camels and asses, And Sarah, my master's wife, bare my master a son after she was old, and he has given him all that was his, And my master made me swear thus: Thou shalt not take a wife for my son of the daughters of the Canaanite, in whose land I dwell. to my father's house shalt thou go, and to my kindred, and take a wife for my son. And I said to my master: Perhaps the woman will not follow me. Then he said to me: Jahveh, before whom I have walked, will send His angel with thee, and will prosper thy way, that thou mayest take a wife for my son from my kindred and from my father's house. Then shalt thou be clear of my oath, if thou go hence to my kindred; and if they will not give thee, thou shalt be clear of my oath. So I came this day to the fountain and said: Oh Jahveh, God of my master Abraham: Oh that thou now mayest prosper the way that I go. Behold, I stand by the fountain of water, and let it happen: the maiden who comes out to draw, and I say to her: Give me, I pray thee, a little water to drink from thy pitcher, and she says to me, Both drink thou and I will draw for thy camels-let her be the wife whom Jahveh has appointed for my master's son. I had not yet ceased to speak in my heart, when

lo. Rebekah came out with the pitcher upon her shoulder and went down to the well and drew, and I said to her: Give me, I pray thee, to drink! Then she hastened and took her pitcher down from her, and said: Drink, and I will give drink to thy camels also; and I drank, and she gave drink to the camels also. Then I asked her and said: Whose daughter art thou? She said: The daughter of Bethuel, the son of Nahor, whom Milcah bare to him. Then I put the ring upon her nose, and the bracelets upon her hands. And I bowed myself and fell down before Jahveh, and blessed Jahveh the God of my master Abraham, who had led me by the right way, to take the daughter of my master's brother for his son. And now, if ye be willing to show kindness and truth to my master, tell me; but if not, tell me, that I may turn to the right hand or to the left. The form of the oath is purposely omitted at ver. 37. When the servant says, 36b, that Abraham has given all that he has to Isaac, this is meant of his resolution to do so (comp. Isa, liii. 9), which is carried into execution, xxv. 5. The אַם־לא 38a is that of the oath (Ps. cxxxi. 2, Jer. xxii. 6), which thence after a previous denial means, "no, but," Ezek. iii. 6 (comp. Mark iv. 22, according to the reading $\hat{\epsilon}\hat{a}\nu \mu\hat{\eta} \phi a\nu\epsilon\rho\omega\theta\hat{\eta}$), stronger than בי אָם־נָשׁרְ (the reading of the Samar.). אָם־יָשׁרְ־נָאּ 42b, means "if thou really art, as I wish," etc., comp. אָם־נָא xviii. 3 (see there). אללבו 45a, as at viii. 21—he had then brought his desire before God with the silent voice of the heart. "Brother," 48b, is more accurately brother's son, as at xiv. 16. xxix. 12. In ver. 49, מכר ואמת stands for the manifestation of kindness and the faithful undissimulating dealing of men with each other. The consent, vv. 50, 51: Then answered Laban and Bethuel, and said: From Jahveh does this thing proceed. we cannot say unto thee evil or good. Behold, Rebekah is at thy disposal, take her and go, and let her be a wife to thy master's son, as Jahveh has spoken. Rebekah had not yet seen the man for whom she was wooed, neither is she asked whether she is willing to be his. Nor is it even her father, but her brother,

who has the first word respecting her. This is the result of polygamy; in the history of Dinah also, it is the brothers who act independently of the father; "not evil or good" (here as at xxxi. 24) is equivalent to "absolutely nothing," and לפני, to be some one's (here as at xiii. 9, xx. 15), is equal to being at his free disposal. They give Rebekah to him, with the acknowledgment that Dominus locutus est. The servant then thanks God for the issue of his wooing, and now empties before them the far from exhausted store of presents which he had brought with him, vv. 52, 53: And it came to pass, when the servant of Abraham heard their words, he fell on the earth before Jahveh. And the servant brought forth silver vessels and gold vessels and garments, and gave them to Rebekah, and he gave costly presents to her brother and to her mother. The first gifts are FD (xxxiv. 12) of the bridegroom for the confirmation of the betrothal, the so-called cova or ccova in

Homer, and the others (מַנְּדְנוֹת from סבב מנד to be precious, costly, Lth.: jewels, which is not unfitting, especially 2 Chron. xxi. 3) come under the point of view of the ממה to be paid to the relatives of the bride (xxxiv. 12), see Riehm's HW. under Ehe, § 4. The servant presses for departure, vv. 54-58: Then they are and drank, he and the men who were with him, and spent the night, and when he rose up in the morning he said: Send me away to my master. And her brother and her mother said: Let the maiden stay with us a few days, perhaps ten, then let her depart. But he said to them: Detain me not, since Jahveh has prospered my way, send me away that I may go to my master. They said: We will call the maiden and inquire at her mouth. And they called Rebekah and said to her: Wilt thou go with this man? And she said: I will go. The statement of time ימים אוֹ עשוֹר means some days (as at Isa. lxv. 20, elsewhere: a long time, iv. 3, xl. 4), or even (or rather) ten (a decade of days). The Samar. has ימים או חדש. Rebekah's bashful but decided brief answer is settles the

immediate commencement of the journey. The dismissal, vv. 59-61: Then they sent away Rebekah their sister and her nurse, and Abraham's servant and his people. And they blessed Rebekah and said to her: Our sister, become thou thousands of muriads, and may thy seed possess the gate of their enemies! And Rebekah arose and her maids, and rode upon the camels and followed the man; so the servant took Rebekah and went away. is said according to the rule a potiori, the relation to Laban being generalized. The nurse (Deborah, xxxv. 8) remained, according to ancient custom (in Homer also), a member of the family and the immediate attendant upon her former nursling. The blessing, with which Rebekah is dismissed, proceeds from the frame of mind to which the family of Nahor had been raised by intercourse with the servant of Abraham. The Talmudic tractate degins by drawing from our passage, in agreement with Ruth iv. 11 sq., the conclusion, that "a bride, whether a virgin or a widow, without a previous blessing is interdicted to her husband like אַהְייִנּ has Zakeph gadol, which always stands one unclean." alone without a servant, and is less separative than the preceding Zakeph katon (). The imperative min is vocalized like אָלְפֵי רָבָבָה Ezek. xvi. 6. The combination אָלְפֵי רָבָבָה is like אָלְפֵי אָלש Ex. xxxii. 28, and רָבָבוֹת עָם Ps. iii. 7 (Ges. § 120. 2); the genitive is a generic designation of what is enume-With probetween the vocative and imperative, comp. Jer. ii. 31; the pronoun is intended with the distinctness which is expressed in the vocative. The wish 60b is almost identical with xxii. 17 (J). There we have אֹיבִיי, here the poetical יְבֶבֶה as also רָבֶבָה is the older and more refined word for ביות (= רבות The arrival of the travelling company and the first meeting of the betrothed, vv. 62-65: And Isaac was just coming from the way to the well Lahaj Roi, for he dwelt in the land of the south,-for Isaac had gone out into the field towards evening to indulge in his thoughts, VOL IL

-and he lifted up his eyes, and behold, there were camels coming. And Rebekah lifted up her eyes and saw Isaac, and she alighted from the camel. And she said to the servant: Who is that man who is coming to meet us in the field? The servant said: It is my master; then she took the veil and covered herself. The structure of the sentence vv. 62, 63 is clumsy: first a sentence preparatory to the main fact with the perfect *2, then an explanatory sentence of condition with ההוא יוֹשֶב, then following this sentence of condition a parenthetical sentence more nearly explaining this accessory fact and now the main fact with יישא עיניו. It is assumed that Abraham was then still dwelling at Beersheba, xxii. 19, south of which lay Hagar's well in the well-watered Wadi el-Muweilih, where Isaac dwelt after the death of Abraham, xxv. 11. Maimonides already remarks, that it is here purposely not said בָּא מִבָּאַר, because it would then appear as though he already had his dwelling there. It cannot however be meant that he was just returning from a visit to Hagar's well, for this was too far distant from Beersheba for an evening walk (63a), but that he was coming from an evening walk in the direction of this his favourite place, a place hallowed as it had been by a manifestation of God: מלבוא=מבוא 1 Kings viii. 65, comp. אבוא אבוא אבוא Num. xiii. 21. It was in the twilight as it began to be evening comp. Deut xxiii. 12, Ex. xiv. 27) that he went into the open air [182], to meditate. So most ancient translators, taking לשיח Ps. cxix. 148. either in the meaning meditari (LXX. Aq. Symm. Vulg.) or directly (comp. Ps. cii. 1) orare (Talmud, Targums Sam. Saad. Luth. Kimchi, Gr. Ven.), in opposition to which Syr. translates מבים to take exercise, as though it were לְשׁוּם, as Gesenius desires to read. This is one of the passages on which the obligation of the Minchah-prayer is based. Isaac is of a quietly enduring, contemplative disposition, and it is in con-

¹ To read thus, rejecting the אום (de Lagarde, Olsh.), is an old proposal; see the Lemberger Zeitschrift החליק Jahrg. iii. (1856) p. 98.

formity with this his character that he should go in the direction of Hagar's well (xvi. 13 sq.), to think over the matter of his marriage in silent soliloguy before the Lord. looks of those who were betrothed by God's guidance meet. Rebekah (according to Eastern notions of courtesy in the presence of one who is to be met with reverence) quickly alights from her camel (as at 2 Kings v. 21, of intentionally falling, i.e. swinging oneself down, LXX. κατεπήδησεν, a stronger word for this manifestation of respect than T 1 Sam. xxv. 23, and אַתְרְבִינָת Josh. xv. 18, Targums אָתִרְבִינָת, she bowed, sank down, let herself slip off), and to make herself certain, asks the name of the man (מַנֵּה as only one more, xxxvii. 19)1 who is coming towards them; and when she hears that it is to lay ضعف براه (from براه (from فعف براه to lay together, to fold, to make double or more) is, according to Abenezra, of like meaning with יִדִיי (by which it is translated in Targ. Jer.), and the latter of like meaning with the Arab. ίο, ; the LXX. translates both here and Cant. v. 7 θέριστρου (Jer. pallium), a light summer wrap which covers the body and especially the head, the veil or hooded mantle, which is mentioned by Tertullian, de velandis virg. ch. 17, Jerome, ad Eustoch. ep. 22, and elsewhere, as an Arabic feminine garment (see Lagarde, Semitica, p. 24 sq.). It is of similar kind with the white linen wrapping shawl, with which Syrian women cover themselves out of doors (إزار), not the face-veil which forms a separate piece of clothing (برقع); for this muffling of Moslem women is a later custom, which Muhammed borrowed from the court of the Sassanidæ. Rebekah, drawing her mantle over her face, covered herself (nupsit), as Sulamith in Canticles, who as a bride wears the bridal veil צמה. Bringing home of the bride, vv. 66, 67: And the servant told Isaac all the things that he had done. And Isaac brought her into the

¹ In the Samaritan usage of language the sense of brilliant (*illustrie*) is combined with הלוה (*DMZ*. xxxix. 196).

tent of Sarah his mother, and he took Rebekah and she became his wife, and he loved her and was comforted for the loss of his mother. The history started at ver. 1 sqq. from Abraham, but does not return to him; we do not however miss this if we look at xxv. 1-11, in which J certainly has a share, and if Abraham's remarriage followed the marriage of Isaac. In cases where the widowed father remarries, the affection of the son cleaves the more ardently to the deceased mother. יו האהלה שבה אפו is less unusual than Josh. vii. 21 (both times with Kateph instead of silent Sheva, comp. אוֹני xiii. 14); for the justification and explanation of this combination of the determinate substantive with the genitivally conceived proper name, see Ges. 22nd ed. § 111, 2. There is no grammatical necessity for regarding as a gloss (Wellh, Dillm, Nöld.), and the assumption that in the mind of the narrator of ch. xxiv. Abraham had meantime died, is not so certain as to make us accept the notion that אהרי אָכִיו originally stood in the place of אהרי אָכִיו (Wellh. Kuen.), or that the whole sentence 67b is a recent addition (Dillm.). With this "after his mother," i.e. after he had lost her, comp. לְּמַנֵי, " before me," i.e. before I came, xxx. 30. grief of Isaac for the loss of his mother was alleviated, when a much loved wife filled up the void made by the death of Sarah.

ABRAHAM'S DESCENDANTS BY KETURAH, AND HIS DEATH, CH. XXV. 1-11.

(Parallel with 1 Chron. i. 32, 33.)

A fifth portion, xxv. 1-11, relates Abraham's remarriage and death, partly according to J, partly according to Q. Vv. 1-4 keep to the manner of the Jahvistic element of the ethnographical table (אוליר for אוליר, and the summary 4b quite like x. 29b); אוליר and are traced back otherwise than in Q x. 7. In 5-7 this genealogical portion is continued. In ver. 5 we recognise the author of xxiv. 36. On the other hand, 7-11a bears as distinctly as possible the impress of Q,

who also refers in xlix. 31 sq. to what is here related. אָבָּי הַחָּה, which occurs eight times in ch. xxiii., and besides in xxv. 10, xlix. 32 (for which J uses the collective הַּחָה), is peculiar to him. In 11b (the dwelling of Isaac at Lahaj Roi) ver. 6 proceeds in accordance with xxiv. 67. The picture thus composed from two documents is nevertheless a single one. For it is no contradiction, e.g., that according to ver. 6 only Isaac is with Abraham, and that according to ver. 9 Isaac and Ishmael together bury him; Ishmael having hastened thither on the intelligence of his father's death.

Abraham's remarriage, ver. 1: And Abraham again took a wife, and her name was Keturah. According to the statements xxiii. 1, xxv. 7, comp. xvii. 17, Abraham had still a life of about forty years before him. The construction is like xxxviii. 5, and both in matter and diction resembles xvi. 3, where Hagar also is called Abraham's אַשָּׁה. Keturah however is not a secondary wife during the lifetime of his wife. Augustine, de civ. Dei, 16. 34, justly lays stress upon this against the opponents of the secundar nuptiar. She is indeed also called, ver. 6, comp. 1 Chron. i. 32, פולניש; she does not stand on the same level as Sarah, who as the mother of the son of promise stands alone. But in other respects no blot attaches to the The relation too to Keturah contributes to second marriage. the fulfilment of the word of promise, which appointed Abraham, xxii. 4 sq., to be the father of a multitude of nations. sons and grandsons of Abraham by Keturah form however no special min; they are but offshoots of the tree whose growth is depicted in Genesis. The list, which in opposition to the account of Kleodemus "the prophet" in Joseph. Ant. i. 15 gives an impression of its historical truth, contains in part at least names of Arab tribes still recognisable. These must long ago have become such, when Israel was in course of development at a distance.1 The Arabic genealogies know indeed

^{&#}x27; See Wetzstein's article on Northern Arabia and the Syrian desert in Kohner's Zeitschr. für Allgem. Erdkunde, Annual issue xviii. 1865.

nothing of a great kindred of tribes descended from Keturah, and Sprenger even fathers upon the genealogist the absurdity of making Arabs, with whom he was acquainted as dealers in spices, sons of a Keturah (קְּמַרֶּת = קמורה, frankincense). is actually alleged to be the name of a tribe in the the present name of قطر the present name of the peninsula of Bahrein). Direct descendants of Abraham by Keturah, ver. 2: And she bare him [70]. Knobel compares Zaβράμ in Ptol., the royal city of the Kinaedokolpites الكندة) DMZ. xxii. 663), Grotius the Arab tribe of the Zamareni in Pliny 6. 32. § 158. The Κασσανίται, dwelling south of the Kinaedokolpites on the Red Sea, have nothing to do with إلي for these are the Gassanidæ (DMZ. xxii. 668); Arab genealogists give ياتش as the name of a portion of the ancient population of Yemen (DMZ. x. 31). The name of the Wadi Medân near the ruins of the town Dedan accords with TD, and the name of the town Madjan (Maδιηνή in Joseph. Ant. ii. 11. 1), five days' journey south of Aila, with جدان and مدين were the names of an ancient Arabian god (see Hitz. on Prov. vi. 19). mentions a Maδιάμα in the north of Arabia felix, vi. 7. 27, and Moblava (= מרק) in the west of Arabia felix on the east coast of the Ælanitic Gulf, vi. 7. 2. شوبکت Sjaubachum in 'Gebâl, whose name, meaning thicket, saltus, became famous in the times of the Crusades, has nothing to do with אַשָּׁבָּן (see can scarcely be combined with the tribe on xxxvi. 20). es-Sejā'iha, eastward of Aila, and by no means with Σακκαία, Ptol. v. 15. 26, which is on the contrary to be connected with the Sakka above Duma and Têmâ in East-Hauran, nor with the two villages of the name of Sîhân (with

י On the phonetic law, according to which the LXX. reads במשְאָה for סורן, Μαμβρα for אומרן, 'Aμβραμ for טורן, etc., see Flecker, Scripture Onomatology (London 1883), pp. 26-28.

س), one of which lies in the Nukra one league north of Umm Weled, the other in south Gólán. Friedr. Delitzsch has shown (Paradies, p. 297 sq., and the "Essay on the Land of Uz," Zeitschr. für Keilschriftforschung, 1885), in cuneiform inscriptions, a land of Sûhu, which lay at all events north of Hauran, and north-eastwards of the great Palmyra road, and also a land Jasbuk, coinciding phonetically with Pبالإد Jokshanidæ, 3a: And Joksan begat Sebû and Dedan. The tracing of שָׁבָּא and דָּיָן to בוּשׁ x. 7, is not incompatible with their Semitic derivation here and x. 28 (see on these two The LXX. in Isa. Jer. Ezek. writes for Δαιδάν, passages). similar in sound with the name of the ruins of the town الديدان (Jakût ii. p. 4µ4, line 3) on the borders of the Belkâ towards Higaz, according to Wetzst, at the eastern foot of the Hisma mountain chain, where is also found a valley of Medan sloping towards the east; farther off lies Dâden, Syr. Didîn, the name of one of the islands of Bahrein. The tribes descending from Dedan, 3b: And the sons of Dedan were אַשׁוּרָם, of whom no trace is elsewhere found, for אשור Ezek. xxvii. 23 is Assyria. and האשתי 2 Sam. ii. 9 probably an error of transcription. The tribes אים and ואבה may perhaps be combined with the לְמִישִׁם and אָמָים, unless their names are to be regarded, as by Renan, as mutilated from לאמים and לאמים (DMZ. xx. 175, xxiii. 298). Ramification of Midian, ver. 4: And the sons of Midian: אַיָּמָה according to Isa. 1x. 6, a trading tribe bringing gold and frankincense from Sheba; עפר, with which Wetzstein compares a district in the 'Alia, i.e. the highland between the Tihâma range and the Abân, after which this part of Arabia was called غد عفر, the Nefd of 'Ofr; المجر which harmonizes in sound with the district Hanakia compared by Knobel and Wetzstein (Burckhardt, Arabien, p. 690 sq., comp. Ritter, Erdkunde, xiii. 451), three days' journey north of Medina, where Ibrahim Pasha had a standing camp on account of its abundance of water; אָלְיְעָה and אָלְיְעָה about which there is nothing to say but that אַלִּיְעָה and ידעאל occur as Himjaritic personal names (DMZ. xxvii. 648), as אשררו and מאט do as Nabatæan (DMZ. xviii. 447). It cannot be wondered that some of these ancient names should, in consequence of the many migrations, intermingling and wars of the Arabic tribes, have been lost without leaving a trace behind.

Abraham makes Isaac heir of all, and gives gifts to the sons of the concubines, vv. 5, 6: And Abraham gave all that he had to Isaac. And to the sons of the concubines whom Abraham had, Abraham gave gifts and sent them away from Isaac his son during his lifetime eastward into the east country. He gave all that he possessed to Isaac, i.e. as at xxiv. 36: he promised it to him, and gave it to his management. The concubines are Hagar and Keturah, we know of no others. פִּלְנֵשׁ (πάλλαξ, pellex, or according to an old writing pælex) occurred in J at xxii. 24. "The east country" is Arabia in the widest sense, in the first place Arabia deserta and petræa, and then farther southwards the whole Arabian peninsula. It is not without reason that we have here, ver. 6, the apparently superfluous בְּעוֹדֶנּי חַיּ. The Mosaic law and ancient Hebrew custom know only of a so-called intestate hereditary right, i.e. one independent of the testamentary disposition of the testator, and regulated according to the degree of lineal hereditary succession. then Abraham desired not to let the sons of his concubines depart empty, he was obliged to provide for them by gifts during his lifetime. The history of Abraham's life now comes to an end, ver. 7: And this is the amount of the years of Abraham's life which he lived: a hundred and five and seventy years. The marriage of Keturah took place in the fourth decade, before the end of this long life (subsequent to the 137th year), which on reckoning up extended to about fifteen years beyond the birth of the twin children, but which, as in the case of Terah, is here anticipatively

finished off. His death, ver. 8: And Abraham expired and died in a good old age, old and full, and was gathered to his people. The promise xv. 15 was fulfilled. case of Isaac, whose death resembled that of his father, we find xxxv. 29 instead of שַבְּשָׁ the fuller expression וֹלְבֵע יָכִים, like plenus vitæ and satur ac plenus rerum in Lucretius. On בני עם=עשים see on xvii. 14. ויאסף has always in this phrase, when it appears in the form of the imp. consec., the tone drawn back (notwithstanding the Tiphcha), ver. 17, xxxv. 29, xlix. 33, Deut. xxxii. 50, comp. on the other hand Num. xx. 24, xxxi. 2. This אמף וואסף is, according to Bathra 16b, the special expression for the death of For as the fulness of life of the patriarchs the pious. denotes a desire for another world, where they will be delivered from the tribulations of this, so is union with the fathers not a union merely of corpses but of persons. That death does not, as might appear from iii. 19, put an end to the individual continuity of man, is a notion universally diffused in the world of nations,—a notion originating from and justified by the fact, that not only wrath but mercy was proclaimed to fallen man. Believers however knew more than this, but only by the inference drawn by faith from the premisses of the Divine promise, and breaking through the comfortless notion of Hades. Κατά πίστιν ἀπέθανον οὖτοι πάντες, Heb. xi. 13. They were united in faith to Jahveh, as He the ever-living One united Himself to them by His word and placed Himself in a mutual relation to them, which could never cease. Thus also did Abraham depart from this world, after he had already long departed from its history, and had spent in the quiet of his home decades of which history tells us nothing. His burying, vv. 9. 10: And Isaac and Ishmael his sons buried him in the cave of Machpelah, in the field of Ephron the son of Sohar the Hethite, which is before Mamre, the field which Abraham bought of the sons of Heth. There was Abraham buried, and Sarah his wife. Isaac and Ishmael, who after Isaac ranks highest among the sons of Abraham, buried him. It is not thence to be inferred that Ishmael was at that time still in his father's house. The blessing of Abraham as regards this world is now transferred to Isaac, ver. 11a: And it came to pass after Abraham's death, that Elohim blessed Isaac his son. Thus is fulfilled the covenant promise, xvii. Thus far Q; 11b is added from J: And Isaac dwelt by the well Lahai-rot. His dwelling by Hagar's well was certainly not without the influence of the answer to prayer there received and never to be forgotten. Beersheba had hitherto been the common residence of himself and his father, xxii. 19. Later on in the evening of his life we find him at Mamre, xxxv. 27 (Q). The life of the patriarch was a pilgrimage without a settled dwelling-place.

VII.

THE TOLEDOTH OF ISHMAEL, XXV. 12-18.

(Parallel passage, 1 Chron. i. 28-31.)

Before the history of the seed of promise can go on without interruption, the history of Ishmael must be finished off in accordance with the method of the fundamental document (Q). This is now done, ver. 12: And these are the generations of Ishmael the son of Abraham, whom Hagar the Egyptian, Sarah's maid, bore to Abraham. This general title is particularized, ver. 13a: And these are the names of the sons of Ishmael, by their names, according to their generations. Before Drivia, these sons of Ishmael must be supplied in thought. They are now specified according to their names There were twelve of them according to and sequence. the promise xvii. 20, corresponding with the twelve tribes of Israel. The blessing of Ishmael, who was also the seed of Abraham and, differing herein from the sons of Keturah, received Divine promises, made chs. xvii. and xxi. in the name אלהים, and ch. xvi. in the name ההים, is a reflection of the blessing of Israel. The first-born of Ishmael was, according to 13b, נְבָית. Nebajoth and Kedar are mentioned together not only Isa. lx. 7, but also Plin. h. n. 6. 32 (Nabatæi et Cedrei); Kaidâr and Nâbit (Nabt) written with are known also to Arabic and Armenian historians (Hübschmann, Zur Gesch. Armeniens, 1865, p. 12) as, according to biblical precedent, descendants of Ishmael Along with this occurs bi (Gentilic or also of Madian.

رَبُطَى, plur. of the nation in its manifold totality, أَنْهَاعٍ, genealogically traced back to ביב i.e. מַלַי x. 23, or otherwise, as the name of the Aramæan population of Egypt as far as the Tigris (comp. 1 Macc. v. 24 sq., ix. 35), and especially of the districts between the Euphrates and the Tigris. It is on this account that Quatremère in his Mémoire sur les Nabatéens, with the concurrence of Causin, Ritter and Steinschneider (see his additions to Brecher's Die Beschneidung, p. 11 sq.), rejects the combination of the Nabatæans with the Ishmaelite יִנְיוֹת. Schrader also (KAT. 147, 414) distinguishes the north Arabian Nabaitai from the Babylonio-Aramæan Nabatu, while Winer, Kless (in Pauli's RE. vol. i. 377 sqq.), Krehl (Religion der vorislam. Arab. 1863, p. 51), Blau (DMZ. xvii. 51) and Nöldeke (DMZ. xxxiii, 322 sq.) adhere to the connection of the Nabatæan נבמ with the biblical גביות. The manner of writing the name varies; upon the coins of Nabatæan kings נכמו and נכמו are interchanged (see Levy in DMZ. xiv. 317), and in the Targum and Talmud the forms נוום ,נוום and even מת are found together (see Geiger, id. xv. 413). Assyrian inscriptions write the name in all its forms with t (nabaitu, adj. gentil. nabaitai), not with t (Friedr. Delitzsch, Paradies, 296 sq.). The supposed ancient Nabatæan writings derived from Babylonia, to which Chwolson (1859) gave credence, are, as is now acknowledged, the fabrication of Ibn-Wahsija, who says he translated them into Arabic. name of the Nabatæans is in these writings one of much further reach, including also the Chaldeans, Syrians, and Canaanites, and has hence neither certainty nor outline. It is on the contrary certain that in the first century B.C., and down to the time of Trajan, the Nabatæans were a prominent and civilised people whose realm extended from the Ælanitic Gulf to the land east of Jordan, past Belka as far as Haurân, --- written memorials of this people are found from Egypt to Babylonia, but Arabia Petræa is the chief The supposed ancient Nabatæan writings mine for them. might, if they contained any ancient germ, coincide with this period of Nabatæan civilisation, with which was combined the flourishing period of Christianity in Arabia Petræa (see my Kirchliches Chronikon des petr. Arabiens, Luth. Zeitschr. 1840, iv. 41. 1); and whether this civilisation had its starting-point in Babylonia or Arabia, the one is quite as compatible as the other with the Ishmaelite origin of the בני קרם, nor is the Aramaic language of the inscriptions and forms of incan-We know indeed but little tation contrary to this origin. of pre-Islamite Arabic and its dialects. But the few remains which have been preserved, e.g. the cry Malchan, with which, according to Laurentius Lydus (de mensibus, iv. 75), a Saracen is said to have pierced the Emperor Julian, recognised by the purple, in the Persian War, make it probable that idioms lying midway between the Aramaic and Arabic with which we are acquainted, were in existence. The Aramaic idiom of the Sinaitic inscriptions is moreover of a strongly Arabic tinge (DMZ. xiv. 379). The nomadic people mentioned together with Kedar in the times of the Israelite kings must have been as yet politically insignificant, for they are not mentioned in the history of the kings, though this mention might be expected in such connections as 2 Chron. xvii. 11, xxi. 16, Ps. lxxxiii. 7. Petra appears as an Edomite town, and in the Syro-Ephraimitic war Rezin made Ailat an Aramæan colony. But what objection is there to accepting the notion that Ishmaelite wandering tribes may have been subsequently swallowed up in the renowned civilised nation of the Nabatæi, who constructed their marvellous buildings upon the ancient Seirite mountains, but were despised by the Arabs as townsmen and pikemen, and not acknowledged as their equals because of their settled habits and industry?—Ishmael's This people of north-western Arabia, second son is קַרָר. frequently mentioned in the Old Testament as nomads dwell-

ing in tents and as good bowmen, was already known to Pliny (5. 11) as the Cedrei. Kedarenes dwelt eastward of the Nabatæans in the desert beyond Babylonia (Isa. xlii. 11, They had disappeared in the first period of Ps. cxx. 5). the tribe of قریش the tribe of Islam. The third son of Ishmael is אַרָבָא, according to Muhammed. Friedr. Delitzsch (Paradies, 301 sq.) the north Arabian tribe of Idiba'il. — The fourth son is מִבְשָׂם, and the fifth מָבְשָׂם, names which occur together also in the genealogy of the tribe of Simeon (1 Chron. iv. 25). The name of the Maισαιμανείς somewhat north-east of Medina, Ptol. vi. 7. 21 (comp. DMZ. xxii. 672), and el-Mismîje in Legâh, the name of the largest town in the mid-Syrian volcanic region, sound like טִישָׁמַע, but actual connection is doubtful in both cases. The sixth son דּוּמָה, probably Δούμαθα, Δούμεθα in Ptolem. and Steph. Byz., in the lowest دومة اجندل in the lowest depression of the Syrian land of Nufûd, the so-called Gôf whence proceeds the question to the prophet, Isa. xxi. 11, is about forty leagues north of Teimâ. The seventh son מְּשֵׁא sounds like the Magavol, Ptol. v. 19. 2, north-east of Duma. An Assyrian inscription in Friedr. Delitzsch (Paradies, 302) mentions a Maš'ai (from משא ?) who surprised the Nabatæans (Niba'aiti) after the Assyrians had withdrawn. The name of the country משא is also probably concealed in Prov. xxxi. 1, xxx. 1, which see.—On the eighth son חַרֵּד (as according to the Masora 1 Chron. i. 30 is also to be written, with which agree the LXX. Sam. Jos., and according to which Targ. Jer. translates מֵרֵיכָא) there is nothing to be said. The ninth son אָיָם does not correspond with יִים זיבה in the neighbourhood of the Persian Gulf (Gaipol in Ptol), but with the trading tribe of אָרֶץ הִּימָא Assyr. Têm'u, upon the borders of the Negd and the Syrian desert), Job vi. 19, Isa. xxi. 14, mentioned in Jer. xxv. 23 between Dedan and Buz,

and not to be confused with the Idumean [77], xxxvi. 42, though it almost seems as if py mentioned Jer. xlix. 7 sq., Ezek. xxv. 13, together with Dedan were equivalent to אָּיִמא Arabian geographers give the name of Téman to the southern half of the Negd, but are acquainted also with a Petræan the ruins of تيمن ذو الطلال Têman in northern 'Alia called تيمن ذو الطلال Têman. Wetzstein has also brought to our knowledge still existing trans-Hauranian localities called Têmâ and Dûma. There is also found in East Hauran, three and a half leagues south of Têmâ, a still stately town of Bûzân. Nevertheless the places here named are more probably to be sought in the Negd than in East Haurân.—The tenth and eleventh sons are in and נְּמִישׁ, both mentioned by the Chronicler, 1 Chron. v. 18-22. in conjunction with כֹּוֹיֶב, whose name has been preserved in the Hauranian Nudebe (ندسه) in the Wadi el-butm, and with the הנראים, i.e. 'Αγραίοι or 'Αγρέες, whose capital was בנראים, i.e. 'Αγραίοι or 'Αγρέες, whose capital was and Himjar. hagar town), in Plin. h. n. 6. 32 Hegra on the Persian Gulf; they there appear as involved in war with their neighbours the trans-Jordanic tribes of Israel. Of we we know nothing else. The are however, according to Strabo, are the plundering 'Iroupalos dwelling on Lebanon and the Haurân chain (Ituræi sagittarii in Cicero, Philipp. 2. 44); the inhabitants of the highest part and of the eastern slope of the Druse mountain chain in Hauran are perhaps their descendants.—The name too of the twelfth son קּמָמה is not elsewhere to be pointed out, for בָּנֵי קָּדֶם mentioned with Midian and Amalek Judg. vi. 3, with Moab and Ammon Isa. xi. 14, Ezek. xxv. 4, 10, is a collective name; but Σαρακηνοί, which certainly means the men of the East, appears originally, like קַּרְטָה, as a separate tribe upon the Sinaitic peninsula or elsewhere. We need not be surprised to seek in vain for most of these names in Wüstenfeld's and Sprenger's lists, for even the great tribes, who made a figure in the beginning of the history of Islam, have now disappeared together with their names. Closing summary, ver. 16: These are the sons of Ishmael, and these are their names in their settlements and their encampments, twelve princes according to their nations. אשׁלה is found also in Q at Num. xxv. 15, where Arabs are spoken of, as the word for nations. Two kinds of dwellingplaces are here distinguished, first חצרים, the special name for the groups of houses placed within the steppe, and enclosed on every side for fear of surprise,—as described by Burckhardt (translated by Gesenius, p. 1043) among the villages of the مضر and especially حصر ,حظر .from بلاِد to enclose, comp to live in a courtyard walled round (hadar, hadâr, hadârc); here as at Lev. xxv. 31, and to this day with the obliteration of the characteristic "walled round," the general name for a settled abode (with houses of plaster or stone) in contrast with wandering and tents. Then מור (from מור, comp. encampment (identical in meaning with صيرات sirât and دور ,طور duâr), i.e. circular groups (comp دور ,طور circle, circumference) of pitched tents (haircloth tents, wabar). The first appellation of the kind of dwelling designates the stationary, the second the wandering sons of Ishmael. Duration of Ishmael's lifetime, ver. 17: And this is the amount of the years of Ishmael: a hundred and seven and thirty years, and he departed and died, and was gathered to his people. Dwellingplaces of the Ishmaelites, ver. 18: They dwelt from Havilah to Sûr, which is before Egypt as far as towards Assyria, eastwards of all his brethren came he to dwell. The topographical על־פּני denotes a position which so covers the front of any place, that it may be seen thence before arriving at it, itself it tells us nothing of the quarter, comp. Josh. xv. 8 "westwards;" xviii. 4 "southwards," but standing alone it has here, as at xvi. 12, the meaning of eastwards (comp. Deut. xxxii. 49, 1 Sam. xv. 7, 1 Kings xi. 7, Zech. xiv. 4, comp. Num. xxi. 11). The by usual elsewhere of the territory devolving to any one, means here, as at Judg. vii. 12, to settle. Luther translates after the Vulgate: coram (על־פּגַי) as at xi. 28) cunctis fratribus suis obiit. But is used of falling in war, and not like the Arabic $\stackrel{\checkmark}{\succeq}$ exactly in the meaning of dying; and the prediction xvi. 12, the fulfilment of which is the point in question, shows that it is here synonymous with pv. Luther explains it in the Enarrationes more correctly: terram occuparunt, but with a mistaken interpretation of after (invaders) instead of settlement (comp. xxiv. 64). The here coincides locally with the Joktanite Havilah x. 29, the country of the Xavloraîoi mentioned between the Nabatæans and Agræans by Eratosthenes in Strabo, xvi. 4, 2. Between this Havilah on the Persian Gulf and the desert of Shur lying towards Egypt, the Ishmaelites spread themselves over the Sinaitic peninsula and the trans-Jordanic deserts of the Higaz and Negd, as well as further up Mesopotamia in the direction of Assyria, i.e. as far as the lands under Assyrian sway. Comparing indeed 1 Sam. xxvii. 8, the suspicion is aroused that שׁשׁוּרָה is a recent gloss which erroneously interprets the שור,—what it states is however correct as to matter (Dillm.), and the sentence על מני בל אחיי נפל to which Wellh. also objects (Composition, i. p. 410), is quite unassailable. But it is possible that ver. 18 is an addition from J_{i} , in which its original place was perhaps after ver. 6.

VOL. II.

VIIL

THE TOLEDOTH OF ISAAC, XXV. 19-XXXV. 29.

THE THREE PERIODS OF THE HISTORY OF ISAAC.

WE have already had preliminary information concerning Isaac, but his proper history according to the view and plan of Genesis commences here. It is opened by R with matter derived from Q, who furnishes its scaffold and framework, vv. 19, 20: And these are the generations of Isaac, the son of Abraham; Abraham begat Isaac. And Isaac was forty years old when he took to wife Rebekah, the daughter of Bethuel the Aramæan from Paddan Aram, the sister of Laban the Ara-The nin of Isaac assume that he is an independent commencement. And this he became after obtaining a wife in Rebekah from אַרָּם. Here for the first time we meet with this name of the Aramæan plain, occurring elsewhere only in Q and never out of Genesis. It is perhaps (comp. Spiegel, Eränische Alterthumskunde, i. 289) of a narrower meaning than the Jahvistic ארם נהרים, and denotes those plains of the immense fruitful campi Mesopotamice (Curtius, iii. 2. v. 1. 15) in which lay Harran and Edessa (Urhoi). word الفضا the broad desert (فدار) is of like root with plain, and properly means the extended level; in Aramaic and Arabic it is transferred to the oxen yoked to the plough and to the plough itself (DMZ. xxviii. 623). But even in these tongues its original meaning of plain, field, cultivated land (Gr. πεδίον, which however means trodden ground),

whence نداد as the designation of the landowner is derived, has been maintained as a local name (DMZ. xxix. 433). Hos. xii. 12 has שָּׁרָה for פוּרָה (comp. Shabbath 118b שִׁרִים). Isaac's marriage with Rebekah, who came from this Aramæa, remained childless for twenty years; it was not till fifteen years before the death of Abraham (not after that event, as Josephus, confusing the historiographic with the historic sequence, thinks) that Rebekah bore children, and that the new beginning appointed to take place with Isaac made an advance. The Toledoth of Isaac are divided into three sections: the first extends from the birth of the twin children amidst marvellous circumstances to the sending away of Jacob to Harran, xxv. 21 to xxviii. 9; the second begins with Jacob's dream of the heavenly ladder on his way to Harran, and reaches to his final peaceable departure from Laban, xxviii. 10 to xxxii. 1; the third begins with the miraculous experiences of Jacob during his return, at Mahanaim and Peniel, and terminates with the death of Isaac, xxxii. 2 to xxxv. 29. The history of Isaac differs from that of Abraham by the chief personage not being as in the latter the patriarch himself, but his son Isaac is the middle, the entirely secondary and rather passive than active member of the patriarchal triad. usual course of the historical process is, that the middle is weaker than the beginning and end, the fundamental figure of its rhythmic movement is the amphimacer -o'. thus also does the patriarchal history advance to its goal. What is told us of Isaac is comparatively little, and we see Abraham's history repeated in parvo. Isaac is blessed for Abraham's sake, and he himself blesses with the blessing of Abraham, while in the respect shown him by Abimelech, in the long barrenness of his wife, in her exposure to danger by his faithless policy, in his two dissimilar children, in his domestic vexations—in all these he is the copy of Abraham; even the wells which he digs are those of Abraham which have been stopped up by the Philistines, and the names he

gives them are the old ones renewed. He is the most passive of the three patriarchs.

THE TWIN CHILDREN AND ESAU'S FIRST SALE OF HIS BIRTHRIGHT TO JACOB, CH. XXV. 21-34.

The patriarchal history began with the separation of Abraham the Shemite from the mass of the nations; it continued with the separation of the son of promise from Abraham's other progeny; it closes with a fresh separation made between the twin sons of Isaac. The birth of these twin sons and their separation by Divine choice and then by their own decision is related in the first section of the life of Isaac, xxv. 21-34, in which vv. 21-23 may be certainly distinguished as derived from J, and 26b as from Q. rest the analysis is uncertain, for it is not necessary to assume that 25a purposes to give another occasion for the name אַרוֹם. and xxvii. 35 sq. an explanation of the name בשְלֵי in contradiction to ver. 26, both according to E in distinction from J. Neither is it necessary to regard Rebekah's exposure to danger by reason of her beauty, xxvi. 6-11, as occurring before she became a mother.

Isaac's prayer for the blessing of children, ver. 21: And Isaac prayed to Jahveh in respect of his wife, for she was barren. And Jahveh was entreated by him: Rebekah his wife conceived. He prayed לַּבְּרֵּח אִישְׁרֵּה, i.e. as at xxx. 38, with respect to her from יבֹּר figere oculos in aliqua re. The verb יבֹר properly means to burn incense (Syr. Arab. בֹר פעמר meaning is favoured by Ezek. viii. 11, where מבֹר retreating from this original meaning, is more generally: to bring sacrifices, not merely with an object (Jakat, iii. p. 912, Z. 13), but also absolutely (id. p. 913, line 2), as also עַּרְרָּב עַרְרָּב עַרְרָב עַרְרָּב עַרְרָּב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָּב עַרְרָב עַרָּרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּב עַרְרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרְרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרָּרָב עַרָּרָב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עָרְב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְיב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עָרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עָרָב עַרְב עָרְרָב עָרְיב עָרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עַרְרָב עָרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עָרְבְיּב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְרָב עַרְב עַרְיב עַרְב עַרְב עַרְב עַרְב

is a נישלי), and farther to precari, is natural. The Niph. נישלי is a synonym of נענה, to let oneself be entreated. The Talmud and Midrash combine var with nan in the meaning of to engrave = to penetrate, for which the Arabic is appealed to (see Pesikta de Rab Cahana 162b, ed. Buber); another Haggadic meaning is found in Buxtorf, Lex. Talmud. col. 1687. Apparent menace to maternal hopes, ver. 22: And the children thrust each other within her, then she said: If it be thus, for what purpose am I? And she went to inquire of Jahveh. The thrusts within seem to her indications not of the favour but of the wrath of God. Hence she complains and inquires: Why (comp. xxvii. 46) do I live at all? in its first meaning ad quid, cui rei, as e.q. at Amos v. 18. Rebekah is of a sensitive, sanguine disposition, as prompt in action as she is easily discouraged; she maintains however amidst all her changes of emotion a direct regard to God and to His promise. So too here: she goes to some holy place consecrated by revelation and by the worship of God ad petendum Domini oraculum, and receives comfort and information, ver. 23: Jahveh said to her:

Two nations are in thy womb,

And two peoples shall be separated from thy bowels;

And a nation overcomes a nation,

And the elder will serve the younger.

The poetic form of this tetrastich is unmistakeable. We here see how akin prophecy is to poetry. In xxiv. 60 we had the poetry of the arms, here the poetry of the answer corresponds as to its tenour with the paradoxical character of the patriarchal period. After the long barrenness of Rebekah, which made the life of Isaac an enigma, is removed, the mark of an inversion of natural order is impressed upon Rebekah's children even in their mother's womb. God's thoughts, which are far above men's thoughts, are here ordering everything. Birth of the twins, vv. 24-26: When then her days were fulfilled to be delivered, behold there

were twins in her womb. And the first came forth ruddy quite like a hairy garment, and they called his name Esau. Afterwards his brother came forth, his hand holding to Esau's heel, and his name was called Ja'akob, and Isaac was sixty years old at their birth. The twins are here called DOIN. contracted from Σχανίιί. 27, comp. Θωμάς = κρίπ. The first-born appeared אָרָמוֹנְי, i.e. with flesh of a redbrown colour (comp. 1 Sam. xvi. 12, xvii. 42), and quite באַרֶּרֶת שָׁעֵר (Zech. xiii. 4 comp. Heb. xi. 37), i.e. as to his whole body like a mantle (from אָדֶר amplum esse) covered with hairs (from www horrere, to bristle, comp. hirtus, hirsutus, rough), an anomalous luxuriance of hair (Hypertrichosis), which sometimes occurs in the newly born, here, as was also the darker colour of the skin, a prognostic of bodily strength and fierceness. In there and xxvii. 11, 23, there may be an allusion to the national name שַּׁעִיר but no actual line of connection is drawn. The second born made his appearance holding the heel of his brother, with his hand held above his head. We are not told that it was thus in his mother's womb (a position of twins hardly possible), but that he followed his brother with this movement of the hand. They called (מְקרָאוּי) the one עשׁל, the hairy, the other they called (אָלָרֵא) as at xxxv. 8, xxxviii. 29 sq.) אָנֶלָר the heel-holder, i.e. the crafty (comp. Hos. xii. 4). Reifmann, referring to the interchange of y and z in Galilean-Samaritan. explains עָשָׁר as "the covered over," from נָשָׂר; but the Arabic אילה hirsutus, makes the existence of a verb וَعْدَى hirsutus, to be hairy, probable, whence is formed by after the formation קנב and כבר. Isaac was sixty years old, and had hence been married twenty years, when they were born (פלרת אֹתִם without a subject: at their birth, Ew. § 304a, comp. אהאלד, when one bears, iv. 18). The different characters of the two brothers, ver. 27: And the boys grew, and Esau was a

¹ Notwithstanding the anomalous change of w and (Aramaic II), see Fleischer on Levy's Neuhebr. WB. iii. 732.

man skilled in hunting, a man of the field, but Jacob an amiable man, dwelling in tents. Esau appears also as a sportsman under the name of Ovowos in Phænician legends. is here not so much the praise of piety, as the designation of natural temperament: a perfect and, because love is the bond of perfectness, a kind and amiable man (comp. the ancient Arab. , used of loving devotion), not wandering about as a hunter in the open field, but dwelling in tents as a shepherd (iv. 20). Relation of their parents to them, ver. 28: And Isaac loved Esau, because he relished venison, and Rebekah The former was the favourite of Isaac because loved Jacob. venison was in his mouth, i.e. because he often ate and liked it; the latter was the favourite of Rebekah, who was better pleased with his quiet, gentle and thoughtful disposition, than with the boisterous, wild, clumsy Esau. The fatal lentil pottage, vv. 29, 30: And Jacob sod pottage, then came Esau from the field and he was faint. And Esau said to Jacob: Oh let me swallow of the red, the red there, for I am faint—therefore his name was called Edôm. Another motive for the name Ding (the red-brown) was perhaps hinted at in אַרָמוּיִי; the designation is expressly based only upon Ding, that red, i.e. yellowbrown lentil pottage φοινικίδιον. Elsewhere too, e.g. among the Arabs (comp. Abulfeda's hist. anteislamica and Wetzstein's inscriptions in the Transactions of the Berlin Academy, 1863, pp. 335-337), innumerable names have a similarly accidental origin, and he who finds it impossible that the fortunes of a nation should for a thousand years be connected with a dish of lentils, if he will only look into the history of the world, and especially of the East, will not look in vain for parallels. Lentils ('adas) are and were a favourite dish in Syria and Egypt; besides Esau was hungry, so that the appetizing meal מיין, a noun formed from the verb ויד, Hiph. דויד, with the

¹ If a Redouin girl is born at night, she is called *Lela*; if when snow is falling, she is called *Thelga*; if her mother's eye encountered at her birth a swarm of ants, she is called *Nimla*, etc.

preformative na common in Assyrian, and with the retention of the characteristic middle sound), pleasant to sight and smell, was a trial to his self-denial, to which he was unequal. Jacob profits by his moment of weakness, vv. 31-33: Then Jacob said: Sell me first of all thy birthright! And Esau said: Behold, I am about to die, and of what use is the birthright to me? And Jacob said: Then first swear to me, and he swore to him, and sold his birthright to Jacob. The hardly translateable means just now, first of all, before all else, comp. 1 Sam. ii. 16, 1 Kings i. 51, xxii. 5. Esau consents to the bargain, profanely preferring (Heb. xii. 16) the palpable and present to the unseen and future. Jacob's cheap payment, ver. 34: And Jacob gave Esau bread and lentil pottage, he ate and drank and rose up and went away, and Esau despised his birthright, i.e. he thought no more about it, till he saw too late how foolishly he had acted. The בּלֹרָה generally consists in the right to the larger portion of the inheritance, xlviii. 19, xlix. 3, Deut. xxi. 17, but we do not see Jacob afterwards lay claim to anything of the kind. In this instance it is the claim to the בַּרְבֶּח אַכְרְהָם in the sense of xxviii. 4, and the princely and priestly prerogative involved in it, for which Jacob is concerned. "Before the tabernacle was erected"says the Mishna Sebachim xiv. 4-" the Bamoth (local sanctuaries) were permitted, and the Abodah (the priestly office) was with the first-born; but after the erection of the tabernacle (the central sanctuary) the Bamoth were forbidden and the Abodah was with the Cohanim." Jerome thus correctly reports as Jewish tradition, heec (viz. the sacerdotium) esse primogenita quæ Esau fratri suo vendiderit Jacob. the first-born is the head of the patriarchal family, and the right of the first-born includes the representative privileges derived from this exalted position. Esau's forfeiture of these privileges is, according to Rom. ix. (comp. Mal. i. 2 sq.), a work of free Divine election, but not without being at the same time, as this narrative shows, the result of Esau's voluntary self-degradation. As Ishmael had no claim to the blessing of the first-born, because begotten κατὰ σάρκα, so does Esau, though not begotten κατὰ σάρκα, forfeit the blessing of the first-born, because minded κατὰ σάρκα. The unbrotherly artifice of Jacob is indeed also sinful, and we see this one sin produce first the sin of deceiving his aged father, before whom Jacob did not venture to assert his purchased claim to the blessing, and then penal consequences of every kind. By reason however of the fundamental tendency of his mind towards the promised blessing, Jacob is the more pleasing to God of the two brothers; hence his sin itself must contribute to the realization of the Divine counsel, and his dishonour to the glorification of Divine grace.

VARIED CONFIRMATION OF THE PROMISE TO ISAAC, CH. XXVI.

The second portion, ch. xxvi., tells us of Isaac's joys and sorrows during the period of his Philistine sojourn, and thereby gives us a picture of his life in general—a life bearing the relation of a copy to that of Abraham, but also made illustrious by appearances of God (vv. 2, 24), and thus maintained at the patriarchal level. The narrator is J, in whose work this mosaic of matters concerning Isaac perhaps preceded the birth of the This narrator is announced by the Divine twin children. name mm, the continuations of the promise that the nations shall be blessed in the seed of the patriarch, 4b, comp. xxii. 18, the series 'חקרא בשם ה' in ver. 25, and by other particulars. Both diction and matter however point in many respects to E, e.g. על־אֹרוֹת 32a, and the mention of Phicol with Abimelech ver. 26 comp. xxi. 22, hence the source may more correctly be designated as JE (i.e. J with matter from E worked into In vv. 1-6 Dillmann thinks he can even separate from each other the elements belonging respectively to J and E. Undoubtedly ver. 5 in this passage is from the hand of the

Deuteronomist. It has a special connection with the closing portion, xxvi. 34 sq. 1. RENEWAL OF THE PROMISE IN GERAR, xxvi. 1-6: And there arose a famine in the land, beside the former one, which arose in the days of Abraham, and Isaac went unto Abimelech, king of Gerar. And Jahveh appeared unto him and said: Go not down into Egypt, remain in the land that I will tell thee. Sojourn in this land, and I will be with thee and bless thee, for to thee and to thy seed will I give all these lands and fulfil the oath which I swore to thy father Abraham, and I will increase thy seed as the stars of heaven, and will give to thy seed all these lands, and in thy seed shall all the nations of the earth bless themselves, for a reward that Abraham obeyed my bidding and observed my precept, my commandments, my statutes and my instructions. Then Isaac dwelt in Gerar. xii. 10 is referred to by שלבר ונו (in meaning = the narrator as here is there J, the reference however is surely an addition of R's. The facts related resemble each other as to matter. The famine directs Isaac's as well as Abraham's view to Egypt, the granary of the Holy Land in such cases, and he journeys on the road thither first to Gerar (three leagues south of Gaza in the broad and deep 'Gurf el-'Gerâr where Rowlands discovered ruins). This district was still governed by a king who had been on friendly terms with Abraham, ch. xx. 21, 22 sqq., and who bears here the title which was missing in the text of E. Arrived in Gerar, Isaac receives Divine direction to pursue his journey towards Egypt no farther, but to remain (שמ) in the land which God points out to him: he is to sojourn in the land where he now is, viz. Philistia (13, the standing word for the sojourning of the patriarchs in Canaan and Philistia); at the same time the fulfilment of the oath by which God confirmed His promises to Abraham upon Moriah is assured to him, and indeed for the sake of Abraham's obedience. The relation both in diction and matter to xxii, 15-18 is unmistakeable. there is in vv. 2-5 many a token of the interposition of a more recent hand.1 The expression אחבל-הארצות האל i.e. Canaan proper with the neighbouring lands, is peculiar (comp. in 1 Chron. xiii. 2, 2 Chron. xi. 23); זאל is here no archaism, but an abbreviation of the original issue on xix. 8). The combination מָצְוֹתֵי הַקּוֹתֵי has a Deuteronomic ring (the plur. Min however occurs only Ex. xvi. 28, xviii. 16, 20, Lev. xxvi. 46, and not in Deuteronomy), Abraham's performance of the obedience due to God being thus divided according to the language of subsequent legislation. SERVATION OF THE PATRIARCH'S WIFE IN GERAR, XXVI. 7-11. It is conceivable that what is here related may have taken place in the period preceding the birth of the twin children, and may be introduced here retrospectively in an appropriate But this is unnecessary, for it is found now as connection. formerly that a woman may be still seductively beautiful, even after she has borne children. Her cowardly exposure, ver. 7: And the people of the place asked him concerning his wife, and he said: She is my sister, for he feared when he thought: Let not people of this place kill me for the sake of Rebekah, for she is The 5 after 5 is that of relation, and therefair to look on. fore of the object of the inquiry, as at xxxii. 30, xliii. 7, comp. and safter אמר אמר אמר אמר (on account of), ver. 3, is equally used as here and at ver. 9. He who was untruthful through fear of man is put to shame, vv. 8-11: And it came to pass when a long time had passed there with him, that Abimelech, king of the Philistines, looked through the window, and he saw and behold Isaac was caressing with Rebekah his wife. Then Abimelech called Isaac and said: She is certainly thy wife, and how canst thou say she is thy sister? And Isaac said to him: Because I thought: Let me not die on her account. Then said Abimelech: What hast thou done unto us? In a little one of the people might have lain with thy wife, and thou wouldst have brought guilt upon us. And Abimelech commanded the people

¹ So already Hitzig, Begriff der Kritik (1831), p. 169 sq.; comp. Kuenen, Einl. (1887) § 13, note 31.

thus: Whosoever toucheth this man or his wife shall die the The juxtaposition of own sounds like a play death.upon the words: Isaac isaacabat cum Rebecca h. e. blandiebatur In distinction from one-sided playing with 3 pm. means exchanging jests, caresses. Ver. 9 is parallel with xx. 9. אָדָ quomodo is here equal to quo jure. With בָּמַעָם שֶׁכָב pæne concubuisset comp. Ps. lxxiii. 2, xciv. 17, cxix. 87, Prov. v. 14. הַבָּאַת has the tone on the ult., like יַהָבָּאַת 22a and יָהָבָּאַת Isa. xi, 2, on account of the else scarcely audible y which Isaac, in consequence of saying that Rebekah was his sister, has an experience essentially the same as that of Abraham in Egypt and afterwards in this very place Gerar. xxvi. 7-11 also resembles ch. xx. in mode of delineation and tone of language. These events were nevertheless regarded by the ancients as different (comp. Ps. cv. 14 with chs. xii, and xx.; cv. 15 with xxvi. 11), indeed they are also characteristically distinguished from each other by the fact, that Jahveh does not suffer Rebekah's exposure to danger by the fault of Isaac to go so far as in the case of Sarah's by the fault of Abraham. The Philistine king being here as in ch. xx. called אַבִּימֵלָהָ suggests the conjecture, that this was a general name of Philistine as שׁמְעֹה was

of Egyptian, عَبَهُ (plur. عَبَاهِلَة) of Jamanite, and Lucumo of Etrurian kings (comp. 1 Sam. xxi. 11 with Ps. xxxiv. 1); nevertheless it may perhaps be the same Abimelech as at ch. xx., though about eighty years had elapsed. chaste and God-fearing behaviour speaks for the sameness of person, while the thought that he might himself have appropropriated Rebekah being entirely absent from him, speaks for his meantime much advanced old age. 3. Isaac's INCREASED POSSESSIONS, WHICH BECOME OBJECTIONABLE IN Success of Isaac's Philistinian agricul-GERAR, XXVI. 12-17. ture, ver. 12: And Isaac sowed in that land and gained in the same year a hundredfold, and Jahveh blessed him. He obtained, gained (as www means) in that same year, which followed the year

of famine, מאה שערים a hundredfold, i.e. according to Luke viii. 8 καρπὸν έκατονταπλασίονα, as at present occurs only in the "red earth" (the lava soil) of Haurân. We see from this union of agricultural with nomadic life (comp. xxxvii. 7), not as yet found in the history of Abraham, that Isaac, encouraged by the Divine promise, had set firm foot in the land. not till their sojourn in Egypt that tillage and the rearing of cattle became equally pursuits of the Israelites, and not till after the Exodus that the former obtained the upper hand. Isaac's increased prosperity excites envy, vv. 13, 14: And the man became great and became continually greater, till he became very great. And he possessed herds of small cattle and herds of oven and a great household, so that the Philistines envied him. Instead of the inf. absol. יורול 2 Sam. v. 10 (comp. above, viii. 3, 5) we have here إلية 3rd pract. like 1 Sam. ii. 26 in accordance with Josh. vi. 13, Isa. xxxi. 5, or also the participial adj. in accordance with Judg. iv. 24, 2 Sam. xvi. 5. always without an article in the Pentateuch; besides here occurs only in the imitative passage Job i. 3. Consequences of this envy, vv. 15-17: And all the wells, which the servants of his father had digged in the days of Abraham his father, the Philistines stopped up and filled them with earth. Then Abimelech said to Isaac: Go forth from us, for thou art become too mighty for us. Then Isaac departed thence and encamped in the valley of Gerar, and dwelt there. The verbs referring to the fem. plur. have the suffix ûm instead of ûn, the former being used for both genders. Ewald 249b, 3. The style of expression of ver. 15 places its statement in a circumstantializing relation to ver. 16. The self-help of his people gives occasion to the demand of the king, that Isaac should depart from the district of Gerar. Such well-digging on the part of Abraham is spoken of xxi. 25-31. It is in accordance with the character of the enduring Isaac, that he willingly submits and leaves the district of the town of Gerar, taking up his abode in the valley of Gerar. Here ἐν Γεράροις ἐν τῷ χειμάρρφ, Constantine,

according to Sozomenus, vi. 32, erected a monastery. 4. ISAAC'S RESTORED AND NEWLY DISCOVERED WELLS, XXVI. 18 - 22. Redigging of the stopped up wells, ver. 18: And Isaac dug again the wells of water, which they had digged in the days of his father Abraham and the Philistines had stopped up after Abraham's death, and called them by names like the names by which his father had called them. Thus the self-help of the Philistines had not been limited to the district of Gerar. The conjunctive form of the plural of בַּאַרוֹת was at xiv. 10 בַּאַרוֹת here and Deut. x. 6 אַרוֹם like the chief form. The subjects of are the עַבְרֵי אָבִיי are the עַבְרֵי אָבִיי 15a. The newly discovered spring, vv. 19, 20: And the servants of Isaac were digging in the valley and found there a spring of living water. Then the herdmen of Gerar strove with the herdmen of Isaac, saying: The water belongs to us; therefore he called the name of the spring 'Esek, because they had contended with him. Isaac's people discovered a vein of water, which was not difficult to lead upwards and lay hold on (see my discussion on such desert springs in Luthardt's Zeitschr. 1882, p. 454 sq.). Pip means contention; the verb עשק (post-biblical עסק) seems related to אישה as facessere to facere. A second new well, ver. 21: And they dug another well and they strove about that also, then he called its name Sitna, ie. enmity. A third new well, ver. 22: And he departed thence and dug another well, and about this they strove not, then he called its name Rehoboth and said: Truly now hath Jahveh made room for us, and we may increase in the land. A Wadi Ruhaibe was found by Robinson south-west of Elusa (Chalasa) with extensive ruins of a town of like name upon a hill; he came from Ruhaibe to Chalasa and found there also a Wadi "Sutein pointing to the well שִׁמנה. The name החבות means distances, spaces for free movement, in opposition to צרות augusin stating the reason for the name is not merely one recitativum, to which like the Aramaic , ag. Dan. ii. 25, it has been certainly diluted, but means, with a transition from the reason-giving meaning to the confirmatory: truly, indeed, like e.g.

xxix. 33, Ex. iii. 12, iv. 25, and in the connection דָּי עָּהָה, truly now, xxix. 32, especially in the apodosis of a hypothetical prodosis: truly then, so . . . now, xxxi. 42, xliii. 10, Job iii. 13, with the preterite or with the imperf. as at Job vi. 3, viii. 6, xiii. 19, comp. בְּי־אַן Job xi. 15, according to the nature of the prodosis. 5. ISAAC'S DEPARTURE FROM THE VALLEY OF GERAR AND ABODE AT BEERSHEBA, XXVI. 23-25: And he went up thence to Beërseba. And Jahveh appeared to him that same night and said: I am the God of Abraham, fear not, for I am with thee and will bless thee and multiply thy seed for my servant Abraham's sake. Then he built there an altar and proclaimed the name of Jahveh and pitched his tent there, and there Isaac's servant bored a well. In Beersheba (12 leagues south-west of Hebron), where, according to the present composition of Genesis, Abraham had dwelt for a long period between his two sojourns in Hebron, ch. xviii.-xix. 23, are the promises made to his father confirmed to Isaac. He there built an altar, held solemn acts of worship and there stretched (מַבּישַׁם) his tent: his servants also bored a well in the neighbourhood of his new quarters. On the distinction of the synonyms nar and one my discussion in Luthardt's Zeitschr. 1882, p. 6. ABIMELECH'S COVENANT WITH ISAAC, XXVI, 26-33. This event of Isaac's life bears a striking resemblance with what is related in the life of Abraham, xxi. 22 sqq. What is here related by J is strikingly like what was there related by E. When about to enter into a covenant with Isaac, Abimelech is here as there accompanied by Phicol, vv. 26-29: And Abimelech went to him from Gerar, and Ahuzzat his friend and Phicol his captain of the host. Then Isaac said to them: Why are ye come to me, since ye hate me and have driven me from you! They said: We saw plainly that Jahveh is with thee, and we thought: Let there now be an oath betwixt us and thee and we will make a covenant with thee, that thou wilt do us no evil, as we have not molested thee and as we have done unto thee nothing but good and have sent thee away in peace—thou art now the blessed of Jahreh. The king has with him, beside Phicol, Ahuzzath (with the original fem. ending like בַּשְׁמַת ,נְּמֵּת and the like) his friend, i.e. counsellor; the name "friend" may here already designate not merely a personal but an official relation, as subsequently at the Persian and Roman imperial courts (perhaps also in Egypt, if according to A. Geiger Πτολεμαίος = the brother, i.e. friend, comp. on xli. 43). Here as at xxi, 22 they acknowledge and bear testimony to the patriarch, that Jahveh is with him (אָה 28a = 75, as אָה xx, 6 = 100, see Ges. § 75. note 2). The declaration on oath for which they apply to the patriarch, and the reason for so doing, are similar to xxi. 22 sq. (בּרָת as a syn. of בָּרָת, like Deut, xxix. 11, 13, comp. Ezek. xvi. 59). העשה has here Tsere in the final syllable as in only three other passages, Josh. vii. 9 with Tiphchah and therefore in half pause, 2 Sam. xiii. 12 and Jer. xl. 16, perhaps to guard against the confusion of the first syllable of the second word with the last of the first, see on Isa. lxiv. 3. The consonance אָהָה עָקָה is like ואני עני Ps. xl. 18 and frequently. The conclusion of the covenant, vv. 30, 31: Then he made them a feast and they are and drank. And they arose up betimes in the morning and swore to one another, and Isaac accompanied them, and they departed from him in peace. There is nothing said of a covenant repast at xxi. 23, it finds its parallel at xxxi. 54, but here as there the name of the subsequent Beersheba originates on the occasion of the covenant by reason of a well standing in connection with it, vv. 32, 33: And it came to pass on the same day that Isaac's servants came and made report to him with respect to the well which they had digged, and said to him: We have found water. Then he called it 'Sib'ah, therefore the city is called Beërseb'a to this day. The well with the boring of which Isaac's people were occupied (ver. 25) soon after his settlement at Beersheba is here intended. They now announce to him their success, and the covenant just concluded with Abimelech gives occasion

to Isaac to name this well שַׁבְעָה. An oath is called a sevening as being an asseveration by seven things, as shown by the narrative concerning the origin of the name of the town of Beersheba, xxi. 28-31, taken from E, while the one now before us is from J. The similarity of the two histories does not of itself stamp the one as a copy of the other (comp. on the contrary e.g. Judg. ix. in relation to Gen. xix.). There are many indications, as we saw on xxi. 31, that Beersheba had its name with relation to two treaties with Abimelech concerning two wells, the one made by Abraham, the other by Isaac, and names with two similar historical connections also occur elsewhere. At ver. 18 also we find Isaac preferring to renew the old names of the wells. It is indeed difficult, i.e. chronologically difficult, to separate the two stories, because Phicol again appears with Abimelech, whom one may think of at ch. xxi. as still very young; Jacobus Edessenus takes the king and the captain of the host for grandsons of the same names. 7. Esau's Marriages, xxvi. 34 sq.: And Esau was forty years old, then he took to wife Jehudith the daughter of Beeri the Hittite and Basmath the daughter of Elon the Hittite. And they were a grief of heart to Isaac and Rebekah, properly a bitterness of spirit (מָרָה = morra Prov. xiv. 10), i.e. a cause of bitterness of feeling. In the חולדות of Esau ch. xxxvi. their names and those of their fathers, as also that of Esau's third wife, xxviii. 9, are given somewhat differently from those in our present Jehovistic portion, without however their identity It is striking that יהקית (a patronymic from praise) appears here (against xxxvi. 2) so early as a The formation שִׁשְׁמֵּח here and xxxvi. 3 Canaanite name. (comp. above אַחַאָּה and xxviii. 9 מַחַלָּח) is an ancient principal The terminations form of the feminine. represent three successive periods of the language (DMZ. xvi. 160). The most obvious explanation of the difference between xxvi. 34 sq., xxviii. 9 and xxxvi. would be to adopt the view that the narrator is here J and there Q. There is much to YOL IL

favour this: the marriage of Esau in his fortieth year is similar to Isaac's in his fortieth year, the exclamation of Rebekah xxvii. 46b to her exclamation xxv. 22a, and בטת הַת might also have been once written by J, especially as in the passage xxviii. 1-8, which is in any case Q's, בנות כנות וis said for it. But xxviii. 8 cannot be separated from xxviii. 9 of which it is the premiss, and על־נִישׁי xxviii. 9 points back to xxvi. 34 sq., so that in fact xxvi. 34 sq., xxvii. 46, xxviii. 1-9 must be attributed to the same author and hence to Q. Consequently the wives' names are here given according to the wording of the text of Q, and the fact that they nevertheless run differently in the Toledoth of Esau, which is as to its foundation derived from Q, obliges us to adopt the view that R there inserted them from another source, in accordance with his principle of preserving two differing traditions and not violently reconciling them. In the mosaic ch. xxvi., ver. 34 sq. forms, in the present form of the composition, the concluding portion. Through all these seven short histories from the first forty years of the independent story of Isaac's life, there runs like a thread the purpose of showing how Isaac also, though less great in action than in endurance, nevertheless came under the blessing and protection of Jahveh, honourably through all complications, and rose to more and more wealth and respect. His life is an echo of the life of Abraham. All its vibrations arise from the powerful impulses given in the life of Abraham. Nevertheless the son of promise is not unworthy of his father. He manifests in "elasticity of endurance" (Kurtz) a special greatness, which has been transmitted as an ineradicably tenacious vital faculty to the nation descended from him.

JACOB OBTAINS BY CRAFT THE BLESSING OF THE FIRST-BORN, CH. XXVII. 1-40.

This third portion also gives us an equally double-sided picture of Isaac: he shows himself weak, passive and pliable

in the hands of men, but elevated and inwardly profound, and at last obedient to God alone and strong in Him. narrative is composed of the accounts of J and E worked into each other and completed from each other by R. is seen from the two מברכה, one of which 23b follows the testing by touch, the other 27a the testing by smell; from the two equivalent and 30a; from ver. 34 sq. in relation to vv. 36-38 with the twice told outburst of grief on the part of Esau; from the reiterated "until thy brother's fury turn away," 44b, 45a. The aged father makes preparations for the blessing of the first-born, vv. 1-4: And it came to pass, when Isaac was old and his eyes had become dull of sight, that he called Esau his elder son and said to him: My son! And he said: Here am I. He said: Behold I am old, I know not the day of my death. Take then, I pray thee, thy weapons, thy quiver and thy bow, and go out into the field and hunt me venison, and make me a savoury dish such as I love, and bring it me, that I may eat, that my soul may bless thee before I die. The occurrence falls, according to xxv. 26, xxvi. 34, in a period when Isaac had already passed his 100th and his sons their 40th year. The principal sentence introduced by in is continued with MPD. The impf. cons. designates his dulness of sight as a result of his having grown old. The מָראוֹת is the negative (away from seeing), like xvi. 2, xxiii. 6. יְלָּי is the quiver (חַשָּׁשִׁא) with a shoulder-belt, ἄπαξ γεγρ., forming together with the bow the usual hunting equipment (Isa. vii, 24). For צייר the Chethib has צייר commonly used in the general meaning of diet, but here quite appropriate as a nomen unitatis. The weak side of Isaac's preference for Esau is here betrayed, in that he desires the dish of game, which he is fond of (אַהָּב vv. 4, 9, 14), not only for the sake of enjoying it, but that his son may, before he blesses him as a father, show the willing obedience of child-like affection. In Arabic a present is plainly called tabarruk as the means of obtaining a blessing. Hereupon Rebekah urges Jacob to obtain

his father's blessing, by bringing him a spurious dish of savoury meat, vv. 5-10: And Rebekah heard when Isaac spake to Esau his son, and Esau went to the field to hunt for venison, to bring it. And Rebekah said to Jacob her son: Behold, I have heard thy father speak unto Esau thus: Bring me venison and make me a savoury dish, that I may eat, and I will bless thee before Jahveh, before my death. And now, my son, hearken to my voice in what I bid thee do. Go now to the flock and fetch me thence two young goat-kids, and I will make of them a savoury dish for thy father such as he loveth. And thou shalt bring it to thy father, that he may eat, and bless thee before his death. It is not without emphatic meaning that Esau is called Isaac's, and Jacob Rebekah's son. Instead of the LXX. has suitably לאביו (for his father), but the former cannot be criticized either as to matter, see vv. 4, 7, nor as to syntax (on account of the missing suffix, comp. 31a, Jer. xli. 5). לפני ה' 7a is important and not pleonastic. Rebekah knows that it is done in the presence of Jahveh, and therefore with divine reality, with prophetic power. The א of לאישר 8b is not that of the norm but that of reference, Ges. § 123. 2. יוֹדְי from is inflected just like '' from '' (Backe). Jacob's objection appeased, vv. 11-13: Then Jacob said to Rebekah his mother: Behold, Esau is a hairy man and I am a smooth man, perhaps my father will feel me, and I shall seem to him a mocker and bring upon myself a curse and not a blessing. And his mother said to him: I take thy curse upon me, my son; only hearken to my voice and go fetch (them) me. סְחַעָהַע does not mean "a deceiver," but contempt is here combined with the deceit, the kind of deceit being like a joke played upon an aged father. Jacob fears, if detected, to bring upon himself a curse and not a Rebekah however replies decidedly: Let the curse thou meetest lie upon me, I will bear it and its consequences -a proof that, notwithstanding the impure means by which she incurred guilt, she yet leaned upon the word of promise,

and now when this was threatened with frustration, was willing at any cost to promote its fulfilment. Preparation for the deception thus planned, vv. 14-17: Then Rebekah took the garments of Esau her elder son, the costly ones, which she kept in the house, and clothed Jacob her younger son. And the skins of the kiels she put upon his hands and upon the smooth of his neck, and gave the savoury dish and the bread which she had prepared into the hand of Jacob her son. בנרי may, according to 2 Chron. xx. 25, be repeated as the governing word before החסרות (garments of the desired one, i.e. such as are the object of desire), or we may, according to Lev. vi. 20 (where בנד is construed as a fem.), take it as an adj. (Reggio: gli abiti più preziosi). means at home, within בָּאִהֶל, which however is not so usual, as the opposite of בְּשְׂרֵה (xxxiv. 5) would be more accurate. אַנאַניי is the inflected form of the dual which does not occur in the principal form, and means the fore and hind parts of the neck. Jacob begins to carry out the plot, vv. 18-20: And he came to his father and said: My father, and he said: Here am I, who art thou, my son? Then Jacob said unto his father: I am Esau, thy first-born, I have done as thou saidst unto me; rise up then, sit and eat of my venison, that thy soul may bless me. And Isaac said to his son: How hast thou found it so quickly, my son? And he said: Because Jahveh thy God favoured me. The construction מַהַרָהָ לְמֵצֹא is like xxvi. 18, xxxi. 27. Ges. § 142. 2. On הַּקְרָה לפני see on xxiv. 12. The test by feeling, vv. 21-23: Then Isaac said to Jacob: Come near, I pray thee, that I may feel thee, my son, whether thou there be my son Esau or not. Then Jacob came near to Isaac his father, and he felt him and said: The voice is Jacob's voice and the hands Esau's hands, and he discerned him not, for his hands were hairy as his brother Esau's hands, so he blessed The interrogative ה in האחה has Pathach, as also Judg. vi. 13 must be pointed. means, thou whom I have there before me. יברכהו is in the present connection an anticipation of the result, since we are told farther on how he

proceeded to bless him, and in what words. Isaac makes a further trial, takes the offered meat and, confirmed by the smell of the garments that Esau is before him, prepares to bless him, vv. 24-27a: And he said: Art thou there, my son Esau? And he said: I am. And he said: Bring it here to me, that I may eat of my son's venison, that my soul may bless thee. Then he brought it near to him and he ate, and he brought him wine and he drank. Then his father Isaac said to him, Come near, I pray thee, my son, and kiss me. Then he came near and kissed him, and he smelled the smell of his garments and blessed him and said. Perplexed by the voice, which was not that of Esau, Isaac asks again whether it is Esau who is standing before him, and Jacob affirms it with emboldened The psychologic acuteness and rigid objectivity composure. of the narrative are admirable. The deceived father eats and drinks, and inaugurates his son for the blessing with a kiss of grateful affection (with - under a non-guttural after ; as at ii. 12 and frequently, from with according to an ancient original construction). While kissing him he smells the odour of his garments. They were the garments of Esau the sportsman, saturated with the odour of the luxuriant vegetation of the field. The deception was thus perfect, and Isaac blesses him and says:

- 27b See: The smell of my son is as the smell of a field Which Jahveh has blessed,
- 28 And GOD will give thee of the dew of heaven And of the fatness of the earth, And corn and wine in plenty.
- 29 Peoples shall serve thee
 And nations bow down to thee.
 Be lord over thy brethren,
 And thy mother's sons shall bow down to thes.
 Cursed be they that curse thee,
 And blessed be they that bless thes!

The odour of the garments gives rise to the first thought of the blessing, it is the God-blessed Paradisaic plains of the Promised Land that appear before the mind's eye of Isaac, and his son seems to him to be scented with the perfume of this his inheritance (Hos. xiv. 7). It is true that God the Creator is also called mm (e.g. Ps. civ. 16), but here where we find ver. 28 האלהים used, the reason for the change is, that the plains of Canaan, which are blessed by the God of the history of redemption, are the subject of thought. Heaven and earth are to dispense their mingled powers, the former its dew, the latter the soil of its most fruitful tracts, to produce an abundance of the noblest products, corn (edible grain) and wine. Although ישמני has a non-dageshed Shin, it is nevertheless. as also it is here and there pointed, the same as 'popo, parallel with שָׁטָּ, comp. מֹשְׁהֵים xxv. 23, מֹשְׁהֵים for שַּׁהָים Jonah iv. 11, perhaps also מְמְבֶרֵיו Deut. xviii. 8. שִׁמָנֵי is a plural to be referred not to שׁמֵּוֹ but to שְׁמֵּוֹ (whence שׁמִנִים loca pinquia), and formed like מִשְׁמֵנֵי חַלְּפְוֹח with a formative Mem would not indeed be inadmissible, but has the parallelism against it both here and ver. 29. After pointing to a land loaded with abundant blessings by Jahveh, the blessing rises to the future position in the world of him whom it concerns. It passes far beyond the limits of the person of Jacob and the immediate future, gives to him who receives it and to his seed supremacy and exaltation above the nations both kindred and remote, and makes the relation of God to them conditional on the relation they take up to him and to his seed. It is the blessing of Abraham transmitted from Abraham to Isaac (xii, 7 and elsewhere xx. 17, xii, 3), which Isaac by the spirit of prophecy and in poetic diction here bestows upon his son. נביר after the formation of the Aramaic part. pass. occurs only here (comp. the ref. 37a). The Chethib is rightly interpreted by the Keri: it is, as at xliii. 28, an incorrectly defective writing. As מְשִׁים and בְּאָפִים are interchangeable words without any difference of conception, so too do אָדֶי and בני אפר coincide, comp. Ps. 1. 20, lxix. 9, while on the other hand Lev. xviii. 9, Cant. i. 6 speak without parallelism of step-brothers and sisters. The construction of the plural in

29b with the sing. of the predicate is individualizing or distributive; it is repeated Num. xxiv. 9, comp. e.g. Zech. xi. 5, Ges. § 146. 4. The evolution of thought advancing in parallelism, the first smooth then impetuous rhythm, the expressions (the more unusual הַּהָּה , וֹשָׁבְּי הָאָרֶץ, הְּנָּה for הַּהָּה, like הַּבָּי אַכְּנֵי הָאָרֶץ, הְנָּה Job xxxvii. 6 and הַּבִּי וֹאָרָץ, הַנָּה and thoughts—everything is here poetical. The aged patriarch once more renews his youth and hovers on the wings of prophecy over the new era which commences with his son.

Esau now arrives, Isaac sees through the deception under which he has suffered, but declares the blessing imparted to be irrevocable, vv. 30-33: And it came to pass when Isaac had finished blessing Jacob, and it came to pass when Jacob had only just gone out away from Isaac his father, that his brother Esau came from his hunting. And he also prepared a savoury dish and brought it to his father, and said to his father: Let my father arise and eat of his son's venison, that thy soul may bless me. Then Isaac his father said to him: Who art thou? And he said: I am thy son, thy first-born, Esau. Then Isaac was terrified with an exceeding great terror and said: Who then was it that took venison and brought it me, and I have eaten of all before thou camest, and blessed him-blessed also shall he be! It is unmistakeable that in 30a two different expressions of one and the same thing are joined together, the first from J, who uses with preference the phrase (xviii. 33, xxiv. 15, 19, xxii. 45, xliii. 2), the other therefore certainly from E (Dillm.), who must also have written 30b, for the two sentences stand in mutual relation according to the scheme of the contemporaneous (comp. on vii. 6), which here (comp. on the contrary 2 Kings xx. 4) strengthens the expression of the exactly coincident to the inf. intens. which adds vix exierat Ew. 312a (comp. 314d), ייָד introduces the two facts as simultaneous (Driver, § 165). Undeceived to his great terror, Isaac would immediately ask himself, whether what had been done were not a sinful trifling with God's blessing, and the conviction would also forthwith be pressed upon him, that it was the operation of God which had repressed his doubt as to whether he, who was to be blessed, were before him; and as it was now Jacob and not Esau, he would see his love for Esau, who had lost all higher consecration, condemned. To retract the blessing of Jacob seems to him impossible, for while blessing he had surrendered himself as an instrument without will into the hands of the Almighty and All-knowing, and is therefore obliged to acknowledge the indestructible objective power of his blessing: I blessed him נאברכהה, most editions erroneously נאברכהו), also he will remain blessed; נאברכהו (Samar. מב) stands first, but belongs according to the sense to היה (comp. 1 Sam. xxviii. 20 and on Job ii. 10). Isaac remembers the saying of God xxv. 23, which with the intimacy of his marital relation could not have been hidden from him, and perceives that Divine Providence has obliged him against his will to fulfil it to Jacob. Hitzig with the concurrence of Olshausen corrects: נָם בֵּרוֹת: but that would say: I have also truly blessed him, and it is a pity to miss the expression of unchangeableness. It is more possible that יהי is with LXX. Samar. to be inserted before ver. 34, though it is perhaps omitted for the same reason as at xliv. 3, comp. xv. 17. With a violent outburst of grief Esau entreats his father to give him also a blessing, ver. 34: When Esau heard the words of his father he raised a cry, exceeding loudly and bitterly, and said to his father: Bless me also, I pray thee, my father! On נְּכֹּאנִי, also me (like אָרְ־אָתָה, also thee, Prov. xxii. 19), see Ges. § 121. 3. The בּרָכני נְם־אַני is repeated 38a after Isaac has more expressly declared the irrevocability of the blessing bestowed, vv. 35-38: Then he said: Thy brother came with craft and took away thy blessing. And he said: Is it that he is called Jacob (overreacher) and he has now twice overreached My birthright he took away, and behold, he has now taken away my blessing, hast thou reserved no blessing for me? Then

Isaac answered and said: Behold, I have appointed him thy master and have given to him all his brethren for servants, and with corn and wine have I sustained him, and what in all the world shall I do for thee, my son? Esau said to his father: Is this blessing thy only one, my father? Bless me also, I pray thee, my father! And Esau lifted up his voice and wept. He can produce no change of mind in his father, ueravoias τόπον οὐγ εὖρεν, Heb. xii, 17. The question with 🥽 (Job vi. 22) stands here, as at xxix. 15, in a paratactic double sentence, which by transposing the period runs thus: Is it because he bears this name now twice come thus to pass? The denominative you means to hold the heel in order to get before; the text. rec. followed by Ben-Asher has מַּקְבָנֵי from שלים Jer. ix. 3, Ben-Naphtali שָׁלְכֵּי with a helping Pathach. The verb סמך is at 37a combined with a double accusative as at Ps. li. 14, as is also פער at Judg. xix. 5. The writing for $\lnot \lnot$ (only here in the Pentateuch) is like the writings iii. 9, Ex. xiii. 16. sips in the interrogative sentence stands either after the interrogative word ver. 33, or after the prominent word of the interrogative sentence, comp. Ex. xxxiii. 16, Job ix. 24, xxiv. 25. The vocalization with Khateph is similar to 1985. Isaac, acceding to Esau's impetuous request, bestows upon him also a blessing, which is however only a shadow of Jacob's blessing, and at the same time brings upon this latter blessing a cloud reproving the impurity of the means by which it had been obtained, ver. 39: And Isaac his father answered and said to him:

Behold, far from the fat plains of the earth shall be thy dwelling,
And far from the dew of heaven above,
40 And by thy swoord shalt thou live
And serve thy brother.
But by restlessly struggling
Thou shalt break his yoke from off thy neck.

The first question of all is, whether the two p have a partitive meaning (Meissner in Luth. Zeitschr. 1862) as in the blessing pronounced upon Jacob, ver. 28 (where it is at least

assured to the p of عرب), or a privative (Keil, Dillm. and others). For that the p of משמני is not a formative letter, as might be thought from the present punctuation (comp. on the contrary 28a and the Targums on our passage), is here shown still more plainly than at ver. 28 by the parallel 500. indeed true that, since Isaac desires to bestow a blessing upon Esau, there is no necessity for his denying him a fruitful land; Esau's servitude in opposition to Jacob's lordship is a dark shadow enough in this supplementary blessing. But there are besides linguistic and actual reasons against the partitive. and for the negative meaning. (1) The mountainous country of the Edomites is, as Seetzen says, perhaps the most barren and desert in the world (on which account שעיר can hardly, with reference to its natural condition, be equivalent with the Arab. الاشعر "the overgrown"). Robinson describes the hills in the west of the Arabah as entirely unfruitful, the Arabah itself is the most dreadful stony desert to be met with, the plateau east of Wadi Musa bears the aspect of being hardly worth cultivation. Burckhardt, who passed through this mountainous district from Maan in a south-westerly direction, following the course of the Wadi Gharundel, found it entirely barren, and the declivity, which was composed of bare chalk and sandstone, utterly devoid of vegetation. fact that the mountainous country about Petra and elsewhere has been transformed by skill and industry, especially by means of terrace-building and artificial irrigation, into a land of hanging gardens, cannot be used, as by Pusey (Minor Prophets, p. 144), in favour of the partitive sense of the p. The land and soil of Idumæa were for the most part unfruitful, and in the blessing the reference to the country concerned not the results of cultivation but the natural conditions. And (2) it is in opposition to ver. 37 that Isaac, after declaring that he has already bestowed upon Jacob the blessing of superabundance of the fruits of the earth, should begin the blessing of Esau in like terms with that of Jacob.

we have also in Mal. i. 3: Esau have I hated, and made his mountains a desert and his inheritance desolate tracks, so far as we understand the prophet as St. Paul does Rom. ix. 13 (see Köhler on the passage), an ancient testimony to the privative Desolation is the lot to which the land of Edom is again and again doomed in virtue of Isaac's history-making word of prophecy, though art may, as we still see by the ruins of the valley of Petra, have transformed it. The more elevated style of writing prefers the pregnant use of m in the sense of absque (2 Sam. i. 22, Job xi. 15, xix. 26, xxi. 9, Isa. xxii. 3), and with respect to the dilogy (de and then absque) xl. 13, 19 sq. may be compared. The words: far from the dew of heaven above (בְּעָל elsewhere a prep., here an adv. as at xlix. 25, Ps. 1. 4), have their natural truth in the many ravines and depressions of the Idumæan mountains, which are inaccessible to the fertilizing dew. Edom is truly "a dweller in the clefts of the rock," Obad. ver. 3 (Jer. xlix. 16). Thus the land of Esau will be, as Isaac predicts, a sharp contrast to the land of Jacob. For this very reason the peaceful pursuit of agriculture will not be his source of maintenance, but upon his sword (of the means of support as at Deut. viii. 3, comp. Isa. xxxviii. 16) will he live. Here first does the statement concerning Esau take a favourable turn. באישר compares, like Num. xxvii. 14, the cause and result. The Hiph. הריד (from ווי רוד) means wandering hither and thither, roaming about, hence: leading an unrestrained, roving, freebooter kind of life. Dillm., according to the Arabico-Ethiopic but (comp. Nöldeke, DMZ. xxxviii. 539 sq.) contrary to the Hebrew use of language, renders: when thou shalt strive, exert thyself.1 The fundamental meaning of the verb PIB is to break, frangere, which here has the special meaning to break off, as elsewhere to break loose = to free oneself and to break to pieces = to

¹ The Ethiopic text of the Book of Jubilees vacillates, as Dillmann has shown in his contributions from the Book of Jubilees to the criticism of the text of the Pentateuch (delivered in the Royal Prussian Academy of the West, March 1, 1883), between the Masoretic reading קמרוך and the Samar. אווא האריים אווא אווא האריים אווא אווא האריים או

crush. It is not freedom from the rule of Israel that is promised to Edom, but restless and not unsuccessful struggles for freedom. Edom became indeed a θορυβῶδες καὶ ἄτακτον ἔθνος ἀεί τε μετέωρον πρὸς τὰ κινήματα καὶ μεταβολαῖς χαῖρον (Joseph. Bell. 4. 4. 1), and his relation to Israel was a ceaseless interchange of subjection, rebellion and resubjection. An afterpiece of this change was still shown in the time of the Roman Empire: it continued an ineffaceable obscuration of the blessing of Jacob, that it was an Idumæan dynasty and the admission of the Edomites into Jerusalem when threatened by the Romans, which was the downfall of the Jewish State.

Thus were strictly fulfilled the blessings of Isaac upon Jacob and Esau which he spoke πίστει περί μελλόντων, Heb. xi. 20. Modern criticism indeed carps at this, and says the author who wrote xxvii. 40 knew, according to its judgment, not only of David's conquest of the Edomites, but also of their revolt against Solomon and their subjection by Jehoram of Judah. For such criticism denies the truth and reality of prediction, and the entire patriarchal history is according to its notions national history in the form of legendary family Our standpoint is fundamentally different; we believe in the power of a believing, prayerful blessing, when the energy of an intellect, which has sunk itself in God's word of promise and counsel of grace, and of a will whose strength is derived from the fulness of God, are therein There is in Isaac's blessing an efficacy which is comprised. far-reaching, a magic which fashions the future, God and his ego are therein one (comp. ver. 37 with Jer. i. 10 and other Isaac himself knows this (see ver. 37), and Rebekah together with Jacob knew it. Both therefore think that they must at the decisive moment take care that God's promise shall not fall to the ground. But God has no need of creature help to make His faithfulness stand. though Jacob continues to be the possessor of the blessing as, in accordance with the counsel and promise of God, he was to be (Rom. ix. 10-13), yet the Divine judgment falls upon him and upon every member of his family in proportion as they have been sharers in his transgression. Isaac is punished for his preference for Esau, a preference determined not according to the ascertained will of God, but according to natural affection, by the deception which he undergoes. Esau is punished for profanely despising the blessing of the first-Rebekah is punished for her contrivance born by its loss. of the fraud by separation from her favourite son, whom she never saw again, while the life of Jacob was, from the time when he confirmed himself in the possession of the sinfully purchased birthright by sinfully and surreptitiously acquiring the blessing, one long chain of hardships, disappointments, strifes and anxieties, which made him fully feel how he had sinned against his brother and father. The Fathers down to the Middle Ages see in the part Jacob played in ch. xxvii, an acting according to Divine impulse, and, after mystically importing into ch. xxvii., as already into xxv. 23, all manner of typical references to New Testament matter, pass sentence on Jacob's fraud in accordance with the precedent of Augustine: non est mendacium sed mysterium. It was Duns Scotus († 1308), the Doctor subtilis, and after him Nicolaus de Lyra, the Doctor planus, who first recognised its moral reprehensibleness, but still without a right perception of the Divine side of the occurrence.1 The scriptural account itself abstains from all comment—but the history of aftertimes passes the severest criticism upon Jacob's conduct. The government of God which can make even sin subserve its purposes, soars so high above this tangled web that, without infringing human freedom, nothing comes to pass but what He has foreseen and predetermined.

¹ See Petrus Hötzl, Jakob und Esau, Typik und Kasuistik, 1881, an instructive monograph, which gives the history of opinion on this occurrence and intelligently seeks to find the right medium between the patristic non est mendacium sed mysterium and the rationalistic non est mysterium sed mendacium.

JACOB'S FLIGHT TO HARAN, CH. XXVII. 41-XXVIII. 9.

The fourth portion, xxvii. 41 to xxviii. 9, relates the flight or dismissal of Jacob to Haran. Esau is meditating assassination, ver. 41: And Esau laid snarcs against Jacob, because of the blessing wherewith his father had blessed him, and Esau said in his heart: The days of mourning for my father are near, then will I slay my brother Jacob. Luther (like Bedarschi in his Synonymik) takes אבי as the gen. of the subject: that my father must bear sorrow (viz. on account of Jacob when he, Esau. shall have avenged himself on him), but the gen. following upon אָבֶל) always designates the object. The prudent mother proposes to her favourite to escape the vengeance of his brother by fleeing to his uncle in Haran, vv. 42-45: And the words of Esau, her elder son, were told to Rebekah, then she sent and called her younger son Jacob and said to him: Behold, Esau thy brother will comfort himself on thee to kill thee. And now, my son, hearken to my voice and arise, flee to Laban my brother to Haran, and tarry with him some time, until thy brother's fury turn away from thee, until thy brother's wrath turn away and he forget what thou hast done to him, then will I send and fetch thee thence—why should I be deprived of you both in one day? The Hithpa. התנחם means here (as a weaker power of החנקם parallel to the Niph. סחים Isa, i. 24) to procure oneself comfort, rest, satisfaction; the participial construction declares that Esau is purposing this. Rebekah mitigatingly says ἡμέρας τίνας (LXX. comp. xxix. 20) for the purpose of thus gaining him over to her plan. On you with the accusative see Ges. § 183. 3. Both would be lost at the same time, Jacob by means of Esau, Esau in accordance with ix. 6 by the execution of the penalty against the murderer, or even that as a murderer he would not at all events be able again to enter the presence of his parents. The varying expression in 44b, 45a (comp. xxxi. 18) points to extracts from different sources. But that Jacob may not depart unaccompanied by his father's blessing, Rebekah

expresses to the latter her vexation at her Hittite daughters-inlaw (xxvi. 34, Q), and urges him to send Jacob away, to seek a wife in another country, ver. 46: And Rebekah said to Isaac: I am weary of my life, because of the daughters of Heth; if Jacob take a wife of the daughters of Heth, like these of the daughters of the land, of what use is my life? The text is compounded from Q (of whom בנית חת and בנית חת are characteristic) and J (comp. xxv. 22). There were certainly good grounds for Rebekah's displeasure at Hittite daughters-in-law, and hence her wish in respect of Jacob was justified. therefore from no lack of independence that Isaac felt the same desire, though it showed his natural weakness that he did not in this respect act of his own accord, but on the instigation of his wife, who, with her excessive sensitiveness, understands the art of turning her husband which way she Isaac calls Jacob and sends him with his blessing chooses. to Aramæa, to marry there, xxviii. 1-5: Then Isaac called Jacob and blessed him, and commanded him and said to him: Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan. Arise, go to Paddan Aram, to the house of Bethuel, thy mother's father, and take thee from thence a wife of the daughters of Laban, thy mother's brother. And God Almighty will bless thee and make thee fruitful and multiply thee, and thou shalt become a company of nations. And He will give thee the blessing of Abraham, to thee and to thy seed with thee, to possess the land of thy sojournings, which God gave to Abraham. Thus Isaac sent Jacob away, and he went to Paddan Aram to Laban, the son of Bethuel the Aramæan, the brother of Rebekah, the mother of Esau and Jacob. Characteristic tokens of the style of Q are here plentiful: בנית פנען (like xxxvi, 2, for which J has בנית הבנעני xxiv. 3, 37), פון אום (see the introduction to the history of Isaac), אל שׁנֵיי with אָרָץ מְנָרִים הָפָּרָה וְהַרְבָּה ,אלהים (xvii. 8) and פַּרַל עְפִיִם certainly not to be restricted to the tribes of Israel (xxxv. 11, xlviii. 4). The Segol of פּרָנה אָרָם follows a well-known euphonic law, because the original form מַּדְּנָה and the orthophonic Gaja in the

final syllable ah are intended to prevent this from being lost owing to the א following, comp. אביע הבסף xliv. 2, הישערעטרה לרשתף 4b has a subjective suffix as at xix. 21. Bethuel is particularly designated as the father and Laban as the brother of Rebekah, and herself as the mother of Jacob and Esau, to facilitate the survey of the impending extension of family relationship, and at ver. 5 the fact that Jacob willingly obeyed the paternal behest is, according to the present arrangement of the historical matter, summarily anticipated, as at xxvii. 23 the fact that Isaac blessed him. Hosea is referring to what is related xxvii. 43, xxviii. 5, when he says, xii. 13: תברח יעלב שורה ארם. Esau now takes example and tries on his part to do what is agreeable to his parents, vv. 6-9: When Esau saw that Isaac had blessed Jacob and sent him away to Paddan Aram, to take him a wife from thence, and that while blessing him he gave him a charge, saying: Thou shalt not take a wife of the daughters of Canaan, and that Jacob had hearkened to the voice of his father and mother and had gone to Paddan Aram; then Esau saw that the daughters of Canaan were displeasing to his father Isaac, and Esau went to Ishmael and took unto his wives Mahalath, the daughter of Ishmael, the son of Abraham, the sister of Nebajoth, to be his wife. Esau shows himself good-natured, but with limited perception and through jealousy of Jacob, hence not from pure motives. When it is said that he went אַל־הֹשָׁמָעָאל, Ishmael himself (like Ephraim himself at 1 Chron. vii. 22) seems intended, and this is possible, if with Dillmann we infer from xxvi. 34 sq., xxvii. 46, that Jacob migrated to Aramæa between his fortieth and fiftieth years, for Ishmael was, according to xxv. 17, 137 years old, and Jacob's forty-fifth year coincides with Ishmael's 119th year (comp. xvii. 24 sq., xxi. 5, xxv. 26). But if, in a lawfully harmonistic manner, we take xlvii. 9, xli. 46, xlv. 6, xxx. 22-26, xxix. 27 into the reckoning, Jacob was seventyseven at his migration into Syria (Köhler, Gesch. i. 135), and this leads us beyond the limits of Ishmael's life, so that ישמאעל VOL. II. L

here, like a.g. Josh. xiv. 14, can only be meant of the family of Ishmael. Esau's third wife was called Mahalath (for which we have, xxxvi. 2, Bāsmath). She is said to be the sister of the first-born of her brothers, who is named instead of all the rest, xxv. 13, as Miriam is always called the sister of Aaron.

JACOB'S DREAM ON THE ROAD TO MESOPOTAMIA, CH. XXVIII. 10-22.

Jacob's journey, which he had already begun xxviii. 5, is now more particularly described with a retrospective glance at its commencement. There its goal was called פרנה ארם, here Mosaic stones from J and E are added to the narra-חַרנה. Jacob becomes from henceforth the motive-principle of the history of Isaac, the second section of which begins at xxviii. 10. The portion xxviii. 10 sqq. relates the divine manifestation, which Jacob experienced on the soil of Luz, afterwards Bethel, after some few days' journey, and by which the blessing bestowed was solemnly confirmed to him by God Himself. We have here the first dream revelation in the life of the patriarchs (not reckoning the dream of Abimelech, ch. xx., nor that of Laban afterwards). Henceforward this mode of revelation becomes more frequent. Such experiences were however no everyday matter in their lives. Jacob was now far past forty years old, and the whole history of his life has only five Divine revelations to show, two בתלום xxviii. 12 and xlvi. 2, two with יירא xxxi. 3, xxxv. 1, one with נירא xxxv. 9. It is E who delights in narrating Divine manifestations in the night. Those portions in xxviii. 10 sqq., in which God is called אלהים, belong with their contexts to him; hence ver. 12, with 11, vv. 17-22 are his, while on the contrary vv. 13-16 show themselves to be J's by the Divine name חיהוה, the promise of the blessing of the nations in the seed of the patriarch and other particulars. Both narrators give accounts of a Divine manifestation by night at Luz-

Bethel (so that both must have furnished what is said at ver. 19): E giving prominence to the dream, J (whom in opposition to Dillm. Kuen, and others we recognise also at xxii. 14-18) to the words of God; R has combined these two accounts as supplementing each other. Startingpoint and goal of Jacob's journey, ver. 10: And Jacob departed from Beersheba and went to Haran. A counterpart to xxviii, 5. Beersheba had been since xxvi. 23 his father's This verse joins on to xxvii. 44, and is place of abode. there followed by completions from Q. Now begins the text from E, vv. 11, 12: Then he lighted upon a place and passed the night there, for the sun was set, and he took one of the stones of the place, made it his pillow, and lay down to sleep in that place. Then he dreamed, and behold, a ladder was set up upon the carth and its top reached to heaven, and behold angels of Elohim ascending and descending upon it. He lighted upon a certain place (Dippe, comp. certi homines, i.e. certain in the abstract but not to be more particularly designated), probably a hill-top inviting for its pleasantness and safety, and then prepared his night's quarters by making one (ver. 18) of the stones of the place his pillow. מָרְאֵשׁׁתִיו for מָרָאֲשׁׁתִיו (comp. מְרָאֲשׁׁתִיו for ומלחעות) is the usual extensive plural for parts of body and space, the principal form to be accepted for which is מָרָאשׁׁר, מָראשָׁה (comp. מַרְאַשׁׁתִיכֶם Jer. xiii. 18, from מָרָאָשֶׁת, Böttch. § 695). There upon his hard pillow sleeps Jacob, banished from his home, about to encounter an uncertain future, purposely fleeing from the company of mankind in a foreign land, solitary and without a roof over his head. He is there comforted by a divinely-effected dream. The הַּבְּח (three including 13a) are finger-posts of childlike astonishment at the glorious appearance which the participles describe, as from a post of observation. The ladder is an image of the invisible, but actual and unceasing connection in which God, by the ministry of His angels, stands with the earth, in this instance with Jacob, who is now where the ladder has its earthly standing

place; in his behalf are the angels of God "ascending and descending upon it" (the same expression as Prov. xxx. 4, John i. 52), to fetch and receive commands, to bring them down and execute them. Before the happy dreamer can inquire of one of the angels, he hears the word of Jahveh, and thereupon awakes, vv. 13-16: And behold Jahveh stood beside him and said: I am Jahveh, the God of Abraham thy father and the God of Isaac; the land whereon thou liest, to thee will I give it and to thy seed. And thy seed shall be as the dust of the earth, and thou shalt spread to west and east and north and south, and all the families of the earth shall be blessed in thee. And behold, I am with thee and will keep thee whithersoever thou goest, and will bring thee back to this land, for I will not leave thee, till I have performed what I have told thee. Then Jacob awoke from his sleep and said: Surely Jahreh is present in this place and I knew it not. In the present connection it seems as if אַלֵין 13a must be referred to the ladder (LXX. Targums, Jerome): there, where the ladder reached to heaven, God Himself was present to the dreamer; but לעב על means everywhere in J standing beside, xviii. 2, xlv. 1, and this is also its meaning Amos ix. 1. Jahveh there stood at his side (Rashi: לשמרו), and His word is added to the silent image. The God, whom angels and all powers serve, will fulfil to Jacob the great promises, xii. 3b, xiii. 14-17, and not take from him His special protection until He has first (ער אַשׁר אַם without obliteration of the conditional meaning of DN as at Num. xxxii. 17, Isa. vi. 11, comp. ער אם xxiv. 19, Ruth ii. 21, and see on xxxviii. 9) fulfilled what He has promised to him. When Jacob awakes from sleep he says: Truly (চুঙ্গ only again in the Pent. Ex. ii. 14) Jahveh is in this place; contrary to expectation, he has learned that this too, far from the holy places of his family, is a place of Jahveh's gracious presence, that He has gone with him into this strange land, that he may not be, like Ishmael, a broken-off branch. follows the exclamation of Jacob on what he beheld, from

E, ver. 17: And he was afraid and said: How awful is this place! this is none other than a house of God, and this is the gate of heaven. He has here had a glimpse of the government of God and of the supersensuous world (Wisd. x. 10); it is as though this were the abode of God and of His good spirits. as though this were the gate of heaven, by which they enter and depart. It is now related what Jacob did the next morning, ver. 18: And Jacob rose up early in the morning, and took the stone which he had made his pillow, and set it up for a memorial pillar, and poured oil upon it. He consecrates the stone as a memorial, as the foundation of a sanctuary; for the pure, golden, gently penetrating oil is a symbol of consecration. This setting up and consecration of memorial stones (comp. xxxi. 45, Ex. xxiv. 4, 1 Sam. vii. 11) recalls the heathen worship of anointed stones and baetylia (λίθοι λιπαροί. άληλιμμένοι, lapides uncti, lubricati, unquine delibuti) which had spread from India throughout the whole East as far as Greece and Rome, where Cybele was worshipped in the black stone from Pessinus; this heathen custom is the idolatrous form of the patriarchal custom which exists to this very day (August. Civ. xvi. 39).1 The baetylia were especially meteoric stones, which were traced to this or that god, and held to be pervaded by deity, at least those which chiefly received the names βαίτυλοι, βαιτύλια, betyli were such (Photii Bibl. i. p. 348, ed. Bekker; Plinii h. n. xxxvii. 9, comp. Orelli on Sanchun. p. 30 sq.), a name which may have been occasioned by the fetish-like degenerate veneration of the memorial stone at Bethel (comp. the fate of Gideon's ephod, Judg. viii, 27). Dietrich however (in Grimmel's article, de lapidum cultu apud Patriarchas quasito, Marburg 1853) refers it, in the meaning of amulet, to the verb by to make ineffectual. In Carthage they were called, according to Pausanias, x. 24, and Priscian,

¹ Dr. Alex. Robb (now of Jamaica) told me of such a stone in *U-wet* on the Old Calabar river in Western Africa, worshipped by the negro tribe there as fallen from heaven and bestowed upon their ancestors by the God of heaven (whom they called *A-td-si*), to be their tutelary deity.

v. 3, 18, abbadires = אבן אדיר The Thorah forbids, because of their heathen abuse, any erection of mixed Lev. xxvi. 1, Deut. xvi. 22, and commands the overthrow of such as exist, Ex. xxiii. 24, xxxiv. 13, Deut. xii. 3. The prophets rebuke the degeneration of the custom (Hos. x. 1 sq. comp. iii. 4), without finding it reprehensible in itself (Isa. xix. 19). Change of name of this patriarchal place of revelation, ver. 19: And he called the name of that place Bethel, on the contrary Lûz was its name formerly. Jacob called the place where he had set up the ביתאל, מצבה (written in the MSS. sometimes as one word, sometimes as two); whereas the town was called no formerly (DAM) elsewhere xlviii. 19, Ex. ix. 6, Num. xiv. 21 in a rhetorical, here in a historical connection, originally a noun, Assyr. clamu, in which the meaning: before, opposite, shows the radical meaning, comp. (ננד). This is not however to be so understood, as though the ancient Luz and the more recent Bethel were absolutely the same, but so that the ancient Luz (xlviii, 3) gradually retreated and disappeared before Bethel, which lay near it, Josh. xvi. 2. The appellation ביתאל xii. 8, xiii. 3 is anticipative. The ruins still bear the name of Beitin. It lies fortyfive minutes from el-Bireh (Beëroth) and three hours by horse from Jerusalem, on the declivity of a hill between two valleys, which still, as in the days of Abraham, affords the most excellent pasturage, but belongs to the holy places which have fallen into oblivion. Jacob's vow, vv. 20-22: And Jacob made a vow and said: If Elohim will be with me and keep me upon this way that I go, and give me bread to eat and clothing to put on, and I come back in safety to my father's house, then shall Jahveh be my God. and this stone which I have set up as a memorial pillar shall be a house of Elohim, and of all which thou shalt give me I will give a tithe to thee. The apodosis begins at 21b: then will he have Jahveh, and him alone, for his God, without turning to other This fundamental oath sounds like an echo of the promise xvii. 8, comp. Ex. vi. 7 and frequently. The words of God flow forth 22b in an address to God. We here meet

for the second time since xiv. 20 in the primitive history with the custom of giving a tithe to God; it is common to almost all antiquity, the legislation Lev. xxvii. 30-33 and farther on does but regulate what already existed. How ver. 22 was fulfilled, we partly learn in ch. xxxv. Bethel became already in patriarchal times a place of sacrifice, and in the times of the Judges the sanctuary, Judg. xx. 18, 1 Sam. x. 3, with the ark of the covenant, Judg. xx. 18, stood here for a long period upon Mount Ephraim. The Divine name אלהים in vv. 12, 17 is of itself no certain token of a source: the matter there in question is indeed a glance into the world of spirits, and also the origin of the local name But the case is different with אלהים 20% and with היה לי לאלהים 21%. the report of the vow J seems to be blended with E, or it may have been taken as it stands from JE. Jacob will on his return to his home be determined by his experience of Divine assistance to choose Jahveh for his God for ever, to make the stone which he has set up the foundation-stone of a house of God, and to tithe, i.e. to apply to the purpose of Divine worship, every blessing bestowed on him.

JACOB'S TWO MARRIAGES IN HARAN, CH. XXIX. 1-80.

The second portion, xxix. 1-30, which continues Jacob's experiences in a strange country and first his involuntary double marriage in Haran, is compounded, like ch. xxvii., from J and E worked into each other. In the first half J, in the second E predominates, in ver. 15 the transition is made from J to E (Dillm.). But no Divine name occurs, and striking characteristics are lacking. In the second half and is found, where according to the usual diction of E we should expect and, and the distinction of age by and and are elsewhere only found in J (xix. 30-38).

Ver. 1 is peculiar: And Jacob lifted up his feet and went to the land of the sons of the East. Encouraged by what he had

heard and seen in his night dream, he continues his journey refreshed and cheered אָרְצָה בְנֵי־קָרָם, i.e. to Arabia deserta. which reached as far as Euphrates including Mesopotamia lying beyond that river. In J xxviii. 10 his destination was called חָרָנָה, in Q xxviii. פּדְנָה אָרָם, here we have the third and most general designation, as Dillmann conjectures from E, but according to xxv. 6 more probably from J, to whom what follows, at least as far as ver. 15, belongs. The meeting with Rachel, vv. 2-12: And he looked and behold a well was in the field, and, lo, three flocks of sheep lying beside it, for out of that well they used to water the flocks, and the stone at the mouth of the well was great. And thither were all the flocks gathered, and they rolled the stone from the mouth of the well and watered the flocks, and brought the stone again to the mouth of the well, to its place. Then said Jacob to them: My brethren, whence are ye? And they said: Of Haran are we. And he said to them: Know ye Laban, Nahor's son? And they said: We know him. Then he said to them: Is it well with him? And they said: It is well, and behold, Rachel his daughter is coming even now with the sheep. And he said: It is indeed still high in the day, nor is it yet time to drive in the cattle; water the sheep and go hence and feed them! And they said: We cannot, till all the flocks are gathered together, then they roll away the stone from the mouth of the well and water the sheep. While he was yet speaking with them, Rachel came with the sheep, which belonged to her father, for she was a shepherdess. came to pass, when Jacob saw Rachel, the daughter of Laban his mother's brother, and the sheep of Laban his mother's brother, that Jacob went near and rolled away the stone from the mouth of the well and watered the sheep of Laban his mother's brother. And Jacob kissed Rachel and lifted up his voice and wept. And Jacob told Rachel that he was her father's relative, and that he was Rebekah's son—and she ran and told her father. The imperf. משקי 2a is, like ii. 6, meant of custom in the past, and continues here as there in the perfect, Ges. § 127. 4b, Driver § 113. 4\beta.

is the predicate and עלפי הבאר a completion of the subject, comp. Job xxxvii. 22b, Micah vi. 12b; for it is the greatness, not the position that is emphasized. Laban is called by Jacob 5a בְּרַנְחוֹר. Bethuel, of whom Laban was directly the son, is strikingly kept in the background in the history of Isaac's marriage also, ch. xxiv. Jacob inquires concerning the welfare of Laban: לישלום לו (comp. xliii. 27 sq.); they are able to give him the information desired, and point to Rachel, who was just approaching with her flock (בַּאָה participle); and when he invites them, the day being yet great, i.e. still far from passing into the evening, when the cattle have to be put in the stall, to water the flock, they excuse themselves by saying that the rolling away of the stone requires the united strength of all the shepherds. While he is thus talking with them Rachel arrives (בַּאָה preterite like xxvii. 30), bringing the flock which is her father's (ל like xl. 5), that it may be watered with the other flocks; and Jacob then rolls away alone the great stone from the mouth of the well. Such gigantic strength was given him by the affection of blood relationship (as is prominently shown by the threefold אָמִי אָמוֹ), and at the same time by a presentiment of love, for his father's words xxviii. 2 were ever ringing in his ears. Hence various feelings were combined in the kiss and in the tears that followed, ver. 11. Laban also now hastens to the scene and gladly welcomes his nephew, vv. 13, 14: And it came to pass when Laban heard the tidings of Jacob, his sister's son, that he ran to meet him and embraced him and kissed him and brought him into his house, and he told Laban all these Then Laban said to him: Surely thou art my flesh and bone, and he abode with him a month of days. genitive after שַׁמַע (e.g. Isa. xxiii. 5) and שָׁמַע (e.g. 2 Sam. iv. 4) is (except perhaps Isa. liii. 1) always objective. Laban, when he hears the news of Jacob's arrival, runs to meet his brother, i.e. nephew (no like ver. 12), spreads out his hands to embrace him (? Paṇ as at xlviii. 10), overwhelms him with

kisses (as is meant by pw) as distinguished from pw ver. 11). and brings him, as being indeed his flesh and bone (as at ii. 23). into his house, where Jacob relates to him "all these things," i.e. his arrival at his journey's end and the providential meeting at the well. It is affection which makes Laban so speedy and so kindly, but also, no less than at xxiv. 29, a selfish and calculating eye to the future. He knows however how to hide his intentions under the appearance of the greatest So Jacob remains חֹלֵשׁ יִמִים (xli. 1, Num. unselfishness. xi. 20 sq. and frequently) a month of days, i.e. a full month, during which Laban perceives of what service Jacob, the experienced shepherd, can be to him. His compact with Jacob, who serves him seven years for Rachel, vv. 15-20: Then said Laban to Jacob: Is it because thou art my kinsman that thou shouldest serve me for nought? Tell me, what shall be thy wages? And Laban had two daughters, the name of the elder was Leah and the name of the younger Rahel. And the eyes of Leah were weak, but Rachel was beautiful of form and fair to look on. And Jacob loved Rachel and said: I will serve thee seven years for Rachel thy younger daughter. said Laban: It is better that I should give her to thee, than that I should give her to another man; abide with me. Then Jacob served seven years for Rachel, and they were in his eyes as a few days, because of his love for her. The sentence beginning with יהָל (as at xxvii, 36) as inwardly organized runs thus: Should I, because thou art my kinsman, require from thee gratuitous service? Laban had two daughters (two, and not one only, as we here learn for the first time), of whom the younger Rachel (خل بيرة ewe lamb) was beautiful in face and figure; the elder, Leah (אָלֹּה לֵאָה wild cow, a kind of antelope 1), had on the contrary weak eyes (LXX. rightly: άσθενείς, Vulgate wrongly: lippis oculis), hence she lacked an important feature of female beauty. Jacob offers to

¹ See Job, 2nd edit. p. 507, comp. Zimmern, Babyl. Busepsalmen, p. 20.

serve seven years for Rachel; Laban plays the agreeable and accepts the offer. The hand of a cousin is to this very day among the Arabs due to her cousin in preference to any other wooer, and husband and wife generally address each other, ja bint 'ammt and ju ibn 'ammt, i.e. oh my female cousin, my The seven years passed by to Jacob like a few male cousin. days, "the other days lighted by hope disappeared as one day," as Camoens paraphrases it in his 29th Sonnet. might have thought that they would rather have appeared Both are true: amor paucos dies æstimat long to him. plurimos affective, non autem appreciative (Calov.). Laban's deception and excuse, and Jacob's second seven years' service, vv. 21-30: Then Jacob said to Laban: Give me my wife, for my time is fulfilled, that I may go in unto her. And Laban assembled all the people of the place and gave a feast. And it came to pass in the evening, that he took Leah his daughter and brought her to him, and he went in unto her. And Laban gave Zilpah, his handmaid, to his daughter Leah for her handmaid. And it came to pass in the morning, behold it was Leah. and he said to Laban: What hast thou done to me? Did not I serve with thee for Rachel? And why hast thou deceived me? Then Laban said: It is not the custom so to do in our place, to give the younger before the first-born. Stay out the week of this one, and we will give thee this also for a service which thou shalt serve with me seven other years. And Jacob did so and fulfilled his week, then he gave him his daughter Rachel to wife. And Laban gave to his daughter Rachel, Bilhah, his handmaid. to be her handmaid. And he went in also unto Rachel, and he loved Rachel more than Leah, and he served with him seven more years. When the seven years were over, Jacob demands his wife (הַנָה before a following & with the tone upon the ult.). for such she is already in virtue of the marriage contract, and when the marriage feast (מֹשָׁמָה), i.e. the first and special day of the marriage festivities, is over, he experiences, while intoxicated and blinded by love, a deception similar to that

which he had played upon his father. Instead of Rachel, Leah (veiled, comp. xxiv. 65) is brought to him. Laban gives her Zilpah for her handmaid, which particular, as well as his giving Bilhah to Rachel, ver. 29, added in a manner which interrupts the connection, seems inserted from Q. reproaches Laban with this fraud, which was no less shameful an injustice to Rachel than to himself, Laban excuses himself by appealing to a custom of the country (אריינישה comp. xxxiv. 7) not to marry the younger daughter before the elder-a custom stubbornly adhered to also in India and in the old imperial towns of Germany. He offers however to give him Rachel also after the lapse of the seven days' (שֶׁבְעֵ וֹאַת) marriage festivities, viz. Leah's (Judg. xiv. 12, Tobit xi. 18, the duration down to the present time of a marriage among the Syro-Palestinian peasants, the Nestorians, etc.), if he will promise to serve him seven years more. was the custom only to give a daughter in marriage for a price (מֹהַר), but Laban bargains with his daughters like wares, without any regard to relationship, and it is of this that they complain, xxxi. 15. Jacob agrees and receives Rachel also. Both daughters have only one handmaid each, Rebekah had more, xxiv. 61, but Laban was avaricious. Jacob has now two wives instead of one, one more, one less beloved. Of the two by ver. 30 the second in conjunction with p means adeo magis quam, but no other example for this use of m with m can be adduced, LXX. Jerome leave it unexpressed, Dillm. expunges it. Thus is Jacob the deceiver deceived by Laban. And this same Jacob, who, as Hosea says xii. 13, served for a wife and for a wife (אַשָּה with ב of the reward as at ver. 18), kept sheep, became the ancestor of the nation which, as Hosea goes on to say, was led by a prophet out of Egypt and by a prophet was preserved. It is to this double, and, according to the subsequent law (Lev. xviii. 18), detestable double marriage, that the people of the law owed their origin. The Thorah relates it without concealment and without palliation.

BIRTH OF THE ELEVEN SONS OF JACOB, CH. XXIX. 81-XXX. 24.

The third portion, xxix. 31-xxx. 24, leads us straight to the origins of Israel, and transports us, so to speak, into the midst of Israel's natal hours. The birth of these ancestors of Israel was found in both J and E, related in the respective manner of each; the narrative as we now have it is a combination of these two sources. They may be distinguished by the change of the Divine names both in the mouth of the women, e.g. xxix, 32, xxx. 6, and of the narrator himself, e.g. xxix. 31, xxx. 17. Here and there two explanations of a name stand side by side, xxx. 20, and we see from the change of the Divine names, that one is taken from J and the other from E, xxx. 23, 24. The statements concerning the handmaids, xxx. 4a, 9b, join on to xxix. 24, 29, and look like woofthreads from Q. Rachel is the more youthful and blooming of the two sisters, and the best beloved of Jacob; but Rachel remains childless, whereas Leah, the less beloved (ver. 30) and comparatively hated (שנואה as at Deut, xxi, 15), is blessed with children. την μήτραν is the opposite of סַנֵּר רָחָם 1 Sam. i. 5, Job iii. 10. Jacob's first son Reuben, by Leah, ver. 32: Leah conceived and bare a son and called his name Reuben, for she said: Surely Jahveh hath beheld my affliction, for now will my husband love me. The name means: See, a son! It is an exclamation of joyful is, as at xxvi. 22, explicative, confirmative. האה ב means to behold with heartfelt interest, as assertive. at 1 Sam. i. 11, Ps. cvi. 44, comp. above xxi. 16. ' has the connecting vowel a as at xix. 19. second son Simeon, by Leah, ver. 33: And she conceived again and bare a son, and said: Surely Jahveh has heard that I am hated and hath given me this also, therefore she called him *Sim'on. The transition from the explicative to the assertive, from the confirmative to the affirmative meaning of is here evident, the name means: hearing. Jacob's third son Levi, by

Leah, ver. 34: And she again conceived and bare a son, and said: Now this time will my husband be attached to me, for I have borne him three sons, therefore she called his name Levi. For My? (they called, like xi. 9, xix. 22, xxv. 30) LXX. Samar. Syriac reproduce the expected אָרָאָה. The name means the attached, from an assumed \$\frac{1}{2}\$ annexation societas formed according to the formation . Jacob's fourth son Judah by Leah, ver. 35: And she conceived again and bare a son, and said: This time I praise Jahveh. Instead of אָקּה הַפַּעָם 32b and עַקּה הַפַּעַם 34a (like עַּהַה זָה 1 Kings xvii. 24), we have here, as also in J ii. 24, הַפַּעָם. The name יהקה is formed after the analogy of the passive to mim Neh. xi. 17 (comp. the forms Ps. xxviii. 7, xlv. 18), and means (since 7 as a masculine termination arising from a cannot be proved) the being praised (Joseph. εὐχαριστία, Jerome confessio), hence as a proper name one who is the subject of praise. After these four births a pause takes place with Leah. Rachel is vexed to death that she has no children—the modest desire of husband and wife for the blessing of children is a characteristic of virtuous marriage. Her grief was just, but it made her unjust towards her husband, xxx. 1, 2: When Rachel saw that she bare Jacob no children, Rachel was envious of her sister and said to Jacob: Give me children, or I die. Then was Jacob wroth with Rachel and said: Am I instead of Elohim, who has denied thee the fruit of the womb? It is a childish demand which she makes of her husband (comp. with this קַבָּה the של הב הב with reference to לצר החם Prov. xxx. 15 sq.), to which he cannot but answer indignantly: Am I in the place of God? (to be explained as 1.19 must, according to 2 Kings v. 7). Jacob's fifth son Dan by Bilhah, Rachel's handmaid, vv. 3-6: And she said: Behold my handmaid Bilhah, go in unto her, that she may bear children upon my knees, and I also may obtain children by her. And she gave to him Bilhah her handmaid to wife, and Jacob went in unto her. And Bilhah conceived and bare Jacob a son. Then Rachel said: Elohim

has done me justice and also hearkened to my voice and hath given me a son, therefore she called his name Dan. Divine name אלהים leads to E, and so also does אלהים which is characteristic of this writer. It is here however interchanged with שַׁמַּחָה, perhaps through the regard of R to the text of other sources. The person upon whose knees a new-born babe is laid (l. 23, comp. Job iii. 12) owns it as his own child. On יָבְנָה to be built up (not a denominative : to become possessed of children) see xvi. 2. The name 7 corresponds to the Latin vindex, defender, advocate. She calls him thus, because Elohim has taken her under His protection, has heard her prayer and taken from her the undeserved reproach of childlessness. Jacob's sixth son Naphtali, the second by Bilhah, Rachel's handmaid, vv. 7, 8: And Bilhah, Rachel's maid, again conceived and bare Jacob a second son. Then Rachel said: Wrestlings of Elohim have I wrestled with my sister and have also prevailed; so she called his name Naphtali. signifies that which has been the object of the struggle, that which has been obtained by wrestling. The נפהילי אלהים are the prayerful wrestlings of tempted faith. A wrestling with Leah, but in truth with God Himself, who seemed to have bestowed His favour upon her only, or perhaps more generally: struggles such as only a higher Being is able to sustain, superhuman struggles, אלהים being thus not gen. objecti but subjecti. Hengstenberg and Drechsler define the notion yet differently: struggles whose issue bears the character of a sentence of God; the idea of a Divine sentence of the concursus specialissimi prevailing from xxx. 1-23 being also the reason for the use of אלהים here instead of יההה. The change of the Divine name is however caused by that of the source of the extracts. It was intentionally that the author of Genesis interwove both Divine names into the origins of Israel, and it is certainly not accidental that the name man is impressed upon the first four births, and the name אלהים upon the seven others. We are to be impressed with the fact, that the covenant faithfulness of Jahveh and the wonder-working power of Elohim concurred in laying the foundation of Israel. Jacob's seventh son Gad, by Zilpah, Leah's handmaid, vv. 9-11: When Leah saw that she had ceased from bearing, she took Zilpah, her handmaid, and gave her to Jacob to wife. And Zilpah, Then Leah said: Good Leah's handmaid, bare Jacob a son, fortune! and called his name Gad. She called him 73 propitious star, saying (according to the Chethîb): אָנָּד with fortune! (LXX. ἐν τύχη), i.e. for my happiness, or (according to the Kert which takes as an abbreviation of בא נֵר: (בא which the Targums and Syr. explain: fortune is come. true that the Keri may be also explained (according to xlix. 19): there come troops (Venet. ήκει στράτευμα), viz. troops of But יִּרְהַרְּבּוָּר is not to be authenticated in this children. unwarlike meaning, and the mythological appellation of fortune (Arab. gedd), in accordance with בַּעֶל בַּר (Josh. xi. 17, xii. 7, comp. Isa. lxv. 11), cannot seem strange in the mouth of an Aramæan woman. In later times, the commencement of which cannot be determined, the notion of the בעל נר was united to the planet Jupiter, as that of the נר עשתרת (on Carthag. III. in Gesenius' Monumenta) to the planet Venus. The Turanian name of Jupiter on inscriptions is Lubat auttav (guttam). Jacob's eighth son Asher, his second son by Zilpah, Leah's handmaid, vv. 12, 13: And Zilpah, Leah's handmaid, bare a second son to Jacob. Then Leah said: Happy me! for the daughters will call out Happy art thou! so she called his name Aser. The name means the happy one (from ישַׁר=אָשֹׁבֶר whence jusr, happiness) — thus Leah called him saying Happy me! (which by altering this strange באישרי היא ווישרי ance with the preceding בָּנֶר may also be read בַּגָּי אַשִׁרִי = בַּאָשִׁרִי is followed, as frequently, e.g. Isa. lx. 1, by the perf. of certainty, and בָּנוֹת is in poetic fashion without the article (LXX. ai yuvaîkes, comp. Mary's magnificat, Luke i. 48). now again becomes Leah's turn to bear, notwithstanding the love-apples obtained by Rachel, vv. 14-16: And Reuben went

in the days of wheat harvest and found mandrakes in the field and brought them to Leah, his mother; then Rachel said to Leah: Give me, I pray thee, of thy son's mandrakes. And she said to her: Is it too little that thou hast taken my husband from me, to take also my son's mandrakes? Then Rachel said: Let him then lie with thee this night for thy son's mandrakes. When then Jacob came home from the field at even, Leah went to meet him and said: Thou must come in unto me, for I have hired thee, yea hired thee, for my son's mandrakes. The LXX, correctly translates דּוּרָאִים μῆλα μανδραγορών; יוֹרָי (in accordance with the formation לאל') from הודים), ancient Egyptian duda, dudua, dudu (see Brugsch, Die neue Weltordnung, etc. 1881, p. 38), is the mandragora autumnalis which blossoms in November at the commencement of the winter rain. It comes from the Persian merdum gith, man-plant, Aram. and Arab. jabruh, by which the Targums (comp. Sanhedrin 99b) and the Syriac translate it, or also luffâh, by which Saad. renders it. Its flowers of purple inclining to dark blue become in May and June (Cant. vii. 14), or what is the same, in the days of wheat harvest, yellowish green apples, about the size of a nutmeg, of a particularly pungent odour (Arab. tuffâh esseitân or tuffah el-megnûn or baid el-ginn, dæmons' eggs). The mandragora is a plant frequently found in Palestine and also in Aramæa, its fruit and root are esteemed as a means of promoting fertility and as an Aphrodisiacum in general, on which account it is figuratively called عبد السلام (servant of love's salute), and is glossed by عشّاق أُوتى (lovers' herb).1 Circe used the root in her charmed potions, and Hamilcar brought upon his adversaries the Libyans the sleep of intoxication, by means of wine in which this root was mingled. But the perfect plant, drawn out uninjured, with its root reaching from

VOL IL

¹ See Wetzstein's Excursus on the Dudaim in *Comm. zum Hohenliede*, pp. 438-445, and James Neil's (formerly pastor of Christ Church, Jerusalem) article on the same subject (with an illustration) in the *Jewish Intelligence*, 1886, pp. 194-196.

three to four feet and sometimes deeper, with its egg-like fruits in their leafy nests, was reckoned particularly valuable and effectual. Of such kind were the Dudaïm which Reuben brought with him from the field. When Rachel begs for them, with a purpose which she has no need to express, Leah gives her an indignant refusal. לְקְחָהָּ (not חַחָבֶּל or חַהָּהָל) is, as the Targums also take it, inf. constr.: ut præreptura sis. Rachel however obtains the mandrakes by renouncing her husband for the next night. בלילה המא (instead of מההא) as at Since Rachel however remains barren notwithxix. 33. standing the mandrakes, it is again shown that an incalculable power presides over the history of the patriarchs. Jacob's ninth and Leah's fifth son, Issachar, vv. 17, 18: Then Elohim hearkened unto Leah, and she conceived and bore Jacob a fifth And Leah said: Elohim hath given me my hire, because I have given my handmaid unto my husband, and she called his name Jissachar. The Textus rec. points שלשכר, while according to Ben-Asher ישָּׂבֶּר, its Keri, is perpetuum, against which Ben-Naphtali read ישַּׁלְבֶר affert præmium, or according to Baer read just like Ben-Asher, but wrote ישליבר; Moses b. Mochah read, according to Jer. xxxi. 16, 2 Chron. xv. 7, יששיבר est præmium, see Pinsker, Zur Gesch. des Karaismus, p. 98 sq. regards this son as a reward (הַחַת אָשֶׁר=אָשֶׁר or הַתַּחָת אָשֶׁר like xxxiv. 27, xxxi. 49) of her self-denial, not, as Josephus takes it (= ἐκ μίσθου γενόμενος), as a compensation for the man-Jacob's tenth and Leah's sixth son, Zebulun, vv. 19-20: And Leah conceived again and bore a sixth son to Jacob. Then Leah said: Elohim hath endowed me with a good dowry; this time my husband will esteem me, for I have borne him six sons, so she called his name Zebulun. The meaning, to present, is assured to the verb זבר by the Aram. and Arab.; it occurs only here, but all the more numerous are the proper names formed from it (see the Lexicon). be here two interpretations by different narrators, one of whom assumes that יבלן is formed from זבר? Scarcely, for he would see in the name only an allusion to זבר, and would then be responsible for the interpretation. events, the name is explained first from its consonance with and then from בל as its stem-word. Certainly בר has been understood in the meaning to dwell, which is by no means assured to it; verbs of dwelling (inhabiting) of course take the accus. (e.g. also rs. v. 5), but "he will inhabit me," for "he will hold to me" (Jerome mecum erit), is an im-The Assyrian offers for the more probable expression. suitable meaning to raise up, to elevate, with which the LXX. αίρετιει με, i.e. according to Hesychius προτιμοτέραν με ήγήσεται, may be brought into connection and to which און (a. thing erected = dwelling-place) may fitly be referred (Guyard, Friedr. Del.); the opposition of Halévy is here of no avail. Birth of a daughter to Jacob by Leah, ver. 21: And afterwards she bore a daughter and called her name Dinah. Dinah, who was not Jacob's only daughter, xxxvii. 35, xlvi. 7, could not be left unmentioned because of ch. xxxiv., but is, as being a daughter, dismissed in few words (comp. iv. 22, Num. xxvi. Jacob's eleventh and Rachel's first son, Joseph, vv. 46). 22-24: Then Elohim remembered Rachel, and Elohim hearkened unto her and opened her womb. And she conceived and bore a son, and said: Elohim hath taken away my reproach. And she called his name Joseph, saying: May Jahveh add unto me another son. At last God remembered Rachel also (like 1 Sam. i. 19), and granted her so long seemingly unheard petition. The name of her first own son is interpreted by E "Taker away" (viz. of the reproach) of childlessness (like Isa. iv. 1 of celibacy), by J "increaser," as the first who is the precursor The addition is characterized by אמר, which of a second. occurs nowhere else in the giving of names.

The passing notice of Dinah, Leah's daughter, has its appropriate place after the six sons of Leah, without our having to infer therefrom that her birth took place before that of Joseph. The first four births of sons (Reuben, Simeon,

Levi and Judah) by Leah happen in the first four years of the second seven years, the two by Bilhah, Rachel's handmaid, in the fourth to the fifth. During the fifth year Leah is in vain expecting the blessing of children, and at last, after the example of Rachel, gives Zilpah to her husband, and she bears to him Gad and Asher from the sixth to the middle of the seventh year. Meantime Leah is again blessed with children, and brings forth Issachar at the end of the seventh year of the now elapsed second seven years, Zebulun in the first of the last six years (of the twenty, xxxi. 38), and Dinah in the second of the six. Rachel however bore a son, as is evident from ver. 25, at the end of the second seven years; hence the birth of Joseph took place between the births of Issachar and Zebulun (not before that of Issachar, as Astruc, Conjectures, p. 396 sq., thinks), and probably in the last month of this seventh year (comp. Demetrius in Euseb. Præp. ix. 21). Unless we place two of Leah's births in the six years (xxxi. 41) after the two seven years, Leah must have borne seven children within the seven years, during which a considerable interval of vain expectation elapsed. Kurtz accepts this, limiting the period during which Leah was certain that a cessation had taken place to "a few months." But at xxxvii, 35, xlvi. 7, daughters of Jacob are mentioned, concerning whose births nothing is said, and elsewhere in Genesis homogeneous events are, as here in the case of the children with which Jacob's two marriages were blessed in Aramæa, taken together as though continuous, the distribution of the succession of time, as here of the 7 + 7 + 6 years, being left to the reader.

NEW COMPACT FOR SERVICE BETWEEN JACOB AND LABAN, XXX. 25-XXXI. 8.

When Rachel after long yearning became a mother, the second seven years of service had elapsed; the fourth portion, xxx. 25 to xxxi. 3 (from J, though with here and there a

glance at E), now relates how a new compact for service between Jacob and Laban came to pass, and how Jacob, during this new service, attained great wealth in cattle through an artifice blessed by God. Jacob presses for his dismissal, and Laban for Jacob's stay, 25-30: And it came to pass when Rachel had borne Joseph, that Jacob said to Laban: Send me away, that I may go to my own place and to my country. Give me my wives and children, for whom I have served thee, that I may go; for thou knowest my service which I have done for thee. Then Laban said to him: Oh, if I have found favour in thine eyes-! I have well marked that Jahveh hath blessed me for thy sake. Then he said: Decide thy wages, and I will give it. And he said to him: Thou knowest how I have served thee, and what thy cattle have become with me, a little, which thou hadst before my time, has spread into a multitude, and Jahveh hath blessed thee where I turned my foot, and now, when shall I work also for my own house? The apodosis to אָם־נָא 27a must be completed according to xviii. 3: so let thy purpose be-a courteous oh not so! (comp. xix. 18 sq.). is a heathen expression for inquiring into the future by means of magic, and then means in general divinare, to perceive, to remark (xliv. 15). The two מאמר with the same subject (Laban) in vv. 27, 28 show that R, wherever it is possible, reproduces the words of his authorities unaltered. We translate ppp 30a "a little," for "the little" is called מרץ, ag. Deut. vii. 7. We have already had אבר, to spread, in J xxviii. 14. לְרֵוּלִי, at my foot, is equivalent to: blessing followed wherever I went (comp. Job xviii. 11; Isa xli. 2; Hab. iii. 5). אשה א pregnant expression: to act, to work, to take trouble for any one. New compact between Jacob and Laban, vv. 31-34: Then he said: What shall I give thee? And Jacob said: Thou shalt give me nothing, if thou wilt grant me this thing: I will again tend thy flock and take it under my care. I will to-day go through all thy flock, taking out from it every speckled and spotted one and every black one among the

lambs, and the speckled and spotted among the goats, and that shall be my hire. And on the morrow, when thou shalt inspect my hire, my own righteousness shall testify against me before thee: every one that is not speckled and spotted among the goats and black among the lambs, let that with me be reckoned stolen. Then Laban said: Well, let it be according to thy word! Jacob lets himself be prevailed upon again to tend and keep Laban's flock under a certain condition (שָׁמֵי as at Hos. xii. 13. Comp. on the explanatory, surpassing compensation of the one notion by the other, Ps. xv. 4). The transaction is carried on with the same conventional forms of Oriental courtesy, as that in ch. xxiii. between Abraham and the Hethites. are in that country almost all white (Cant. iv. 2), only a fewchiefly rams, black, the goats for the most part if not black (Cant. iv. 1b) of a dark colour, and only very seldom white or spotted with white. Hence it is apparently a very small wage for which Jacob stipulates, when he claims all the speckled, spotted and black among the sheep (בַּשֶּׁבִים for the later בְּבֶשִׁים, a Pentateuchal form occurring also in Lev. Num. and Deut.), and all the speckled and spotted among the goats, which are now and henceforth may be produced in Laban's This is the sense of ver. 32 sq. After the preceding הסר, אעלר cannot, as Tuch, Baumg., Kn. understand it, be imperative, it is infin. absol. Consequently והיה שלכוי cannot, as Tuch, Baumg., Kurtz and already Luther take it, mean: and all that in future happens to be of an abnormal colour in the now normal coloured flock shall be my hire; מוהה שברי aims at the present, but in such wise that all that may in the future happen to be of abnormal colour is at the same time stipulated It is in accordance with this that ver. 33 must be explained: my own rectitude shall, when thou shalt to-morrow and henceforth make investigation concerning that which is claimed by me, testify against me (לְנָה בְּ everywhere else, also 1 Sam, xii, 3, and therefore certainly here too used of witness against or accusation). Luther 1545 with the LXX, and

Jerome rightly understands the מאים in the sense of האישר, איננן חום, for Jacob claims for himself the black sheep as those of abnormal colour; hence it is not the black, but those that are not black, that are to be regarded as stolen by him. Laban gladly consents, ver. 34: Yea (17 as in the Mishna diction) let it be (1) as at xvii. 18) according to thy word. might now be thought that Jacob would undertake the separation, instead of which Laban undertakes it himself, vv. 35, 36: Then on the same day he removed the striped and spotted rams, and all the speckled and spotted goats, all upon which was any white, and every black one among the lambs, and gave them into the hands of his sons. And he put a distance of three days' journey between himself and Jacob, and Jacob fed the rest of Laban's flocks. Laban himself separates the unusual coloured cattle, especially the rams Divin (which is certainly not made prominent without intention), and delivers these separated and unusually coloured cattle to his sons (comp. xxxi. 1), for Laban's own flock, consisting now of only normal coloured cattle, was pastured by Jacob. He then orders a separation of three days' journey (i.e. about 3 x 7 hours) between the two flocks, in order to prevent any copulation between the normal and abnormal coloured cattle. We cannot here escape the impression, that the accounts of two authorities are here worked into each other; nevertheless, the narrative, as we have it, must be capable in the mind of R of being drawn together into one harmonious picture. Hence we shall have to conceive that Laban, in order to guard against any diminution, himself undertook the separation, and for the same reason delivered what belonged to Jacob to his (Laban's) sons, and entrusted what was his to Jacob. is strange indeed that ver. 32 is left in the wording which leaves unexpressed Jacob's meaning, that what produced of an abnormal colour in the future is also to belong to him. But that this is Jacob's meaning presupposed, as the further course of the narrative shows.

In order to obtain within the one coloured flock of Laban the greatest possible number of abnormal coloured births, Jacob in his inventive policy makes use of two artifices. The first stratagem, vv. 37-40: Then Jacob took fresh rods of storax. almond and plane trees, and peeled thereon white stripes, laying bare the white that was on the rods. And he placed the rods, which he had peeled, in the gutters, in the water troughs, where the cattle came to drink, over against the cattle, and it was pairing time when they came to drink. And the cattle mated among the rods, and the cattle brought forth striped, speckled, and spotted. And Jacob separated the lambs and turned the faces of the flocks toward the striped and all the black among Laban's flocks, and made droves apart, and put them not to Laban's cattle. Of the three kinds of trees לְבָנָה is the storax tree (styrax officinalis, from לָבֶוֹ in accordance with the formation לְבָּיִ = אָשֶׁה on account of the fragrant milk leben thickening to a gum لَبْنَى which flows from its wounded bark)-not the white poplar, which is called مُون (DMZ. xvi. 588); المناف the almond tree (the more Aramaico-Arabic name for מַשָּׁכִי amygdala, whose fruit is called almonds, or almond nuts, nuces, Arab. ; loz), and אָרַטּן the plane (platanus orientalis, from יָבָע denudare, because the smooth bark of the tree comes off every year and leaves In the fresh sticks of these trees he peeled white stripes (אַלוֹת) peeled places) by exposing the white (מָשָׁלוֹת) adv. Acc. for אָשֶׁר decorticando), and placed (אָשָׁר in distinction from of temporary placing) the parti-coloured sticks in or near the troughs רְהָמִים (perhaps from J xxiv. 20), which is explained by שַׁקְתוֹת המים (plur. of שׁׁקָתוֹ with the n taken rootwise as in לְנְכֵח הַצֹּאון belongs to the remote לפניך as ייצג מניך 33a to the remote תנחרבי, unless the meaning is, that the animals stood while drinking on both sides of the trough opposite each other, so that מחמנה is meant of the instinct excited by the help of this position. This מַחְמָנָה instead of

(from סְּחָם, as at 1 Sam. vi. 12, Dan. viii. 22) is one of the three forms designated by the Masora as מלץ אדרוניטם, hybrid Thus they mated (מחון = מחון from מחון, though it also might be impf. Kal from pr for prom, according to a similar change of sound, as at Ps. li. 7, comp. Judg. v. 28, for upm) among the rods, and this produced unusual coloured animals among the lambs. Then Jacob separated these unusual coloured lambs and kids from the normal coloured animals belonging to Laban, and so led the latter that their faces were turned to the parti-coloured, so as to obtain continually fresh additions from the flock of Laban. Hence it must have been arranged, at least at the first that from the first separation (ver. 35 sq.) to a second and final one, the flocks of Laban should remain together under the care of Jacob. For otherwise it cannot be explained that Laban should so easily have connived at the normal and abnormal coloured cattle remaining together and not from time to time have continued the separation made at the beginning, that he should even have looked on quietly, when Jacob formed separate flocks of the parti-coloured cattle obtained by stratagem, for the purpose of overlooking his property, and at the same time of obtaining continually fresh increase by turning the faces of the onecoloured animals towards the numerous parti-coloured ones. If instead of we are with Kn. to read 3, according to the Targums and Saad, it is to be explained: he placed in the sight of the sheep all the striped and dark-coloured animals (so that they had always had the latter in their sight). But this is of no avail. It cannot be mistaken that the words בצאן לבן in ver. 40 import an alien element into the narrative; they give the impression of being an insertion, the contents of which are opposed to what precedes (the separation) and follows (the formation of separate particoloured flocks). The second stratagem, vv. 41, 42: And it came to pass, whenever the strong sheep conceived, then Jacob used to lay the rods in the gutters before the eyes of the sheep, that

they might mate among the rods. And when the sheep were feeble he laid them not therein, and thus the feeble became Laban's and the strong Jacob's. The apodosis begins with not משמח, because it was not a single but a repeated act. strong animals are called הַמְקַשָּׁרוֹת (42b), the compact, i.e. the full, the sturdy (comp. בָּבָּ , בָּרוֹל ,חֵל = Engl. strength), and the feeble הַעְּמְפִים, from מָשׁר, to wrap and to weaken; the Hiph. העמיף means, as intrinsically transitive, to show weakness. The form לְּחְמֵנָה is Piel (xxxi. 10) from בה, with the suffix enna instead of an = ahun. Only during the mating of the strong sheep did he put in the sticks, that they might conceive among them, and not when, on the contrary, the sheep were in a feeble condition, i.e. when in consequence of bad pasture the rams and ewes were less strong. This means. perhaps, that he laid them there in summer (according to Varro and Pliny: a tertio Idus Majas in X Calend. Aug., with us in July and the first half of August), so that the strong (unusual coloured) winter lambs became his, but not in autumn (Pliny: postea concepti invalidi), so that the weaker (usual coloured) spring lambs were left to Laban. Luther on the contrary: Also wurden die Spellinge Labans, aber die Fruelinge Jacobs, according to which Jacob must have carried out his artifice from towards the end of September till October, when the lambs would be brought forth in March and April. The text itself gives no kind of indication as to whether Jacob had in view the winter or the spring lambing. rest it is a well-known fact that what is presented to the senses of the pregnant animal is imitated in the formation of the offspring, and that in no animal has the imagination of the mother such influence upon the offspring as in the sheep; on which account sheep-breeders, to obtain white sheep, make use of a like means with Jacob, by placing something white in the drinking troughs of the sheep, giving them troughs made of quite white stone, or hanging up white cloths in their

stalls, just as horse-breeders, to obtain a fine breed, hang up representations of fine horses before their foaling mares (Friedreich, Zur Bibel, 1. 36-41). Jacob's increasing prosperity, ver. 43: Thus the man increased exceedingly, and obtained many sheep, and maid-servants and men-servants, and camels and asses. At ver. 30, and at xxviii. 14, also you of the person is found in J; comp. notwithstanding the מָאֹר מָאֹר (elsewhere only in Q, vii. 19), the Jahvistic parallels in matter and style, xii. 16. לאו ובות does not mean: many flocks, but many heads of sheep and goats, comp. e.g. Num. xxxi. 32. Jacob's prosperity increased immensely, but (as is further narrated according to J) it was now also time that he should quit the place, xxxi. 1-3: And he heard the words of Laban's sons, that they said: Jacob has taken to himself all that was our father's, and of our father's property has got for himself all this And Jacob beheld the countenance of Laban, and it was no more towards him as yesterday and before yesterday. And Jahveh said to Jacob: Return to the land of thy fathers and to thy home, and I will be with thee. Jacob's brothers-in-law having been, contrary to Oriental custom, still silent individuals at their sisters' marriages, were still quite little fourteen years ago, and perhaps not born twenty years ago; now however they are grown up (xxx. 35) and of age. שׁבוֹלוֹ weight, means both a great quantity (of wealth only here in the Pent., but comp. xiii. 2) and an imposing appearance (gravitas, gloria). עשה to obtain, to gain, as at xii. 5. אֵינֶשׁ after פוֹיָם, as at Lam. iv. 16. On מוֹלָנֵת see on xii. 1, and compare the reference to it xxxii. 10.

JACOB'S FLIGHT AND FINAL PEACEABLE DEPARTURE FROM LABAN, CH. XXXI. 4-XXXII. 1.

The fifth and last portion of the first section of Isaac's life now follows, not of Jacob's, for Isaac is still alive and rules the history, which Jacob only stirs. The close of the former portion, xxx. 43-xxxi. 1-3, bore the stamp of J, but now the

text of E is resumed and prevails, xxxi. 4-xxxii. 1, though other elements, especially parallels in matter from J and in ver. 18 from Q, are perceived to be worked into it. The different source is already betrayed by the behaviour of Laban, and Jacob's prosperity, notwithstanding, being somewhat differently represented here and in ch. xxx.

Jacob summons Rachel and Leah to the field and lays before them the motives of his resolution to return home. vv. 4-9: Then Jacob sent and called Rachel and Leah to the field unto his flock, and said unto them: I see the countenance of your father, that it is not towards me as yesterday and before yesterday, but the God of my fathers was with me. And you know that with my whole power I have served your father. your father has deceived me and changed my hire ten times; but Elohim has not allowed him to do me harm. If he said: The speckled shall be thy hire, then the whole flock bare speckled; and if he said: The striped shall be thy hire, then all the flock bare striped. And so Elohim has taken away the flocks of your father and has given them unto me. Expressions peculiar to E are שַׂכָּרָת (שַׂכָּרָם) here and ver. 41, xxix. 15, and עַּלָרָת מִנְים here and ver. 41, ten (= many) times, instead of the synonymous אַשֶּׁרֶת פּּעָמִים instead of אָשָּׁרָת, which occurs besides only three times in Ezekiel, is also worthy of notice (Assyr. The use of gender is here also shown to be imperfectly developed: אָבִיכן with respect to the wives being exchanged 9b for אַניכם. It is from E that it is here told that Laban did not keep to his agreement with Jacob, but fooled him (Ann Hiphil of An, Ew. § 127d) by ever and again changing the hire allotted him, but without profiting thereby, because God frustrated his selfish intention. Continuation of Jacob's address to his wives, vv. 10-13: And it came to pass at the pairing time of the cattle, that I lifted up mine eyes and saw in a dream, and behold, the rams which leaped upon the sheep were striped, speckled and dappled. And the angel of God said to me in a dream: Jacob! And I said: Here am I. And he

said: Lift up thine eyes and see: All the rams which leap on the sheep are striped, speckled and dappled; for I have seen all that Luban doeth to thee. I am the God of Bethel, where thou anointedst a pillar, where thou vowedst a vow unto me-now arise go out of this land and return to the land of thy home. What appeared in the former portion to have been obtained by Jacob's artifice, is here represented as the blessing of Elohim. That the Divine direction, now to return home, closely follows in the dream-vision upon the image of the leaping upon the cattle of unusual coloured rams, and thus took place at the end of the six years (Dillm.), is perhaps based only on the circumstance, that what was objectively related in E is here taken up retrospectively in Jacob's address. should and must at last have been inwardly conscious, that after all it had been God's providence and not his own artifice which had protected him against Laban and made him so wealthy, that, as Antonio says in Shakespeare, it was "a thing not in his power to bring to pass, but swayed and fashioned by the hand of Heaven." The variegated animals are here called, ver. 12, שַׁקְּדִים banded—which appeared already xxx. 35 spotted and בְּרָהִים dappled (here for the first time), from ברד אים variegare, syn. with מָלָאִים xxx. 32, 33, 35 in J. The manifestation at Bethel to which ver. 13 refers is that related xxviii. 12, 17-19. The demonstrative prominence of the first member of the st. constr. in הַּמֶּל בִּירִאֵּל is like הַפֶּלֶן is like הַפֶּלֶן אשר Isa. xxxvi. 8, and in cases like 2 Kings xxiii. 17, where apposition cannot be supposed instead of annexation; comp. upon the pillar of the Jehavmelek of Gebal, "the Ba'alat of Byblos." The two wives approve of Jacob's proposal; their father has alienated their hearts also by his unkindness and covetousness, vv. 14-16: Then Rachel with Leah answered, and they said to him: Have we yet a portion and inheritance in the house of our father? Are we not esteemed by him as strangers? for he has sold us, and has even quite devoured the price paid for us. Nay, all the wealth

which Elohim has taken from our father belongs to us and our children; now then, whatever Elohim has told thee, do! Laban sold his daughters for the price of fourteen years' service, without giving them, as a marriage portion, anything that Jacob's services had procured for him. He has abundantly profited by this אָפַף paid to him as מָהָר; שַּׁ with the inf. absol. like xlvi. 4 (and 1 Sam. xxiv. 12, if we are to read there with Hupfeld וֹרָאֹה increases the emphasis. confirms and strengthens, see xxix. 32 sq. They can with a good conscience look upon what Jacob has, by the blessing of God, obtained for himself during his time of service, as their marriage portions, which have been extorted from him. are contented that he should prepare for departure. The return home, vv. 17-21: Then Jacob arose and set his sons and his wives upon the camels, and carried away all his cattle and all his property which he had made his own, the cattle of his getting, which he had made his own in Paddan Aram, to go back to Isaac his father in the land of Canaan. And Laban was gone to shear his flock, then Rachel stole the teraphim of her father. And Jacob stole the heart of Laban the Aramaean, in that he made no communication to him, for he meant to flee. he fled, and all that belonged to him; he arose and passed over the river, and set his face toward the mountains of Gil ad. ver. 18 the text of E from ואַת־רבשוֹ onwards is illustrated from Q. comp. xii. 5, xxxvi. 6, xlvi. 6. When this happened Laban had gone sheep-shearing, which, as must be inferred from ver. 27, was then as later (xxxviii. 12 sq., 2 Sam. xiii. 23 sq.) celebrated as a rustic festival, and would with such large flocks as Laban's last above a week. Rachel made use of her father's absence to steal his תְּלָפִים (a Pluraletantum like penates, sometimes an actual plural as here, comp. xxxv. 2, sometimes an intensive one, as at 1 Sam. xix. 13, like בַּעָלִים ,אַלנִים : the tutelary gods or god of his house, properly dispenser of prosperity, from אוח, تُنْوَنُ , تَرُفُ to be opulent, to live well,

whence قرق, prosperity, superfluity, as the Penates have their name from the penus, the domestic store-chambers, as protecting and filling them).1 Rachel, like Æneas, took the teraphim penatiger (Ovid, Met. xv. 450) with her, but in an unlawful manner, not for the purpose of withdrawing her father from these idols (Ephrem and others), but to take with her the fortune of the house. For Laban was, as he is called xxxi. 20 and also elsewhere in E and Q, M, and therefore, as thus hinted, if not wholly, still half a heathen. The verb געב with בל, or just the Acc. of the person, ver. 27, means, like κλέπτειν νόον and κλέπτειν τινά, to deprive any one of the knowledge of anything. to delude him; the original meaning of נגב is to bring aside, which acquires the more special meaning of removing (purloining), or also, as at 2 Sam. xv. 6, of tempting. deceived Laban in that (ער, Samar. על) he did not tell him beforehand that he was about to depart (with the verb. fin. as at Job xli. 18, Hos. viii. 7, ix. 16, Chethib Isa. xiv. 6; Ew. § 322a); he let nothing be perceived, for he intended to depart secretly (clam se subducturus erat, for pp properly means to flee, ma, on the contrary, to depart, to withdraw). So Jacob with all that was his passed over the river (which can only mean the Euphrates), and thence proceeded in the direction of the mountains of Gilead. Pursuit, warning and overtaking, vv. 22-25: And it was told Laban on the third day that Jacob had departed. And he took his brethren with him and pursued after him seven days' journey, and overtook him in the mountain of Gilead. And Elohim came to Laban the Aramaean in a dream at night, and said to him: Take heed that thou speak not to Jacob either good or bad. And Laban came up with Jacob; and Jacob had pitched his tent in the mountain. and Laban with his brethren pitched in the mountain of

¹ Ad. Neubauer in *The Academy*, 1886, No. 756, conjectures a connection between רמאים and מרכים; but the teraphim do not appear to be adored manes (ancestral spirits), and the existent verbal stem קרף excludes the derivation from הבין.

The point of departure was according to all informants If Gilead could not be thence reached in a seven Haran. days' march, and not by a nomad with his flocks in from ten to twelve, E and J must bear the responsibility; the conjecture that E placed Laban's dwelling nearer to Gilead (Dillm.) being Since however 23b (מַרָבֶּק װֵנ) belongs in all probability to E and ver. 25 ('un jum') to J, the conjecture is suggested that there was in the text of J a more particular designation of Jacob's halting-place than אָהַה, which was left out by R because of 23b (Dillm.). The mountain chain of Gilead is divided into a northern and southern half, separated by the ravine of the Jabbok. The meeting took place before the subsequent passage of the Jabbok by Jacob, hence somewhere in the hill country 'Aglun between the Jarmuk and the Jabbok. The kindred of Laban are called his brethren, as e.q. 2 Sam. xix. 13. Laban is directed to behave to Jacob in an entirely passive manner, i.e. not to meet him in a hostile spirit. What now follows is not meant to be regarded as a transgression of the Divine admonition on the part of Laban.

The eloquent reproof, vv. 26-30, is limited to bitter reproaches, in which paternal affection and hypocrisy are intermingled: Then Laban said to Jacob: What hast thou done? that thou hast stolen my heart and carried away my daughters as captives of the sword. Why didst thou depart so secretly and deceive me and hast told me nothing, so I might have sent thee away with mirth and with songs, with tabret and with harp, and hast not let me kiss my sons and my daughtersthus hast thou done foolishly. It was in my power to do thee harm, but the God of your father spake to me in the past night saying: Take heed that thou speak not to Jacob either good or ill. And now thou wentest forth uncontrollably because thou sorely longest for thy father's house—why hast thou stolen my gods? The apodosis to לא הנוח לי logically begins with נא ביות לי 27b. The LXX. apparently read in kal ei, but comp. a similar apodosis after

at Ps. lv. 13, Job ix. 32 sq., xxxii. 22. On 'ניש מחוד מי at Ps. lv. 13, Job ix. 32 sq., xxxii. 22. comp. 1 Sam. xviii. 6 and LXX. 2 Sam. vi. 5. העשות or even the inf. abs. ivy might (according to the beginning of ver. 27) follow הְּכַבְּלְתְּה ; we find however the inf. constr. without 5 (Ges. § 131. 4, note 2), which in E is written also 1. 20 and even with a suffix Ex. xviii. 18 100 (comp. אלי אוי xlviii. 11). אי in the phrase: it is, or: it is not לאל ידי means power (from אול, whence also אילות Ps. xxii. 20), properly the powerful matter, or (since 18, Assyr. ilu, seems to have only a tone-long & and originally a short i) perhaps reach, especially reach of power (according to Lagarde, from it, like סמה from סמות . He could avenge himself, but "the God of your father," he says, i.e. the God of Isaac, who is now the head of the family to Jacob's wives also, warned me win in the preceding night; we already read this word conceived of adverbially as an Acc. xix. 34 (where see), and it occurs again only here in ver. 42 and Job xxx, 3, 2 Kings ix, 26, while the Assyr. freely uses mûsu (plur. mûsatî), late evening, night, as a noun. The strengthening inf. intens. קלף and קלף (to long for, here: to long back, as in the Bedouin خسف, DMZ. xxii. 158) are psychologically significant. The אָדָּיוּ looks towards the inquiring is; we should say, transposing the sentence: now then, why, if sore home-sickness irresistibly impelled thee, hast thou stolen my gods? Jacob's excuse and protest, vv. 31, 32: Then Jacob answered and said: Because I was afraid; for I thought, lest thou shouldst perhaps even rob from me thy daughters. With whom thou shalt find thy gods, he shall not live; in the presence of our brethren, look strictly to what is found with me and take it to thee!-Jacob knew not that Rachel had stolen them. Instead of ישישר (xliv. 9 sq.), is apud quem, we here read זְיֵל בְּיָ, apud quem (is vivere desinat). יחיה has rightly segolta; for נגר אחינו refers not to the execution, but to the inspection, which is to be made before the eyes of all the persons belonging to them both. Rachel's stratagem prevents the discovery of her theft, vv. 33-35: Then Laban

went into Jacob's tent and into Leah's tent and into the tent of the two handmaids and found nothing, and having come out of Leah's tent he went into Rachel's tent. Now Rachel had taken the teraphim and put them into the saddle of the camel and was sitting upon them, and Laban felt about all the tent and found nothing. And she said to her father: Let not my lord be angry that I cannot rise up before thee, for it is with me according to the manner of women-so he sought but found not the teraphim. Thus Rachel, whose turn came next to Leah, and with whom the narrative now tarries longer (the handmaids being here, where the historic course of Genesis is reflected in parvo, despatched extra ordinem), was able to deceive her father, by putting the teraphim into the saddle of the camel and then sitting upon it. On אמהות, plur. of אָמָה see on xx. 17. The saddle is called יָּב from its (basket-shaped) roundness. Luther, misunderstanding the stramenta of Jerome (after σάγματα of the LXX.), translates die strew der Kamel. She excuses herself from rising before her father (מְפֵנֵי, like Lev. xix. 32) because of her condition. The stratagem was cunningly devised, for even though Laban might not have esteemed it unclean and unfitting to touch the seat on which she sat (see Lev. xv. 22), how could he have thought it possible that a woman in her circumstances should be sitting upon his gods! Thus Laban stands discomfited, and the right of casting reproach is all at once transferred to Jacob, who upbraids him with the injustice of this hostile pursuit, and with all the faithful, unselfish and hard service which he has rendered him, vv. 36-42: Then Jacob was angry and chode with Laban; Jacob answered and said to Laban: What is my offence, what is my sin, that thou hast pursued after me? Thou hast felt about all my stuff, what hast thou found of all thy household stuff? Set it here in the presence of thy and of my brethren, let them judge between us two. In the twenty years that I have been with thee, thy ewes and thy she-goats have not cast their young, and the rams

of thy flock have I not eaten. That which was torn I brought not home to thee, I myself replaced it, of my hand thou didst require it, that which was stolen by day and stolen by night. Where I was by day, the heat consumed me and the frost by night, and sleep fled from my eyes. Twenty years have I spent in thine house; fourteen years I served thee for thy two daughters and six years for thy flock, and ten times hast thou changed my hire. Unless the God of my father, the God of Abraham and the fear of Isaac, had been for me, surely then thou wouldest have sent me away empty-my affliction and the labour of my hands hath Elohim seen and decided yesternight. In ver. 36 is to be written with Pathach before ה, as at Job xxi. 21. The phrase יוֹלְק אַחְר to pursue violently, is repeated 1 Sam. xvii. 53. That the mother sheep did not drop their lambs (miscarry 38a), shows that he had treated them gently (comp. xxxiii. 13), and that God had blessed his care-In ver. 39 κωη, LXX. ἀποτιννύειν, has the same meaning as אַחְמֵּנָה Ex. xxii. 12; אַחְמֵּנָה for אַחְמֵּנָה is formed as from הַּמָא=הָמָה. The twice repeated has the connective i, which here as everywhere, with the exception of Lam. i. 1. Hos. x. 11, has the tone on the ult.; the a ought to stand at אחמנה, for מידי תבקשנה points onward to what was lost and Jacob had to answer for. The verb יוֵד (related to iv. 12) appears only here, ver. 40, in the Pentateuch. "My sleep" is that which is fitting and should be allowed me (Isa. xxi. 4). According to the statement of time in ver. 41. the births of Jacob's eleven sons, with that of Dinah and certain other daughters, takes place in the last 7+6 years of his Aramæan sojourn, see above xxx. 24. The speech of Jacob has, by reason of the strong emotion and self-conscious elevation expressed therein, both rhythmic movement and poetic Its truth, and especially its close, cuts Laban to the "heart." In fear is here equal to the object of fear $(\sigma \epsilon \beta a)$ שני (שׁלָּה with the pract begins the apodosis of a hypothetical prodosis referring to the past, as at Num. xxii.

29, 33, 1 Sam. xiv. 30, comp. 9 1 Sam. xxv. 34, 2 Sam. ii. 27.

Laban disarmed offers reconciliation and to enter into an agreement, vv. 43, 44: Then Laban answered and said to Jacob: The daughters are my daughters and the children are my children. and the flocks my flocks, and all that thou seest is mine; but for my daughters, - what shall I this day do, or for their children whom they have borne? Come then, we will make a covenant, I and thou, and it shall be for a witness between me and thee. The subject to הַיָּה cannot be בְּרִית, which is fein., but a neuter, "it," viz. the present occurrence. Jacob incorporates and fixes this y in a monumental form, ver. 45: Then Jacob took a stone and set it up for a memorial pillar. it stood in E, but now J is further added to E, vv. 46-48: And Jacob said to his brethren: Gather stones; and they took stones and made a heap, and ate there upon the heap. Laban called it Jegar sahad@tha, and Jacob called it Galed. Laban said: This heap is witness between me and thee this day, therefore he called its name Galed. The heap served, as is summarily remarked beforehand 46b (comp. the anticipations xxvii. 33, xxviii. 5), as a table for a common covenant repast (comp. xxvi. 30), and is called by Laban ינר שהרותא (which is both East and West Aramaic), by Jacob בּלֵעִר, the heap of witness. These are the only two דברים in the Thorah, as the tractate Sofrim i. 10 expresses it. In the Jerus. Talmud (Sota vii. 2) and elsewhere this language is called סורסי, סירסי, סירסי, סירסי, (DMZ. xxv. 128 sq.). The verbs מָהַד שְּׂהַר, and עוד have the fundamental meaning of making firm, the verb יָנֵר that of heaping together, 53 that of rolling. Thus the appellations are pretty nearly identical. It was formerly inferred (Bochart, Huet, le Clerc, Astruc and others) from this passage that Abraham brought with him from Ur Casdim the Aramaic language and exchanged it in Canaan for the שפת כנקן (Isa. xix. The case, on the contrary, is that the Terahites, who remained in Mesopotamia, there became acquainted, during the

180 years which elapsed from between Abraham's migration into Canaan and this occurrence on the mountain of Gilead, with the Aramaic speech of the country, but that in the family of Terah the Babylonio-Assyrian, which differed less than the Aramaic from the tongue of the Canaanites who had migrated thence (from the Erythræan Sea), was spoken. change of language cannot be spoken of in the same manner in the case of Abraham as in that of his kindred in Haran (König, Lehrgeb. § 4. 2).—In 48b the style betokens the hand of J; the same formula xi. 9, xix. 22, xxv. 30 (xxix. 34, where however the reading may be קראה), shows that איַ is to be understood with the most general subject (they called), and at the same time indicates that ver. 47, where Jacob is said to have given the name, was written by another hand, viz. E. That we have here materials offered by different sources worked up together, is also shown by the connection, ver. 49, not fitting in with what preceded: And Mispah, for he said: May Jahveh watch between me and thee, when we are out of sight of one another. has no other connection than with the preceding: therefore he called the heap of stones and this place of the meeting of Jacob and Laban was called המצפה, because (אישׁי, as at xxx. 18, Deut. iii. 24) he (Laban) said the words of Laban are taken from his speech in J, and המצפה אישר אפר seems to be an addition by R. The well-known Mizpah in the mountains of Gilead, the residence of Jephtha (Judg. xi. 34), the subsequent Gadite city of refuge, cannot here be intended, for the Mizpah in question lay in the neighbourhood of the Jabbok (see Mühlau under Mizpah-Mizpeh in Riehm's HW.), which Jacob did not pass over till after the reconciliation with Laban. The Samar reads המצבה (in the Samar. Targ. המעמחה), which Wellh. turns to account for the analysis of sources; but the explanation 'אשר אמר and המצפה and והמצפה are surely derived from the same hand, and המצבה cannot be equivalent with המצפה, these words having different verbal stems and expressing different notions. The exclamation

of Laban 'un קצר, with which iv. 14 can hardly be compared. because dissimilar, is continued, ver. 50, in words from E: If thou shalt ill-use my daughters, and if thou shalt take wives beside my daughters, it is not a man that is with us-behold, Elohim is witness between me and thee. In order not to be betrayed into a false analysis, it must be observed that the covenant obligation, which Laban here imposes upon Jacob, is a different one from that in ver. 51 sq. Here the only matter is that Jacob shall be a faithful and considerate husband to Laban's daughters. With regard to the Divine names in ver. 49 sq., they testify to both J and E. The appeal to God, as surety of the covenant, does not come into collision with the memorial of the covenant. Another covenant obligation, whose acceptance the memorial is to recall to future ages, consists in this, that the boundary of which it is the mark is not to be passed with hostile intention, 51-53a: And Laban said unto Jacob: Behold this heap of stones and behold this pillar, which I have set up between me and thee. Let this heap be witness, and let this pillar be witness: neither will I pass over this heap unto thee, neither shalt thou pass over this heap nor this pillar unto me, for ill. The God of Abraham and the God of Nahor judge between us, the God of their father! express threefold juxtaposition of the two monuments looks like the comprising together of two accounts, in one of which the מצכה and in the other the אַם־אָני was prominent. אָם־אָני מתה answer to the correlative sive . . . sive, as at Ex. xix. 13; the אם of the oath is not intended, for אם is an affirmative oath. יריתי is to be understood according to Job xxxviii. 6 and אלהי אביהם in the name of Jerusalem. The אלהי אביהם coming in afterwards in a supplementary manner, and hence as a later addition, is not meant to signify "the gods of their father," but, on the contrary, makes the God of Terah, as a higher unity and as a bond of union between the two parties, predominant to the God of Abraham and Nahor. Jacob however does not enter into this syncretistic view of Laban, ver. 53b: Then Jacob swore by the fear of his father Isaac. He swears by the God reverently adored by his father. The narrator, as at ver. 42, What was anticipatively related from J, ver. 46, now is *E*. follows in the more detailed form in which it is found in E, ver. 54: And Jacob offered a sacrifice upon the mountain and called his brethren to eat bread, and they ate bread and remained all night in the mountain. This was the covenant-repast as at xxvi, 30, where however we are not told, as here and xlvi, 1, that there was an offering of the flesh. Elsewhere on the contrary we meet indeed with altars in the patriarchal history, but, except in the sacrifice at Moriah, without mention of sacrifices offered thereon. Next morning a peaceful departure takes place, xxxii. 1: Early in the morning Laban rose up and kissed his sons and daughters and blessed them, and Laban returned to his place. Though 1b sounds like xviii 33 (but comp. also Num. xxiv. 25), the account of E still continues. Laban in caressing his children does what, according to xxxi. 28, he had desired to do.

THE ANGELIC VISION, THE NIGHT AT PENIEL, AND THE UNEX-PECTEDLY KIND BEHAVIOUR OF ESAU, XXXII. 2-XXXIII. 17.

The third section of the Toledoth of Isaac, derived from E and J, begins with xxxii. 2. A narrative portion from J closes with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} xxxii$ 14a, and one from E with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} xxxii$ 22b. What was first related in the words of J is repeated ver. 23 sq. in the words of E, to whom we are indebted for the narrative of the conflict at the Jabbok. The Divine name probe however appears both at xxxii. 29 (where the subject gives occasion for it) and at xxxiii. 5, 11 in a Jahvistic context (comp. e.g. also xxviii. 21), it is of itself no decisive criterion against J, to whom Wellh. ascribes vv. 23-33. Driver also (Critical Notes, 1887, p. 41) thinks it probable that 24-32 is derived from J. So too Kuenen, to whom the history of Jacob's conflict at Jabbok seems to bear the stamp of the "pre-

prophetic" traditions of the Hexateuch (*Einl.* § 13, note 23). It is evident that the answer to the question, whether J or Q is the narrator, remains an uncertain and purely subjective one.

The connection of the family, to whom the promise is given, with Paddan Aram is thus peacefully dissolved, and the progress of the sacred history, turned quite away from this its mother country, advances henceforth towards Egypt, where the family was to grow into a nation. Accompanied by the blessing of Laban, Jacob continues his journey, vv. 2, 3: And Jacob went on his way and angels of Elohim met him, and Jacob said when he saw them: This is God's host, and he called the name of that place Mahanaim. Angels of God, in whom he recognises a host of God given him as an escort, meet him (comp. 1 Chron. xii. 22), and he names the place after the angelic host added to his own, or perhaps after the protectors of his previous and future journeys, מחנים (two camps)—the name of a subsequent Levite city, in the territory of the Here, according to a tribe of Gad, north of the Jabbok. statement of Estori ha-Parchi, recently confirmed by Eli Smith, is still found between Jabbok and Jarmuch (ירמוד by Talmudic and Arabic corruption from 'Ιερόμαξ'), upon a mountain terrace above the two-branched Wadi Jabes, a place called Mahne. Hitzig and Kneucker place Mahanaim farther northwards in the Jordan valley, where the Jarmuch flows into the Jordan, but where not a trace of the ancient name is to be found. The name and is inscribed upon the Karnak tablet of the march of Shishak; the termination ajim might, as in ירושלים and the like (comp. Köhler, Gesch. ii. 176), be a diphthongally formed am (Wellh.), but the name is in the Bible always written סחנים, and the Dual represents more aptly than the singular, the meaning and aim of what is related. Jacob's message to Esau, vv. 4-6: And Jacob sent messengers before him to his brother Esau, to the land of Se'îr, the field of Edom. And he commanded them saying: Speak thus to my lord, to Esau: Thus saith thy servant Jacob:

I have sojourned with Laban and stayed till now, and I have oxen and asses, flocks and men-servants and maid-servants, and I have sent to tell my lord, to find grace in thy sight. Esau then was already dwelling in ארץ שַׂעִיר, though its final occupation and possession, related xxxvi. 6-8 from Q, and according to which it is here anticipatively called שַׁרָה אֵרוֹם (comp. xxxvi. 6), did not take place till afterwards. A third name of the country in Targ. Jer. and Samar. is ארץ נבלה the Gebalene ('Gebâl = mountains). אמרה is in the favourite impf. energicum of the Jahvistico-Deuteronomic style. imperfect form אָתַר (=אָאָתַר=) is syncopated like אָהַר Prov. vi. 3, 8) has the intensive ah, which enhances the vividness of the notion of the verb and occurs four times in the Pentateuch, Ges. § xlix. 2; Driver, § 72. שול used here collectively, and whose plural occurs but once, Hos. xii. 12, is without example elsewhere. Report of the messengers and Jacob's precautionary measures, vv. 7-9: The messengers returned to Jacob saying: We came to thy brother to Esau, and he also is coming to meet thee, and four hundred men with him. Jacob was greatly afraid and was distressed, and he divided the people that was with him and the flocks and the herds and the camels, into two companies, and said: If Esau comes to the one company and smites it, then the company that is left will escape. The circumstance that Esau has such a host for offence and defence, is explained by his having to maintain himself in Mount Seïr, upon which he has set his mind, against the not yet subjugated and supplanted Horite The reader is left as much in the dark as to aborigines. Esau's purpose and disposition, as Jacob was. This advance, which caused Jacob so much fear, did not manifest any change of mind since xxvii. 41. The angelic manifestation at Mahanain still hovers before him, but the threatening reality is again encamped between him and this consolatory picture. Preparing for the worst, he divides his people and flocks into

two companies, that if Esau should smite the one (מחנה first fem. as at Ps. xxvii. 3, then mas. as at Zech. xiv. 15) the other לְּפְלְיְמָה, i.e. to an escape, i.e. will be an escaped and preserved one. Nothing indicates a reference by this division to the Dual מחנים (Dillm.). Jacob does not however rest satisfied with this prudent arrangement, but by believing prayer grasps through the dark future the promise of God, vv. 10-13: And Jacob said: God of my father Abraham and God of my father Isaac, Jahveh, who saidst unto me: Return to thy country and to thy home and I will do thee good-I am less than all the favours and all the truth which Thou hast showed to Thy servant, for with my staff passed I over this Jordan, and now I am become two companies. Deliver me from the hand of my brother, from the hand of Esau, for I fear him, lest he come and smite me, the mother with the children. And Thou didst say: I will surely do thee good and will make thy seed like the sand of the sea, which cannot be numbered for multitude. The comparative סֵלְיִתְי מְבֹל ver. 11, denoting distance, does not refer to incapacity of requital, but to unworthiness of reception. The non is in norm (only here in the Pent.) resolved into its manifestations; אמת (the faithfulness or truth which keeps its promises) did not admit of such a plural. "The mother with the children" is, as at Hos. x. 14, a proverbial expression in accordance with Deut. xxii. 6 (5y, as at Ex. xxxv. 22. comp. on Ps. xvi. 2). The prayer is of one cast. Tuch thinks it unsuitable in the narrator, to make Jacob call upon God to keep His word. But to keep to His word the God who keeps His word, is the way of all true prayer. Upon what else can Jacob rely but upon the promise of God, and how else can he do so but by praying? With such prayer did Jacob chase away his fear, 14a: And he lodged there that night. viz. where he had received the message and undertaken the division into two companies. Since no מְשׁכֵּם follows, what is further related must be thought of as taking place during the night season, and this is also confirmed by ver. 23. What

lies between 14a and 22b appears to be from E, but the analysis is not certain and is moreover unimportant. parations for appeasing Esau, 14b-22: And he took of what he had in his possession a present for Esau his brother. hundred she-goats and twenty he-goats, two hundred ewes and twenty rams, thirty milch camels with their foals, thirty cows and ten bulls, twenty she-asses and ten foals, and delivered it into the hand of his servants in single separate droves, and said to his servants: Pass over before me and leave a space between drove and And he commanded the first, saying: When Esau my brother meeteth thee and asketh thee, saying: Whose art thou and whither goest thou, and to whom do these before thee belong? Then say: To thy servant Jacob, it is a present sent to my lord Esau, and behold he also is himself behind us. And he commanded also the second, also the third, also all who followed the droves, saying: Just so shall ye speak to Esau, when ye meet him. And ye shall say: Also behold thy servant Jacob is behind us; for he thought: I will appease his face by the present, that goes before me, and afterwards see his face, perhaps he will accept my face. So the present went over before him, while he passed that night in the company. "What had come to his hand" is to be explained according to צאן ידו the flock of his possession, Ps. xcv. 7. The proportion of ten to one in the selection of male and female animals is like 2 Chron. xvii. 11; comp. Varro, de re rust. ii. 3. The abbreviation תְּיַרִים (for תָּיִלִים) is like תְּיֵלר Job iv. 2. The verb תָּיַרִים 18a (a syn. of מנע) only occurs again in the Pent. at xxxiii. 8, Ex. iv. 24, 27; in יְמָנִשׁר 18b from מָּנִישׁ, a secondary form of יְמָנִישׁר 1 Sam. xxv. 20, the close of the first syllable is dissolved, comp. Cant. viii. 2, where Ben-Asher reads אנהני and Ben-Naphtali אַנהַנְּדְּ In like manner is בּלצַאָבֶם modified from the original combination of syllables being dissolved. The verb ξιλάσκεσθαι, which, when the sinner is spoken of in relation to God, never has God or His wrath as its

object (see the ground of the exposition in the Comm. on Heb. ii. 17), has here 21b the accus, of the person offended, and at Prov. xvi. 14 the accusative of the wrath. The Samar. Targum here translates אישםי and vi. 14 חושמי, and hence assumes both here and there a like original meaning for To accept the face of any one 21b (comp. xix. 21) is equivalent to favouring his person and interests, receiving him favourably. The night of 22b is the same as that of That extracts from different sources are discharged into these statements is apparent from vv. 23, 24, where the two sources are seen flowing side by side: And he arose up in that night and took his two wives and his two handmaids and his eleven children, and passed over the ford of Jabbok. And he took them and brought them over the stream, and brought over what belonged to him. On בלילה הוא "in that night," comp. Instead of אָת־אַשֶׁרלוֹ the Samar. has xix. 33, xxx. 16. את כל אשר לו, which is involuntarily substituted for the Though ילַדִין, not בּנִין, is used, pregnant briefer expression. Dinah is left unnoticed. The Jabbok is not the Jarmuch (Ew.), nor mentioned by mistake in its stead (Hitz.), but (if we take 'Gebel-Agian as the place of the meeting with Laban) the eastern affluent of the Jordan (now called ez-Zerkû on account of its clear blue waters), into which it flows about 11 leagues south-west of the place where it issues from the mountains. The Syrian caravan road leads to the ford of its upper course: traces of ancient buildings project half-hidden from the rushes and thickets of oleander; the district and the region about the banks of the ford testify that ancient civilisation was there active.

When Jacob was now again alone on the northern bank, he had to undergo a long and difficult conflict, ver. 25: And Jacob remained behind alone, and a man wrestled with him till the break of day. What is here related, ver. 25 sqq., gave, in the opinion of the narrator, its name to the stream, for it is surely intentionally that he uses the Niph.

occurring (from אבק radically related to חבק to hold fast to, to close with one another), hardly a denominative, from page dust: to make oneself dusty (LXX $\, \epsilon \pi \acute{a} \lambda a \iota \epsilon \nu$, comp. $\pi \acute{a} \lambda \eta = pollen$, pulvis, συγκονιοῦσθαι). Hence [4] is not in his mind equivalent to אָב', from אָב' evacuans aquas, but to אַב', according to the kind of syncope in סלפט Job xxxv. 11, אורני 2 Sam. xxii. 40. The Samar. has in the Heb. text יאנשש: he the Targ. אושש: he effected contact, i.e. a violent struggling embrace (Aphel of contrectare, no denominative from is clod, Job vii. 5, as Ges. in the Thesaurus assumes). Straining of the hip of him who was not to be prevailed against, ver. 26: And when he saw that he prevailed not against him, he touched the socket of his hip; then was the socket of Jacob's hip strained, as he wrestled The unnamed sees that he לא יַכל לו (comp. Ps. cxxix. 2), properly, that he is not equal, not superior to him, and he therefore gives him a blow on the socket of the hip, so as to strain it (اقعر from المراجل to fall, to fall out, to occur, LXX ἐνάρκησεν, torpuit, from ναρκάω, which does not exactly correspond, but rather luxari), the sinew of the hip undergoing during the wrestling so violent a strain, that Jacob was lamed in consequence. The wrestling having lasted long enough, without Jacob being conquered, the unnamed says, 27a: Let me go, for the day breaketh. Jacob, divining and feeling that it is a Divine Being whose attack he has had to sustain, keeps hold of the man and cries out, according to Hos. xii. 5, with tears and supplications, 27b: I will not let thee go unless thou bless me. Then the marvellous Being says to him, ver. 28: What is thy name? And he said: Jacob. The question is only preparatory to the communication which follows, ver. 29: Thy name shall no longer be called Jacob, but Israel; for thou hast fought with Elohim and with men, and hast prevailed. Instead of the more usual xvii. 5, xxxv. 10, we here read אֵלשֶׁים. In אֵלשֶׁים Esau and Laban are thought of. In לל the Hoph. of בול gives the imperfect

form והשכל, properly, capax factus es. The verb שורה to contend, is connected with the Arabic شرى, I., III., IX. (different from the שר to put in a row, serere, and שר Heb. and Babylonio-Assyr.: to rule). Ancient translators all render שֹׁרִית like the LXX eviaxuas, they did not understand the distinction between the verbs שורה to contend and שורד to rule (comp. וישור to rule (comp. Hos. xii. 5: he fought, from שָׁרָה and on the other hand ישׂרו Isa. xxxii. 1, they will rule); but Luth. correctly: For thou hast fought with God and with men. After this oracular saying, Jacob, on his part, also desires to know the name of the wondrous and, as he now the more certainly knows, Divine Being, with whom he has to do, vv. 29, 30: Then Jacob asked and said: Tell me, I pray thee, thy name. And he said: Wherefore askest thou after my name? He gives no answer, and yet answers: And he blessed him there. the same מלאך יהוה who replies to the same question from Manoah, Judg. xiii. 18: Wherefore askest thou after my name, which is Wonderful (יָהֹא פֵּלִי)? His name is not comprehensible for mortals, but the fact of blessing tells Jacob plainly enough Who is before him, viz. the Almighty Himself in His מלאר. His blessing has shed light upon the darkness of Jacob's soul. It was night there, but light appeared during the conflict, and now it is full bright day within and without, ver. 31: Then Jacob called the name of the place Peniel; for "I have seen Elohim face to face, and my life was preserved." The name פניאל (or פניאל with the connective sound a, like מתר בותי means, as the LXX translates it, eldos Ocov. He has seen God and yet (contrary to the rule, Ex. xxxiii. 20) is preserved; the impf. consec. here denotes a result contrary to expectation, as at xix. 9, xlix. 24; Driver, § 74\(\beta\). When Jacob now goes farther southwards with his family, ver. 32: The sun rose upon him, as he went over Penûel, and he halted upon his hip. popular custom recalling this circumstance, ver. 33: Therefore the children of Israel eat not of the sinew of the hip, which is in

the socket of the hip, because he touched it. Even here the subj. continues unnamed, as a mystery not to be unveiled. This sinew (nervus ischiadicus) has the name אָכִי וֹנִישׁ, וִּיִּדְּ הַנְּיָשׁוֹ, as the torpifying or paralysing one, i.e. the one which causes such a condition, whether momentarily or permanently (see Ges. Thes. p. 921b); the Arab. السَّنَة, which of itself already means the nerve of the hip, shows that המשה is gen. appositionis. The straining, stretching, or crushing of this nerve would result in paralysis. The שחימה (an allusion to ritual slaughter) understands by it the internal sinew of the so-called hindquarter, including the external, and the ramifications of both.

The meeting of the brothers now follows, xxx. 1-16. Esau approaches, and Jacob prepares for the worst, vv. 1-3: And Jacob lifted up his eyes and looked, and behold Esau came, and with him four hundred men. And he divided the children unto Leah and Rachel and unto the two handmaids. placed the handmaids and their children foremost, and Leah and her children behind, and Rachel and Joseph last. And he himself went before them and bowed himself seven times to the earth, till he came near to his brother. The verb num to divide, had at xxxii. 18 the meaning of separating, here 1b of sharing; he shared the children to their mothers, so that in the long train, the handmaids with their children went first. then followed Leah with hers, while Rachel with her only child Joseph closed the procession. Thus at the passage of the Jabbok he reunited the divided companies, and still so mistrusted Esau, as to place the members of his family at a distance from him, proportioned to the degree of responsibility in which he stands to them; nor has he really any reason for not mistrusting him, and at all events nothing can release him from the care for their safety, which his family have a right to He puts himself at the head of the train, and on approaching his brother bows reverentially before him seven times. The προσκύνησις, fully performed, took place with

אמים ארעה, Gen. xix. 1, comp. 1 Sam. xxv. 23, 2 Sam. i. 2. hence, as is traditionally explained, with יַרִים וְרָנְלִים extension of the hands and feet; or only suggestively by bending, as one about to prostrate himself (comp. 1 Sam. xx. 41). all events Jacob meets his brother with such superabundant courtesy, as we nowhere else meet with in sacred history. It was politic but not hypocritical. He had truly sinned against him, and ought to feel ashamed. The external was the expression of the internal self-humiliation which he experienced, in remembering his fault. Esau makes less ceremony, for he has a comparatively better, and none too tender a conscience; he has let fall his resolve to slay Jacob. The freebooter life awarded him by his father, by which he too may be in his fashion powerful, pleases him. that the passion of revenge has spent itself, the brotherly affection, which was never extinct, is rekindled at the sight of Jacob, ver. 4: Then Esau ran to meet him and embraced him. and fell on his neck and kissed him, and they wept. points over προή have the value of a critical ὀβελός, on which account this word is missing in many MSS. of the LXX. The Midrash however takes them as a mark of interrogation, which casts a doubt on the sincerity of this kissing, and would rather read וישכהו (he bit him); but this is against Esau's character. As all kinds of shadows pass across the piety of the son of promise, so on the other hand is the energetic son of nature capable of noble impulses and emotions. The Divine grace. which ruled in the paternal house, had not been without influence upon him also. He inquires after the women and children in Jacob's retinue, who all salute him with the utmost reverence, vv. 5-7: And he lifted up his eyes and saw the women and the children, and he said: How are these related to thee? And he said: They are the children which Elohim has graciously given thy servant. And the handmaids drew near. they and their children, and they bowed themselves. And Leah also drew near and her children, and they bowed themselves.

And last drew near Joseph and Rachel, and they bowed themselves. Here, as at ver. 11, Jacob calls the God who has so richly blessed him אלהים, and that in the midst of the Jahvistic text; it almost seems as if he purposely suppressed the name which God bears as the God of the history of redemption. Esau then inquires concerning the company which he had met-he had already heard from the shepherds that it was a present for himself, but this he ignores, vv. 8-11: Then he said: What meanest thou by this company which I have met? And he said: That I may find grace in the sight of my Then Esau said: I have abundance, my brother, keep lord.what is thine! But Jacob said: Nay, I pray thee, if I have found favour in thy sight, receive my present from my hand, for for this cause have I seen thy face, as the seeing of the face of Elohim, and thou hast received me kindly. Take then, I pray thee, my blessing, which is brought to thee, for Elohim has dealt graciously with me and I have all. And he urged him. he took it. In the question 8a, p stands by attraction (as at Judg. xiii. 17) for on (xxxii. 28); it relates to the now united five droves (xxxii. 15 sq.). Esau declines the present; omitting the title of my lord used by Jacob, he says : ישלי דָב i.e. not only enough (), but more than enough. Jacob however requests its acceptance: אַס־נָא expresses condition with the addition of request, as at xviii. 3, xxx. 27; the expression of the request follows in more, according to Ges. § 126, note 1. On ביעליבן (where עלים ש might be expected) see on xviii. 5. here: because occasion and opportunity were offered me to bring thee a gift of homage, therefore have I seen thy face בָּרְאַת פְּנֵי אֵלֹהִים. If Jacob here means to say, that as great a happiness has been bestowed upon him as if he had seen the face of God, this would certainly be "odious humility" (Kn.). But it must be explained in accordance with such passages as 1 Sam. xxix. 9, 2 Sam. xiv. 17. Jacob means to say that elohimish (we should say heavenly) kindness looks upon him from Esau's face, nor could he think VOL. II.

otherwise; for he must have recognised in the disposition of his brother, thus changed against all expectation, the work of the God who hears prayer and disposes the hearts of men (comp. xxxi. 24 with Ps. xxxiii. 15), and so have seen in his, a reflection of the Divine kindness. and not אלהים was here too in J the Divine name appropriate to the meaning. Dillm. agrees with Wellh, that in 10b another meaning of the name פניאל is indicated, than that given in E, xxxii. 31. Jacob calls the present expressing his wish for a blessing הַּרְכַתִּי, my הבאח is equivalent to הבאח; ath = ath, is the blessing. original feminine termination; comp. the forms of verbs לא Deut. xxxi. 29, Isa. vii. 14, Jer. xliv. 33, Ps. cxviii. 23, and of verbs ab where it is less frequent, Lev. xxv. 21, xxvi. 34; Ges. § 74, 75, note 1. חַנני is contracted from הַנניי. A second reason is added with '51, as at 1 Sam. xix. 4, Isa. lxv. 16. Esau had said: I have abundance; Jacob can, in the consciousness that Jahveh is his God, without hyperbole outvie him and say: I have all. By thus pressing Esau he induces him to accept the present. Then Esau remembers the onward journey and offers to escort him, but this Jacob declines, vv. 12-15: Then he said: Let us journey and go onwards, and I will go in presence of thee. And he said to him: My lord knoweth that the children are tender, and the flocks and herds are upon me as giving suck, and if they are overdriven one day, all the sheep will die. Let my lord, I pray thee, go before his servant, and I will move forwards at my ease according to the pace of the cattle that is before me, and according to the pace of the children until I come to my lord to Seir. Then said Esau: Let me, I pray thee, place with thee a portion of the people that are with me; but he said: Wherefore! Let me find favour in the sight of my lord! Esau will precede לְנֵיך Jacob, so that the latter having him in sight may be sure of protection. But Jacob declines; he does not yet feel that this would be safe, is the remark of Kn. But could be who wrestled with God

have so soon become again a designer and a coward? No; the vocation of which Jacob is conscious, by reason of the blessing of the first-born, obliges him, like Abraham in the presence of the five kings, just now to maintain his independence in the presence of Esau, and not to involve himself in any fresh obligation to him. Besides, the reasons for which he deprecates his escort are no empty pretence, for he does not desire that Esau should accommodate himself to the difficulties of his advance, and he is unable to accommodate himself to the warlike pace of Esau and his people, being obliged for the sake of the children and flocks to avoid overexertion: אָלוֹת עַלַי lactantes (as at Isa. xl.11, not lactentes, because properly sustentantes, see on Ps. viii. 3) super me, i.e. make special care incumbent on me, because in the condition of giving suck, and should any one overdrive them (am for an, as at xxvi. 15 and always), etc.,—the usual hypothetical construction with the Perf. in both prodosis and apodosis, xlii. 38, xliv. 29, comp. xxxi. 30, Ex. xvi. 21; Ges. § 155. 4a. יס אפי and אָנָיל is that of measure ; מָלְאכָה here means property = cattle, as perhaps also at 1 Sam. xv. 9, comp. peculium, pecunia, property consisting in cattle. Jacob's destination is Hebron, thence he seems to purpose visiting his brother in Seir: he deceives him by deceiving himself. Esau proposes to leave at least some of his people with him as an escort, but this too Jacob courteously deprecates as unnecessary. consequently separate and depart in different directions, vv. 16, 17: Therefore Esau returned on his way that day to Seir. And Jacob journeyed to Succoth and built himself a house and made booths for his cattle, therefore he called the name of the place Succoth. The uninterrupted prosecution of his journey was not possible to Jacob, his household required forbearance and rest: only necessity makes this trans-Jordanic sojourn comprehensible. Jerome in his Quaestiones on this passage remarks: Sochoth usque hodie civitas trans Jordanem in parte Scythopoleos. There is actually still a place, ساكوت, south of

Beisan (= Bethsean = Scythopolis), "upon a low bluff at the end of the ridge above the Wadi el-Malih" (Robinson in DMZ. vii. 1, p. 59). This Succoth lies in parte Scythopoleos, but not trans Jordanem. There must however have been also a Succoth on the other side of Jordan, which Jacob, coming from Mesopotamia by Mahanaim and Peniel and crossing over Jordan to Sichem, would pass. Sichem is emphatically called, xxxiii. 18, the first Canaanite town, i.e. the first place in the country west of Jordan which he reached. A Succoth situate trans Jordanem is also required: (1) Because a Gadite Succoth is named with Beth-Nimra and other east-Jordanic places, and this must have been, even on this account, on the left bank of Jordan, because the tribe of Gad had no possessions on its western side. (2) Because Gideon, Judg. viii. 4-8, having passed over Jordan, comes to Succoth and thence to If then the Succoth, between which and Zarthan Solomon had the temple-vessels cast, lay in the neighbourhood of Scythopolis, 1 Kings iv. 12, upon the western side, so that we must distinguish between an eastern and a western Succoth, both Josh. xiii. 27, Ps. lx. 8, there must beyond all doubt have been one east of Jordan, and this is Kiepert's maps transpose it close to the Jacob's Succoth. left bank of Jordan above the Wadi Jabis; but then Jacob must have gone northwards and thus have twice passed the Jabbok, which may be admitted, although the narrative does not say so. It is more probable however that this Succoth on the left bank lay between the Jabbok and the high road, which leads from Salt in Gilead to Sichem (Köhler, Gesch. i. 147; Keil, Dillm.). Ver. 17 also bears in the על־כַּן קרא (therefore he called) the mark of J (comp. xi. 9, xvi. 14, xix. 22, xxv. 30, l. 11).

Before proceeding farther, we would once more review the wonderful experiences of Jacob at Mahanaim and Peniel. At Mahanaim, on the threshold of the Land of Promise, is fulfilled to him what he had dreamed at Bethel, when on

the point of leaving it. What he here experienced, is thus in the mind of the narrator no second dream-vision. The host of God has invisible reality outside himself (a reality made for the moment visible), as indeed already follows from its being appointed to protect him. Are we to judge otherwise concerning the occurrence at Peniel? It is for the most part transposed, as already by Eusebius (in the Eclogæ proph.), to the sphere of the dream or ecstasy. "A mystic obscurity"says Krummacher in his Paragraphen zu der heil. Gesch. 1818 --- "rests upon this appearing, which is with peculiar simplicity represented not as a dream-vision, which it indisputably was, but as an historical event, and as such it may with full justice be esteemed, for does only the material, and that which is an object of sight and touch, belong to history, and is that which can neither be laid hold of nor comprehended excluded from And Hengstenberg: "In an external conflict and struggle, victory is not obtained by prayer and tears as by Jacob, according to Hos. xii. 4 sq." Umbreit (Studien u. Kritiken, 1848) passes the final sentence: "If we try to explain the passage literally, darkness settles upon it, and we see no gleam of light, except the rising sun." Certainly the occurrence here related belongs not to outward and visible history, but to the spiritual life; but it is not on that account purely subjective. The Being with whom he contended was not present only to Jacob's imagination, it was not merely an attack caused by his own conscience, but an attack objectively real by God Himself. The מלאק (Hos. xii. 5) had not indeed flesh and bone, he opposed force to force in virtue of the power, which the spirit has over the material, just as our spirit also, though it has not flesh and bone, sets this in motion as it But that Jacob conquers God in the Divine man, is possible, because it is only with a certain measure of His omnipotence that God opposes him. And why does he wrestle with Jacob in this hostile manner? Because, as now comes clearly to light in view of the meeting with Esau, his

possession of the blessing is not unspotted by sin. It is for this reason that he is attacked, and that not merely by his own conscience, which testifies against this sin, but by God Himself, who makes him feel it. But the faith in the depth of Jacob's heart breaks through sin and weakness and attack, grasps the mercy of his Adversary notwithstanding His hostile demeanour, and wrings anew from Him that blessing, threatened with annihilation, which he now obtains purified from dross, sanctified, transfigured as a Divine gift, a gift of grace. straining of his hip was a reminder that his natural strength was nothing. What made Jacob invincible was, as the Divine touch proved, not his hip (Ps. cxlvii. 10), but his faith. by this that he anew obtained the blessing, which he had till now possessed as the acquisition of his carnal subtlety. For the blessing of the first-born, out of which he tricked Esau, could neither be the basis of a birthright valid before God, nor the root from which the holy nation was to grow. It becomes this in this conflict, in which Jacob re-obtains it as the prize of his victorious faith, and from which he comes forth with the new name of ישראל, which (of like meaning as it seems with שַׁרָה) does not directly signify the fighter of God, i.e. fighter with God (for, as Nestle, Eigennamen, pp. 30-63, has shown, is, in all personal names compounded with &, intended as subject, not as object), but "God fights," yet so that this, by reason of the occasion, acquires the meaning of one with whom God fought and who thus had to fight with God; thus e.g. POY means the laugher, but according to its meaning is the designation of him who was the object of laughter; also אַל (=בְּאבֹק means the wrestler, but designates the stream where the wrestling took Thus Jacob is called ישראל as the man fought with by God, but connotatively as the man who sustained the fight with This name he henceforth bears, especially in J, but in none of the sources so exclusively as Abram and Sarai bear those of שרה and שרה after they were given them by God, xvii. 5, 15. For these two names designate the transition

into a new and ever-continuing position effected and appointed by the Divine will and promise, and therefore entirely abolish the former names. But the name with denotes a spiritual demeanour determined by faith, beside which the natural, determined by flesh and blood, was henceforth to go on in Jacob's life. Jacob-Israel is herein the prototype of the nation descended from him.

THE SOJOURN IN SICHEM. SIMEON AND LEVI'S VENGEANCE FOR THE DISHONOURING OF DINAH, CH. XXXIII. 18-XXXIV.

The second portion of the third section of the Toledoth of Isaac, xxxiii. 18 to xxxiv., relates to the atrocity perpetrated by Simeon and Levi upon the Sichemites. Vv. 18-20 form the transition: And Jacob came in peace to the city of 'Sechem which is in the land of Canaan, upon his journey from Paddan Aram, and he encamped before the city. And he bought the piece of ground, where he had pitched his tent, at the hand of the sons of Hamor, the father of Sechem, for a hundred Kestlah. And he erected there an altar and called it " El God of Israel." The LXX, Syr. Euseb. Jerome take " as the name of a place, and Salim is actually the name of a village situated on a rocky eminence east of Nablus, certainly that near which John baptized, John iii. 23, and from which the valley of Salem, Judith iv. 4, had its name. But then עיר שכם would be in opposition to this by, which is inadmissible (for that a daughter city should be called עיר of the mother city is without authentication); hence of the two meanings: in Salem and in pace (see Rönsch, Buch der Jubiläen, pp. 141-143), which the Leptogenesis places together, by has here the latter (whence Saadia translates: he came Lilu to the city of Nabulus); by is equivalent to bir xliii. 27 (as the Hebræo-Sam. reads: ujaba ja'akob šalom ir eškem), or בישלום, in safety, he came to the city of Shechem as it was promised him, xxviii. 15, comp. 21. The territory of Sichem (situate,

as אשר בארץ כנען states, in Canaan proper on the right of the Jordan) is already mentioned in Abraham's time, xii. 6; the then still new city was regarded as founded by Chamôr, a Hivite prince, and called after his son (Judg. ix. 28, comp. Josh, xxiv. 32). That father and son are called Asinus and Humerus recalls the blessing of Issachar, xlix. 14 sq., though the ancient position of Sichem upon the "shoulder" of Gerizim makes the allusion doubtful. In any case there is no need to refer the name non to an ass honoured as a deity (DMZ. xl. 156). Nor need we be astonished to find the חַרָּם, who dwelt in the period after Moses from the Antilebanon to Hamath, Josh. xi. 3, Judg. iii. 3, here in the midst of Canaan, where they formed a small kingdom, as in Gibeon, Josh. ix. 11, 19, they formed a small republic; Mount Ephraim may have been their original abode, whence they were subsequently driven northwards until they disappeared after the time of Solomon (1 Kings ix. 20). In the neighbourhood (אַרדפּגָּי as at xix. 13, Lev. iv. 6) of this Sichem Jacob encamped and bought the piece of ground on which he pitched his tent, from the ruling family of the בָּנִי־חֲכוֹר אֲבִי שַׁבֶּם (comp. Judg. ix. 28), for one hundred Kesitah (to which Josh. xxiv. 32 refers), as Abraham bought the cave of Machpelah from the Hethites for four hundred shekels, xxiii. 16 (both which purchases are entangled into one, Acts vii. 16). LXX, Onkelos, the Targ. Job xxiv. 11 and Jerome translate שימה by אוֹרָפָא lamb (comp. Samar. עורפא and with it the Syr. עורפן money)-a meaning which קשיטה must, according to Gen. Rabba c. 79, have really had in the common tonguc. R. Akiba however relates (Rosch ha-Shanah 26a) that in Africa (certainly among the Carthaginians) he heard a coin called השימה, which is not improbable, בישם being applied to all sorts of designations of quantity. We are not obliged with Cavedoni to understand קשימה of an uncoined piece of silver of the value of a lamb, or with Poole of a weight in the shape

of a lamb (such weights occur indeed among the Egyptians, Assyrians, and also among the Persians, in the forms of lions, dogs, and geese), but קשימה means directly a weighed piece of metal, and one indeed, as shown by xxiii. 16, Job xlii. 11, of considerably higher value than the jug, but not more particularly definable (comp. Madden, History of Jewish Coinage. The piece of ground, acquired at this price 1864, p. 6 sq.). by Jacob, was the plain extending at the east end of the narrow valley between Ebal and Gerizim, where Jacob's well and Joseph's grave, from one to two hundred paces north of the latter, are still shown (Josh. xxiv. 32). Upon this piece of purchased ground Jacob erects an altar, not a מצבה, for the circumstance that is used, xxxv. 14, 20, for the erection of a pillar, does not prove that here too man was substituted for an original מצבה belonging to יישכ (Wellh. Dillm.). the altar אל אלהי ישראל. Having returned in safety from a strange country, he again settles in Canaan, and according to his vow thankfully acknowledges the God whom he calls and who appeared to him in Bethel, xxxi. 13, as his God, the God of Israel (see xxxii, 25 sqq.). The name אל אלהי ישראל as the name of the altar is meant, as it were, of its inscription. In the Mosaic period אל אלהי שראל was changed into יהוה אלהי ישראל Ex. xxxiv. 23, the favourite name for God in the book of Joshua.

young prince, but most anxiously demanded by him in marriage-in both she is taken, and is again taken back, In vv. 1-2, 4, 6, 8-10, 14-18, 20-24, Q is unmistakeable; the demand of circumcision is repeated, 15b, 22b, in the same words as in xvii. 10, and the transaction at Shechem is similar to that at Hebron, ch. xxiii. (comp. the twofold כל־יצאי שער עירו ver. 24, and the twofold כל באי שער עירן xxiii. 10, 18). Just as evident is J's mode of statement at vv. 3, 5, 7, 11-12, 19, 25-26, 30-31. tainly the term woo for dishonouring is authenticated elsewhere only in the Priest Codex and Ezekiel, but the formula נערה=נער is Deuteronomic, Deut. xxii. 21, and נערה=נער (which in the Pent. occurs only once, Deut. xxii. 19) is each of the twenty-one times (in Gen. xxiv. 14, 16, xxviii. 55, 57, xxxiv. 3a, 3b, 12) Jahvistic or Deuteronomic. In Q Hamor, in J Shechem is the chief speaker, which is easily fitted together; it is clearly seen from vv. 8-10 (Q) and 11-12 (J), how the two accounts are placed side by side to complete The case of the abruptly commencing portion, each other. vv. 27-29 (with ver. 13), is peculiar; this like xlviii. 21 seems to come from E, who has related the conquest of Shechem only according to its external aspect, as a deed of arms by the sons of Jacob. This apportioning of sources seems to me more than probable, while Dillm, thinks otherwise, and Kuenen makes a different analysis. Evidence and agreement are here scarcely attainable.

Dinah visits the city from the new dwelling-place of her father, ver. 1: Then Dinah, the daughter of Leah whom she bare to Jacob, went out to see the daughters of the land. It is Q who thus begins: "Daughters of the land," like xxvii. 46, comp. "people of the land," xxiii. 12. The son of the prince of the land is captivated by her beauty, keeps her with him and dishonours her, ver. 2: And 'Sechem the son of Hamor the Hivite, the prince of the land, saw her, took her, lay with her and humiliated her. Cajetanus (Thomas de Vio) already remarks

in his Comm. on Genesis: Multis annis post reditum Jacobi ex Mesopotamia peractis hoc accidit et ad minus apparet quod anni fluxerunt decem, ut et Dina esset nubilis et Simeon et Levi ad bellum dispositi. Such is also the view of Bonfrère, Petavius and Hengstenberg (Auth. 2. 352 sq.). . Dinah was then, as also Demetrius in Euseb. Prap. ix. 21 computes, in her sixteenth year, i.e. assuming that she was born in the second seven years of the Aramæan sojourn. According however to the after calculation, given ch. xxx., she was in her fourteenth, Simeon in his twenty-first, and Levi in his twentieth year. It may be objected against both these statements of Dinah's age, that the time from Jacob's return to the selling of Joseph, which took place after Jacob's entrance into his father's house, amounts to only eleven years (from Joseph's sixth to his seventeenth year), and that one year is too short for the occurrence in ch. xxxv. But much can happen in a year; we must therefore adhere to the view, that Dinah's dishonour falls in the tenth year after the return to Is אֹנָהּ with יַשְׁכַּב the acc. of the object? ing to xxvi. 10, xxxv. 22, Lev. xv. 18, 24 and other passages it seems so, and the Keri ישבנה Deut. xxviii. 30, assumes that this pregnant construction of שכב (אוֹה instead of the expected ינְפָהּ) is possible, nay usual. In Dinah's case matters were different from Thamar's, whom Amnon, after the satisfaction of his passion, hated as much as he had loved, vv. 3, 4: And his soul clave unto Dinah, the daughter of Jacob, and he loved the damsel and spake to the heart of the damsel. *Sechem said to Hamôr: Get me this damsel to wife. young seducer only loved her whom he had seduced the more, soothed her with pleasant prospects of the future, and actually entreated his father to take him the damsel for a wife; for the marriage of children was, according to ancient domestic arrangement, the business of parents (xxiv., xxi. 21). hears what has happened, the sons of Jacob hear it, and meantime the wooer arrives, vv. 5-7: And Jacob heard that he had

dishonoured Dinah his daughter, and his sons were with the cattle in the field, and Jacob held his peace until they came. And Hamor, the father of 'Sechem, came out unto Jacob, to commune with him. But the sons of Jacob came in from the field when they heard it, and the men felt grieved, and were very wroth, that he had wrought folly in Israel in lying with Jacob's daughter, which thing ought not to be done. The dishonour of a sister was a matter which touched the brothers even more The expression 7b, there being as closely than the father. yet no people of Israel, sounds anachronistic, like Deut. xxii. 21, Judg. xx. 10, 2 Sain. xiii. 12 sqq., Jer. xxix. 23; but it is only so to a certain extent, since the family of Jacob with its dependants had already the semblance of a family developing into a nation (comp. xxxv. 6). עשה נבלה is the standing expression for carnal transgressions, which are more accurately called יבלה; הבל because the man who follows his carnal impulses in opposition to nature, honour and decency, is a paragon of folly. The potential שְׁשֵׂה means here: so should it not be done, as at xx. 9, Lev. iv. 27 (comp. xxix. 26: so it is not wont to be done). Hamor now comes and woos for his son, vv. 8-10: Then Hamor spoke to them thus: The soul of my son 'Sechem is bound to your daughter; I pray you, give her to him to wife. And make ye alliances with us, give your daughters to us and take our daughters to you. And dwell with us-the land shall be open before you, dwell in and pass through it and settle therein. "Your daughters" zeugmatically include the brothers, who are here especially concerned. אָלָנּא after "make ye alliances," cannot be meant as an acc. but stands for אַקּעּ (1 Kings iii. 1), for which also or לי would be allowable. מחר combined with the acc. like vv. eundi, is here meant of passing through the land as and (xxiii. 16), hence of liberty to trade (different from xlii. 34). to settle is, like אַחַאַ, an expression of the Elohistic style, xlvii. 27, Num. xxxii. 30, Josh. xxii. 9, 19. The old prince is ready to fraternize with Jacob, but the young prince also,

without waiting for Jacob's answer, places in the balance words, with which his love for Dinah inspires him, vv. 11, 12: And 'Sechem said to her father and her brothers: Let me find grace in your eyes, and what you shall say to me I will give. Lay upon me a very high price and dowry, and I will give whatever you say-only give me the damsel to wife. He will agree to everything to the highest did bride-purchase money (Arab. mahr, Syr. mahrå) and the largest FD bridal present (Gen. Rabba: פרנק, παράφερνα, according to a common inaccurate use of this word of the gift of the husband to the wife, comp. Ex. xxii. 15 sq. LXX), if they will only give him the maiden to wife. It sounded extremely flattering to Jacob and his sons that their flesh and blood should be so highly esteemed, But if they had consented to the offer of Hamor, the family of Jacob would by blending with the heathen have forfeited their redemptive vocation; and if the brothers of Dinah had let the matter be settled with money, they would have defiled their more than princely nobility and sacrificed their moral feeling to Mammon. This they refuse to do, and appear thereby morally great; but their moral greatness is blackened, by passion making them inventive and inspiring them with a plan of revenge, which, unless God had presided over this entanglement of good and evil, might easily have proved the destruction of the sacred family, vv. 13-18: Then the sons of Jacob answered 'Sechem with guile, and said, because he had dishonoured Dinah their sister. And they said to them: We cannot do this to give our sister to one that is uncircumcised, for that is to us disgraceful. Only on this condition will we consent unto you, if ye become as we are, that you let every male among you be circumcised. Then will we give our daughters to you, and will take your daughters to us, and we will dwell with you and become one people. And their words were acceptable in the eyes of Hamor, and in the eyes of Sechem the son of Hamor. The sons of Jacob answered בְּמַרְמָה and said, because, etc. In any case אשר (as at ver. 27 = שים) introduces the reason for

their concealed plan of vengeance, and we must either read here, transposing the words, הדברו במרמה (Olsh. Schrad. Dillm.), or, which is less probable: דָּבֶּר means here to act from behind, a Piel meaning of دير to be or go backward (trans. to lead, to bring backward), proved for the Hebrew also by דביר (see on Ps. xxxviii. 2), and shown to be at least possible by 2 Chron. xxii. 10, where מָרָבֶּר, assuming the integrity of the text, has the meaning of murderous destruction. They cannot give their sister to one who is uncircumcised, because that (the state of uncircumcision) is a disgrace with them; but בּּוֹאַת for this, i.e. this act on their part, they will consent unto them (אוֹת from אַה not imperf. Kal like באוֹת, but imperf. Niph. to agree about anything, allied to אָּהָה, used in post-biblical diction as a participle: agreeing to, suitably) if they (the Hivites) become as they (the Jacobites) are. by all the males among them submitting to circumcision; then will they give to them their sister (1971), perf. consec. according to Ges. § 126. 6, note 1), and unite themselves with them as one people. Shechem hastens to fulfil the condition, ver. 19: And the young man deferred not to do the thing, for he had delight in Jacob's daughter, and he was the most honoured in all the house of his father. The condition did not displease the two wooers. Shechem really loved Dinah, besides circumcision was the custom of most of the Canaanites and Egyptians, while heathen worship required far greater mutilations; the thousands of Roman proselytes who, according to Cicero, pro Flacco, c. 28, filled Italy, show how much more compliant antiquity was in this respect than modern times would be. The account as at present constructed here at once remarks that the young man, whose example would go far, because he was the most respected member of his family, made no delay (אָתר for אָתר, like מָאַן, like מָאַן, like מָאַן, different sources betray themselves by the circumstance, that in ver, 20 both first return home, and he would hardly undergo

the operation previously. The princely pair now proclaim in the city, and indeed in the gate (the Oriental forum), the treaty entered into, vv. 20-24: Then came Hamor and his son Sechem to the gate of their city and spake thus to the mon of their city: These men are friendly with us, and they will dwell in the land and go through it; and the land, behold it lies before them spacious towards the right hand and the left; we will take their daughters to us for wives, and we will give them our daughters. Only under this condition will the men consent unto us, to dwell with us, to become one people, that we circumcise every male among us, as they are circumcised. Their cattle and their property and all their beasts of burden, will not this be ours? Let us only consent to them, that they may dwell Then to Hamor and his son Sechem hearkened all with us. that went out to the gate of his city, and all the males were circumcised, all that went out to the gate of his city. xxxiii. 18 means to be in safety, here, to be in good relation, to stand on a peaceful friendly footing with (ng, comp. by 1 Kings viii. 61 and frequently). They give to Jacob and his family the praise of being thoroughly well-meaning people. Besides, the land is of such spacious extent (Ps. civ. 25) that they may go about in it, without becoming inconvenient; they next declare the certainly unwelcome condition which is to cost the Shechemites blood (מֹלְים), partic. of the Niph. which like the praet runs through the whole scale of vowels : נְמֶם, נָבֶר, يطر), but at the same time somewhat sweeten it by adding that their cattle, beasts of burden, and property in general (to be explained according to xxxvi. 6, Num. xxxii. 26) may be looked upon by them, the Hivites, as their own, or may in the end become theirs. This recommendation of the treaty, which Jacob and his family indeed must not hear of, although it was only a rhetorical artifice, inclined the Shechemites to consent, for self-interest is the door to all hearts, and all who went out to the gate of Shechem's city (xxiii. 10, 18) submitted to circumcision. The operation of

circumcision is however no slight matter; it may, if unskilfully or incautiously performed, become dangerous through haemorrhage, caries, etc. Adults have therefore to lie in bed and keep quiet for three days, while frequently healing does not take place till from thirty-five to forty days. Hence, on the third, the critical day, the men of Shechem were all down (comp. Josh. v. 8), and thus fell victims to a sudden and malicious attack, vv. 25, 26: And it came to pass on the third day, when they were sore, that the two sons of Jacob, Simeon and Levi, the brothers of Dinah, took each his sword and surprised the careless city, and killed every male. And Hamor and his son Sechem they killed with the edge of the sword, and took Dinah out of "Sechem's house and departed. They came upon the city TDE. not as Luther, thürstiglich, i.e. rashly, confidenter, but to be referred to the city: in a condition free from care (comp. Ezek. xxx. 9), struck down every male, and especially the two princes, according to (κατά) the edge of the sword, i.e. letting this, which is conceived of as a mouth that devours, have its way. It was Simeon and Levi, the "two sons of Jacob," who carried out this sudden assassination, which their father disowned shortly before his death, xlix. 5-7. 27-29 however, the other sons of Jacob are also participators: The sons of Jacob fell upon the slain and plundered the city, because he had dishonoured Dinah their sister. Their sheep and oxen and asses, and what was in the city and what was in the field, they took away. And all their property and all their children and wines they carried away captive, and plundered all that was in the house. The beginning is abrupt (comp. on the other hand 7a) and ואת כל־אשר בבית drags behind, just as וירברו does in ver. 13; the refrain-like "because he had dishonoured (her)," common to vv. 13 and 27, proves that vv. 13, 27-29 are taken from a special source, which, turning away from the moral aspect of the matter, relates the conquest of Shechem, in the sense of xlviii. 22, as a deed of arms on the part of the whole family of Jacob. The two ואת 28b may be

conceived correlatively like Num. ix. 14, the 1 of nat 29b perhaps in the sense of etiam; but probably as in ver. 13 (read ירברו במרמה), so here too, a displacement of the text may have occurred, and the original text may have run: זאת כל אשר בבית שבו ויבזו (comp. Obad. ver. 11, 2 Chron. xxi. 17). Now follows the continuation from J, which joins on to ver, 26, vv. 30, 31: Then Jacob said to Simeon and Levi: Ye have troubled me, to make me to stink among the inhabitants of the land, the Canaanites and Pherizites, and yet I am a numerable people, and if they gather together against me, they will smite me, and I shall be destroyed, I and my house. The verb עבר to shake together, conturbare, is found in the Jahvistic style also at to make evil, especially of evil Josh, vi. 18, vii. 25, odour, here with the accus. of the person, Ex. v. 21 with the accus. אַת־רַיחָטּ. "Canaanites and Pherizites" as the population of the country also at xiii. 7. מתי מספר numerable = few people, is Jahvistico-Deuteronomic (Deut. iv. 27); נשׁמַד (and השׁמִיד) is a frequent word in Deut. (occurring elsewhere in the peroration of the law of holiness, Lev. xxvi, 30). laments the fatal deed, but they (Simeon and Levi) justify it, ver. 31: But they said: Should one treat our sister as a harlot? verb עשה tractare, as at Lev. xvi. 15 and frequently. has 3 raph. as at xxvii. 38, Job xv. 8, xxii. 13, and Gaja before the Pathach in distinction from the article, it is uncertain whether with majusculum, comp. Frensdorff. Ochla-we-Ochla, p. 88. Simeon and Levi have the last word, but Jacob speaks the last of all in his testamentary sayings. The most sinful part of it was, their degrading the sacred sign of the covenant to so base a means of malice. And yet it was a noble germ which exploded so sinfully. Divine righteousness, which fashioned the subsequent history, turned this also to account. The energetic moral purity, which the two tribes display in these their beginnings, was sanctified by grace and profited all Israel. When this is considered, the view of the vengeance of Simeon and Levi, VOL. II.

which underlies xxxiv. 27-29, xxxv. 5, xlviii. 22, and according to which this warlike occurrence was perhaps related in the ה' מלחמות ה' Num. xxi. 14, will be found explicable. The unbending strictness, with which the history abstains from interposing any judgment or reflections, is admirable.

THE LAST EVENTS OF ISAAC'S LIFE, CH. XXXV.

The third and last section of the Toledoth of Isaac ends with the third portion, ch. xxxv. The contents of this chapter are as miscellaneous as Old Testament biographies in general, as also Arabic biographies, are wont to be towards their close. From Succoth Jacob went to the district of Shechem, every station bringing him nearer to his father's home. his arrival in Canaan however and his entrance into that home an interval of several years, during which he lived at a distance from his aged father, took place. 1. RETURN TO BETHEL AND DEATH OF DEBORAH, XXXV. 1-8, from E, without interpolations being (as by Dillm.) denied to him. The reason for his long sojourn in Shechem is unknown to us. An inner voice now directs the patriarch to leave the neighbourhood of Shechem, which had been so cruelly devastated, and to go to Bethel, where upon his flight he had had the encouraging dream-vision of the ladder reaching to heaven: And Elohim said to Jacob: Arise, go up to Bethel and dwell there, and build there an altar to the God that appeared to thee, when thou fleddest from the face of thy brother Esau. Then Jacob said to his household and to all that were with him: Put away the strange gods which you have among you, and purify yourselves and change your garments. And we will arise and go up to Bethel, and I will erect an altar there to the God who heard me in the day of my distress, and was with me in the way that I went. Then they gave to Jacob all the strange gods which were in their hand, and the rings which were in their ears, and Jacob buried them under the terebinth which was in Shechem. And they

journeyed, and a terror of Elohim was upon the cities that were round about them, and they did not pursue the sons of Jacob. So Jacob came to Luz, which is in the land of Canaan, the same is Bethel, he and all the people that were with him. And he built there an altar and called the place El Bethel, for there God manifested Himself to him, when he fled before his brother. There Deborah, Rebekah's nurse, died and was buried below Bethel under the oak, and they called its name the oak of weeping. Before starting on the journey to Bethel, by which he obeyed the behest of God, and at the same time fulfilled a promise formerly made to Him, Jacob bids those belonging to both his narrower and wider family circle, to put away their "gods of the strange land " (כֵּכֶר), original form nikar, like שֵׁעֶר, עָנָב), which had been long enough tolerated from his too indulgent affection for his wives, and to make fit preparation for visiting the holy place (Ex. xix. 14 sq.). There in Bethel is he to dwell, there is he, in conformity with his vow, to make this place a house of God, i.e. a place of worship, xxviii. 22, to build an altar to the God who heard him in the day of distress (comp. the saying Ps. xx. 2, which perhaps alludes to this passage of Genesis), and was with him on his way to the strange country. Then they gave to the patriarch all the strange gods (among which were Rachel's teraphim); they gave him also their earrings (which served as amulets or charms, Targums κτέλεσμα), and he buried these things, which would profane the holy place, in Shechem. The LXX adds καὶ ἀπώλεσεν αὐτὰ ἔως της σήμερον ήμέρας. The place overshadowed by this terebinth consecrated by Jacob, and perhaps already by Abram (xii. 6, comp. Deut. xi. 30), was in Joshua's time (Josh. xxiv. 26, where it is pointed הָאָלָה, comp. on the other hand Judg. ix. 6) esteemed as a 'ה מְקְרֵשׁ ה, and Joshua there erected the memorial stone of the oath of covenant faithfulness to Jahveh here taken by the elders of the people. The ancient patriarchal injunction : הַּסִירה אַת־אֵלהֵי הַנֶּכָר, is purposely repeated in Joshua's address, xxiv. 23. Ver. 5, which joins on to xxiv. 27-29 and furnishes an indispensable explanation, explains how it was that Jacob could thus quietly prepare for and take his journey, and hence must not (with Dillm.) be denied to E as an insertion of R (the redactor). "A terror of Elohim," חַהַּת אַלְהִים (comp. 2 Chron. xx, 29, Zech. xiv. 14). i.e. one more than natural (according to heathen expression: πανικον δείμα), fell upon the cities round about, none ventured to pursue the sons of Jacob, who had smitten and plundered Shechem; and so Jacob arrived with all his household, which, especially now, when the women and children taken prisoners from Shechem were added to it, was so numerous that they could be called a by at Luz "in the land of Canaan" (comp. xlviii. 3). It is not strange (even though 6a were not E's), but of deliberate purpose, that Bethel, the station which became so important on the outward journey, is here on the return journey, when it acquired new importance, so circumstantially designated, as at xxviii. 19, by both its new and its ancient name. builds there an altar, and now calls the place of the altar, as formerly the whole spacious part in front of Luz, אֵל בִּיתָאַל (comp. xxxiii. 20), in remembrance of the former Divine manifestation on his flight from Esau (comp. on the plural of the verb combined with האלהים xx. 13). This is the fifth altar in the patriarchal history. Abraham erected one in the neighbourhood of Bethel, xii. 8, comp. xiii. 4, and one in Mamre near Hebron, xiii. 8; Isaac one in Beersheba, xxvi. 25; Jacob one in Shechem, xxxiii. 20, and one here in Bethel.-it is nowhere said that sacrifice was offered on these altars; they seem to be regarded by the narrator as places of devotion, not of sacrifice. Rebekah's nurse, who had followed her mistress to Canaan, xxiv, 59(J), called, as we here first learn, Deborah. was then found among the followers of Jacob who journeyed with him; a circumstance for which we can imagine many reasons, but only by means of worthless conjectures. Being now of advanced age, she died at Bethel, and was buried

below Bethel, under the oak, which received the name of מלה בכות oak of weeping, or oak of mourning (אַקרַא), as at xxv. 26), probably the very tree which is called הֹמֵר דְּבוֹרָה Judg. iv. 5, perhaps also one and the same with אלון חַבוֹּר 1 Sam. This Deborah must have been a faithful nurse and family friend, since the house of Jacob so lamented her, and both legend and history found her worthy of such perpetua-If, according to heathen legend, the nurse of Dionysos (MDB, Banyos?) is buried in Scythopolis (Plin. h. n. 5. 18), and there is a grave of Silenos in the land of the Hebrews (Pausan. Eliaca, c. 24), with which J. D. Michaelis already combined xxxv. 4, these are, like the name and cultus of the Bactylia, distorted echoes of what is here related. RENEWAL OF THE HONOURABLE NAME OF ISRAEL, vv. 9-15: And Elohim appeared to Jacob again on his return from Paddan Aram and blessed him. And Elohim said to him: Thy name is Jacob, thy name shall no longer be called Jacob, but Israel shall thy name be. And Elohim said to him: I am El Shaddai. be fruitful and multiply, a nation and a company of nations shall arise from thee, and kings shall come out of thy loins. And the land, which I have given to Abraham and Isaac, to thee will I give it, and to thy seed after thee will I give the land. And Elohim went up from him at the place where He had spoken to him. And Jacob set up a pillar at the place where He had spoken to him, a pillar of stone, and he poured thereon a drink-offering and poured oil thereon. And Jacob called the place, where Elohim had spoken to him, Bethel. Elohim appears again (iy by R as a retrospect at xxviii. 11 sqq.) to Jacob when returned from Aramæa (בוון אופ), gives him the name of Israel, and renews to him the promises given to Abraham, ch. xvii., that אָל נוֹיִם, a whole nation, nay a multitude of nations, shall arise from him, and kings proceed from his loins מחלציד), as at 1 Kings viii 19, 2 Chron. vi. 9, for which elsewhere אָא יֵרָן xlvi. 26, Ex. i. 5, never מָתְנֵי), and that He will give to him and to his seed the land promised to the fathers

at the beginning and close of the verses, comp. the palindrome, ii. 2, vi. 9, xiii. 6, Lev. xxv. 41, Deut. xxxii. 43, and comp. on this figure, Jesaia, p. 408), calling Himself as He did, ch. xvii. (but never with respect to Isaac), אֵל שׁׁבֵּי Elohim then goes up (just as at xvii. 22), and Jacob erects upon the spot, where this revelation was vouchsafed, a stone memorial pillar, pours out upon it a drink-offering, probably of wine (comp. Ex. xxx. 9), pours oil upon it, and calls the place ביתאל. This is the second time that the bestowal of this name is related, comp. xxviii. 19 (not the third time, since the name of the altar place אל ביתאל ver. 7 presupposes that the local name בידאל already existed). Both these occurrences, the change of Jacob's name and the erection of a memorial pillar, have already been related by E, the former xxxii. 25 sqq., the latter xxviii. 18. Here the manner of Q is unmistakeable, though not unmixed. The manifestation which Jacob experienced on his return journey from Aramæa is here comprised in one entire picture, and the erection of the pillar with the bestowal of the name Bethel is postponed in the same manner that the Synoptists retrospectively transpose the purification of the temple by Jesus, which took place at the first Passover, to the last. A libation is here added to the anointing of the memorial stone with oil, perhaps to make this consecration symbolically an expression of thankful joy. Jacob himself looks back, xlviii. 3 sq., to this appearing of God in Bethel. It is easily conceivable in the position which it occupies. Jacob has now again arrived at Bethel, whence he started; for what other purpose has God directed him to Bethel but to crown him, at this closing point of his history. as at its commencement, with promises of blessing? 3. BIRTH OF BENJAMIN AND DEATH OF RACHEL, vv. 16-20: And they

¹ According to Kuenen (*Einl.* § 13, note 4), the account of $P^2(=Q)$ is enlarged by R from JE, and Hosea is based upon J. It is certain that Hos. xii. 5, who there follows the course of events, intends none other than this very theophany in Bethel (not xxviii. 11 sqq.), and that his reference cannot be utilized for the date of Q.

journeyed from Bethel, and there was still a kibrah of land unto Ephrath, then Rachel travailed and had hard labour. And it came to pass, when she was in such hard labour, that the midwife said to her: Fear not, for this time too thou shalt have a son. When then her soul was departing—for she died—she called his name Ben-oni, but his father called him Benjamin. And Rackel died and was buried in the way to Ephrath, the same is Bethlehem. And Jacob erected a pillar upon her grave, the same is the pillar of Rachel's grave to this day. With respect to the source of this portion, one thing is certain, viz. that 17b leads us to infer that it is from the same writer as xxx. 24, therefore from J, and also from the same as xlviii. 7 (which see). The noun בְּבֶרָה (also Assyr.) is a measure of length from the stem בָּבֶר (whence also בְּבֶר long ago), and cannot be more closely defined; the Onkelos-Targ., which translates בְּרוּב אִרְעָא (properly a yoke or acre of land, from to plough), gives a precedent for a transposition of sound; the word means in general a considerable length, and probably, as may be inferred from this passage together with 2 Kings v. 19, an hour's journey, so that the Persian Farsakh or Farsang, παρασάγγης (Syr., Arab., Samar. Tavus), which according to Talmudic estimates amounts to four miles (milliaria), according to Arabic estimate to 12,004 ells, corresponds. Jacob was as near as this to Bethlehem when Rachel was seized with travail pains and had hard labour (Piel קשה, here the intensive of the Kal: to be very hard, to have great difficulty, Hiph as really transitive, to inflict or suffer hardship). The midwife (comp. xxxviii. 28) encourages her. When Joseph was born, Rachel had wished for another son, xxx. 24. She must now, in this hard birthtime, brace herself for the fulfilment of her wish. dies (מַּחָה finitum, as also xlviii. 7), and while dying names her new-born son בַּרְאוֹנִי son of my sorrow;" אָהָ, from nx to breathe, whence it means sometimes emptiness in a

physical and ethic sense, sometimes exertion of strength, painful effort, and especially hard labour in childbirth (comp. Isa. xlii. 14). Jacob however called him בָּנִימִץ (always according to the Kerl and 1 Sam. ix. 1 one word, and with i in the first syllable as more homogeneous with the following, comp. Arab. ibn = binj, here with in the last syllable, but mostly written defectively "son of prosperity," whether because this son was born in the time of his prosperous independence, or because he completed the fortunate number of twelve sons. The right side is, according to both Eastern and Western notions, the lucky side (DMZ. xxi. 601-604). It is true that there is no further authentication of the meaning fortune, power, prosperity (like ميدر.) for بين, but much that is unauthenticated is elsewhere found in proper names. The ancient interpretation filius dierum is rejected by Jerome, while he himself explains filius dexteræ hoc est virtutis. "Son of the south" is more suitable (Ps. lxxxix. 13), in distinction from those born in Aramæa (Arab. Schâm, the lest = northern) (Rashi); but Canaan nowhere bears this name. Jacob buried his beloved wife on the way to Ephrath-Bethlehem, and erected upon her grave a στήλη, of which the narrator says that it is to be seen "to this day." A chapel is now built over Rachel's grave, which the road from Jerusalem to Bethlehem, two leagues to the south, passes. It lies to the right, about 300 paces from the road, in a small hollow under a group of olive-It is only half a league thence to Bethlehem; the burying-place and the birth-place would certainly not be exactly the same (with which xlviii. 7 is also compatible). 1 Sam. x. 2 however is in apparent contradiction with this specification of the place, which in the time of Jesus was thus and no otherwise understood, Matt. ii. 16-18. v. Lengerke, Kn. Graf, Hitz. Dillm. and others (see the articles "Rachel" in Riehm's HW., and Ryssel, Untersuchungen

über Micha, 1887, p. 247) get rid of the contradiction by expunging אָיא בֵּית here and at xlviii. 7 as incorrect glosses, and placing Ephrath in the territory of Benjamin. between the Ramah of Samuel and the Gibeah of Saul. at 1 Sam. x. 2 we have we have where, according to this hypothesis, we should have expected אמרת; the "less known" 1 Benjamite Ephrath having been invented purely in the interests of criticism (Köhler, Gesch. i. 150); and it is an incorrect inference from Micah iv. 8 (see Caspari, Micha, p. 151), that the station מנהלעהד ver. 21, leads us only to the neighbourhood of Jerusalem, and not quite to that of The tower of the flocks (for the protection of the flocks, comp. 2 Kings xviii. 8, 2 Chron. xxvi. 10) is in the neighbourhood of Bethlehem, where tradition also, since the time of Jerome, though uncertain as to the exact locality, places it, 20 minutes east of the city (Tobler, Bethlehem, p. 255 sqq.), and אפרת (with He local אפרתה, the usual form out of Genesis, Ruth iv. 11, Micah v. 1) is Bethlehem (as is also evident from 1 Chron. iv. 4), the native city of David; it shares the name אַפַרְתָּה only perhaps with Kirjath-Jearim (see on Ps. cxxxii. 6), which however lay out of the route of both Jacob and Saul, assuming that Ramah of Samuel is one with Ramathajim Zophim = Ramah of Benjamin, the position of which, two leagues north of Jerusalem, is now occupied by the village er-Râm, situate upon a cone-shaped hill east of the road to Nablus. Keil combines 1 Sam. x, 2 with the elsewhere testified situation of Rachel's grave, by supposing that the city, 1 Sam. ix. 6, where Saul finds Samuel, is not Ramah (Ramathajim Zophim). But this is very improbable. שרץ ציף ver. 5 pointing to the Ramah or double Ramah, distinguished from other Ramahs by the additional name צופים. The contradiction in question between 1 Sam. x. 2 and Gen. xxxv. 20, xlviii. 7, must be acknowledged, for in 1 Sam. x. 2 Rachel's grave is transposed into the territory of Benjamin,

¹ So Eugen Hermann, Prolegomena zur Gesch. Sauls (1886), p. 38.

and this never extended so far southwards as the neighbourhood of Bethlehem, where, according to Gen. id., Rachel was buried. Jer. xxxi. 15 is also favourable to the local definition of 1 Sam. x. 2, according to which Samuel sends Saul back to Gibeah (now Tuleil el-Ful, Bean hill). makes there Rachel, the ancestress of the tribes of Joseph and Benjamin, rise from her grave at Ramah and lift up her voice in lamentation over the depopulated land of her is that Ramah of Benjamin, where the exiles of Judah and Benjamin assembled after the catastrophe of Jerusalem (Jer. xl. 1). Thus no other expedient is left, than to admit the existence of two traditions concerning the burial-place of Rachel, one of which placed it at the borders of Benjamin, the other in the neighbourhood of Bethlehem, which indeed bore the name of בית לחם אברתה (Micah v. 1), or simply אַפָּרָת from the district in which it lies. died in about the 50th year of her age, at latest in the 106th year of Jacob's, so that Benjamin would be at the time of the migration into Egypt at least 24 years old. 4. JACOB'S FURTHER JOURNEY, AND REUBEN'S DISGRACEFUL ACT, vv. 21, 22a: And Israel journeyed and pitched his tent beyond the tower of the flocks. And it came to pass, when Israel dwelt in that land, that Reuben went in and lay with Bilhah, his father's concubine, and Israel heard of it. Jacob may have tarried some considerable time at the station beyond Migdal 'Eder, though not so long as at Shechem. المِنْتِدَ has a dageshed ocontrary to rule (see on Ps. xl. 15). Reuben here carnally transgresses against Bilhah, the שֵׁלְנִישׁ (see on xxii, 24) of his On Reuben's incestuous act nothing further is said but, in preparation for xlix. 4, that Israel heard of it. portion, vv. 21, 22a, the threefold repetition of farter py had preceded at 20a) is striking; so also is the abrupt for which the space in the middle of the verse (מסקא באמצע מסוק) makes as it were a break; after it a Pethûche (D), just as at Deut, ii. 8 a Sethûme (D), begins in

the middle of the verse (see Buxtorf, Lex. Talm. under פרינמא). The LXX fills up the space by אמנ הסעתףסט בשל פרינמא ένώπιον αὐτοῦ (comp. on iv. 8). These σος, of which three occur in the Pentateuch and twenty-eight from Joshua to Ezekiel (most of them in the books of Samuel), are mentioned in neither the Talmud nor Midrash, and hence seem to be an arrangement of the post-Talmudic Masoretes, which was however only imperfectly carried out. 22a is doubly accentuated: שׁלֵאֵל has Athnach and also Silluk, according as from ישראל is read as a half or as a whole and completed verse. Those who read ver. 22 by themselves conclude it with ישראל, but those who read it in public hasten past its objectionable contents, and conclude with שׁנֵים עָשַׂר (see Heidenheim in loco, and Geiger, Urschrift, 372 sq.). 5. LIST OF THE SONS OF JACOB, ACCORDING TO THEIR MOTHERS, vv. 22b-26 (parallel with 1 Chron. ii, 1, 2): So then the sons of Jacob were twelve. The impf. consec. joins on to the account concerning the second son of Jacob by Rachel. Hereupon follow the twelve, according to their mothers, and within this division, according to their ages (in accordance with chs. xxix. and xxx.). The list closes, 26b: These are the sons of Jacob, which were born to him in Paddan Aram (?? instead of in xxxvi. 5, according to Ges. 143. 1b). This, strictly speaking, applies only to the eleven, and not to Benjamin; but it is referred to him also as completing the number twelve, and as supplementing the eleven; besides, he too was born, not in the house of his grandfather, but on the home journey from Aramea. The list is from Q. It would be too improbable to suppose that he regarded Benjamin also as born in Haran. 6. JACOB'S ARRIVAL AT HIS FATHER'S HOUSE, AND THE DEATH OF THE LATTER, VV. 27-29: And

י This halving of the verse before אהן is ancient. R. Chaninah b. Gamliel was listening in the synagogue of Cabul to the Methurgeman, who was about to translate מאורר אוור בעם, and called out to him: Stop, only translate אוור, i.e. the second half! Megilla 25d. The Orientals however placed Silluk with Soph pasuk after שוני און אווין (see Baer's edit. of the five Megilloth, p. v.).

Jacob came to Isaac his father, to Mamre of Kirjath-Arba, the same is Hebron, where Abraham and Isaac sojourned; and the time of Isaac's life amounted to one hundred and eighty And Isaac departed and died, and was gathered to his people old and full of days, and his sons Esau and Jacob buried him. Continuation from Q. Isaac at this time dwelt in Elone Mamre, near the city הַאָּרָבֶּע, i.e. of the Anakite chieftain of that name (comp. אַנָּיָהָ Num. xiii. 22 and frequently, הרפה 2 Sam. xxi. 16 and frequently), the subsequent Hebron, which (already dedicated by Abraham, xiii. 18) remained a place of worship down to the time of the kings (2 Sam. xv. 7). The name Hebron was the usual one in the time of the narrator (comp. Josh. xiv. 15, Judg. i. 10). City of Arba' was the more ancient name, Mamre that of the site of the terebinths upon its territory (comp. xxiii. 19 with xiii. 18). It is strange that Jacob should not till now have come to Mamre. Could he have been a decade in Canaan without seeing his aged father? Certainly not. But it was now that he first came to him to dwell entirely with him. Did Jacob and his mother ever meet again? Pressel thinks so, but the silence of the narrative favours Grossrau's view:1 "Rebekah had indeed hoped that, when Esau's wrath was mitigated, she should be able to send for her favourite son; but no message of this sort reached Jacob, and when he returned through his own resolve, Rebekah was buried." The Toledoth of Isaac are now closed at ver. 28 sq. was not as yet the chronological place for recounting Isaac's death; for if we admit the dates not derived from Q in the history of Joseph into the chronological web of Q, the following relations of time result. Jacob having been born in Isaac's 60th year, xxv. 26, and Isaac living as we are here told, to be 180, Jacob would be 120 when his father died; and as Jacob was 130 years old when he was pre-

¹ In his Commentary on Genesis (1887), p. 262 sq., in which he tries to show that Genesis was written by one author, Moses.

sented to Pharaoh, xlvii. 19, Isaac died only 10 years before the migration into Egypt. And since from 9 to 10 years (the 7 fruitful and 2 of the barren years) elapsed between Joseph's elevation in his 30th year, xli. 46, and the migration, Isaac did not die till about the period of Joseph's elevation. Besides, since at Joseph's elevation in his 30th year 13 years had elapsed since he was sold in his 17th year, Isaac was, when Joseph disappeared, 167 years old. Hence he shared for 13 years the grief of his son Jacob for the loss of Joseph, and his life ended in the deep unilluminated darkness of this sorrow. The history buries him thus early in order to pass on over his grave to the new great turn in the history of Israel. Hitherto the history of Jacob has been always subordinated to the history of Isaac, from which Jacob starts and to which he returns. that he has become the father of twelve sons, from whom the twelve-tribed nation of Israel descends, his own independent Toledoth may begin. The history of the patriarchs outlives itself by losing itself in an old age of scarcely any historical importance. But for the patriarchs themselves it was of the greatest importance. They became thereby full of years. They longed to have done with this world, they longed therefore for the other world. The other world was night to them, for the sun of the New Testament Easter morn had not yet risen, but the star of the name of Jahveh shed a light for them also upon the other world. The אָפָא אַלעמין (here said ver. 29 of Isaac, xxv. 8 of Abraham, xlix. 33 of Jacob) tells us more than that their corpses were gathered to the corpses of their people. Their souls were associated with the souls of their people in Hades, and because heaven would be no heaven without God (Ps. lxxiii. 25), so too was Hades no hell for those who had God in their hearts.

IX.

THE TOLEDOTH OF ESAU, XXXVL.

(Parallel with 1 Chron. i. 35 sqq.)

ESAU and Jacob joined hands once more over the corpse of their father. Thence their ways separated without ever again meeting. Hence Esau is finished off in this ninth and last but one chief division of Genesis. The Toledoth of Esau precede Jacob's as, xxv. 12 sqq., those of Ishmael preceded The historiographic course of Genesis is not however the only motive for this arrangement. It has besides this the historical motive, that the development of the branches broken off from the good olive tree, and growing up independently, far outstripped the development of this good olive tree itself. Just as secular greatness in general grows up far more rapidly than spiritual greatness, so did Ishmael and Edom become nations long before Israel. on this account also that the Toledoth of Esau precede those The important genealogico-ethnographic section of Jacob. is "a model of the manner and method in which Q was accustomed to produce the material he had in hand, these being elsewhere obscured by the rending asunder of his portions" (Dillm.). Nevertheless, although the systematic arrangement of the portion has come down to us undisturbed, the interposing hand of the redactor may be discerned — (1) in that the title, חלדות ver. 1, is repeated at ver. 9; it is very probable that, in the text of Q, xxxvi. 6-8a (as far as בהר שעיר) and xxxvii. 1 originally stood after xxxv. 29. The redactor so expanded the introduction which followed the title, ver. 1, that its repetition after the expanded introduction seemed to him necessary. (2) The names of Esau's three wives differing from xxvi. 34, xxviii. 9, are owing to his interposition. It is a matter of hesitation whether the names, as contained in the historical work of Q, have been preserved there or here in ch. xxxvi. The hand of R having elsewhere interposed within vv. 2-8, the names here may also be derived from another source. Then, having once given the preference above Q to this other source, the three names would have to be altered accordingly throughout vv. 10-18. On certain other passages, whose origination from Q is open to question, we shall speak in their respective places.

Title, ver. 1: And these are the generations of Esau, the same is Edom. For הוא ארום we have ver. 43 אבי ארום; in Q. as far as we know him, no cause is stated why Edom became a proper name of Esau. The title is now, in the first place, followed by an introductory passage. 1. xxxvi. 1-8 (parallel with 1 Chron. i. 35). The first beginnings of the race DESCENDED FROM ESAU: Esau took to him wives of the daughters of Canaan: 'Adah, daughter of Elon the Hittite, and Oholibamah, daughter of 'Anah, granddaughter of Sib'on the Hivite, and Båsmath, Ishmael's daughter, the sister of Nebajoth. And 'Adah bare to Esau Eliphaz, and Basmath bare Revel. And Oholibamah bare Je'a's and Ja'lam and Korah—these are the sons of Esau, which were born to him in the land of Canaan, Esau took his wives and his sons and his daughters and all the souls of his house and his cattle and all his beasts, and all his possessions, which he had made his own in the land of Canaan. and went into a land . . . away from Jacob his brother. For their substance was too great for them to dwell together, and the land of their sojournings as strangers was not able to bear them, because of their cattle. So Esau dwelt in Mount Seir; Esau the same is Edom. This עשו הוא ארום takes 1b up again and gives us reason to expect that what lies between the two will

show signs of the revising hand. The perf. ng is related as a circumstantializing premiss to the main fact 'מַלַר מו, and is in itself (like ידע iv. 1) only Pluperf. with reference to this, but here it is at the same time such with reference to what has already The name of the country after אַל־אָרַץ ver. 6 is been related. omitted: ארן שֹעיר (Syr.) not ארן שֹעיר (ver. 30, xxxii. 4) is with respect to ארן אַרוֹם (ver. 16 sq., xxi. 31) the narrower notion: the former in its strictest sense is the hill country in the south of Judah westward of the Arabah (now inhabited by the Azazim), while the latter includes also the chain (إجال) and الشراة) stretching on the eastern side of the Arabah from the Dead Sea to the Ælanitic Gulf (Kn. Dillm.). The LXX, Sam. correct the defective ארץ במן into מארץ במן, which tells There, according to JE, Esau already dwelt in nothing. Mount Seir, at Jacob's return from Aramæa, xxxii. 4, xxxiii. It is here in Q, ver. 6 sq. (comp. with the expression, xii. 5, xxxiv. 23, xiii. 6), that the separation after the return is first carried out. The names of the three wives differ in ver. 2 sq., and xxvi. 34, xxviii. 9: (1) עָרָה בַּת־אַלוֹן הַחָהָּי, for which at xxvi. 34 we have אָהַלִיבָמָה בַּת־צְבָעוֹן הַחִינִי (2) אָהָלִיבָמָה בַּת־עַנָה בַּת־צְבָעוֹן הַחִינִי here is, as ver. 24, together with 20, shows, an error of transcription for הַּחֹיִי. The name of this second wife is given, xxvi. 34, as יהוּרִית בַּת־בָּאָרִי. The Gentilic appellation החתי (instead of החרי) may be taken as the most general designation of the heathen population dwelling around the family of Isaac; for not only at xxviii. 1, comp. xxvii. 46, but here also, the two wives are called בְּנוֹת כְּנַען. Only an ingenuity leaning upon any random support will combine בָּאַרָ and ענה (Hengst.), though Oholibamah is, notwithstanding 25b. really the daughter of 'Anah, the well discoverer. appellation בַּת־צָּבְעוֹן makes her the grand-daughter (Luther, neffe = neptis) of Zibeon, and so the daughter of the Anah mentioned, not at ver. 24, but at ver. 25. The combination of two חם, one meaning daughter, the other grand-daughter, is striking;

it is however repeated ver. 14, and is found yet a third time ver. 39, so that it has to be regarded as linguistically possible; but ancient translators (here in ver. 2, LXX, Samar. Pesh.) all incline to the exchange of a for a. And how about יהרית instead of אהליבמה? The difference is here so great, that Ewald regards Judith the Hethite and Oholibamah the Horite as two different persons; but it is too unanimously testified that Esau had three, not four wives. Hengstenberg appeals to the fact that in the East women often change their names at marriage; and Kurtz also explains the difference of the names by "the great fluctuation especially in female names in the East." Perhaps it is with reference to this double name יהודית=אהליבמה. that Ezekiel ch. xxiii. calls the kingdom of Judah Oholibah; for it may be supposed that the text of the Pentateuch in the time of Ezekiel already contained these irreconcilable stateis called בַּשְּׁמֵת בַּת־יִּשְׁמֵעָאל (3) ments concerning Oholibamah. אהליבמה and מחלת The Samar. leaves the names אהליבמה unaltered, but changes בשמח here throughout ch. xxxvi. into It may be said that Basmath bore besides the name סחלת, or that this (from יָלֵי, synon. יְלֵי jewels) was the surname of Adah. Still, however we may reconcile and combine, there still remains a discrepancy, which must be set to the account of the non-concurrence of historical tradition in this respect, and we owe it to the redactor that this has been preserved undiluted. After a repetition of the title, ver. 9, in which, in accordance with the tendency of these Toledoth towards national history, we have אבי אדום in place of the ס הוא ארום of ver. 1, and which is linked to ver. 8, and what precedes by בהר שעיר, the next passage, 2. xxxvi. 9-14 (parallel with 1 Chron. i. 36, 37) treats of THE THREE MAIN BRANCHES OF THE EDOMITES. The names of the sons and grandsons of Esau are here personal names, about to become the names of tribes, hence the repetitions from No. 1. two wives, who bore but one son each, form as many tribes as they had grandsons; from Oholibamah, on the contrary, VOL IL

proceeded three tribes after her three sons. In ver. 12 pop is designated as the son of Eliphaz by Timna', a Horite concubine. Is he then to be regarded as the ancestor of the Amalekites? But these already, xiv. 7, appear as lords of the northern portion of the Tih between the Negeb and Egypt, and at Num. xxiv. 20 they are called as the most primitive, or also (comp. Amos vi. 1) as the chief nation באישית נוים, as at 1 Sam. xxvii. 8. with reference to the land of Shur (i.e. the desert El-'Gifâr) towards Egypt ישבות הארץ אישר כעולם. The Arabic legend also, the historical value of which cannot however be estimated very highly, refers the eponymous ancestor of the 'Amálika, whom it calls 'Imlak ('Amlak) or 'Imlak, to another Semitic origin, and transposes their rule from Jemen to Syria to times so ancient, that their name may be a general designation of the people of primitive antiquity. Hengstenberg, on the contrary, following Josephus, who, Ant. ii. 2. 1, calls 'Αμαληκῖτις a portion of Idumæa, adheres to the view that the entire Amalekite nation is here referred to an Edomite origin (Authentic des Pent. ii. 302 sqq.). The truth probably lies An Edomite tribe proceeding from Timna', in the middle. the concubine of Esau, which mingled with the Amalekites, and brought within the Edomite circle of peoples, the name of that ancient people is here called Amalek. For "the remnant of the Amalekites that escaped," whom the Simeonites destroyed at some undefined time before the Babylonian exile, 1 Chron. iv. 42 sq., dwelt in Mount Seir (see Nöldeke, Ucber die Amalekiter, 1864, comp. DMZ. xxiii. 297). The Chronicler, 1 Chron. i. 36, seems to reckon עמלק and ממני among the sons of Eliphaz, but חמנע תומלק 36b only range there as figures of what is related Gen. xxxvi. 12. 3. xxxvi. 15–19. THE אַלוּפִים DESCENDED FROM EDOM. This is the special appellation of the Edomite (and Horite) phylarchs or chieftains, which is transferred to the Jewish only by Zechariah (ix. 7, xii. 5 sq.): it is a denomin. from المارة Micah v. 1, thousandhood (comp. عشدة tribe, family), or more generally (from אָלֵלְּד to join oneself)

society. The form (comp. [15], [17]) does not agree with taking the word as meaning tribe (Kn.) or canton (DMZ. xii. 315-317), as it has everywhere a personal meaning (e.g. Ex. xv. 15). Of Esau's five sons, those of Adah (Eliphaz) and Basmath (Rëûël) are fathers of seven and four אלומים, the three sons of Oholibamah being directly such, thus making fourteen chiefs of tribes. אלוף ליים ver. 16 however has come in from ver. 18, and should, as by the Samar., be expunged: there then remain thirteen, not twelve. number becomes twelve if, with Dillm., we expunge אַלּהף עַמָלֵק with which 12a also falls away as an insertion. indeed descended from neither of the three legitimate wives; hence, when this is considered, the אלופים descending from שימן (Obad. ver. 9, Amos i. 12, Jer. these are actually twelve. xlix. 7, 20, Hab. iii. 3) became the name of a district and town (ver. 42) in north-eastern Idumæa; Jerome places a town Θαιμάν, quinque millibus, from Petra (Ritter, xiv. 128 sq.). the name of a village and الصافعة in Chron.) recalls بوم) بوه of a rivulet flowing into the Dead Sea, southwards from which Gebalene (جبال), i.e. northern Idumæa, is entered (Ritter, xiv. 1031). This rivulet is also called d-Kurahi, with which Kn. compares أرض , in the important town قرح, in the Wadi el-Korâ, is more likely (Wetzstein, Nordarabien und der syr. Wüste, p. 123). More uncertain is the comparison of מסות as a local name, ver. 40, with Thamana of the Notitia dignitatum. This is certainly the same as Theman or Thamara (see on xiv. 7). There is nothing to be said of אוֹמֶר (vv. 11, 15), שַׁמָּה (vv. 11, 16), נַחָת (vv. 13, 17), רַחַ (id.), שַׁמָּה (id.) and פָּנָה (id.). פָּנָה too (vv. 11, 15, 42) is unknown as an Edomite Othniel is called בן־קנו, and Caleb, who gave to him, his younger brother, his daughter to wife, bears the surname nd a race dwelling in the south of Canaan are called Kenizzites, xv. 19, their geographical proximity favouring a

historical connection with the Edomite 115. The middle term xv. 19 is however to us indefinable. The last words, ארוֹם 19b, have wandered from their right place after שֵשׁוֹ (comp. 8b and the displacements xiv. 12, ii. 19). 4. xxxvi. 20-28 (parallel, 1 Chron. i. 38-42). Survey of the descend-ANTS OF SEIR THE HORITE, the ancestor of the Τρωγλοδύται, the aborigines of the mountainous country abounding in caves, who were extirpated by the Edomites, see Deut. ii. 12, 22, (comp. the descriptions Job chs. xxiv., xxx., which perhaps relate to a gipsy-like decayed remnant of the Horites), and on the other hand Gen. xiv. 6, where they appear as still an independent people in possession of their Mount Seir. sons of Seir are named, and the sons of these, together with two daughters, who are expressly mentioned: Timna', the "sister of Lotan," and so the daughter of Seir, who, according to 12a, was, as the concubine of Eliphaz the son of Esau, the mother of Amalek; and Oholibamah, "daughter of 'Anah," who, according to ver. 20, was the sister of Zibeon, and not, as ver. 2 requires (where the second no must mean granddaughter), his daughter, for Oholibamah is surely the there named wife of Esau. We have here a rude discrepancy. 25b, Oholibamah is brought before us as the daughter of 'Anah the son of Seir, while according to ver. 2 she is the daughter of 'Anah son of Zibeon, and thus of another and subsequent But to expunge 25b, as an erroneous gloss, on this account (Kn.) is surely unnecessary; the statement should stand at the end of ver. 24, and has thence erroneously come into ver. 25. It is an easier accommodation which makes ילישון and אנה the names of both sons and grandsons of Seir (Dison the son of 'Anah, 'Anah the son of Zibeon); the recurrence of the names is not strange; Tuch conjectures that the two grandsons of Seir are also cited in ver. 20 sq. as his sons, because they formed independent tribes with chiefs of their own. 24b says of 'Anah the grandson of Seir, that this is the 'Anah who, when he was feeding the asses of Zibeon his father, found the יְמִים

in the wilderness. Luther translates: who found mules in the wilderness, this being the ancient Jewish meaning, according to the consonance of hulovos and husov, whence it would designate hybrids from a stallion and a female ass, or from a male ass and a mare-mulorem nova contra naturam animalia. which Jerome refers to as an old Jewish view: "the race of Esau," says a Midrash, "was not only itself given to illegal connections, but also seduced the animals to them." speaks against this interpretation—(1) that wyo used thus by itself can only be meant of a local finding; (2) that 'Anah was feeding asses and not horses also; (3) that mongrels of both are elsewhere called פֿרָדים (Aram. פֿרָניָא). Still less tenable is the identification of ימים with the race of the אימים, as Samar. and Onkelos translate and Ephrem explains it (Lagarde, Orientalia, ii. p. 58). מָים are probably hot springs (akin perhaps to bi, Assyr. 4-mu, im-mu, day, named according to היום היום), whence the Syrian translates ביב (Diodor. of Tarsus: πηγήν), perhaps the sulphur springs of Kalirrhoë (the ancient Lesa', x. 19) below the Zerka Maein. about two leagues on the eastern side of the Dead Sea. Here a warm spring flows in the ground, and receiving from several parts an increase of seething water, deposits abundance of sulphur. In favour of this meaning of του (LXX. ἰαμείν) is Jerome's information, that this is also in the Punic the word for aquæ caldæ (if he does not confuse ימים with הְמִים, Arab. حَمَّامَات), as are also the wording and situation of what is related. The addition that 'Anah was just then keeping his father's asses, may point out that the animals themselves contributed to the discovery, as the whirlpool at Carlsbad is said to have been discovered by a hunting dog of Charles the Fourth, who, while chasing a stag, got into a hot spring, and attracted the huntsmen by his howling. In ver. 24a we must, with LXX, Sam. Syr. and 1 Chron. i. 40, read 71% instead of and (unless perhaps a preceding name has fallen

out), and ישׁן 26a must be corrected, as in Chron., to בישׁן (LXX, Pesh. Jer.). The ancient Semitic worship of animals inferred by Robertson Smith, in his article, "Animal Worship and Animal Tribes" (Journal of Philology, ix. 75 sqq.), from certain names of animals in this register of the descendants of Seir, is rightly rejected by Dillm. and Nöldeke as not demonstrable. The name שׁוֹבֶל has been transmitted in Syria Sobal (Judith iii. 1, according to the Vulgate and Luther), corresponding with the name of the third province kept by the crusaders below Arabia secunda, viz. 'Gebal below Kerck. Mons regalis, founded by Baldwin, and surrounded by a forest of olive trees, is also called Sobal, or more correctly (see on xxv. 2) Sobak (thicket, as a bishopric: Saltus hieraticus). -com دیش and اصبانی (حمیدی) حمادی ,علوبین and pared by Kn.) are similar in sound to תְּלָהָן, תֶּלָהָן, חֶמְהָן, וְשִׁלָּהָן (the dwelling-places of these tribes are not against this comparison), and Menochia of the Not. dign. and the district of Mouvuxiátis westward of Petra in Ptol. with פְּנַחַת recalls the בֵּי יַעָכָן, after whom a wilderness station is named, Num. xxxiii. 31, Deut. x. 6; The Arcni in Plin. vi. 32. But that ערץ, named with אַרָן 28b as a son of Dishan, should have given his name to the (הָערן), has against it x. 23, xxii. 21; this py being certainly an individual of no further significance of the Horite race 1 conquered by the Edomites. The other names also defy national and provincial explana-5. xxxvi. 29 sqq. The seven HORITE RACES FORMED FROM THE SEVEN SONS OF SEIR. runs this concluding sentence in the style of Q (while the anticipation 21b seems inserted from a more recent hand)the chiefs of the Horites לְצְלְפֵיהֶם as their (the Horites') chiefs in the land of Seir are each called (the ? is that of the relation of the individual to the whole and of the whole to the individual, frequent in enumerations). Perhaps the vocalization לאלפיהם

¹ An is, as in Horite proper names, a favourite ending in the inscriptions brought from Têmâ by Euting. See the Oxford Studia Biblica (1885), p. 214.

(Dillm.) would better correspond with the intention of the 6. xxxvi. 31-39 (parallel with 1 Chron. i. 43-50, comp. the apocryphal close of the book of Job in LXX). FIGHT KINGS OF EDOM DOWN TO THE TIME OF THE NARRATOR. The title, ver. 31: And these are the kings that reigned in the land of Edom before there reigned a king over the children of Israel. It does not necessarily follow from this, that the writer lived till the time of the Israelite kingdom, though it looks like it; and it cannot be denied that the author of the historical work beginning with בראשית ברא represents, as compared with J, E and D, a more recent stage in the development of Mosaism, and thus has the commencement of Israelite kingship far behind him. It is however still a question, whether in this list of kings he transposes himself to the standpoint of the time of Moses, or whether he brings it down to the beginning of the Israelite kingdom (i.e. to Saul-David); for that he brings it down to his own actual present is excluded both by the brevity of the list, which contains only eight kings, and by the fact that the independence of Edom and the continuance of its native sovereignty ceased with Saul and David. The author of these Toledoth is the same, who delights to record the promises of kings arising from the patriarchal race (xxxv. 11, xvii. 6, 16); he expressly notices that Edom became a monarchy earlier than Israel, that the shoot which was cut off sooner attained such maturity, independence and consistency, than the seed of the promise. In these Toledoth he has hitherto been going backwards, to describe the Idumæan hill country according to its former inhabitants; he now goes forward and brings the history of Edom to a certain point. None of the eight kings is the son of his predecessor, their places of origin are also Hence Edom was an elective monarchy; the chiefs

¹ In this matter I agree with E. C. Bissell in his important work, *The Pentateuch*, its Origin and Structure (New York 1885), p. 141, especially as I, like himself, regard the law of the king in Deut. xvii. as ancient Mosaic.

of the tribes were, according to Isa. xxxiv. 12, the electors, and the dignity of the אלומים was hereditary in noble families. The name of the first king בּלֵע בַּןבַעוֹר sounds provokingly like the name of the seer בּלְעַם בּן־בּעוֹר; his native city was דְּנְהְבֶה (LXX Δενναβά), a local name which cannot be pointed out as Edomite, but which is testified to as occurring in the neighbouring lands. Kuenen notes besides Δαναβά in Palmyrian Syria (in Ptol. and in Assem. Bibl. Or. iii. 2), Δανάβη in Babylonia (in Zosimus, Hist. iii. 27), Dannaia and Dannaba in Moab (by Jerome on this passage testified in Lagarde's Onom. 114 sq.). The second king is יוֹכָב בֵּן־וְרֵה of בּצְרָה; according to the LXX (at the close of the translation of the book of Job, comp. Jul. Africanus in Routh, Reliquiæ, ii. 154 sq.), Job is said to be one and the same with this Jobab ben Zerah (ben Re'ûel), - an untenable conjecture, although there may be some relationship between the names יוֹב, יוֹבֶנ xlvi. 13, Juba, 'Ióβas (the name of a Mauritanian king) and איוֹב. The native place of King Jobab, בּצְרָה, has been rediscovered as a village with ruins under the diminutive name el-Busaire in 'Gebâl (different from the similarly named ancient town in Auranitis, celebrated in ecclesiastical history, viz. Hauran, the birthplace of the Emperor Philip the Arabian). The third king is of the אָרֵן הַהִּימִנְי the province of Têman in the northern part of Edom. The fourth king is הֵנֶד בֶּּוְבְּנַד, who is more particularly designated as he who smote Midian in the field of Moab, whence Hengst. rightly infers that the time of his sovereignty is not to be placed far after the Mosaic period; for after Gideon, the Midianites almost disappear from history (comp. Kautzsch, art. "Midian" in Riehm's HW.), and it is improbable that the field of Moab should have been a place of battle between the Midianites and Moabites in later post-Mosaic

history. Kn. combines the ridge of hills فوينَّة on the east side of Moab (Burckhardt, Syr. 638) with אַנִית the birthplace

of Hadad. The fifth king is שָׁמְלֵה, of the otherwise unknown משֹרָקה, which apparently signifies place of Sorek vines. sixth king, שארל, would be a foreigner if הנהר, in the name of his native town רוֹבוֹת הַנָּהָר, had to be understood of the Euphrates; but a smaller river (2 Kings v. 12), a canal (Ezek. i. 3), and even non-perennial Wadi (see on xv. 18) may also be called a נהר, and an Idumæan Robotha is mentioned by Eusebius, Jerome, and the Notitia dign. as still existing in their time. The seventh king is אָל חַבּוּל (which is equivalent to the Punic הַּנְבַעל, Hannibal), his father was called עָבָבֹּר (again a name of an animal); there is no statement of his birthplace. Of the eighth king, on the contrary, the city, wife, wife's mother, and grandmother are given, without non being added, as though he were still living when this list was written. His name is J.J. In the text of Chronicles it is like that of the fourth king, The just as the LXX 1 Kings xi. 14 writes "Αδερ for ההד of the Hebrew הדד $A\delta a\delta$, not הדר $A\delta \epsilon \rho$ (Justin: Adores), is an Aramaic, and therefore not an Idumæan name of God (see Zeitschrift für Keilschriftforschung, ii. 165 sq., 365). proper name הדר (ornament) perhaps existed beside it, or owes its existence simply to the misunderstood . The native city of the last-named king was we, for which the LXX gives Φογώρ, therefore συμο, which accords in sound with the Edomite ruins Fau'ara (Ritter, xiv. 995). This eighth king has nothing to do with the Hadad of the time of Solomon; for though the latter was an Edomite of royal blood, he married a daughter of Pharaoh, and was never king of Edom (1 Kings xi. 14-22). It might rather be supposed that the last-named was that king of Edom, of whom Moses in vain requested permission to pass through his land, Num. xx. 14. And there is nothing against the view that Q is here communicating a document, whose original author was a contemporary of Moses and survived to the entry into the promised land. Now follows-7. xxxvi. 40 sqq.

(parallel with 1 Chron. i. 51 sqq.) A LIST OF THE EDOMITE מלימים, according to their families, according to their places, with their names. To what purpose is this second list? We had above, vv. 15-19, the names of fourteen (thirteen) Edomite אלופים, here the names of eleven, among which only two (קמו and myn) agree with the former. The Chronicler introduces the list with the words: Then Hadad died and, etc., which sounds as if after Hadad's (Hadar's) death the kingship became extinct, and the old tribal constitution, with its hereditary aristocracy, went on (Bertheau). In any case this list gives, without respect to the kingdom, a survey of the districts into which the land was divided in the time of its author; the former list was historico-genealogical, this is geographico-statistical (Dillm.). The title, in which the chief tone falls upon bobpo, is in the style of Q, who however took this list of districts, as well as the list of kings, from an ancient source. The chiefs of mp and mm occurred also in the other list. The concubine of Eliphaz is called your, and the daughter of 'Anah is the Horite wife of Esau, vv. 2, 14, 18, 25; سرية (for which in Chron. ريزية) is one and the same name as now, one of the grandsons of Seir, 23a. remaining six names are new. Nothing worth saying can be told concerning מְנְרִיאֵל ,יְתֵח and עִירָם, for which the LXX has Zaφωίν. In μρ (μρ), on the contrary, we at once recognise that encampment of Israel where Moses set up the brazen serpent, Num. xxi. 9 sq., comp. xxxiii. 42 sq., celebrated, under various Greek and Latin forms of the name, for its mines, to which, during the Diocletian persecution, a multitude of Christians, to whom the dedication of the Apology of Origen is addressed by Pamphilus, were sent for penal servitude (ad æris metalla quæ sunt apud Phanum Palastina damnati). After the fifth century it became the seat of a bishopric, not quite two leagues distant from Dedan. According to Jerome, is certainly no other than Elath, or, as it is called, xiv. 6, איל פארן.

is not Petra (Kn.), which is called ν?ο, 2 Kings xix. 7: the LXX has for it Maζάρ, on which Eusebius (Lagarde, Onom. 277) makes the credible remark, ἔτι καὶ νῦν κώμη μεγίστη Μαβσαρὰ ἐπὶ τῆς Γεβαληνῆς, ὑπακούουσα τῆ Πέτρα. The list of chiefs and districts closes with the subscription: These are the chiefs of Edom, according to their dwellings in the land of their possession, while the concluding endorsement, this is Esau, the father of Edom, looks back at the whole many-membered Toledoth—this great nation that dwelt in the land of the Horites, with its chiefs and kings, proceeded from him.

The register of the race of Esau-Edom is now followed by a verse, which joins No. 9 of the Toledoth with No. 10, xxxvii. 1: And Jacob dwelt in the land of the pilgrimage of his father, in the land of Canaan. Esau, as formerly Lot, vacated it, and thus was fulfilled the purpose and promise of God (xvii. 8). If this verse had originally stood after xxxvi. 8, it would have begun As it at present stands, it points back to it, for the purpose of forming the transition from the one Toledoth to the other.

THE TOLEDOTH OF JACOB, XXXVII.-L.

THAT the title: These are the generations of Jacob, should be followed by: Joseph was seventeen years old, and was feeding the flock with his brethren, seemed so strange to ancient expositors, that they felt obliged to regard this superscription as the subscription of xxxv. 23-26, and as referring thereto past the parenthetical portion ch. xxxvi. however closely approximates to the right state of the case. when he says: Quasi dicat: deinceps enarrabo posteros Jacobi eorumque casus, eventa et gesta, maxime Josephi. The חלדות are, according to their proper notion, the history of Jacob in his sons, not merely in Joseph, though chiefly in him. It is utterly contrary to the meaning of the title to regard chs. xxxvii.-l. as the history of Joseph, for then ch. xxxviii. would be a disturbing episode, which it by no means is. The matter is, on the contrary, divided as in the תולדת יצחק (xxv. 19). There Jacob, here Joseph, is the active principle of the history that follows. The twelve sons of Jacob are the seed-corn of Israel. Egypt is the foreign land, where a nation is to develop and come to maturity from the twelve. To precede his family thither, and there to prepare a shelter for Israel during its development, was Joseph's high vocation. Sold into Egypt, he makes a path to Egypt for the house of Jacob; and the same land, in which he grew to man's estate, was imprisoned and attained high rank, became for his family the land of their ripening into a nation, and of their deliverance. The history of Joseph is so far the opening of the history of Israel, and a type of the path of the Church and the Church's Head from humiliation to exaltation, from bondage to freedom, from suffering to glory. The treatment he received from his brethren, turned by the message of God to their safety and that of the nation descending from them, is a type of the treatment Christ received from His people, which the counsel of God turned to the world's salvation, and will at last turn to the salvation of Israel.

The Toledoth of Jacob, which include the history of Joseph, are divided into four sections. The first section reaches from the selling of Joseph into Egypt to his elevation, chs. xxxvii.—xli.; the second, from the first appearance of his brethren before him to his declaration of himself, chs. xlii.—xlv.; the third, from the migration of the house of Israel to Egypt to their prosperous settlement and increase in Goshen, chs. xlvi.—xlvii. 27; the fourth, from Jacob's entreaty to Joseph to bury him in Canaan to the burial of Jacob and death of Joseph, chs. xlvii. 28-l. The beginnings of these sections (xxxvii. 1, xlii. 1, xlvi. 1, xlvii. 28) show that Jacob still rules the history, though, with the exception of ch. xxxviii., there is none in which Joseph's name is not the more prominent.

"The sources from which R (the redactor) composed this last division of Genesis are, for the first two sections, almost exclusively B (El^1) and C (J). The plan and the greater part of the execution of this noble, almost dramatically arranged history of Joseph is from B. But R has also delighted in adopting and artistically working into it matter from C, whose narrative was on the whole similar though in particulars different, and in parts more excitingly told and with more didactic insight. Not till xlvi.—l. is A (Q or El^2) again made much use of, and there the three sources flow on together." We cannot deny our concurrence with the net results of the analysis thus formulated by Dillmann, although we must acknowledge our own inability to follow in detail his acute and almost clairvoyant disentanglement of the various threads.

There is more for us than for him which is beyond the limits of the knowable, as will be at once shown in the restraint we have felt obliged to impose upon ourselves in our analysis of ch. xxxvii. It is however undeniable that the redactor, without glossing over their differences, has here combined different accounts into one. In the one account Joseph is, according to the proposal of Reuben, cast into a pit, from which he intends to deliver him, but a passing caravan draws him out of it and takes him to Egypt. In the other account it is Judah who counsels against the slaying of a brother and causes him to be sold to a passing caravan. In the one account these merchants are called מְדְינִים or מָדְינִים 28a, 36, and in the other יִשְׁמְעָאלִים 25, 27, 28b. But whether they are two different accounts, according to one of which Joseph was hated by his brethren for his tale-bearing, and according to the other for his dreams, is to us questionable. We shall not however conceal in this matter what speaks in favour of a working up together of different accounts, which do not by their matter exclude each other.

JOSEPH SOLD INTO EGYPT, CH. XXXVII.

The first verse wants nothing of internal unity, xxxvii. 2: (These are the generations of Jacob:) Joseph, being seventeen years old, was feeding the flock with his brethren; and he was a young servant with the sons of Bilhah and with the sons of Zilpah, and he brought evil report of them to their father. The syntactic state of the three sentences is essentially the same as i. 2, 3; the perf. sentence with the noun sentence ruled by it precedes and circumstantializes the main fact high, at which the period aims. There is also a close connection in matter. It is first said generally that Joseph, being seventeen years old, was feeding the flock with his brothers (for period as at 1 Sam. xvi. 11, xvii. 34); the brothers here are without

distinction the sons of his father's two wives and two Then this statement is particularized by sayconcubines. ing, that he was given to the sons of Bilhah (Dan and Naphtali) and to the sons of Zilpah (Gad and Asher) as a נַעֶר (ng as a preposition being here repeated). Nothing can be done with the meaning youth; any one's נער is, according to the custom of the language, his young servant, Judg. vii. 10, ix. 54, xix. 13.1 fig. is not so indifferent a word as report, but means (from רבב to sneak, Assyr. and Aram. to lay in wait, to harass) slander, scandal. דְּבַּחָם הָרָעָה, which might mean the slanderous conduct of the brothers, is purposely not said; the more appositional co-ordination of the indefinite are (as at xliii. 14, Ezek. xxxiv. 12, Ps. cxliii. 10, Ges. § 111. 2b, comp. my commentary on the Psalms on 2 Sam. xxii. 33) suggests rather taking the brothers as object. That Jacob should let his comparatively more remote sons be thus secretly overlooked by Joseph, was the consequence of his affection for him, ver. 3: And Israel loved Joseph above all his sons, for he was born to him in old age, and he made him a garment reaching far down. The narrator, who after xxxv. 10 intelligently interchanges the names שלה and ישקב, is J. Benjamin as still very young is left out of consideration; but Joseph had been born seventeen years before, after the two Aramaean septennaries, when Jacob, who was of full age when he migrated to Aramaea, had already entered the age of the is one reaching to כתנת פַּקים see on iii. 21. A כתנת פַּקים the end of the arms and down to the feet, the ends of the legs; for T Ban. v. 5, 24 is the more exact designation of the hand as distinguished from the arm, and אַפְּסָיִם Ezek. xlvii. 3 (from סָּבוֹא = סְבָּא = סָבּוֹא) mean the extremities, viz. the lower (אפסי רולים), hence (with respect to the skeleton) the ankles, which agrees with בַּהֹנֵת פַּפִים; it is called a אָנדשׁע καρπωτός

י Unless 'וערבני ולפה ונו' הוא followed, הוא might be taken, as by Rosin (Jubelschrift on Zunz's 90th birthday, 1884), as a preliminary adverbial sentence (comp. xviii. 8, xxiii. 10): when he was still young he brought... thus giving a retrospective motive for the sale in his seventeenth year.

We are now told how Joseph increased the hatred of his brothers by relating his dreams to them, ver. 5: And Joseph dreamed a dream and told it to his brethren, then they hated him yet the more. If vv. 5-11 are, as it appears, derived from another narrator, it is the redactor who links together the extracts from the two sources by the words, "then they hated him yet the more." This increase of hatred, on this fresh account, does not of itself exclude that which existed because of his father's preference. I cannot see that 5b is here unsuitable (Dillm.), the whole verse being related, as its theme, to what follows (like ii. 8 to ii. 9-15). The first dream, vv. 6, 7: And he said to them: Hear, I pray you, the dream that I have dreamed: And lo, we were binding sheaves in the midst of the field, and, behold, my sheaf arose and also stood up, and, behold, your sheaves stood round about and bowed themselves before my sheaf. Two me found in one verse, xxix. 2,

In the Mishnic and Syriac DB means not extremity but surface (see Menachoth i. 2: he has to stretch out his finger IT' DB by to the whole extent of the hand, f.c. without curving or doubling); Mühlau-Volk in Ges. Lex. 10th edit., seek to deduce the meanings cut off (terminate) and extend from the same root.

here there are three. The name for sheaf occurs only here and Ps. cxxvi. 6, and the denominate only here. The dream of Joseph shows that his father, like his grandfather (xxvi. 12), combined agriculture and the rearing of cattle. Reception of the relation of the dream, ver. 8: Then his brethren said to him: Shalt thou indeed be king over us, or shalt thou become our ruler, and they hated him still more for his dreams and his words, i.e. on account of the arrogant tenor of such dreams and the insulting candour with which he related them. As Joseph had as yet told them but one dream, the plural תֵלְׁלֹחָיו is striking; it must be understood as the categorical plur., but leaves room for the conjecture that 8b (and therefore 5b also, as results retrospectively) did not belong to the text of the excerpted sources. The second dream and its reception by his brethren and his father, vv. 9-11: And he dreamed yet a dream and told it to his brethren. said: Behold I have dreamed again, and lo, the sun and the moon and the eleven stars cast themselves down before me. he told it to his father and his brethren; then his father rebuked him and said to him: What is this dream that thou hast dreamed—shall we, I and thy mother and thy brethren, indeed come to bow ourselves down to the earth before thee? And his brethren envied him, but his father kept the thing in mind. The sentence ויִסְפֵּר אַל־אָבִיו is, in respect of the וַיְסַפֵּר אַל־אָבִיו אָל־אָּקי which follows in ver. 10, not only superfluous, but interrupting; accordingly the LXX takes καὶ διηγήσατο αὐτὸ τῷ πατρί και τοῖς ἀδελφοῖς αὐτοῦ into ver. 9 and expunges it in ver. 10. In any case this second ייספר (without אֹתוֹ) belongs to the original text, comp. 32 5a. By the eleven stars may certainly be meant eleven of the stars of the Zodiac (מַלְּלֹח), for Joseph does not say אחר הַעשׂר, because he thinks of himself as the twelfth. The sun is Jacob-Israel, the eleven stars the eleven brethren, and the moon the dead but unforgotten and unlost Rachel. The dreams were images of the future elevation of Joseph over the whole house of Jacob. VOL IL

They came from Joseph's deeply gifted presentient mind (Biblische Psychol. p. 280 sq.) not without God, but the counsel of God was still concealed from human eyes. Hence this second dream brings upon the dreamer quite a harsh rebuke from his father. But while the brethren persevered in their suspicious jealousy, Jacob, without his affection for him being diminished, kept the thing in memory, שָׁמֵר, LXX διετήρησε, like συνετήρει Luke ii, 19.

When then Joseph was on a certain occasion sent by his father to a distance to see after his brethren, they resolved, as soon as they saw him, to get rid of their hated brother by violence, vv. 12-18. It is at once perceived by the name לאראל that J is here the narrator, vv. 12-14: Then his brethren went to feed their father's sheep in Sichem. Israel said to Joseph: Do not thy brethren feed the flock in Sichem? Up then, I will send thee to them! He said to him; Here am I. And he said to him: Go now, see after the welfare of thy brethren and the welfare of the flock, and bring me back word. So he sent him forth from the vale of Hebron to Sichem. When Jacob migrated to Aramaea, it was done from his father's house in Beersheba; and when after a long period he returned by indirect journeys to his father's house, it was in Hebron, one of the few cities of the Holy Land which are situate in valleys. It seems strange that the sons of Jacob and their flocks should have gone so far north as the district of Shechem, the city which, since it was so murderously attacked by Simeon and Levi, was at strife with his family. The enmity of the Shechemites must have been in some manner appeased between the sojourn of Jacob in Shechem and in Hebron.1 his 12b is over-punctuated, and as to style might be dispensed with (comp. e.g. Isa. lxi. 5 with Ezek. xxxiv. 8). Joseph willingly consents to his father's proposal to send him

¹ Kuenen (*Kinl.* § 13, note 7) conjectures that R with respect to P^2 substituted Hebron for some other city. But the burial of the three patriarchs in Machpelah near Hebron is not a mere view of P^2 , but a national tradition, with which 1. 5 is only apparently in contradiction.

to Shechem (where we may imagine the brothers feeding their flocks in the plain of Machnah on the west of the city), to inquire after their welfare and that of the flocks שלים welfare, then ambiguous, like valetudo). He accordingly goes to Shechem, in the neighbourhood of which however he seeks in vain for his brothers, vv. 15-17: And a man met him, and behold he was wandering in the field, and the man asked him saying: What seckest thou? And he said: I am seeking my brethren; tell me, I pray thee, where they are feeding. And the man said: They have departed hence, for I heard them say: We will go to Dothajin. Then Joseph went after his brethren and met them in Dothan. The classic style prefers to leave subjects and objects unexpressed, where they can be dispensed with. So here we have שָׁמַעָהִים without הְּגָּה הֹעָה שׁמָעָהִי for שִׁמַעָהִים (Samar.), comp. 4a מַנֵּר he told (it), 10a רַפַּבֶּי he related (it), 21a יַיִּשְׁמַע and Reuben heard (it). A similar instance already, vi. 19, and here a little farther on, 21a, 25b, 27b, 32a. The question runs: What seekest thou? for the inquirer does not yet know that Joseph is seeking persons. The form of the name ישמרין ירושלים, עפרן is like ישמרין ירושלים, יווע is like שמרין ירושלים no Dual, but a diphthongal pronunciation of the termination $\bar{a}n (\hat{a}m)^{1}$ the Greek writing $\Delta\omega\theta\alpha\epsilon\mu$, or what is the same, Δωθαίμ in the LXX, and Judith iv. 6, vii. 3. viii. 3 reproduces בְּחִים; the name Δωταία, id. iii. 10, is the same hellenized. Tell Dothan, a beautiful hill, at the southern foot of which bubbles forth a spring, about five leagues north of Sabastija (Samaria), as Eusebius and Jerome already state, west of 'Gennin, and westward (see Bädeker, p. 237) of the road leading from Nabulus to 'Gennin, still marks the situation of the place. Seeing Joseph at a distance, the brothers agree to get rid of him, ver. 18: They saw him afar off, and before he came near to them, they made him the object of a crafty plot to

¹ See Wellhausen, Composition des Hexateuchs, on Gen. xxxii. 1-3 (מַזְרָנֵיִם); comp. Merx' Archiv, iii. 352.

kill him. Thus is התנכל conceived with an accusative object instead of with 12 Ps. cv. 25: "they treated him craftily" would not do full justice to the notion. If it is E who refers, vv. 5-11, the hatred of the brothers to Joseph's dreams, it is from him also that vv. 19, 20 are derived. And they said one to another: Behold, this dreamer cometh! And now up, let us kill him and cast him into a pit and say: A wild beast has torn him to pieces; and we shall see what will become of his dreams. The enhanced to occurs in J, besides here only at xxiv. 65. The combination בַּעֵל הַחַלְמוֹת is without an analogous example in the Pentateuch. אוֹם (בּאֹר=) is the pit as distinguished from באר the well. The נראה is just as scornful as למין נראה Isa. v. 19. When they have killed him and left his corpse to decay in a pit, they think it will then be seen how ridiculous were his high-flown dreams. But here too man's sin and God's plan are found to work together. The elevation dreamed of by Joseph becomes the means of his brethren's downfall, to become subsequently that of their uprising. God makes sin itself subservient to His plan, and thus a co-operating factor in the coming deliverance.

Postponement of the murder by Reuben, vv. 21, 22: And Reuben heard it and delivered him out of their hand, and said: We will not take his life. For Reuben said to them: Do not shed blood, cast him into this pit, which is in the wilderness, and do not lay hand upon him—(this he said)—that he might deliver him out of their hand and restore him to his father. Ver. 21 is, like ver. 5, an anticipative summary of what follows. Instead of in the smites the life of such an one (Lev. xxiv. 17 sq.), with two accusatives (Ges. § 139, note), he smites his life, i.e. kills him (Deut. xix. 6 and frequently), is also used. It cannot be discerned from the style whether ver. 21 sq. is derived from J or E. But that their different accounts are farther on combined is seen from the merchants who took Joseph with them to Egypt being twice called

¹ The Samar, translates: the splendid (excellent) dreamer, comp. on xxiv. 65.

Ishmaelites (vv. 25, 28b) and twice Midianites (vv. 28a, 36); in ver. 28 the excerpts from the two sources strike sharply against each other. One source (E) related that Reuben dissuaded them from killing Joseph and advised them to cast him into a pit and to leave him to his fate, intending to take him out secretly and to help him to escape to Hebron. But that when after some time he came to look after him, he had disappeared; some passing Midianite merchants having drawn him out and carried him away, as Joseph himself says, xl. 15: I was secretly stolen out of the land of the Ibrim. The redactor gave the preference to the narrative of J, according to which Judah advised not to kill but to sell him to the Ishmaelites, subordinating to it and arranging in it what he derived from E. Next follows the casting into the pit, related in E and J, vv. 23, 24: And it came to pass when Joseph was come to his brethren, that they took off from Joseph his garment, the garment reaching far down which he had on, and took him and cast him into the pit; and the pit was empty, there was no water in it. strip him of his long tunic (שִׁישִׁים with two accusatives, like Ges. § 139. 1), because they mean to make it by and by the means of diverting suspicion from themselves. Joseph, Jeremiah also was cast into a pit wherein was no water, but Jeremiah sank in mire, Jer. xxxviii. 6. advice of Judah he is sold, vv. 25-27: And they sat down to eat food; then they lifted up their eyes and saw, and behold a travelling company of Ishmaelites coming from Gilead with their camels laden with tragacanth and balsam and ladanum, upon the way to carry it down to Egypt. Then Judah said to his brethren: What profit have we that we slay our brother and conceal his blood? Up, let us sell him to the Ishmaelites, and let not our hand be upon him, for he is our brother, our fleshand his brethren hearkened (to it). The Midianites (who according to xxv. 2 are only a collateral tribe of the Ishmaelites proper) are called Ishmaelites, Judg. viii. 24, whence it appears that this had become a general designation of the

desert tribes, who are elsewhere called קָרָבִים or (from badû, नामं (fem. from मांस a traveller, plur. desert) Bedouins. חודיא Isa, xxi, 13, or, as if it were a fem, from אָרוֹא, חודיא Job vi. 19) means that which is travelling viz. a travelling company, called in Persian karwan. The caravan, which came within sight of Jacob's sons as they were resting and eating, was from Gilead, and its camels were carrying spices, which were then as now the chief articles of import of the Arabico-Egyptian caravan trade. נלאת is tragacanth or tragant (see this article in Riehm's HW.), the resinous gum of the Astragalus qummifer and many other Palestinian kinds of astragali. (according to the formations רָאִי דָּכִי) is not real balsam from the balsam tree, but (see Mastix in Riehm) the gum of the Pistacia lentiscus, i.e. the mastix tree. 15) is ladanum, i.e. the aromatic gum (λήδανον, λάδανον) of the Cistus creticus (λήδος, λήδον). caravan had crossed over Jordan at Beisan, as is still done, and was taking the high road which led from Beisan and Zer'in to Ramleh and Egypt, and entered west of 'Gennin the plain in which Dothan lies. Judah advised his brothers to sell Joseph to these travelling Ishmaelites, opposing, as Abravanel remarks, three reasons against depriving him of life. This murder would be criminal fratricide אָחִישׁ בְּשֹׂרֵשׁ, an appositional connection according to Ges. § 113); and as it would bring them no profit -not even the satisfaction of revenge, since they would have to conceal the deed—there was no object to gain by it. proposal found approval. E is now the narrator, 28a, and joins on to Reuben's counsel, who was purposing to deliver Joseph: Then there passed by Midianite merchants, and they drew and lifted up Joseph out of the pit. It is the meaning of E that the Midianites drew him up, but of the composition, as we have it, that the brothers did this, as the caravan was approaching, so that what now follows from J joins on to 28a without contradicting it. 28b: And they sold Joseph to the Ishmaelites for twenty pieces of silver, and they brought Joseph to Egypt. We must supply שָׁכָלִים or שַׁכָּלִים (Lev. xxvii. 3, 2 Kings xv. 20). The average price of a slave was, according to Ex. xxi. 32, thirty shekels. A slave afterwards cost just as much (120 drachma = 30 tetradrachmic shekels) in the market of Alexandria (Joseph. Ant. xii. 2. 3),—the Midianites would of course make a profit by the transaction. consternation, according to E, vv. 29, 30: Then Reuben came back to the pit, and behold Joseph was not in the pit; and he rent his garments and returned to his brethren, and said: The boy is not there, and I-whither shall I go ?! He, the most responsible, because the eldest of the brothers, desired to rescue Joseph (22b, comp. xlii. 22), and now he sees to his horror that the expedient, by which he had thought to effect this, has turned out to Joseph's ruin. Henceforth the narratives The text has chiefly the tone of J; the of J and E concur. Midianites again mentioned at the close are a sure token of The sending of the blood-stained garment, vv. 31, 32: And they took Joseph's garment, and killed a he-goat, and dipped the garment in the blood. And they sent away the garment that reached far down, and brought it to their father and said: This have we found; see now carefully whether it be thy son's garment or not? A similar of testing observation is found xxxviii. 25, xxxi. 32. The ה of הַבְּלְּמֵח is the interrogative, which before a consonant with Sheva cannot be other than 7, and this either with a Metheg like הַכְּוּנה xxxiv. 31, or as here (comp. Ges. § 100. 4) with a following Dagesh. When the aged father sees the bloody garment of his favourite son, he immediately comes to the conclusion contemplated by the brethren, and mourns for him as one dead, vv. 33-35: And he looked carefully and said: My son's coat! A wild beast has devoured him. Joseph is torn, yea torn to pieces. And Jacob rent his clothes, and put sackcloth about his loins, and mourned long for his son. And all his sons and daughters arose to comfort him, but he refused to be comforted, and said: Nay, I will go down to the world beneath mourning for my son. So his father wept for him. That Joseph is torn to pieces is designated as

a fact by אָרָף, and as quite beyond doubt by the inf. intens. קֹים (Kal according to Ges. § 131. 3, note 2). In xliv. 28 IN is added as a still further enhancement. Instead of קרע בּנְרָיו, we have here קרע שַׁמְלֹחָיו, as at xliv. 13, a variation critically unimportant. Jacob grounds his rejection of the consolation of his sons and daughters (comp. above, p. 180) on בּיאַרָד. It is here and farther on in the history of Joseph, xlii. 38, xliv. 29, 31, that the fem. noun Sheol (masc. only Job xxvi. 6, but then with a preceding predicate) is mentioned for the first time in the O. T. שָׁאוֹל, from שָׁאל → שׁוּל ,שׁעל = שׁאל אַ שׁוּל , שׁעל בּשׁאל سل, to be slack, languid, to hang down, to sink down, means the hollow (see on Isa. v. 14, and xl. 12, בשעלו,, and corresponds with tian, the deep, the Egyptian name for the subterranean world. The later usage of the language may have thought of the verb 'ste' to summon, and, as seems to follow from Prov. xxx. 15 sq., Isa. v. 14, Hab. ii. 5, have understood ישאול of the place to which all terrestrial beings are summoned.1 Thither is Joseph gone, thither, where human existence continues in a shadowy manner, will Jacob follow him; till then there is no more comfort or joy for him. אָבֶל is equivalent to אָבֶּל xlii. 38, xliv. 31; הַּחָאַבֵּל 34b also means not merely mourning attire, but especially the grief of mourning (Num. xiv. 39). The sale of Joseph into Egypt, according to E, ver. 36: And the Midianites sold him into Egypt, to Potiphar, a court official of Pharaoh, a captain of the guard. מְדְיֵנִים 28a are here called סְרֵנִים, which, according to xxv. 2, is the name of a tribe nearly akin to Midian. So too ווֹמָים here and at xxxix. 1 is the shorter form of the name אָפֹי מָרַע xli. 45, xlvi. 20;

¹ The name of this world below is in Assyrian sullu (written su-llu, as if it meant the powerful city); the verb sa'llu means to question, to decide, to rule, and according to the Assyrian usage of language, the notion of a requisitionary summoning power for have is the result. The best word for it is the world beneath, for hell is equivalent to yilva. Luther himself felt this, when he exchanged "Hölle" (hell) in Gen. xxxvii. 35, xlii. 38, xliv. 29, 31 (as he sixty-seven times translates have for "Grube" (pit). See Kamphausen's article on the subject in Zimmermann's Theol. Literaturblatt, 1872, Nos. 6, 7.

LXX Πετεφρής or Πεντεφρής (see Lagarde, Genesis, p. 20). The name (compounded from p-et-e-ph-ra) he who (et = ent)is the (e = em) sun-god's, compare the names $\Pi_{erea}\mu\hat{\eta}\nu$, Πετεμπαμέντης, Πέτεσις and the shorter non (belonging to the goddess Muth). The sun-god is called Pa or Pn, with the aspirated article Memphitic Φρη, pelding (eunuch), which is also Babylonian and Himyaritic, means likewise by an obliteration of the fundamental meaning, a courtier in general, as the Arab. خادم means contrariwise first, a servant and then a eunuch. "Slayer" in the official title שֵׁר הַמֶּבָּחִים is not equal to butcher (Luth. in Comm. praefecto laniorum) or cook (LXX άργιμάγειρος), but the executioners (comp. που Ezek. xxi. 15, Lam. ii. 21), the inflictors of capital punishment, were so called (Jer. magistro militum). Potiphar was captain of the bodyguard, who as such had to execute capital punishment on the condemned, like Nebuzaradan and Arioch, who bore the same title at the Chaldean court. It was on this account that the State prison was under his supervision, xl. 3 sq. In the time of Herodotus Pharaoh's bodyguard consisted of 1000 Hermotybians and 1000 Kalasirians, who were dismissed daily, so that the whole army might enjoy the advantages of the profitable service at the court. At the time however that Joseph came to Egypt, the military class was not yet organized.

The sale of Joseph took place in his seventeenth year, for this statement of his age xxxvii. 2 is certainly intended as the chronological setting of what is afterwards related. Joseph was born, xxx. 25, after the second 7 years of the Mesopotamian service had elapsed. This lasted 20 years, xxxi. 38; but granting that it is consistent with xxx. 25 to delay Joseph's birth to the sixth year after the 7+7, yet it

¹ The name Ra means, according to Brugsch, "the maker of existence" (qui facit esse), viz. to the perception of the senses; see the article on the Egyptian religion by Victor v. Strauss and Torney in the Conserv. Monateschr. Aug. 1882.

² See the illustration of the white castle of Memphis (after the mosaic of Praeneste) in Cunningham Geikie's instructive *Hours with the Bible*, vol. i. (1881) p. 461.

could not possibly have been in the twentieth year, for Joseph at the return to Canaan was, according to xxxiii. 7, no longer a suckling. But supposing him to have been born after the 7+7 years, he would have been at the return a boy of 6. There would thus be 11 years between Jacob's return and Joseph's disappearance, during the far greater part of which Jacob would be not yet with his father, but at Succoth, Shechem, and in the district of Bethlehem. If then Jacob arrived at Haran in his seventieth year, we must raise these 11 years from the return of Jacob to the selling of Joseph to 17, for the 130 years of Jacob on his appearance before Pharaoh (xlvii. 9) can only be obtained by adding together the 70 years of Jacob when he migrated to Mesopotamia, the 20 years of his sojourn there, 17 years from his return to Joseph's disappearance, 13 years thence to his elevation, and 10 years thence to the migration into Egypt. But if Joseph were 6 years old at his father's return to Canaan and 17 at his own disappearance, it is impossible to admit 17 years between the return and this disappearance. Hence Jacob at his arrival in Haran cannot have been 70, but 76 (see Demetrius' statement in Euseb. Præp. ix. 21), and so 90 when Joseph was born, 107 when he disappeared, 120 at his elevation, and 130 at the migration to Egypt (130 = 76 +14 + 17 + 13 + 10). Jacob's 107th year would be the 167th of Isaac, who lived to be 180. Hence the selling of Joseph happened only in appearance after Isaac's death. It was not in reality, but only to history, that he died long before that event.

It is historiographic art to break off in the history of Joseph at xxxvii. 36. We thus get to experience with him the comfortless darkness of the two decades, during which hopeless and sorrowful longing was gnawing at the heart of the aged father, and the secret curse of deadly sin deceitfully concealed was weighing on the souls of his children. Meantime another history is related, which seems, but is not an episode. For the superscription of this long tenth part

THE TWIN CHILDREN OF TAMAR AND JUDAH, CH. XXXVIII.

It is with a vague בַּעַח הַהָּוֹא that what follows, at least what next follows, is inserted during the period in which Joseph disappeared, and was regarded as lost, ver. 1: And it came to pass at that time that Judah went down from his brethren and turned in to a man of Adullam, of the name of Hirah. The hill country of Judah is thought of as the point of departure in יְרֵדְ ; it was there that Jacob dwelt in Hebron, and that Judah and his brethren lived. 'Adullam. whose king is mentioned Josh, xii. 15, lay in the plain of Judah, Josh. xv. 35, north-west of Hebron, probably (see Bädeker, p. 212) one league south of Socho ('Suwêke). Here dwelt a Canaanite named חִירָה (which may mean "freedom," like הַרְּהַה, Syr. hirutha), to whom (אָד, as at 1 Sam. ix. 9) Judah turned; on devertit, like ver. 16. It is unnecessary to understand m in this passage according to xii. 8, and to complete it by אָהָלּיּ. Here in Adullam Judah married, ver. 2: And Judah saw there the daughter of a Canaanite man, whose name was Saa, and took her and went in unto her. Having made a heathen his colleague, Judah

went farther, and made a heathen woman his wife (as, according to xlvi. 10, did Simeon, as well as Ishmael and Esau). She was the daughter of a Canaanite named pur, and therefore not belonging to the little town of Adullam. We leave conjectural explanations of this name and of those which follow to the dictionaries. Judah's three sons by the daughter of Shua, vv. 3-5: And she conceived and bare a son, and he called his name 'Êr. And she conceived again and bare a son, and called his name Onan. And she yet again bare a son, and called his name Stla, and he was at Chezib when she bare him, 1 Chron, ii, 3 sq. is a compendium of what is related here and to the end of the chapter. one with אַכּוִיב, Micah i. 14, in the plain of Judah, Josh. xv. 44, differing from the north-Palestinian אַכַּיִיב (Ecdippa, now Zib), Josh. xix. 29. That Chezib is the birthplace of the יליפתח השלני (Num. xxvi. 20) seems also pointed out by אַנֹיֵצֵי בובא, 1 Chron. iv. 20, belonging to this branch of the tribe of Judah. Instead of the syntactically striking mm, the LXX has מנית δε ην, hence מדת 3b, along with two מדקר, is also strange. The Samar. and Targ. Jer. read arm all three times. The marriage of Er and his early death, vv. 6, 7: And Judah took for his first-born 'Er, a wife, of the name of Tamar. And 'Êr, Judah's first-born, was evil in the eyes of Jahveh, and Jahveh slew him. Tamar (whose name means the palm, a common ancient figure for a woman of slender figure and for imposing female beauty) was undoubtedly a heathen, and indeed of unknown descent. Her husband, without leaving issue, died an early death as the penalty of The sin of Onan, vv. 8-10: Then Judah his wickedness. said to Onan: Go in unto thy brother's wife, and enter into a brother-in-law's marriage with her, and raise up seed to thy brother. But Onan knew that the seed would not be his, and it came to pass whenever he went in unto his brother's wife, he destroyed it to the ground, lest he should give seed to his brother. And what he did was evil in the sight of

Jahveh, and he slew him. What here appears as a custom became subsequently Mosaic law, viz. that when brothers dwell together, and one of them dies without leaving a son, her husband's brother (בינים) shall be מינים, i.e. enter into husband's brother (levirate) marriage with the widow, and her first-born shall bear the name of the deceased, that his name may not become extinct in Israel, Deut. xxv. 5 sq. Onan agreed to his father's demand, but through coveting the inheritance and out of malice 1 prevented its purpose. is purposely said 9b, and not 77, because not a single but a repeated occurrence is intended, as at Num. xxi. 9, Judg. vi. 3 (comp. xxx. 41); DM, followed by a perf., has here as there a temporal signification, and the meaning of quotiescunque (comp. Ps. xli. 7). The expression to destroy to the ground is like Judg. xx. 21, 25. The inf. in for סכנurs again in the Pentateuch, Num. xx. 21. After the premature death of Onan also, Judah consoles his daughter-in-law with the prospect of Shelah, ver. 11: Then Judah said to Tamar his daughter-in-law: Remain as a widow in thy father's house, until my son 'Selah is grown up. For he thought; lest he also die like his brothers. Tamar went and remained in her father's house. childless widow should return to her father's house (Lev. xxii. 13) has been at all times a natural custom. Thither does Judah direct his daughter-in-law, giving her hopes of marriage with his youngest son, who was not yet of marriageable age, but attracts her thither with this prospect, because he fears that marriage with her would be as fatal to Shelah Meantime Judah also becomes a as to Er and Onan. widower, and an opportunity arises for the carrying out of a crafty design by Tamar, ver. 12: And after a long time had passed, Shua's daughter, the wife of Judah, died; and when Judah had ended his mourning, he went up to his sheep-shearers, he and Hirah the Adullamite, his companion,

י לא לו in לא לו in לא לו has the emphatic Dagesh, as also at xix. 2.

to Timnah. In 13b it is Judah himself who is said, like Laban, xxxi. 19, to shear his sheep. It was an act performed in the presence and under the oversight of the owner of the flock, and was, like the vintage, a festival given by him to his servants (1 Sam. xxv. 11), to which guests also were invited (2 Sam. xiii. 23-27). Thus Judah here takes Hirah, his companion, with him. The LXX and Jerome read יְּלֵיָהוּ; which ver. 20 may seem to favour. There are three Timnahs (for which now Tibneh); that here meant is the one mentioned Josh. xv. 57, together with Gibeah, in the hill country of Judah (see Mühlau in Riehm), between Socho ('Suwéke) and Beth-shemesh ('Ain 'Sems), the Tibneh of the 12th route in Bädeker, p. 212. 7, not of the place, but of the persons to whom they went up, is here combined, as at Josh. ii. 8, with אלה. Tamar hears of it, disguises herself, and places herself on the road to Timnah, vv. 13, 14; And it was told Tamar, saying: Behold, thy father-in-law goeth up to Timnah to shear his sheep. Then she put off her widow's garments, and covered herself with a veil and disquised herself, and so sat at the entrance of Enajim, which is on the way to Timnah; for she saw that 'Sela was grown up, and yet she was not given to him to wife. לְּלָּלִי xxxi. 19 is here exchanged for the infinitive form 13. And instead of DEFF xxiv. 65, we have here the active: she made a covering of her veil (as at Deut. xxii. 12, in opposition to which we find Jon. iii. 6: he spread sackcloth) in order not to be recognised as his is not meant of ornaments (שָּׁתְעֵּלֶּף daughter-in-law. Prov. vii. 10) (LXX, Onk. Syr.), but of disguising after the manner of a harlot (like לְּמֵיה Cant. i. 7). She intended to appear, according to Canaanite custom, as a notice (Assyr. kadištu), i.e. one exposing herself in honour of Astarte, the goddess of love, and in this, according to ver. 21 sq., she succeeded. She seated herself at the entry of the village (hence חחם not שער) Enajim, in order to escape by stratagem the disgrace of childlessness: non temporalis usum libidinis requisivit

sed successionis gratiam concupivit (Ambrose). As אָלָם and מינים are interchanged, so is עינים one with הַעִינם in the plain of Judah, Josh. xv. 34 (comp. here ver. 21 בַּעינים). Ancient translators (Targums, Syr. Jer. Saad.), the LXX excepted (Alváv), ignore that עינים (two fountains) is here the name of a town. R. Chanan in Jalkut, § 145, already correctly appeals to Josh. xv. 34. Judah sees her and is seized with carnal lust, vv. 15, 16: Then Judah saw her and took her for a harlot, for she had covered her face. turned aside to her in the way and said: Come then, I will come in unto thee. For he knew not that she was his daughterin-law. And she said: What wilt thou give me that thou mayest come in unto me? His not recognising her as his daughter-in-law arose from her being veiled, and his taking her for a harlot from her disguise and her sitting on the watch. Then he turned aside to her (ממה as e.g. Num. xx. 17. and really like אל־הַבְּּרָבָּ (Hos. iv. 14 אל־הַבְּּרָבָּ where she was sitting by the way; LXX, εξέκλινε δε προς αὐτην την όδον, מדרך as accus. of the more particular definition which Lagarde and Olsh, prefer. As the price of her compliance, she requires a kid; and as he cannot give her this at once, a pledge, vv. 17, 18: And he said: I will send thee a kid from the flock; and she replied: If thou give me a pledge till thou send it. And he said: What pledge shall I give thee? And she said: Thy signet ring and thy cord and thy staff that is in thy hand. And he gave it to her and went in unto her, and she conceived by him. She requires as a price a kid, the favourite sacrificial animal of Hetæri in the worship of the goddess of love (see Movers, Phönizier, i. 680); and as figure, a pledge (in Greek and Latin a word borrowed from the Semitic), three articles closely connected with his person, and therefore making him the more certainly recognisable. Judah's signet ring and is the only possible but still uncertain trace of the use of writing in the patriarchal history; the verb and does not occur in Genesis, and non in itself means only to close, to

close up. The signet ring was worn on the breast (Cant. viii. 6) on a cord (פַּחָיל), a multiple one (whence ver. 25 הַפַּחִילִים), a multiple one comp. עמרות of a multiple crown, Zech. vi. 11). The travelling or walking staff is here called מַמָּה as distinguished from the natural stick 500 xxx. 37, xxxii. 11 (only accidentally sounding like baculum). "Every Babylonian-says Herodotus, i. 195-wears a signet ring and a staff cut by hand, and on every staff is something set upon the top, an apple, or a rose, or a lily, or an eagle, or something of the kind, for no one may carry a staff without a sign." The Jahvist testifies that this custom prevailed in Canaan also. now resumes her widow's garments, and the harlot, whom Judah causes to be sought for, is nowhere to be found, vv. 19-23: And she arose and went away and put off her veil from her, and she put on the garments of her widowhood. And Judah sent the kid through his friend the Adullamite, to fetch the pledge from the woman's hand, and he found her not. Then he asked the men of her place, saying: Where is the hierodule that was at 'Enajim by the way? But they said: There is no hierodule here. And he returned to Judah and said: I have not found her; and also the people of the place said: There is no hierodule here. Then Judah said; Let her keep it, that we may not be a laughing-stock; I sent indeed the kid and thou hast not found her. The connection בַּכִּילָה הוא is like בּכִילָה הוא xix. 33, comp. Judg. vi. 14, מָהַ מִינֵי and יַהַ Ps. lxviii. 9. Instead of the usual בַּוֶּה (e.g. also xlviii. 9), בַּוָּה is only once written, 1 Sam. xxi. 10. Jerome aptly translates שַׁחַרֶּלָה by habeat sibi. It is apparent from Judah's unwillingness to let what he has done be known, that he was ashamed of it. When Tamar's condition was manifest, she was condemned to be burned, ver. 24: And it came to pass after about three months, that it was told Judah saying: Thy daughter-in-law Tamar has played the harlot, and also she is with child in consequence of her harlotry. And Judah said: Bring her forth and let her The p of פֹשְׁלִשׁ is not preformative (according be burned.

to the formation מָבְחוֹר but prepositional: after three months, hence the same as with; the constructive stands here with a masc as at Lev. xxv. 21 with a fem. It also sometimes occurs elsewhere that ? stands before a word provided with a preposition; see Lev. xxvi. 37, 1 Sam. xiv. 14. Isa. i. 26 and 1 Sam. x. 27, where we must read with the LXX במחרש "a month later," instead of במחרש. does not here stand first in the announcement, but before the adjective m, the point of gravity of the announcement (comp. on the contrary xxii. 20). Judah as the head of the family pronounces the sentence of death, as Laban does xxxi. 32. Tamar being to a certain extent the betrothed of Shelah, who had not expressly resigned her, her yielding to another man was regarded as the unfaithfulness of a bride or a wife; but the punishment of death by burning pronounced upon her is not in accordance with the Mosaic penal law, which inflicts this penalty only upon carnal intercourse with a mother and daughter at the same time, and upon unchastity in the daughter of a priest, Lev. xx. 14, xxi. 9. The capital punishment to be inflicted upon the unfaithful wife is left undetermined, Deut. xxii 22, but seems, like that of the newly-married woman found to be deflowered and of the betrothed who was proved unfaithful, Deut. xxii. 20 sq., 23 sq., to have consisted in stoning, and to have been, according to Ezek. xvi. 40, so also understood, comp. John viii. 5. Judah's profound confusion. vv. 25, 26: She is brought forth, and at the same time she sent to her father-in-law saying: Of a man, to whom these things belong, am I with child; and she said: Look carefully, I pray thee, to whom the signet ring and the cord and the staff belong. Then Judah acknowledged and said: She is more righteous than I, for because (that it thus happens) I gave her not to my son Shelah. And he continued not to know her again. The construction 25a serves to express what is contemporaneously done or experienced by the same subject, just as at 1 Sam. ix. 11; comp. the same scheme with a different subject in the VOL. II.

account of the flood, vii. 6. On בְּי עֵל־בֵּוֹ, when we rather expect אָלְכֵּוְ כִּיּ, see on xviii. 5. It is noble of Tamar not to disgrace Judah publicly, and rather to go to death than at once to name him. Judah acknowledges the three pledges as his, and, struck by conscience, confesses that he is himself to blame for this result of the matter.1 This public confession of his fault (comp. as to the expression that of King Saul, 1 Sam. xxiv. 18) is the first good trait that is related of Judah. There was no need for saying that now she was not burned, though there was for telling us that Judah left her in future unmolested. Tamar's twins by Judah, vv. 27-29: And it came to pass at the time of her delivery, and behold, twins were in her womb: and it came to pass, when she travailed, a hand came to sight: then the midwife took and bound upon his hand a scarlet thread, saying: This came forth first. And it came to pass as he drew back his hand, and behold, his brother came out, and she said: How hast thou on thy part torn a rent! and they called his name Peres. And afterwards came his brother forth, on whose hand was the scarlet thread, so they called his Zerah. time of travail and the delivery itself, as the result, are distinguished. Whether m is conceived of with an indefinite personal subject: then he (it) stretched out a hand (Dillm.). which the retrospective על־יַרוֹ 28b seems to favour, or impersonally, then there was, i.e. appeared, a hand, is questionable; the possibility of this impersonal comprehension is apparent from Job xxxvii. 10, Prov. xiii. 10 (in opposition to which Prov. x. 24 may have to be read, as by Hitzig, [7]). unnecessary to read with Driver (Heb. Tenses, § 135. 6, note 2) instead of בְּמֵשִׁיב ; בְּמֵשִׁיב as a definition of time : as he was in the act of drawing his hand back, is defended by as it (the vine) was in the act of sprouting, xl. 10: with ס not of comparison but of time; in

¹ Because this is to his honour, this history is not only read in Hebrew, but also translated by the Methurgeman Megilla 25b.

post-biblical Hebrew this use of the participle instead of the finitum is of frequent occurrence, e.g. Shabbath ii. 5 בְּטֶחַם = בְּחָם when he spares (comp. Rashi on the passage, and also Geiger, Sprache der Mischnah, § 24. 2), or: 3 is Caph veritatis introducing the predicate: then he was (showed himself as) drawing back his hand. A piece of wool dyed, not purple but scarlet, with the dye of the cochineal gall-insect coccus cacti, is here called שֵׁנֵי. Without some such external identification as that employed by Tamar's midwife, there is really no certain token by which, after delivery has been completed, the first-born can be recognised. This time however it was of no avail, the turning of the one thus marked leaving space for the twin brother to come forth first. Jerome correctly takes in the exclamation of the midwife in the sense of propter te (comp. xx. 3); is not meant of ruptura perinaei, but only of a breaking through by means of push upon push; the accentuation seems to take אֶלֵיף as a sentence by itself, as at xvi. 5: upon thee lies the fault of the breach (Heidenh. Reggio)-but what follows upon מה must be taken together as an exclamation of puzzled astonishment. The name mi as well as perfers to something memorable from birth, the "brightness" alludes to the bright-coloured string; m; a reference to the word crimson, Aram. והרודי (Rashbam, Heiden, and others), Assyr. zarir = zahrir. Instead of with the most general subject: they called, the Samar. Targ. Jer. I. and Syr. give both times אַקְּקָרָא.

It was thus, as this historic picture taken entirely from J relates, that the beginnings of the tribe of Judah were formed by a wondrous co-operation of human sin and Divine appointment. Perez, Zerah and Shelah are the three ancestors of the three chief families of the tribe of Judah at the departure from Egypt, Num. xxvi. 20. Through Perez, Tamar was the ancestress of the first and of the second David. How homely are the pictures of the ancestors of Israel! There is almost more shadow than light in them. National ambition

played no part in, or with them. Not a trace of mythic idealization is to be seen. The ancestors of Israel do not appear as demi-gods. Their elevation consists in their conquering, in virtue of the measure of grace bestowed upon them, or, if they succumb, in their ever rising again. Their faults are the foil of their greatness with respect to the history of redemption. Even Tamar with all her errors was, through her wisdom, tenderness and noble-mindedness, a saint according to the Old Testament standard.

At the selling of Joseph in Dothan, Judah had apparently not yet separated from his brethren. Hence it must have been after this event that he made common cause with Hirah the Adullamite. Between Joseph's disappearance and the migration of the family of Jacob to Egypt, there are, as we saw on ver. 37, some twenty years. Within these two-and-twenty years or so, was the history of Judah and Tamar played out. When at xlvi.12 two sons of Perez, one of the twin brothers, are named among those who came into Egypt, these are great-grandsons of Jacob, who, though born in Egypt, are regarded as coming into Egypt in their fathers (see on xlvi. 8 sqq.).

JOSEPH IN POTIPHAR'S HOUSE AND IN PRISON, CH. XXXIX.

The history of Jacob in his son Judah, related ch. xxxviii., is now followed by the continuation of his history in his son Joseph. Different hands were not to be discerned in ch. xxxviii., all was by J(C), even without the intervention of the redactor. Ch. xxxix., on the contrary, though throughout from J,—apart from xlix. 18 it is the only section of Joseph's history in which the Divine name may appears, and that seven times,—has not remained in the same manner intact. It may be assumed, but cannot be sufficiently proved, that E(B) is here and there blended with J(C); the hand of R is however at once apparent in ver. 1, where the history of Joseph is again taken up from the point at which it had

arrived at xxxvii. 36: And Joseph was brought down to Egypt; and Potiphar, a court official of Pharach, captain of the guard, an Egyptian man, bought him of the hand of the Ishmaelites who had brought him down thither. זיין is not used in continuation, for what is related is out of connection with ch. xxxviii. The more particular designation of the "Egyptian man," according to his name and dignity, is inserted by R from E in accordance with xxxvii. 36; for this writer gave the name and title of the master to whom the "Midianites" sold Joseph, while J merely says that he who bought Joseph from the "Ishmaelites" was an "Egyptian man," a distinguished person and a man of property, as appears from the account He made a profitable purchase; Joseph which follows. had good fortune, and brought it to his master, vv. 2-5: And Jahveh was with Joseph, and he was a prosperous man, and he was in the house of his Egyptian master. And his master saw that Jahr:h was with him, and that all that he undertook Jahveh caused to prosper in his hand. And Joseph found favour in his eyes and served him, and he made him overseer over his house, and put all that belonged to him in his hand. And it came to pass from the time that he made him overseer over his house and all that belonged to him, that Jahveh blessed the house of the Egyptian for Joseph's sake, and the blessing of Jahreh was shown in all that belonged to him, in the house and in the field. The second 2b is striking, but it is, as ver. 20 shows, the style of J, as the expression of continuance in the given condition; xl. 4b is by reason of the definition of time added to אדר, not quite analogous. It was according to in and 3a that we explained אריהות, iv. 1, of helpful support. The Egyptian master saw that Jahveh (equivalent in J to אלחים) was with him, made him his first servant, and placed everything under his eye and care. בּלְישׁלוֹן, all belonging to him, is possible, Ges. § 123. 3a, but the elliptical expression might rather be expected after the full one in vv. 5, 8. www with a perf. following

occurs in J at Ex. v. 23, ix. 24; too is Jahvistic (xii. 13, xxx. 27), and elsewhere in the Pentateuch only Deuteronomic (Deut. i. 37, xv. 10, xviii. 12). המקד , praeficere, is construed alternately with a (comp. Jer. xli. 18) and by (comp. xli. 34). It is regular that the predicate mm in the genus potius should precede the subject הרבת, Ges. § 147a, especially in the case of יההי, which corresponds with the neuter "there was, there was shown." Joseph possessed his master's fullest confidence, and was a man of goodly appearance, ver. 6: And he left all that he had in Joseph's hand, and with him he troubled himself about nothing but the bread that he ate; and Joseph was beautiful in form and beautiful in appearance. לוב אל (ל) to leave (to confide) to any one, is said, Job xxxix. 11, 14, comp. Isa. x. 3, here with בַּיִל of him to whom some property is entrusted. Fix refers to Joseph. He let him take care for everything that another could take care for, so that nothing was left but his eating, which it was self-evident he must himself care for. The young superintendent of his house was factotum, he was handsome in form (growth) and appearance (countenance, complexion, hair); the narrator distinguishes in the same manner אָל and מראה axix. 17. In the Moslem legend he is esteemed from this time forward as the ideal of youthful male beauty; in Persian figurative language he is called mahi Kana'n, the moon of Canaan. master's wife falls passionately in love with him, ver. 7: And it came to pass after these thinns hat his master's wife raised her eyes to Joseph and said: Lie with me, I pray thec. On נשא שינים אל, Assvr. nasa ana, see the discussion in Luthardt's Zeitschr. 1882, p. 125, and Friedr. Delitzsch. Prolegomena, p. 48. She cast upon him love glances; has the same root as صل, the association of love. There have

been at all times and in all nations such women with adulterous lusts. De Rougé has given a similar history from the papyrus d'Orbiney, which is written in hieratic characters

(Revue archéologique, 9th year). Joseph however had no ear for her unchaste proposal, vv. 8, 9: But he refused, and said unto his master's wife: Behold, my master cares with me for nothing in the house, and all that belongs to him has he given into my hand. He is not greater in this house than I, and he has withholden nothing from me but only thee, because thou art his wife, and how should I do such great wickedness and sin against God! The relator does not say לא אבה but, which better expresses the act of self-control, אָרָשְׁאַ (Reggio). After the preceding אל, אם 8a means quidquam, as at Prov. ix. 13; the more emphatic expression for it is מאפסה 9a (the French point). If we had יאָ instead of אֵינָשׁ 9a, this would state: there is none greater in this house than I; with has a personal subject: he is not greater in this house than I, i.e. he has placed me on a level with himself (comp. on Eccles. vi. 2, where the case is similar). The confirming אָשִׁיֶּר (quoniam, since) occurs in the Pentateuch only here and ver. 23. That which is repugnant is also rejected with my at xliv. 8, 34, Ps. cxxxvii. 4. Joseph recognises the inviolability of marriage, and recoils from such faithless ingratitude towards his master. A last but unsuccessful attempt to seduce him, vv. 10-12: And it came to pass, as she persuaded Joseph day by day and he hearkened not to her, to lie by her, or to be with her, then it came to pass, about the same time, that he came in to do his work, and there were none of the men of the house within, that she caught him by the garment saying: Lie with me; but a left his garment in her hand and fled and went out. The used in the sexual meaning of συνελθείν, συνείναι, συνουσία, is perhaps from E, where what the woman desired might have been so expressed. סכנות ביום הוה 1 20, ביום הוה occurs elsewhere also, e.g. Deut. vi. 24, comp. ii. 30: about this day, i.e. this time. snatching the garment out of her hands arose from respect,

¹ In the Moslem legend it has grown into the sentimental loves of Jusuf and Suleiha; see the Hungarian work of E. Neumann, *A Mohammedan Jozef-monda* (Buda-Pesth 1881).

and his fleeing was a flight from temptation, lest he should חביתה 11a being meant of the inner part of succumb to it. the house, מומנה must certainly be understood not of the street outside the house, but of the more external part of the house itself; nevertheless, since בּנְרוֹ is meant of the upper garment, we may also think of flight into the open air. The revenge of the rejected, vv. 13-15: And it came to pass, when she saw that he had left his garment in her hand and fled out, that she called the men of the house and said to them thus: See, he has brought in unto us a Hebrew man to mock us; he came in unto me to lie with me, and I cried out with a loud voice. And it came to pass, when he heard that I lifted up my voice and cried out, that he left his garment with me and fled and went That she does not give the man his proper name, but says "he," is a characteristic trait. A "Hebrew man" was, according to xliii. 32, xlvi. 34, no epitheton ornans in anti-Semitic Egypt. In 32 she comprises herself and the household, especially the females; "he" seems, by having brought this foreigner into the house, to have intended to risk their It is with the design of not betraying the true state of affairs that she does not say: he left his garment ", but אילי. Having thus gained over the household, who would certainly not be inclined towards the favoured foreigner and strict overseer, she preserves the means of proof for the purpose of exciting her husband against Joseph, vv. 16-19: And she let his garment lie by her until his master came in, and she spake to him just sur words, saying: The Hebrew slave, whom thou broughtest to us, came in unto me to mock me. And it came to pass, when I lifted up my voice and cried, that he left his garment near me and fled out. And it came to pass, when the master heard the words of his own wife, which she spake to him, saying: Such and such things did thy slave unto me, that his wrath was kindled. narrator transfers himself to the standpoint of the wife, when he says: she waited till his (Joseph's) master came, not: till

her husband and still less her lord came, for petticoat government was indigenous in Egypt, Diodor. i. 27. פַּוּכַרִים הַאָּלֵה 17a, pointing backwards, as at xxiv. 28, xliv. 7, means "such words;" here, according to the context, what was said having been already repeated, "just such words." In 19a the use is somewhat different, the formula there meaning "such things," as at 1 Sam. ii. 23; in Hebrew diction the notions word and thing are both included in Joseph's master was angry; the marriage laws of Egypt were, as Diodor. i. 78 says, severe; he did not however inflict their heaviest penalty on Joseph; his anger would certainly be more excited by the vexatious nature of the occurrence, since he would hardly regard his wife as truth itself, ver. 20: And Joseph's master took him and put him into the public prison, the place where the king's prisoners were imprisoned, and he remained there in the prison, properly the house of the enclosure (=בית הסחר, as Hebraeo-Sam. reads), not: of confinement (as though סגר=סחר, , whence sign, dungeon); the prison-house is thus called as being a fortress surrounded with a wall (Syr. såhrethå)—a designation which occurs (instead of בית הַאַסוּרִים or בית הַאַסוּרִים) only in the history of Joseph and in J. According to this narrator, Joseph's master is a wealthy private man, who is left unnamed, and he consigns Joseph to prison from his own house; while according to E he, viz. Potiphar, is captain of the bodyguard and has his official residence in the State prison. addition אַשר שם (אַסִירָי) הַפָּילָף אַסוּרִים . xl. 3, as at xxxv. 13, comp. on 5 Ges. § 116. 2) helps to accommodate the two accounts. Joseph's prosperity in the prison, vv. 21-23: And Jahveh was with Joseph and showed him favour, and worked him favour in the eyes of the keeper of the prison. And the captain of the prison delivered into Joseph's hand all the prisoners that were in the public prison, and everything that had to be done there was done by him. The captain of the prison looked after nothing in his hand, because Jahveh was with him, and whatever he undertook Jahveh made to prosper.

The expression ישֵׁלְּיִלֵּי is like Ex. iii. 21, xi. 3, xii. 36. To שְּלֵּיִל must be added in thought the most general subject, as at Isa. xxxii. 12 (Driver, Hebrew Tenses, § 135. 6): everything that they had to do there, he did, i.e. it was done by his orders and under his supervision. The enhancement בְּלְימָאמָה is found only here; אוֹ with the accusative means to see after anything, to make it one's business: the captain did not trouble himself about anything that was in his (Joseph's) hand, he left him a free hand, he trusted him blindly. The concluding words are, as it were, like the refrain to ver. 2 sq.

THE DREAMS OF THE TWO STATE PRISONERS, AND JOSEPH'S INTERPRETATION, CH. XL.

From ch. xx, the model portion for E(B), onwards, this narrator appears pre-eminently as the writer, from whom proceeds an account of the impulse given to the course of history by dreams. This already makes it probable that the narrative, which now follows, is chiefly derived from this source. To this leads also, in relation to xxxvii. 28a (down to this leads also, in relation to xxxvii. 28a (down to down to dow

Here for the first time we meet with the intervention of the king of Egypt in the history, and the question arises, whether this Pharaoh belongs to a national Egyptian dynasty, or to one of the three Hyksos dynasties—the first having the names of six kings—which, according to Manetho, preceded the eighteen native dynasties. The Hyksos-says an extract in Josephus, c. Ap. 1. 14, from Manetho's Egyptian history-invading Egypt from the East, subjected it, ruled it for 511 years, and receiving free egress, after being at length conquered by Misphragmuthosis and besieged by his son Tethmosis in Avaris (the border fortress erected in the east against the Assyrians), marched through the desert towards Syria, and, not daring to advance as far as Syria from fear of the Assyrians, who then ruled over Asia, founded Jerusalem The name $TK\Sigma\Omega\Sigma$, says Josephus, means, accordin Judæa. ing to Manetho, βασιλεῖς ποιμένες, or, according to another copy of the historical work, αλχμάλωτοι ποιμένες. explanations are linguistically legitimate, for sasu is the hieroglyphic name of a brave pastoral people involved in many ways with Egypt, and sos means in Koptic (as in the common tongue) shepherd, while hak (often with the addition of the vowel a, and often also with the determinative of a sitting figure of a king) means in the monumental language prince (chief), and written with other hieroglyphics it means also prisoner (DMZ. xxxi. 453), like the Koptic hok, to surround; hek, surrounded. Julius Africanus has, instead of 511 years for the total duration of the three Hyksos dynasties (the first of which is stated by Josephus to have lasted 259 years 10 months, 284 + 518 + 151, hence nearly 1000years. Another fragment in Josephus, c. Ap. i. 26 sq., relates that the lepers of Egypt being removed by Amenophis to the city of Avaris, where the Hyksos, driven away by Tethmosis, had dwelt 393 years before, rose up, under the Heliopolitan priest Osarsiph, afterwards called Moses, against the king, and after practising, with the help of the Solymitan Hyksos, whose aid they had invoked, all kinds of cruelties and abominable profanations of holy things, were at last, after the thirteen predicted years of their rule over Egypt had expired, expelled from Egypt to the borders of Syria by Amenophis, who had fled from them to Ethiopia and his son Sethon-Ramses. Manetho

himself says (as Josephus twice brings forward) that this second narrative is derived not from original Egyptian sources. but έκ τῶν ἀδεσπότως μυθολογουμένων. Other authors give still more confused accounts: Chæremon (Joseph, c. Ap. 1, 32), that the unclean, who were expelled from the country by Amenophis, led by Tisithen-Moses and Peteseph-Joseph, joined themselves with those in Pelusium, and forced Amenophis to flee to Ethiopia, until his son Ramesses drove them, the Jews, to Syria. Lysimachus (id. 34), that under the Egyptian king Bokchoris, the lepers and those who had scabies among the Jewish people were drowned, and that the rest of this ungodly multitude, being cast out into the wilderness, went on to Ἱερόσυλα (city of the sacrilegious), afterwards called Ίεροσόλυμα, burning and plundering on the way. Justin (Hist. xxxvi. 2, comp. Pompeji Trogi Fragm. ed. Bialowsky. p. 32), who takes Moses for the son of Joseph, says: Aegyptii quum scabiem et vitiliginem paterentur, responso moniti eum cum ægris, ne pestis ad plures serperet, terminis Aegypti pellunt. Dux igitur exsulum factus sacra Aegyptiorum furto abstulit, quæ repetentes armis Aegyptii domum redire tempestatibus compulsi sunt; Tacitus (Hist. v. 2): Sunt qui tradunt, Assyrios convenas, indignum agrorum populum, parte Aegypti potitos mox proprias urbes Hebraeasque terras et propria Syriae coluisse. Hence we are obviously to regard the Hyksos and Israelites as one people. Josephus boasts of their being his ancestors. H. Grotius, Herm. Witsius, Basnage, Perizonius and others are on his side. Hofmann in an article "Unter welcher Dynastie haben die Israeliten Ægypten verlassen" (in Studien u. Kritiken, 1839), and in his letter to Böckh on Egyptian and Israelite chronology (1847), has tried to show, that the Hyksos were the Israelites, transformed by Egyptian vanity into a conquering nation. But many ancient investigators, such as Cunæus, Scaliger, Pezronius, Bochart, Marsham, Jas, Usher, Frider. Spanhemius, already perceived that this view was untenable; and now the view of Ewald, that the Hyksos were

Hebrew tribes who penetrated into Egypt before the Israelite migration, may be regarded as generally prevailing. papyrus Sallier I. (in Ebers, pp. 204-206) confirms this episode of foreign usurpation. The worship of Sutech (Set), which has since prevailed, and the horse which has since become native in Egypt, are characteristic. But while the combination of the Hyksos with Israel has failed, it is on the other hand almost universally acknowledged, that the lepers who, according to Manetho's second account, dwelt for a time with the Hyksos, were the Israelites. This view also has ancient advocates, and reaches back—as may be inferred from the narrative of Hecatæus of Abdera in Diodor. xl. 3, comp. xxxiv. (ed. Bekk.)—to the early time of the Ptolemies. Schiller in his Sendung Mosis states it, but without considering that the dark colour of the tradition must be for the most part laid to the account of Egyptian national hatred. We have accordingly to distinguish between two expulsions of foreigners from Egypt: the casting off of the yoke of Phœnician or Arabic conquering invaders by a sovereign of the 17th or 18th Dynasty, according to Wiedemann, Amosis (Ahmes), father of Amenophis I., and the Israelite exodus, represented as the removal from the country of a people who defiled it, under Menephthes (Merneptah, lower Egyptian Mernephtah), son of Ramses II. Miamun of the 19th Dynasty. The capital of the kingdom in the time of this pair of rulers was Thebes in Upper Egypt, the home of the dynasty; but they resided in Tanis, the ancient capital of the Hyksos in the eastern Delta, the chief place of the worship of Set, after whom the father of Ramses II. was called Seti. The period of the Hyksos was then long past. But was the king under whom Joseph came into Egypt one of the Hyksos or not? Greek chronographists and Barhebraeus call him Apophis (Apepi), a king of the 15th Manethonian, the 1st Hyksos Dynasty. Wiedemann in his Egyptian history, 1884, advocates the view that the Pharaoh of Joseph was a Hyksos, but a later one than this

first Apepi. So too Dillm., who says that Joseph's elevation took place in the Hyksos period, not in the time of their first impetuous eruption, but when they had become Egyptianized. But apart from the fact that the rule of the Hyksos is a still indefinable, confused and indistinct matter (DMZ. xxxix. 148), the view, that the Hyksos ruled in Egypt from Joseph to Moses, is opposed by the one grave objection, that the people of Egypt, to whom Israel was in bondage, appears throughout the Old Testament Scriptures as a foreign, and by no means kindred race, and that the aim of the migration of the house of Jacob to Egypt, viz. to grow up into a nation far from the danger of intermixture, excludes identity of origin.

It is striking that in the first account of Manetho in Josephus, the first king whom the Hyksos elected from among them is called Salatis (as also Zaitns, aco' ob kal o Σαίτης νομός, regarded by Afric., Euseb. and the school of Plato as an objectionable various reading), and that at xlii. 6 it is said of Joseph (the all but sovereign of Egypt. see Artapanus in Euseb. Praep. ix. 23): ויוסף הוא השלים These and other combinations, as Troûs and על־הארץ. אנשי מקנה xlvi. 34 (xlvii. 6), "Aβaρις or Aυaρις (Hyksos fortress) and עיר עברים (xl. 15), are however but ignes fatui. How very much we are groping in the dark with respect to the organization of the Hyksos sovereignty, and Israel's sojourn in and exodus from Egypt, is shown by Köhler's examination of the matter in his History of the Old Testament, i. 237-245. He finally considers it most probable that the migration of Israel must be placed before the invasion of the Hyksos, the Hyksos rule limited to a period of between two and three hundred years, and the exodus dated after the expulsion of the Hyksos, perhaps the middle of the 18th Dynasty.

¹ v. Strauss-Torney in his article, "Israel von Joseph bis Mose nach ägyp. Quellen," in the *Conserv. Monatschrift* for 1880, places the immigration in the year 1944/3 under the Hyksos-Pharaoh Archles (Aseth).

Offences of Pharaoh's cup-hearer and baker bring them both into the prison with Joseph, vv. 1-3: And it came to pass after these things, the cup-bearer and baker of the king of Egypt offended their lord the king of Egypt, and Pharaoli was wroth with his two courtiers, with the chief of the cupbearers and the chief of the bakers, and he gave them into the custody of the house of the chief of the body-guard in the prison, the place where Joseph was imprisoned. cumstantiality of the narrative shows that two accounts are here interwoven, with a careful preservation of their words, notwithstanding the tautology thence arising. fact which follows in אַיָּה is introduced by יוהי the accessory fact precedes in the circumstantializing perf. won, as at Ex. xvi. 22, Deut. ix. 11 sq., Jer. xxxvi. 16, Ezek. i. 1. though won may also, according to the scheme xiv. 1 (see there), be regarded as the main fact at which mains. nup is the usual word for the anger of high-placed personages, e.g. Esth. i. 21, 1 Sam. xxix. 4. The Kametz of oge on as in פָּרִיםֶי as in מָרִיםֶי, Esth. ii. 21, comp. פריצי Dan. xi. 14, and on the other hand as mutable in מָרִיםֵי 7a (as in סְרִים, xxxvii. 36, comp. Isa. xxxv. 9). The captain of the body-guard (executioners) dwelt, as we are here told, in the prison building, which was under his charge, and he gave the two aristocratic prisoners to his slave Joseph to wait upon, ver. 4: And the captain of the guard gave them into the charge of Joseph, who ministered to them, and they remained some time in custody. As the accounts are before us for their mutual completion, the אבית הסחר xxxix. 21-23 is the subordinate officer of the chief commander of the executive, and the latter, the master of Joseph, disposes, in virtue of his right of possession, of those consigned to prison, and placed under the oversight of the keeper. Whether and in what connection the imprisonment of Joseph himself was related by E, must be left unsettled. יְמִים designates a lengthy period, as an indefinite

number of days, iv. 3, Neh. i. 4, Dan. viii. 27, comp. 1 Sam. xxix. 3. We now see how Joseph preserved his undaunted character in a prison also, and how, as the reward of his fidelity, the wisdom of a prophetic spirit was implanted in his pure soul (Wisd. i. 4). He finds his two fellow-prisoners depressed on account of their dreams, which they are unable to interpret, and gets them to relate them, vv. 5-8: And both dreamed a dream, each his dream in one and the same night, each according to the interpretation of his dream, the cup-bearer and the baker of the king of Egypt, who were imprisoned in the prison. And Joseph went in unto them in the morning, and saw them, and behold they were sad. Then he asked the courtiers of Pharaoh, which were with him in the custody of his master, saying: Why are your countenances sad to-day? And they said to him: We have dreamed a dream, and there is no one to interpret it to us; and Joseph said to them: Are not interpretations God's! Tell it, I pray you, to me. It is seeking for difficulties where there are none to take חלום שניהם genitively, somnium is not a connective form, but is here the acc. object governed by ייחלמו (an accessory form to ייחלמו, Ges. § 63. 2); combines the (explanation interpretation from פֿתר, פֿת, פֿתר) notions of interpretation and meaning. Their saying: we have dreamed a dream (not: dreams), seems to proceed from their thinking that their dreams, which they had related to each other, were essentially identical. And with the complaint אות א אווי is certainly combined the afterthought, that as prisoners they could not apply to the הַרְמָפִים. Joseph however directs them from men to God, "interpretations are God's," i.e. His affair and gift, and by requesting them to tell them to him, he puts it before them as possible that God will not withhold from him the ability which comes from Him alone. Here too the circumstantial character of the narrative manifests different hands. The dream of the cup-bearer, vv. 9-11: And the chief of the cup-bearers told Joseph his dream, and said: In my dream, behold I had a vine before me. And in the vine were three branches, and while it was sprouting, its blossom also already shot forth, its clusters of blossom ripened to grapes. And Pharaoh's cup was in my hand, and I took the grapes and pressed them, and handed the cup into Pharaoh's hand. On המרחת for ארחה בהיותה see on xxxviii. 29. Sprouting, blooming and ripening coincided in a manner significant of the immediate like an inflection of 12, which in the meaning blossom is warranted by Mishnic Hebrew (see Levy), but the construction with עלתה shows that it is intended as an abbreviated as at פּוּה Prov. vii. 8=היה ; see on the abbreviation with an added suffix the comm. on Ps. xxvii. 5 (4th edit. p. 260). Viticulture, said to be derived from Osiris, was, as is evident from Ps. lxxviii. 47, cv. 33, comp. Num. xx. 5, already well known in Egypt from the times of the ancient kingdom, and the statement of Herodotus, ii. 77, must be limited accordingly. Strabo, Athenaeus and Pliny describe the various wines and wine lands of Egypt. Nor is it true that, in the time of Psammetichus only, new must was drunk and fermented wine forbidden. Plutarch, de Iside, c. vi., tells us the contrary. The people drank wine without restriction; the kings, as being also priests, only so much as the sacred writings allowed, but after Psammetichus this restriction The ancient monuments show us all kinds utensils used in wine - making, busy grape - treaders, sleepy tipplers, even drunken women (DMZ. xxx. 407). Ebers sees in the pressed juice of the grape, which the cup-bearer hands to the king, a kind of cooling drink; this feature in the picture however has in itself no significance, but naturally resulted from the entire symbolism of the dream. Joseph's interpretation, vv. 12-15: Then Joseph said unto him: This is its interpretation: The three branches are three days. In yet three VOL. II.

days will Pharaoh lift up thine head and restore thee to thine office, and thou shalt give Pharach's cup into his hand according to the former manner, when thou wast his cup-bearer. mayest thou keep me in thy remembrance when it is well with thee, and do kindness, I pray thee, to me, and make mention of me to Pharaoh, and bring me out of this house. For I was stolen away out of the land of the Hebrews, and here also have I done nothing that they should put me into the dungeon. "To lift up the head of any one" is also used at 2 Kings xxv, 27 of release from prison and rehabilitation; in Assyr. also ulla résu = to bring to honour (Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg. 155). אָשֶׁר, which xxxix. 20 meant "where" of place, here means "when" of time, as e.g. also at 2 Sam. xix. 25. The restriction with בי אָם (always with the אם makkephed, except in the three passages, xv. 4, Num. xxxv. 33, Neh. ii. 2, where c has Makkeph) is like μόνον ίνα Gal. ii. 10. בי אם is here also, as at Micah vi. 8, Job xlii. 8, the confirmation of an implied negative sentence: I ask of thee nothing but that thou mayest (only that thou mayest). Driver, § 1198, stumbles at this modal sense of the perfect; but if something future has preceded, the perfect following בי אם shares in the reference to the future, without כי אם interrupting the otherwise regular consecutio temporum, 2 Sam. v. 6 (where we must translate imo abigent te), 2 Kings xxiii. 9. Hence the alteration of : into אך (Wellh. Driver) is syntactically unnecessary and not really preferable; for with this verumtamen si memineris "זכר is placed under conditions, while Joseph evidently means to entreat it" (Dillm.). He calls the land of Canaan ארץ העכרים, so as at the same time to state his nationality. He was able to call it this as the land where Abraham the Ibri (xiv. 13) and his descendants had dwelt. And though he says he was stolen away (after xxxvii. 28a), not sold (xxxvii. 28b), he was still the victim of a crime which his brothers perpetrated on him;

¹ See Herm. Witsius' († 1708) remarks on the subject in S. J. Curtis' Sketches," Bibliotheca sacra, 1885, p. 318 sq.

but concerning this he is purposely silent. In the account of his brothers' revenge, ch. xxxvii., the stone-lined rain-water pit, into which Joseph was cast, was called to by both narrators. Such pits were elsewhere also used as dungeons, on which account to became, as here, the general name for a dungeon or a vault serving as a prison.

The dream of the baker, vv, 16, 17: And the chief baker saw that the interpretation was good, he said to Joseph: I too in my dream-and behold three baskets of white bread upon my head, and in the uppermost basket all kinds of food of Pharaoh's bakers' work, and the birds ate it out of the basket upon my head. He means to say: I also saw a like thing in my dream, but immediately starts off to relate this like thing. To carry a basket on the head was the custom of Egyptian men (Herod. ii. 35), especially, as the monuments show, of bakers. Onkelos mistakenly translates יָפֹלָין וְּחֵרוּ as סָלֵין וְחֵרוּ baskets of the nobility, i.e. with fine bread; Rashi and others: broken baskets, baskets with holes in them; but is an adj. rel. (from חַנֵּר, akin to חרר הגר candere, and then candium esse) and means like حوارى white or fine flour and bread made of it (comp. ייוֹת white cloth, Isa. xix. 9, and בת און silk as dazzlingly white). Targ. Jer. correctly has אָּדָא נִקאָא and so already has the Jerus. Gemara to Beza ii. 6. The po of כפל is partitive, like vi. 2. Joseph's interpretation, vv. 18, 19: Then Joseph answered and said: This is its interpretation: The three baskets are three days. In yet three days will Pharaoh lift up thy head from thee and hang thee on a tree, and the birds shall eat thy flesh from off thee. As in the quasiblessing of Esau משמני is ambiguously repeated from the blessing of Jacob, xxvii. 39, comp. xxviii., so here has the sense of auferet caput truum, while when said of the cup-bearer it meant efferet. Beheading was an

¹ See the chapter on bread-baking in Woznig's Pfianzen im alten Zgypte, 1886, pp. 174-180.

ordinary capital punishment, and the hanging of the corpse upon a tree (stake) an enhancement of the punishment (in use also according to the Mosaic penal law, Deut. xxi. 22 sq.). That Joseph did not keep back so crushing an interpretation, is a proof on the one hand of his Divine certainty, and on the other of the courage which was combined with his truthfulness; in any case, he would feel that it was well for the unhappy man to be prepared for the worst.

The fulfilment of the interpretations, vv. 20-23: And it came to pass on the third day, Pharaoh's birthday, that he made a feast for all his servants, and lifted up the head of the chief of the cup-bearers and of the chief of the bakers among his servants. He restored the chief of the cup-bearers to his office of cup-bearer, and he gave the cup into Pharaoh's hand. And the chief of the bakers he hanged, as Joseph had interpreted. And the chief of the cup-bearers did not remember Joseph—he forgot him. The LXX rightly has ήμέρα γενέσεως Φαραώ, and Targ. Jer. I. דפרעה; the inf. Hoph. דלָרח, which means the having been born (different from the inf. Niph. 757, e.g. Hos. ii. 5, the being born), is as at Ezek. xvi. 5, comp. 4, combined with That the king's birthday was kept as a an accus. object. holiday in Egypt, is confirmed, at least for the Ptolemaic period, by the bilingual tables of Rosetta and Canopus. Rashi understands נשא ראש 20b according to Ex. xxx. 12: he counted over his servants, and among them the two also. Then there would be an addition to the two meanings of tollere caput the third of recensere, which is improbable; the Targ. Jer. correctly renders it: he raised (רוֹמֶם) the heads of the two in different manners. מִשְׁקַה 21a does not as a partic mean the cup-bearer, but his office (2 13a). When the cup-bearer was reinstated in his office, his ingratitude made him have no effectual remembrance of Joseph, so that he really forgot him.

PHARAOH'S DREAMS AND JOSEPH'S ELEVATION, CH. XLL

The chief source from which this narrative is obtained is the same as the preceding. E(B) may be recognised by such expressions as and and, which occur exclusively in these portions of the history of Joseph, and 12 office, xl. 13, xli. 13, as also by the form קרבנה xli. 21 (E elsewhere also, xxx. 41, xxi. 29, xxxi. 6, xlii. 36, indulging in such emphatic prolongations), and the Divine name אלהים xli. 15 sq. (where J would have suitably had inn), but especially by the particular, that Joseph is here called the servant appointed by the captain of the guard for the two State prisoners. As J would certainly also relate the elevation of Joseph through the verification of his interpretation of Pharaoh's dreams, the question arises whether many traces of a parallel text of J may not be more easily explained by the view, that we have before us the narrative according to E, as reproduced by J, than by supposing that R interpolated the text of E with additions from J.

Pharaoh's first dream, vv. 1-4: And it came to pass after two full years, and Pharaoh dreamed, and behold he stood by the Nile. And behold, there came out of the Nile seven kine, beautiful of form and fat of flesh, and they fed in the reed grass. And behold, seven other kine came up after them out of the Nile, ill-favoured and lean of flesh, and stood beside the kine on the brink of the Nile. And the ill-favoured and lean-fleshed kine devoured the seven kine beautiful of form and fat of flesh. The structure of the sentence is the same as at xlii, 35, comp. xv. 17, xxix. 25; the apodosis begins with מַּבְּרָלָה, and מַּבְּרֶלָה is a preceding adverbial sentence (Driver, § 78). לכד is left after man without the subject being expressed, as at xxiv. 30, comp. הנה חצה xxxvii. 15 (Driver, § 135. 6). To שַׁנַחָיִם is added as the accus. of more exact definition שָׁיִם (Ges. 118. 3): two years of days are two full years, like חֹדֶשׁ יִמִים xxix. 14, a full month. אֹר, as the name of the Nile, may be an assimilated Egyptian word, in itself it is however Semitic,

and used as much of the Tigris (Dan. xii. 5 sq.) as of the Nile, and even of mine-shafts (see Friedr. Delitzsch, Hebrew Language, p. 25). and, on the contrary, is an indigenous Egyptian word: achu from ach, redupl. achach to become green, LXX axi (with the more recent final i), which must have been so much transferred into Egyptian Greek that ערות Isa. xix. 17 is translated by τὸ ἄχι τὸ χλωρόν, on which Jerome remarks: quid hic sermo significaret, audivi ab Agyptiis, hoc nomine omne quod in palude viride nascitur appellari. Instead of nipy the Samar. has mon, like the Masoretic text of ver. 19 sq., 27; ליות brought down, thinned, is a third synonym. The designation of the brink of the Nile by שׁמַּח is no poetic image; שׁפָּה means not only the edge of the mouth (the lips), but the rim of anything, that whereby it comes in friction or into contact with other things (see on the root on iii. 15). Pharaoh's second dream, vv. 5-7: And he slept and dreamed a second time, and behold, seven ears of corn came up upon one stalk fat and well-favoured. And behold, seven ears, thin and blasted by the east wind, sprang up after them. And the thin ears swallowed up the seven fat and full cars—then Pharaoh awoke, and behold it was a dream. The ישבלים from שבלים is like that in פָּרֵא from אָּלָב Num. xxiii. 25. The adj. בַּרָא ווֹא healthy, strong, fat, is also applicable to ears, which can indeed be sickly and shrivel; such a sickness is the blight שופה (שופה), mostly caused in Egypt by the dreaded Chamsin, blowing from the south-eastern desert districts. ing up of the first ears by the second is not really meant, for "the absolutely irrepresentable cannot be dreamed" (Heidenh.): the seven lean ears shot up above the others and so concealed them, that they had, as it were, vanished. Vain interrogation of native scholars, ver. 8: And it came to pass in the morning that his spirit was troubled, and he sent and called all the scribes of Egypt and all the wise men therein. And Pharaoh told them his dream, and no one was able to interpret them (the two dreams) to Pharach. In the similar history of Nebuchadnezzar's dream, the Niphal Dan. ii. 3 precedes the Hithpael Dyann with a similar recession of the tone. Pharaoh sends for all the הַנְשָׁמִים and all the wise men of Egypt. He did what Ptolemy, according to Tacitus, Hist. iv. 83, did in a similar case: sacerdotibus Aegyptiorum, quibus mos talia intellegere, nocturnos visus aperit. בְּיִנְפִירִם (from the non-occurring sing. חַלְּכֹּוֹם) is a Semitic word formed perhaps in consonance with an Egyptian one, a secondary formation from קום pen, mode of writing, a writing, Isa. viii. 1. LXX translates it έξηγηταί, i.e. according to Hesychius: οἱ περὶ ίερων και διοσημείων εξηγούμενοι. ίερογραμματείς would be more suitable. Egypt was familiar with Manticism of every kind. The plur. אותם, referring back to אחתלמו, looks almost like a hint that the native scholars looked upon the essentially one dream as two different dreams, and were thereby led astray. Reference of the chief cup-bearer to Joseph, vv. 9-13: Then the chief of the cup-bearers spoke to Pharaoh saying: I remember my sins this day. Pharaoh was angry with his servants and gave me into custody of the house of the captain of the guard, me and the chief of the bakers. Then we dreamed a dream in one and the same night, I and he, we dreamed each after the interpretation of his dream. And there was there with us a young Hebrew man, a slave of the captain of the guard; to him we told it, and he interpreted to us our dreams, according to the dream of each he interpreted. And it came to pass, as he had interpreted to us, so it happened; me he reinstated in my office, and him he hanged. The combination דַבר אָת is neither here nor at Ex. ii. 1, iii. 22 an accusatival one; את is a preposition. as at xlii. 30, xxiii. 8. The LXX rightly renders τὴν άμαρτίαν μου ἀναμιμνήσκω σήμερον, not: I bring it to mention, but (as at xl. 14) I bring it to remembrance; but he says מָמָאָי (not חַמָאִי), respectfully magnifying and not diminishing the offence, which had incurred the anger of Pharaoh. Instead of the first אָתִי, the LXX, Samar. have the preferable אָתָה. The genit. combination in the custody of the . . . is repeated from

xl. 3. The intensive ah with the 1 pl. impf. מַחַלְמָה, which makes the historical statement only the more emphatic, finds its equal in תַּעְפָה. Ps. xc. 10, and elsewhere occurs almost only in the 1 sing., e.g. xxxii. 6, Ew. § 232g. אָלִט בַּחַלְמוֹ is, according to the scheme discussed in rem. on ix. 5, equivalent to איש אלשקו as איש אלשקו xlii. 25 is the same as in the sack of each. Joseph's appearance before Pharaoh, vv. 14-16: And Pharaoh sent and called Joseph, and they dismissed him quickly from prison; he shaved himself and changed his garments and came before Pharaoh, and Pharaoh said to Joseph: I have dreamed a dream, and no one can interpret it. but I have heard say of thee, that thou hearest a dream to (at once) interpret it. Then Joseph answered Pharaoh saying: It belongs not to me, God will answer what will profit Pharaoh, The prison is here called γin, as at xl. 15. The LXX has ἀπὸ τοῦ ὀχυρώματος, i.e. according to xl. 14, xxxix. 20 כן־הבית. The unnamed subject of יירצהו is as frequently (e.g. Zech. iii. 5, comp. Luke xii. 20) the attendants: they quickly dismiss (not fetch) Joseph, and, being free for his departure to the palace, he shaves himself (reflexive, like rm to wash oneself) and changes his garments; for to shave off all hair from the body, was in Egypt a main article of cleanliness and purity; and that no one should appear before a king in his work-day garments, is self-understood. With respect to shaving, Joseph had as yet had no reason for conforming to Egyptian custom. אַלִיף de te, as at 1 Kings x. 6: The king has heard say concerning Joseph, that he only needs to hear a dream, to be able at once to interpret it. He however refers the king, as he did (xl. 8) the two prisoners, from human intervention to God. בּלְעֵרֵיךּ xli. 44 without the excepto te; thus the בְּלְעָרֵי forms a thought of itself: without me = I can do nothing at all (like I may (take) nothing at all, xiv. 24). God alone is able to do it, and He can give the power; He will give as an answer (to me who inquire of Him) the welfare of Pharaoh, i.e. what shall be for his welfare. This sounds hope-

ful, though it does not prejudge. Pharaoh again repeats his double dream, vv. 17-24: And Pharaoh said to Joseph: In my dream, behold I stood on the brink of the Nile. And behold seven kine rose up out of the Nile fat of flesh and beautiful of form and fed in the reed-grass. And behold seven other kine rose up after them, poor and very ill-favoured, and fallen away in flesh. I have not seen their like for badness in all the land of Egypt. And the fallen away and ill-favoured kine ate up the seven first fat kine. And they went into their inside, and it could not be seen that they had gone into their inside, and their appearance was ill-favoured as at the beginning—then I awoke. And I saw in my dream, and behold, seven ears shot up on one stalk, full and fair to see, and behold seven ears withered, thin and blasted by the east wind, And the thin ears swallowed up the seven good ears-I told it to the scribes, and none of them could give me an explanation. In such repetitions Hebrew authors, and even poets in their refrains (see Psalms, 4th edit. p. 350), delight in small variations instead of literal identity. So e.g. xxiv. 42-47 with relation to xxiv. 11-24. needless conjecture that the variations are worked in from the parallel text of J (Dillm.). In Pharaoh's repetition of his double dream the adjectives יְלָּוֹת and אָנָמוֹת as well as the greater detail, 19b, 21a, are new. On the sing. מראיהן 21a, see Ges. § 93. 3, note 3. And on אחרהם 23b, instead of the more correct אחריהן, comp. xxxi. 9, xxxii. 16, and xx. 17. Joseph's interpretation, vv. 25-32: Then Joseph said to Pharaoh: The dream of Pharaoh is one; what God intends to do he has announced to Pharaoh. The seven well-favoured kine are seven years, and the seven well-favoured ears are seven years. The dream is one. And the seven lean and ill-favoured kine, which came up after the former, are seven years, and the ears empty and blasted by the east wind will be seven years of famine. This is the word that I said unto Pharaoh: What God intends to do He has shown unto Pharach. Behold, seven years are approaching, a great plenty in the whole land of Egypt. And

seven years of famine shall arise after them, and the plenty is forgotten in the land of Egypt, and the famine will consume the And the plenty will not be noticed in the land by reason of the famine following, because it is very grievous. respect of this that the dream was twice repeated to Pharaoh, (this happened) because the thing is settled with God, and God will speedily bring it to pass. Osiris was to the Egyptians the God of the Nile, whose symbol was the bull (Diod. i. 51), and Isis-Hathor the goddess of the fertile and all-nourishing earth, whose symbol, the cow (Macrobius, Saturn. i. 19), was also that of the moon and the lunar year-hence the interpretation of the kine by fruitful or unfruitful years, according to the favour or disfavour of the Nile, was an obvious one; but it needed Joseph's divinely attested insight into the future. to answer not only for this apparently obvious and simple interpretation, but also for the results of fourteen years. On the determinated adj. with the undeterminated chief notion in שבע בלת המבות 26a, see on i. 31. Instead of השולת the second seven ears are called 27b הַּרְקוֹת (the opposite of מֵלֵאוֹת); is only said of the kine. In the remark that the seven empty ears are seven years of famine, i.e. will be proved to mean such, the centre of gravity in the meaning of the two dreams is anticipatively alluded to. The "word" (הַנְּבָּר, comp. Acts xv. 6 in Luther's, and in our Hebrew translation) 28a is what he said 25b. מום "arise" (oriri), said of years, is a kind of personifying transference of the diction of Ex. i. 8. As the swallowing up is alluded to by וְיִשְׁכַח, so by וֹלְא־יְוּרָע is it signified that nothing of the seven fat morsels was perceived in the seven lean kine; the famine will be so great that the stores will visibly dis-The elliptical brevity in ver. 32 is like xxxvii. 22 יעל introduces that to which respect is had, as at Ruth iv. 7 (comp. 5 xvii. 20), and 9 confirms the said state of matters (comp. on xviii. 20). Joseph's counsel, vv. 33-36: And now let Pharaoh look for a prudent and wise man and set him over the land of Egypt. Let Pharaoh set

to work and appoint overseers over the land, and take up a fifth part of the land of Egypt in the seven years of plenty. And let them gather all the food of these coming good years and heap up corn under the hand of Pharaoh in the cities, and let them keep it. And the food shall be for a store for the land for the seven years of famine which will come upon the land of Egypt, that the land be not ruined through the The jussive has, according to the Masora, the famine. tone upon the ultima (König, p. 561), and has on that account Tsere instead of Segol in the last syllable, as Abenezra expressly states in his two Grammars. In 34a we must not explain: constituat Pharao et praeficiat praefectos (Dillm.), which is tautological; Ges. rightly compares the Latin fac scribas, the object of my is what is afterwards specified, or also: עשה has in itself the completed sense of acting or setting to work; 1 Kings viii. 32, comp. Ps. xxii. 32, is similar. Pharaoh should take during the seven fruitful years the fifth part of the entire harvest, by means of commissioners, and store up this corn (בָּר) under Pharaoh's hand, i.e. in royal magazines, that the store of food thus laid up (אֹכֵל) may save the land from starvation during the years of famine. The verbal copiousness of ver. 35 may arise from the two accounts being here compressed into one, as in vv. 48, 49 (comp. xxvii. 44 sq., xxxi. 18). Elevation of Joseph to be the highest official in the land, vv. 37-40: And the thing was good in the eyes of Pharaoh and in the eyes of all his servants. And Pharaoh said to his servants: Shall we find a man like this, in whom is the spirit of God? And Pharaoh said to Joseph: Since God has showed thes all this, there is none prudent and wise as thou. Thou shalt be over my house, and according to thy bidding shall all my people be ruled, only by the throne will I be greater than thou. Arnheim translates 38a " will there be found;" but we have not הַּיִּמֵצָא, nor is the parte. Niph., for "will found be = exists" would be expressed in ancient Hebrew by הייש; Rashi already correctly gives: should we find, if we should go and seek for. translate 40a "upon thy mouth shall all my people kiss" (Ges. Kn.), is impracticable; for though to kiss = to do homage, is now also corroborated by the Assyrian, the kiss of homage is a kissing of the foot, not the mouth, for which would certainly be an intolerable subj., and besides we find in Biblical Hebrew לישק לו or לשק לו (he kissed him), but not נשם על פין means to join, especially mouth to mouth, i.e. to kiss, but also to fit to (whence the armour a man puts on is called אורס), and here (but not at Ps. ii. 12) with an internal obj.: disponere (res suas), to submit to (comp. نظم is the accus. of more הַבְּפֵא); hence ישׁשׁה like xlv. 21. וֹשׁשׁה is the accus. of exact definition, according to Ges. § 118. 3. Honours are heaped on Joseph, and first the insignia of his office are bestowed, vv. 41, 42: And Pharaoh said to Joseph: Behold, I have placed thee over the whole land of Egypt. Then Pharaoh took off his signet ring from his hand and put it on the hand of Joseph, and he clothed him in byssus garments and put the gold chain on his neck. Ver. 41 was not absolutely needed after ver. 40, and may have been taken from the parallel source, but stands here as the solemn act of institution, following the declaration of Pharaoh's will (see on מַבְּעָה i. 29). מַבְּעָה like ann. Arab. chatim, means the signet ring, which is confirmed as Egyptian by impressions from the signets of the Pharaohs, Cheops, Horus, Sabaco. בּנְרֵישֵׁשׁ are garments of cotton (there were cotton plantations in ancient Egypt, see Ebers. Durch Gosen zum Sinai, 2nd edit. pp. 490-492), or also fine white cotton-like linen; for viv, ancient Egypt. schenti, means both; while na, ancient Egyptian pek, is the proper word for fine linen. Priestly garments, by which Joseph is here distinguished, might not be of woollen, but might be of either cotton or linen. יְבִיד) from

¹ The white head-gear usual among the wandering tribes is now called ثاثم , properly the fine white cotton texture, of which it consists (DMZ. xxxii. 161).

רבד, של ,רבד to fix closely) is the gold chain usual as an official distinction, a mark, according to Elian and Diodorus, of the dignity of a judge, but here of like significance with the "golden collar" occurring on the monuments as a reward. Joseph is presented to the people as the highest representative of the king, who appoints him an almost absolute ruler with himself, vv. 43, 44: And he made him ride in his second chariot, and they cried before him: Abrech; and he placed him over the whole land of Egypt. And Pharaoh said to Joseph: I am Pharaoh, and without thee shall no one lift up hand or foot in the whole land of Egypt. As בֹהֵן הַפִּישנה is the second priest of highest rank after the כרפבת, so is סרפבת, the next State chariot to the exclusively royal one. The call to show profound respect expressed in is satisfactorily explained as an Egyptian cry assimilated to the Hebrew: "Cast thyself down!" The Coptic abork, imper. of bor, to cast down, with the suffix of the 2nd pers., means this (Benfey, Verhalt. der äg. Sprache zum sem. Sprachstamm, p. 302 sq.). In Hebrew אָבֶרְן is to be understood as the inf. abs. Hiph. of ברך (comp. אַשׁבִּים Jer. xxv. 3), whence Jose b. Dormaskith in Sifri (65a, ed. Friedmann) explains it by לברכים, and Jerome translates: clamante praecone ut omnes coram eo genu flecterent.1 The Targum and Midrash, on the contrary, explain אברך as a compound from and pater tener (highly respected though young), which must be left out of consideration, or from and in pater regis (see Rashi on this passage), which is in itself permissible,

"father of the king" being actually the title which Joseph gives himself, xlv. 8b, and having other Oriental analogues as the title of the highest official at the side of the king. Apparently however it cannot be adopted, because rex(Baba bathra 4a לא ריכא ולא בר ריכא, "not king and not king's son") is a borrowed Jewish word derived from the Latin. But Friedr. Delitzsch points out in his Hebrew Language, p. 26 sq., that abarakku is in Assyrian the appellation of the highest dignitary in the kingdom, and is ideogrammatically explained by "friend of the king;" even the goddess who is the supreme protectress of a sanctuary is called abarakkatu. Since neither a Hebrew nor an Egyptian medium is perceptible for the use of this Assyrian word, itself inexplicable in Assyrian, some curious chance must certainly have had a hand in the matter.2 The inf. abs. ini continues the finitum in an adverbially subordinate manner as at Isa. xxxvii. 19, Ex. viii. 11, Lev. xxv. 14, Judg. vii. 19, Hagg. i. 6, Zech. iii. 4, xii. 10, Eccles. iv. 2. In ver. 44 is repeated what was already virtually stated at ver. 40, viz. that Pharaoh is king, but that Joseph is to be ruler. Joseph's change of name and marriage, ver. 45: And Pharaoh called Joseph's name Säphnath Pa'neah, and gave him Asnat, daughter of Potiphera the priest of On, to wife, and Joseph went out over the land of Egypt. The LXX paraphrases the name Ψονθομφανήχ, which, as Jerome testifies, and as is, with the exception of one letter, confirmed by the Coptic, means salvator mundi, p-sot-om-ph-eneh (from sot, sote salvation, and eneh age, world), but the nasal p-sont, instead of p-sot, thus remains unexplained. It seems therefore more obvious to regard num as the Egyptian anh life, provided with the article (whence the temple quarter of Memphis was called p-ta-anh, the world of life), and with Rosellini, Lepsius, Ormsby and others, to

¹ The opposition of Halévy in Recherches Bibliques, No. vi. p. 24, must still let the fact stand that abarakku and abarrakkatu are, in Assyrian, the names of high dignity.

^{*} See the Assyrian Dictionary, pp. 68-70.

explain the name as compounded of sont to support, to preserve, and anh, "support (sustentator) of life" (פצנה=צפנה). Josephus, Ant. ii. 6. 1, by explaining the name κρυπτῶν εύρετής reproduces the impression made by the Hebraized word upon Jewish ears (see Bereshith rabbah, c. 90); the Jewish Pajtanim use mys as a four-lettered verb, with the meaning to uncover, to reveal (DMZ. xxxvi. 402). name of אַסְנָה (LXX 'Ασενέθ) apparently means one belonging to the goddess Neith, the Egyptian Athene; Brugsch, Gesch. p. 248, identifies it with Snat (Sant), a female name frequent in the ancient and middle kingdom. On the name of her father מוֹט פוֹע (one dedicated to the god Ra), we have already spoken at xxxvii. 36. He was a priest in אָל (אָא), which the LXX rightly translate Ήλιούπολις in the history of Joseph; they also thus render the synonymous Ezek. xxx. 17; while, on the contrary, the Coelesyrian no (Heliopolis) is paraphrased as 'Av. In ancient Egyptian it was called An (Anu), or more precisely Anumhit, Anu of the north, in Coptic Un or On, which means light, according to Cyrill on Hos. v. 8 has; the sacred name of the city was ta-Ra or pa-Ra, house of the sun (as at Jer. xliii. 13 בֵּית comp. on Isa. xix. 18). The worship of the sun was the most ancient form of the Egyptian religion; Amon-Ra was called, subsequently to Ahmes I., the king of the gods.1 Joseph, the husband of the priest of the sun's daughter, has now become an Egyptian to the Egyptians, the favourite son of Jacob a ruler of the heathen; he is admitted into the priestly caste, to which the kings of Egypt also belonged, or into which they had to be admitted, if descended from the Thus raised to be ruler of the land, he military caste.

¹ See Krummel, *Die Religion der alten Ægypter*, 1883, p. 19 sq. One of the obelisks, which stood in front of the temple of the sun, the most ancient, erected by King Osirtases I., is still there; of the two others, which bear the names of Tutmes III., Ramses II., and Seti II., one now adorns the Thames Embankment in London, the other the public park in New York. See J. Leslie Porter's *Egypt*, *Physical and Historical*, 1885, p. 18 sq.

went out over the land of Egypt, ye as at Ps. lxxx. 6. This is now told once more in the words of another narrator. ver. 46: And Joseph was thirty years old when he stood before Pharaoh king of Egypt; and Joseph went out from before Pharaoh and went through the whole land of Egypt. The combination פועה מלך מצרים occurs only here in Genesis. and is next met with Ex. vi. 11, and farther on in Q (A). To this narrator belongs the statement of age at xxxvii, 2, and consequently here also: hence from twelve to thirteen years elapsed between Joseph's sale and elevation. The tone of diction 46a is like that of xlvii. 7, and 46b like that of xlvii, 10. Joseph's arrangements during the seven fruitful vears, vv. 47-49: And the land bore in the seven years of plenty by handfuls. And he gathered all the food of the seven years, which were in the land of Egypt, and laid up the food in the cities, the food of the ground round about any city he laid up in that city. And Joseph heaped up corn as the sand of the sea, exceeding much, so that he left off numbering, for it was beyond numbering. The noun pp, with its derivative ppp, is native in the Minchah law, Lev. ii. 2 and onwards: the former means the hand forming a hollow for grasping, the latter to take away a handful (manipulum or pugillum). Consequently לְּמָבִיִּם (with an adverbial in the sense of the Greek κατά or ἀνά, Ew. § 217d) here means, in such abundance that the whole hand was always needed for taking what offered itself, not: in bundles, manipulatim (Ges.), which does not give the notion of great abundance; but, if the expression may be allowed, in fullhanded manner. In ver. 48 the undeterminated is intolerable; it cannot mean per septem annos, for אֹכֵל (without an article) points to a genitival relation, so that we have to write according to ver. 35 שבע השנים, or, since this does not elsewhere occur thus without an addition, שבע שני השבע (as at ver. 53). The LXX, Sam. take over שָׁבָע into the relative sentence: τὰ βρώματα τῶν ἐπτὰ ἐτῶν ἐν οῖς ἢν ἡ εὐθηνία (היה השבע) ἐν τῆ

אָין Aiyumrov. Heidenh., Reggio and others understand וְיַכְּבֹּי and my with the most general subject: they collected, they put; but that we have not in ver. 49 and not already ver. 48, just shows that the narrative is not of one cast. Joseph collected the whole produce of cereal food (אֹכֵל, viz. בּר, comp. ver. 35) of the seven fruitful years, by placing granaries 1 in the cities for the harvest within their territories, and the corn to be stowed up was very much, like the sand of the sea (a usual hyperbole, xxii. 17, xxxii. 13), so that he left off keeping account of it, because of its enormous quantity. Joseph's sons by Asnath, vv. 50-52: And there were two sons born to Joseph before the coming of the year of famine, which Asnath, daughter of Potiphera, priest of On, bare him. And Joseph called the name of the first-born Manasseh, for "Elohim has made me forget all my trouble, and all of my father's house." And the name of the second he called Ephraim, for "Elohim hath made me fruitful in the land of my affliction." The passive 72, with a plural subject following, is like x. 25 (J), comp. xxxv. 26 (Q), and the more particular statement with אָשָׁלֵי (quos, quem, quam) without pronouns referring backwards, like xvi. 15, xxv. 12, xxxiv. The year of famine is self-evidently the first of the The Aramaico-Arabic form יָשָׁנִי for יָשָׁנִי (comp. פַּרָקַר) seven. Num. xxiv. 17 for אווא is chosen because of its consonance with the name; ישׁה is a causative Piel, like און Job xxxiii. 20. Ps. cxix. 49, מול he who brings into forgetfulness, i.e. his former sorrows, and also the fate of his family, which had formerly caused him great anxiety.2 means double fruitfulness, the Dual being used in Egyptian also in a superlative sense, e.g. double - Jbis = Jbis במד' בּצָּ, comp. שׁחַרִים

າ ກາງວຸດ Ex. i. 11, from po to take care of; see Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg.

² In a bilingual Cypriote inscription (in the possession of Colonel Warren), the erector of the dedicated image is called in the Phoenician text Dinn, in the Cyprio-Greek Maragens, which is certainly a confusion caused by the kindred meaning.

double dawn, 1 Chron. viii. 8, and the allusion to the meaning of the name Ephraim, Hos. xiii. 15.

It is strange, remarks Kn., that Joseph, who so affectionately loved and was equally beloved by his father, did not give him early notice of his safety and exaltation, but let a number of years pass by without doing so, and then only found occasion for this communication on the arrival of his brethren. obvious objection is met by the consideration, that the news would have destroyed the peace of his father's family, so he went on trusting in God, who could bring all to a happy issue. In the first place his prophetic interpretation had to be confirmed by the result. This now took place, vv. 53-55: And the seven years of plenty that was in the land of Egypt came to an end. And the seven years of famine began to come, as Joseph had said, and there was famine in all lands, but in the land of Egypt there was bread. And the whole land of Egypt was famished, and the people cried to Pharaoh for bread. Pharaoh said to all the Egyptians: Go to Joseph; what he saith to you do. In ver. 48a יָּשׁיָ is used with respect to שָׁיָבי ; here הַּיָּה in conjunction with הַשְּׁבְע. There was bread in Egypt, i.e. in the granaries; and when, after the consumption of private stores, the general scarcity was felt there also, Pharaoh referred those who supplicated his help to Joseph, who now opened the granaries and sold to natives and foreigners the corn there stored up, vv. 56, 57: And the famine extended over all the face of the land: and Joseph opened all the storehouses and sold to the Egyptians, and the famine prevailed in the land of Egypt. And the whole population of the earth came to Egypt, to Joseph, to buy, for the famine prevailed in all the earth. Ver. 56 ought to end with: מצרים (Dillm.); it treats throughout of Egypt. The famine increased there, and at the same time in all the neighbouring countries. את־כַּל־אַשֵׁר בַּהַם. all places wherein was found; the subj. is missing, just as when שלה xlix. 10 means: he whose is. Both phrases are as to style impossible. The Samar. adds == (corn), but we also

want האוצרות בר is corrupted from אשר בהם, whence the LXX has πάντας τους σιτοβολώνας. is a denom. from שֶׁבֶּר food, perhaps as that which breaks hunger and thirst (Ps. civ. 11), according to Fleischer on Prov. xi. 26 what is crushed, ground, and means in Kal to buy food (comp. فرق to buy, from مدرة مكفرة, درمان corn), Hiph. to sell food (comp. 12! to buy, Pa. to sell); in 56b however Kal is used with the meaning of Hiph. Notwithstanding this sale the famine increased; the impf. cons. PININI has a contrastive meaning as at xix. 9 (comp. the perf. cons. Judg. On the hyperbole בל־הארץ all the world," see on xiii. 13). vii. 19. אל־יוֹסף is intended to be drawn to אבאו. Such a common famine of Egypt and the neighbouring countries has often occurred, e.g. in the years 1064 and 1199 of our era, The monuments also testify to such years of famine (Brugsch, Histoire d' Egypte, i. p. 56). The danger was all the greater in presence of the condition of the canal and irrigation system of Lower Egypt. Strabo relates, that before the times of the Prefect Petronius, famine broke out in Egypt, through neglect of the waterworks, when the Nile rose only eight ells, and that eleven ells were needed for a specially good year, while he so managed, that ten ells only were needed for the best of harvests, and that eight caused no scarcity.

THE FIRST JOURNEY OF JOSEPH'S BRETHREN TO EGYPT WITHOUT BENJAMIN, CH. XLII.

With ch. xlii. begins the second section of the Toledoth of Jacob, extending from the first appearance of the brothers to Joseph's discovery of himself, ch. xlv. The chief narrator in ch. xlii. is E; see on ver. 38. Departure to Egypt to fetch provisions, vv. 1-4: And Jacob saw that there was food in Egypt. Then Jacob said to his sons: Why look ye one upon another? And he said: Behold, I have heard that there is food in Egypt; go down thither and buy us thence food, that

we may live and not die. Then Joseph's brethren, ten of them, went down to buy corn from Egypt. But Benjamin, Joseph's brother, Jacob sent not with them, for he said: Lest peradventure mischief befall him! The Hithpahel החראה 1b is a reflexive of reciprocal meaning (comp. on ii. 25): to look at each other in a helpless, inactive manner. mn to live, ver. 2b, is as frequently (xliii. 8, Num. iv. 19) equivalent to The brethren of Joseph to the number of remain alive. ten go down to the land of the Nile valley. So many go that they may get the more and to bring away the more. is not said; the translation above follows the accentuation. In קראט 4b קרה = סרה contingere, as at ver. 38, xlix. 1, Ex. i. 10, Lev. x. 19; comp., on the contrary, Gen. xliv. 29. Jacob from apprehension keeps back his youngest, and now also his only son by Rachel. The ten now appear before Joseph, and are recognised by him, but he is not recognised by them, vv. 5-8: So the sons of Israel came to buy among those that came, for the famine was in the land of Canaan. And Joseph, he was the governor over the land, he it was who sold food to all the people of the land. Then came the brethren of Joseph and prostrated themselves before him, with the face to the earth. And Joseph saw his brethren and knew them, but he made himself strange towards them and spoke roughly to them, and said to them: Whence come ye? They said: From the land of Canaan, to buy food. Joseph knew his brethren, but they knew him not. They appeared before Joseph among the many whom a like necessity drove to Egypt, and fell down before him with their faces to the earth; for he was the (a word occurring elsewhere only in Ezek. and Eccles., and in Aramaic in Dan. and Ezra) over the land and director of the sale of corn. "The author," remarks Kn., "delights in testifying that Joseph was the lord or ruler of Egypt (vv. 30, 35, xlv. 8 sq. 26, xli. 40, 44), and it almost seems as if the legend of the Hyksos were transferred in the Hebrew tradition to the Hebrews. is the same word as Salatis

or Salitis, the name of the first ruler of the Hyksos in Egypt (Joseph. c. Apion. i. 14; Euseb. Chr. Arm. i. p. 224)." Joseph at once recognised his brethren, and remembered his dreams with respect to them: the sheaves and stars bowing down to him were vividly present to him; but they did not recognise their brother, whom they had not seen for about twenty years, and who had meantime grown up, become Egyptianized, and raised to an incredible elevation. He also studiously dissembled before them (יבָר to fix one's eyes upon, to look keenly at, which might mean both recognition and nonrecognition, whence the Hithpahel is both to make oneself known, Prov. xx. 11, and to make oneself unknown, like the Niph. Prov. xxvi. 24), spoke to them nive harshly as to matter and tone, and let them, who said they came from Canaan and yet did not look like Canaanites, feel the Egyptian mistrust of foreigners. Joseph accuses them of being spies, and insists upon testing the truth of their exculpation by their sending for their youngest brother, vv. 9-17: Then Joseph remembered his dreams which he dreamed concerning them, and said unto them: Ye are spies, to see the nakedness of the land are ye come. And they said: Nay, my lord, but to buy food are thy servants come. We are all sons of one man, we are honest men, thy servants have never been spies. And he said to them: Nay, surely to see the nakedness of the land are ye come. And they said: Twelve brethren, sons of one man in the land of Canaan are we thy servants, and behold the youngest is at the time with our father, and one is not. Then said Joseph to them: That is it which I spake to you saying: Ye are spics. Hereby shall ye be proved, that ye, as truly as Pharaoh lives, shall not go hence, unless your younger brother comes hither. Send one of you, that he may fetch your brother; but ye shall be imprisoned, that your words may be proved, whether there be truth with you or not, by the life of Pharaoh! surely ye are spies. And he put them in ward three days. He calls them מרולים, those who go about for the purpose of espionage, a

more ignoble word than תֵּרִים (those who go about for the purpose of reconnoitring). They deny it; the 1 of קיבוץ, as at xvii. 5b = בִּי elsewhere (בְּי אָם). The form נַחְני occurs again in the Pent. only Ex. xvi. 7, 8, Num. xxxii. 32, and out of it 2 Sam. xvii. 12, Lam. iii. 42. They bring to his consideration, that a father would not expose so many of his children at the same time to the danger of acting as spies. however insists that they have come to see the nakedness of the land (the order of the words is here such as it frequently is in interrogation, Judg. ix. 48, Zech. ii. 4, Neh. ii. 12). In ver. 13 it should be שנים עשר אחים אנחנו עבדיך (comp. ver. 32), the order of the words is inverted in a scarcely possible manner, or else a separative must be placed at עבדיר: Twelve of them are thy servants, brethren are we. ippi (of Benjamin) is a relative designation of age: natu minor (minimus). To say m he is dead instead of אֵינָט (like v. 24), goes against their heart and conscience. Joseph does not allow his accusation to be as yet silenced, 14b הוא אשר דברתי hoc (neutrally, as at xx. 16) est quod dixi; what they say of their two missing brothers strengthens the suspicion, to which he is giving feigned By what he at once adds will he test them expression. according to properly to try by rubbing, especially on the touch-stone), he swears to them by the life of Pharaoh (Pharaoh lives = as truly as Pharaoh lives, n an abbreviated n, as at Lev. xxv. 36) that they shall not be at liberty to depart unless they procure at once their pretended youngest brother; if they do not do this, they are, as he again asserts by the life of Pharaoh, really (Ew. § 330b) spies. Hereupon, in order to make them compliant, he puts them in prison for three days (אָסף, like Isa. xxiv. 22 and elsewhere). purpose of his behaviour to them is not, to make them atone for a time for the injustice they did him, but to find out, before he becomes to them an actual proof of Divine mercy, whether they regard themselves as deserving of Divine punishment for

the crime they committed against him, and to convince himself, before he grants them his own forgiveness, that the other son of Rachel has not experienced like injustice at their How faithfully is the constraint delineated, which Joseph imposes on himself by speaking so roughly, and by concealing his fellowship with them in the worship of one God under the oath by the life of Pharaoh! One feels how much his words contradict the feelings of his heart. third day he gives a milder form to the test to be applied, vv. 18-20: And Joseph said to them on the third day: This do and live, I fear God: If ye are honest men, let one of your brothers remain in the house of your prison, but go ye, carry food for the famine of your houses, and bring your youngest brother to me, so shall your words be verified, and ye shall not die-and they did thus. On the two imperatives: This do and live! see Ges. § 130. 2, and on אַחִיכֶם אָחָד (comp. xliii. 14) instead of האחד (as at ver. 33), Ges. § 111. 2b. The other nine are to take home the corn of the famine of their houses. i.e. for the famine (Gen. of purpose as in מַפֶּר זרעך Isa. xxx. 23) of their families, and to return with their youngest brother, that so their words may be verified and they may escape death (death by starvation, not the penal infliction of death, to which the pretended harshness of Joseph nowhere rises); for he fears God and will not punish on mere suspicion. The brethren see the chastening hand of God in what they are experiencing, vv. 21, 22: And they said one to another: Truly we are expiating on account of our brother, the distress of whose soul we saw, when he entreated us and we did not hear, therefore has this distress befallen us. And Reuben answered them saying: Did I not speak to you saying: Do not sin against the boy, but you did not hear me, behold therefore is his blood avenged. 21 onwards follows the more particular narration of what was summarily anticipated in ששרם ver. 20. While still standing before the unknown Joseph, they say to each other,

that they are expiating the crime which they so unmercifully committed against their brother; אַבָּל truly, as at xvii. 19, making expiation, paying (Ezra x. 19), elsewhere worthy of penance. Reuben who, as was related in ch. xxxvii. from E, had saved Joseph's life, who was present when he was sold, and must therefore have thought him dead rather than still alive, answers that he had said to them in vain: Do not sin (MONA with a helping Segol for against the boy, and that now evidently his blood is required, i.e. from those who laid violent hands upon him (ix. 5). Joseph hears it and weeps, vv. 23, 24: And they knew not that Joseph understood it, for the interpreter was between them. And he turned himself from them and wept: then he returned to them and talked with them, and took from them Simeon and bound him before their eyes. They did not know, while they were thus talking together, that Joseph understood them, for שָּלְין with the art, the interpreter usual in such cases, was between them (בינוֹת, like xxvi. 28); but he well understood all, and withdrew a little from them and wept. Painful remembrance of the past, thankfulness for God's gracious dealings, unextinguished brotherly affection and joy at the penitent confession he had just heard—these were the emotions which found vent in tears. Then returning to them, he agreed with them that Simeon (purposely not Reuben, but the next oldest) should remain behind, and had him bound before their eyes. His provident dismissal of them combined with a fresh test, vv. 25-28: Then Joseph commanded, and their vessels were filled with corn, and he had every man's money put again into his sack, and provender given them for the journey, and so it was done to them. And they laded their food upon their asses and departed, And one opened his sack to give his ass provender at the resting-place, and saw his money, and behold it lay uppermost in his sack. And he said to his brethren: My money is restored, and behold there it is in my sack-then their heart failed them, and they said

trembling one to another: What hath Elohim done to us? might follow upon לְמֵלֵא might follow upon לָמֵלָא 25a, but the two possible constructions are intermixed. פֵלִים and אַמָהָאות (which latter is the prevailing one in ch. xliii. sq.) are interchanged as the appellation of their baggage. The mistakeable 25b. for which after מלאו we should rather expect איישלא, is strange. Thus they laded their asses with their corn and departed. There were then already caravansaries or khans (the former from the Pers. سراى, the latter from the Pers. خانه or خان, which both mean domus and especially diversorium), i.e. sheds or cart-houses erected on the desert road. Into such a 179 (as at Ex. iv. 24 = סלון אַרְהִים Jer. ix. 1) they entered. But when one of them opened his sack to give his ass provender, he found therein his money. This he told and showed it to his brethren (מֵם הַנָּה, as at xxxviii. 24)—then their courage failed them, and turning trembling to each other (תְּרֵד אֶל, a similar constr. pregnans to xliii. 33, Jer. xxxvi. 16) they acknowledge, that the chastening hand of God is at work in the It is obvious that the others also opened their matter. sacks—perhaps the source which is here accommodated to ver. 35 said so (Wellh. Dillm.). They return and relate their experiences, vv. 29-34: And they came to Jacob their father to the land of Canaan, and told him all that had happened to them, saying: The man, the lord of the land, spake roughly to us and took us for spies of the country. And we said to him: We are honest men, we were never spies. We are twelve brethren, sons of our father, one is not, and the youngest is now with our father in the land of Canaan. And the man, the lord of the land, said to us: Hereby shall I know that we are honest men. leave one of your brethren with me and take (corn) for the need of your houses and depart. And bring your youngest brother to me, so shall I know that ye are no spies, but honest men; I will give you your brother and ye may go through the land. On their return to their father, they related to him all that had happened to them, and stated that everything now depended upon their bringing Benjamin with them. ? My ver. 30 means placing on a level; Ben-Naphtali here read במרגלים, but the text. rec., which follows Ben-Asher, has במרגלים; the former reading is favoured by 1 Kings x. 27. In 33b we must read with the LXX ואת שׁבֵּר רעבת (as at 19b), for that רעבת may mean the needs of hunger cannot be inferred from passages like Neh. ix. 15, Ps. lxix. 22, lxxviii, 29, the second יף has regularly the meaning of imo. מחר 34b with an accus. as at xxxiv. 10, 21: to go through. A startling surprise, ver. 35: And it came to pass: they emptied their sacks, and behold every man's bundle of money was in his sack; and they saw their bundles of money, they and their father, and they were a fraid. The discovery of one at the nightly restingplace was now repeated in the case of all. On איש צרור בכפו = אָרוֹר־בֶּקַף אִישׁ, see on ix. 5. מָרוֹר־בֶּקַף אִישׁ is an obvious and frequent play upon the sound. The complaint of Jacob, ver. 36: Then Jacob their father said unto them: Me have ye bereaved of children; Joseph is not, and Simeon is not, and ye would take Benjamin away; all comes upon me. perf. מְבֶּלְתְּם refers to Joseph, Simeon, and in anticipation of the worst to Benjamin. בּלָּוָה for בָּלָּה, as at Prov. xxxi. 29, comp. the forms xxi. 29, Ex. xxxv. 26. Reuben's voluntary pledge, ver. 37: Then Reuben spake to his father saying: My two sons shalt thou kill, if I do not bring him home to thee; trust him to me, and I will bring him back. He offers his two sons as a pledge (at the migration to Egypt he had four). "Give him to my hand," i.e. entrust him to me (as at 1 Sam, xvii. 22). Jacob however has no ear for this, ver. 38: He said: My son shall not go down with you, for his brother is dead, and he alone is left; and if mischief befall him by the way that you go, you would bring down my grey hairs with sorrow to the grave. The complaint is repeated, evidently from the same source, at xliv. 29-31, and certainly from the same source as the similar complaint at xxxvii. 35, viz. from J. It is evident how the first journey to Egypt terminated in J, from the repetition

xliii 3-7, xliv. 20-26, whence Wellh. and Dillm. conclude that the retention of Simeon as a hostage was not mentioned in the Jahvistic account. The account in ch. xlii. is as to its main features from E, but with insertions from J, to whom ver. 38 certainly belongs. If this verse is taken as an answer to Reuben's offer, as it stands here; the circumstance of Jacob's omission of all mention of Simeon furnishes of itself no critical conclusion,—it is explained by his preference for the son of Rachel; the one threatened loss banishes every other from his consciousness.

SECOND JOURNEY OF JOSEPH'S BRETHREN WITH BENJAMIN TO EGYPT, CH. XLIII.

This portion of the narrative gives from first to last the impression of being from J. Supposing that this narrator did not mention the retention of Simeon as a hostage, vv. 14, 23b appear as insertions from E (Dillm.). For the rest, all is of one cast and a genuine model of the Jahvistic style. very long time elapses before a fresh purchase of corn becomes a pressing necessity, vv. 1, 2: And the famine was sore in the And it came to pass, when they had consumed the corn which they had brought from Egypt, their father said unto them: Go again, buy us a little food. Everything corresponds as to style with J: אוֹבָּבֶּר like e.g. xii. 10; בּלָּח like xviii. 33, xxiv. 15 and elsewhere; DYD (a little), like xviii. 4, xxiv. 17, 43-a little food, for however much they might get, it will be but little in proportion to the need. Judah declares that they are willing to go, but not without Benjamin, vv. 3-5: And Judah spake to him, saying: The man protested, yea protested to us saying: Ye shall not see my face, unless your brother be with you. thou wilt consent to send our brother with us, we will go down and buy thee food; but if thou wilt not consent, we will not go down, for the man said unto us: Ye shall not see my face, unless your brother be with you. The man (this will used of Joseph is repeated in a striking manner farther on, and he is generally called האיש and האלשים), says Judah, expressly declared (האלשים to repeat, Hiph. to say again and again) that he would not suffer them to appear before him unless ("mostly præter, here nisi, as at Ex. xxii. 19) Benjamin were with them. Judah, from forbearance for his aged father, gives the mildest statement of what Joseph had said. Jacob's reproach, the justification of the brethren, and Judah's pledge, vv. 6-10: Then Israel said: Wherefore have you done me this evil, to inform the man whether you had yet a brother? But they said: The man inquired, yea inquired after us and our family saying: Is your father yet alive? Have ye another brother? And we told him according to these words-could we then know that he would say: Bring your brother down? And Judah said to Israel his father: Send the boy with me, and we will arise and depart, that we may live and not die, both we and thou and our children. I will be surety for him, of my hand shalt thou require him; if I bring him not to thee again and set him before thee, I will be guilty before thee for ever. For if we had not delayed, we should have already returned twice. The reproachful has the tone upon the ultima, by reason of the following aspirate. The interrogative n stands 6b ("whether yet") in an indirect question, as at viii. 8. They answered him as they were obliged to do, according to his questions ('a , as at Ex. xxxiv. 27, Lev. xxvii. 8, 18, Num. xxvi. 56, Deut. xvii. 10). With 7b comp. Jer. xiii. 12; has here a past meaning by reason of the historical connection. In ver. 8 sqq. Judah again entreats his father, in consideration of the starvation with which they are threatened, to send Benjamin with them; he will be surety for him, and will, if he does not bring him back, bear the guilt of it all his life (מְמָאתִי, as at 1 Kings i. 21). בּיעָתָה (surely then) stands in the apodosis of the conditional sentence as at xxxi. 42, Num. xxii. 29, 33, 1 Sam. xiv. 30, Job iii, 13. With this last saying Judah cuts the knot asunder. Israel submits to the inevitable, but at once knows also how to gain composure in God and to act

wisely under the circumstances, vv. 11-14: Then their father Israel said unto them: If there is nothing else, then do Take of the cutting of the land in your vessels, and take it down for a present to the man, a little balsam and a little honey, tragacanth and ladanum, pistachio nuts and almonds. And take double money in your hand, also the money returned in the top of your sacks take back in your hand, perhaps it was And take your brother and arise, go back to the an oversight. And God Almighty give you mercy before the man, that he may release to you your other brother and Benjamin; but as for me, let me be childless if I am to be so! Misk, though standing with the conditional sentence, logically belongs to the imperative, comp. xxvii. 37, Job ix. 24, xxiv. 25. remarkable that אַכּהְחָה is never used in ch. xliii., and that אַכּהְהַה always (six times) stands instead. מַמְכֵּת הָאָרָן is generally translated: Of the prize, i.e. the choicest productions of the country; so highly poetic an expression is however the more strange, since the ancient custom of the language always uses and its derivatives exclusively with reference to Divine worship, and only שיר in a wider sense (see Malbim on Ps. ci. 1)—hence ימר from ימר to pluck off the portion = produce, will here mean that which is cut off before the harvest= Dillm. compares the Arab. τα (fruits, LXX ἀπὸ cutting. τῶν καρπῶν τῆς γῆς), Dav. H. Müller (in Ges. Lex. 10th edit., p. 983) the Aramaic mirari, hence mirabilia (syn. Arab. On לם ,נלאת ,צרי see xxxvii. 25, where these three spices are mentioned as caravan wares. They are also to take with them רב ֹע, ניִשׁ to be compressed, thickened, grape syrup, i.e. must, boiled down to a third of its quantity, of which three hundred camel loads are still annually sent to Egypt from the neighbourhood of Hebron. בְּמַנִים pistaccio nuts, as Samar. Rashi, Tavus translate, the almond-like fruit of the Pistacia vera, Talm. בּוּמְמָא, בַּמְנָה, LXX τερέβινθον, certainly with the same meaning, since botn, Arab. botm, in the later usage of language designated both Pistacia terebinthus and Pistacia vera, and Diggi almonds, the fruit of the Amygdalus communis, which was more rare in Egypt. They were moreover to take double money with them, that which was required for new purchases, and that first purchase money, which certainly had come back to them only through an oversight (במיטב according to the Masora with Pathach instead of Kametz). The combination בַּכַף סִשְׁנֵה is appositional, as at Ex. xvi. 22; comp. מִשְׁנֶה־בֶּמֶף ver. 15, the double in money (acc. of the more exact definition, Ges. § 118. 3), as at Deut. xv. 18, Jer. xvii. 18. Jacob's speech continues to ver. 14, as might be expected; but perhaps here the expression of resignation, as it was found in E (comp. xlii, 36), is preferred. The other brother, אַחִיכָם אַחָר for הַאַחר, as at xlii. 19, comp. 33, is Simeon, who was left as a hostage. The concluding words are the expression of submission to the unalterable, comp. Esth. iv. 16 with 2 Kings viii. 4. Ges. § 126. 5, elsewhere an expression of the aimless, 2 Sam. xv. 20, 1 Sam. xxiii. 13, or of the boundless, Zech. x. 8. has a pausal ā from o as in ישבלתי and ty for ty xlix. 3, קימרף for יְשִׁרף xlix. 27, Ew. § 93. 3, comp. Hitzig on Isa. lix. 17. Journey and arrival, ver. 15: And the men took this present, and double money took they in their hand, and Benjamin, and they arose and went down to Egypt and stood before Joseph. With יאַר־בּנִימִין comp. xxi. 14 ואַר־בּנִימִין; Benjamin was then somewhat over twenty years of age. When Joseph saw him and was thus convinced that the brothers had done him no violence, he prepared a solemn reception for them, vv. 16, 17: When Joseph saw Benjamin with them, he said to the steward of his house: Bring the men into the house and slay cattle and make ready, for the men shall dine with me at noon. the man did as Joseph had said, and brought the men into Joseph's Instead of מְבָח מָבֶח we have מְבֶח מָבֶח dissimilarly Meat formed in Egypt also a main element of vocalized. food at both priestly and royal tables (Herod. ii. 37, 77). Their fear when brought in, and how it was allayed, vv.

18-25: Then the men were afraid, when they were brought into Joseph's house, and said: Because of the money that was returned in our sacks the former time are we brought in, that they may roll upon us and attack us and take us for slaves, together with our asses. And they came near to the man that was placed over Joseph's house and spoke to him, at the entry of the house, and said: Oh, my lord, we came down once before to buy corn. And it came to pass, when we came to the restingplace and opened our sacks, behold the money of each was at the top of his sack, our money according to its weight; now we bring it back in our hand. And other money have we brought with us in our hand, to buy corn; we do not know who put our money in our sacks. And he said: Be of good courage, fear not, your and your father's God has given you treasure in your sacks, your money came to me. And he brought out Simeon to them. And the man brought the men into Joseph's house and gave them water, and they washed their feet, and he gave their asses provender. And they made ready the present, before Joseph came at noon, for they had heard that they should By אַחָּלָּה they mean their first (previous) eat with him. Egyptian journey. Instead of ממושב 12b we here have אַפּשָׁב, which better expresses that the How is to them unknown and incomprehensible. Because they fear to be treated as embezzlers of others' property (the accusation of being spies is out of question), they seek to prevent what they fear. by explaining the state of affairs to the steward at the door of the house, which they so dread to enter. At the place of halting for the night, they discovered to their terror the purchase money returned in their corn-sacks (for these must, xlii. 27 sq., be completed according to the meaning of J; comp., on the other hand, xlii. 35). The steward discreetly gives a wise and kind answer: Peace be to you, i.e. lay aside your care and anxiety, I had your money quite right, hence what you found is a treasure given you by your God (סֹלִים) in the O. T. always expresses encouragement and congratulation, in later Hebrew, as in Aram. and Arab., greeting). He then brought Simeon out to them, led them into Joseph's house, and showed himself ready to serve them in various ways. They were now expecting Joseph, with whom, as they heard and also believed, they were to dine at noon, and they laid out their present to the best advantage (outside in the hall). The meeting before the repast, vv. 26-31: When Joseph came home, they brought him the present, which they had brought with them, into the house, and cast themsclves down to the ground. And Joseph asked them of their welfare, and said: Is your aged father, of whom you spake, well, is he still alive? They said: Thy servant, our father, is well. he is still alive; and they bowed and made obeisance. And he lifted up his eyes and saw Benjamin his brother, his mother's son, and said: Is this your youngest brother of whom ye spake! And he said: Elohim be gracious to thee, my son !- Then Joseph made haste, for his affection was kindled for his brother, and he was forced to weep, and he went into the inner room and wept there. Then he washed his face, came out, restrained himself and said: Set on the meal! The present which was בירם was, according to xxiv. 10, xxxv. 4, what they had brought with them, and this they made ready for presentation. has Mappik in the * that it may be plainly pronounced as a consonant; this occurs also Lev. xxiii. 17, Job xxxiii. 21, Ezra viii. 18, Olsh. § 32d. The reverential salutation is designated as at xviii. 2, xix. 1 and frequently, and is at 28b combined with מַקְּדוּ as at xxiv. 26, 48. When he sees Benjamin, his brother by the same mother, he makes inquiry, but without waiting for an answer greets him with a hearty: "Elohim be gracious to thee, my son" (بابت like Isa. xxx. 19 for אָתָּיָּר, Ew. § 251d). He was obliged, while thus speaking, to hasten, for—such is the literal meaning of 30a—his bowels יְהַמָּיו, LXX פֿאַגּמדם (פֿאַדפּףa), here equivalent to organs of feeling = feelings (as at 1 Kings iii. 26, Prov. xii. 10. comp. Isa. lxiii. 15, Syr. rahme = σπλάγχνα 2 Macc. ix.

5 sq.), were glowing (for which Syr. i.e. אתנוללו or אתנוללו they rolled themselves, DMZ. xxvi. 800, but see on Job iii. 5), i.e. he was overpowered by sympathetic affection and "he sought to weep," i.e. felt an irresistible impulse to do so (comp. a similar active expression for strong emotion, Isa. xiii. 8a), and went into a chamber (הַנְּרָה from חָבֵר to retire, to hide) and there gave vent to his tears. Then he washed his face, came back again, and, controlling his feelings, commanded the repast to be served. The feast, and the preference shown thereat to Benjamin, vv. 32-34: And they set on for him apart and for them apart, and for the Egyptians who ate with him apart, for the Egyptians cannot eat with the Hebrews, for that is esteemed an abomination by the Egyptians, And they sut before him, the first-born according to his birthright and the younger according to his youth, so that they looked one at another astonished. And they took messes to them from him, and Benjamin's mess was five times greater than that of any of them, and they drank and were full in his company. Joseph, as the illustrious head of the priestly order, was served apart, and the sons of Jacob and the Egyptians who ate with them apart, because Egyptians could not, i.e. might not, eat with Hebrews; this לא יוכלון (the form of the impf. energicum having slipped in) is Jahvistico-Deuteronomic, לא שבכל, used of moral impossibility, running through the whole of Deut.: xii. 17, xvi. 5, xvii. 15, xxi. 16, xxii. 3, 19, 29, xxiv. 4, comp. Ex. xix. 23. No refers to eating with foreigners in general, which ancient Egypt repudiated both from superstition and national pride, Diodor. Sic. i. 67, even their knives, forks, and crockery were avoided as defiled through their participation of sacred animals, Herod. ii. 41, comp. Ex. viii. 22, much more eating in common with the shepherd people of the Hebrews. Thus then they sat before him arranged from the first-born down to the youngest, exactly according to their respective ages, at which they VOL. II. X

looked at each other with the greatest astonishment (בוה אַל like אוו חרד אל xlii. 28). הרד אל is meant of messes for guests of honour, whom the entertainer pointed out. " they bore," has an unnamed subject, as is usual where the servants in waiting are intended (e.g. xxiv. 33 in J. in opposition to which may they did, xlii. 25, which may be from Benjamin's mess was five times greater (comp. the occurrence of this number with respect to Egyptian matters, xli. 34, xlv. 22, xlvii. 2, 24, Isa. xix. 18) than the mess of any of the others, just as the kings in Sparta were served with double portions, but οὐχ ἵνα διπλάσια καταφάγοιεν (Xen. de rep. Laced, xv. 4). The brothers drank and were drunk (to be understood in the sense of Hagg. i. 6), in feeling themselves at ease in his presence. The anxiety of conscience, which they experienced at the sight of the strange Egyptian lord, was now lost in a heartfelt delight, which was to them as inexplicable. But Joseph, the unknown and yet so well known, who has the key to the mystery, delights himself in the intoxicating rapture of these dearest of all guests, whom the LORD has brought him, and praises that wonderful leading of God, the glory of which beams upon him from their happy faces.

THE LAST TEST, CH. XLIV.

Yet one last trial is inflicted by Joseph upon his brethren. He has convinced himself that they have not done external violence to Benjamin as they did to himself, but he desires to be finally assured that the hardness of heart and want of feeling, which had formerly plunged their father into the deepest grief for his child have now passed away, and that a similar deed is impossible to them. The pastor-like spiritual wisdom with which he masters his natural feelings, to tread with them the way of God, is admirable. The mode of delineation is like that of ch. xliii; J is unmistakeable, and his text is here without admixture.

The brethren are dismissed with full sacks and with Joseph's cup, vv. 1-3: And he commanded the steward of his house saying: Fill the sacks of the men with food, as much as they can carry, and put every man's money at the top in his sack. And my cup, my silver cup, place at the top in the sack of the youngest, and his corn money! And he did according to the saying of Joseph, which he spake. The morning became light and the men were sent away, they and their asses. this portion of the narrative also אָמָהָּיָה is used throughout for pw, and sim (here and ver. 25, as at xliii. 2, 20, 22) for יב, the energetic imperfect form אָכָלה, as at xliii. 32, is also characteristic of J. What is aimed at is to accuse them of The superabundance of what they get for their money will stamp the theft of the silver cup as all the more glaring a crime. An eventual abandonment of Benjamin was deprived of all shadow of justification, by the fact that property not belonging to them was found in the sacks of The juxtaposition: the morning became light (אור Ges. § 72, note 1) and the men are sent away is similar to xix. 23 in J; it is the syntactic scheme of the contemporaneous which we already met with in Q, vii. 6. The pursuit and accusation, vv. 4-6: They were just gone out of the city and were not yet far off, when Joseph said to the steward of his house: Up, follow after the men, and when thou hast overtaken them, say to them: Wherefore have ye returned good for evil? not this it, out of which my lord drinketh, and by which he is accustomed to predict? An evil deed have ye done therein. He overtook them and said these words to them. 4a is likewise the scheme of the contemporaneous. The city is left unnamed; it would have been Zo'an (Tanis) if the Hyksos had then been ruling in Egypt, which, as we have seen on ch. xl., is improbable. Hence we shall have to regard it as Memphis, as Kn. also thinks, though as the supposed capital of the Hyksos. 5a refers to the cup; he purposely does not add חַנְּכִיץ, he is certain of the fact of the theft, and takes it for

granted that they will know what is in question. On יכתה ב to drink in = to drink out of anything, see Ges. 154. 3a. By the second is is meant, that by looking into this cup he was accustomed to investigate mysteries (vin divinare oloví- $\zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a i$, as the LXX translates here and xxx. 27). the land of soothsaying and magic (Isa. xix. 3, Kiddushin 49b), hydromancy, i.e. predicting from the appearances presented by the liquid contents of a goblet (κυλικομαντεία), a dish (λευκανομαντεία), or some other vessel, either alone or with something thrown into it, was customary. The cup, which is described to the men as Joseph's favourite cup and as a sacred vessel, is called from its calyx-shaped form; it was a κιβώριον like the Egyptian goblets which narrowed downwards (Athen. xi. p. 477, comp. Didymus Chalcenter. ed. Schmidt, p. 75). Their offer, and the terrible and surprising discovery, vv. 7-13: And they said to him: Wherefore speaketh my lord such things? Far be it from thy servants to do such a thing! We brought back to thee from the land of Canaan money which we found at the top of our sacks, how should we then steal silver or gold out of thy lord's house? With whomsoever of thy servants it is found, let him die, and let us also be henceforth bondmen to my lord. Then he said: Now then, as ye have said, so let it be: he with whom it is found shall be my bondman, and ye shall be free of punishment. Then they hastened and let down each his sack upon the ground, and opened each his sack. And he searched; he began at the eldest and ended at the youngest, and the cup was found in Benjamin's sack. Then they rent their garments, and each laded his ass, and they returned to the city. Earlier Jahvistic portions furnish parallel expressions to all and everything here, e.g. to the repudiating איך xxxix. 9. We should expect קבסף (Samar.) instead of and at 8a, but it is not necessary (comp. the translation above). In 10a by is placed first, though it logically belongs to a following member of the sentence as at 1 Sam. xii. 16, Hos. vi. 11, Zech. ix. 11, Job ii. 10. Joseph's

steward does not wish to be so harsh, but to deal more gently. With ready alacrity they assisted him in the search, which he effected according to their ages, and they may have been already triumphing, that their innocence was manifested, when the cup was at last found in Benjamin's sack. Then they rent their garments, reloaded their asses, and instead of leaving Benjamin behind as a bondman, return to the On their arrival they all desired to share the fate of Benjamin, vv. 14-17: Then Judah and his brethren went into Joseph's house, and he was still there, and they fell before him on the ground. And Joseph said unto them: What deed is this that we have done? Did we not know that such a man as I can divine? And Judah said: What shall we say to my lord, what shall we speak, or how shall we cleur ourselves? God hath laid hold of the iniquity of thy servants, and we are now bondmen to my lord, both we and he in whose hand the cup was found. But he said: Far be it from me to do thus. The man in whose hand the cup was found, let him be my bondman, and as for you, go up hence in peace to your father! Judah is placed foremost, because he had become surety for Benjamin. They find Joseph, who was expecting them, in a state of anxious suspense, still in the He addresses them harshly: they might surely have house. known that a man like himself would know how to find out what is concealed and would soon discover their deed. Judalı does not contradict the accusation, the proof is overwhelming. He sees therein the hand of God, who is thus laying hold of and visiting upon them the still unavenged crime they committed against their brother. Joseph however does not admit that they ought all to become his bondmen, he will only retain Benjamin, the really guilty one, and the rest shall return to Canaan לְּשָׁלוֹם (with ? of condition, which forms an adverbial notion) peacefully, i.e. unmolested (1 Sam. i. 17, xx. 42). Judah's remonstrance, vv. 18-34: Then Judah drew near and said: Oh, my lord, let thy servant, I

pray thee, speak a word in my lord's ears, and let not thine anger burn against thy servant, for thou art equal with Pharack. My lord asked his servants saying: Have ye yet a father or a brother? And we said to my lord: We have an aged father and a young child born to him in his old age, whose brother is dead, and he only is left of his mother, and his father loveth him. And thou saidst to thy servants: Bring him down to me, that I may set mine eyes upon him. And we answered my lord: The boy cannot leave his father, for if he should leave him-his father would die. But thou saidst to thy servants: Unless your youngest brother come down with you, ye shall see my face no more. And it came to pass, when we had gone up to thy servant, our father, we told him the words of my When then our father said: Go again, buy us a little food, we said: We cannot go down; if our youngest brother is with us, then will we go down, for we may not see the man's face except our youngest brother be with us. Then thy servant. my father, said unto us: Ye know that my wife bare me two. The one went away from me, and I said: Certainly he is torn to pieces, and I have not seen him since. Now ye will take this one also from before my face, and if an accident befall him, ye will have brought down my grey hairs with unhappiness to the grave. When then I come to thy servant, my father, and the boy is not with us, seeing his soul is linked to the boy's soul, it will come to pass, when he sees that the boy is not there, he will die, and thy servants will have brought down the grey hairs of thy servant, our father, with sorrow to the grave. For thy servant brought away the boy from his father and became surety, saying: If I bring him not back to thee, I will bear the blame to my father for life. Therefore let thy servant remain instead of the boy as bondman to my lord, but let the boy go up with his brethren. For how could I go to my father, except the boy be with me? Oh no, I cannot see the sorrow that will come upon my father. We have already had, xliii. 20, the courteous '? with which Judah begins. He desires to speak מָּאָנֵי of the

great lord, i.e. directly (without an interpreter) and audibly (comp. l. 4, but also xx. 8 and xxiii. 16). Thou and Pharaoh -he says-are equal one to another (3-3, as at xviii. 25). ילר וקנים 20a is equivalent to ברוקנים xxxvii. 3 (comp. on iv. 23), and the added pp does not describe Benjamin as a little child, but as still in the bloom of youth (and born in his father's old age, comp. 1 Kings iii. 7, 2 Chron. xiii. 7). By וְאָשִׁימָה עֵינִי עָלָיז 21b Judah explains the desire to see Benjamin as one of gracious intention (comp. Jer. xxxix. 12, xl. 4). אָך 28a is affirmative, as at xxix. 14. בָּרָעָה 29b or בְּרָעָה 31b, xlii. 38, to go down to Sheol, is the opposite of בּיֹשָלוֹם xv. 15. The emotionally repudiative 19 34b with the chief sentence understood is similar to xxxviii. 11. xlii. 4.—Judah's words are those of a heart which makes its owner eloquent, words subdued by wise moderation and overmastering grief, but manly and bold from a deeply-stirred feeling of duty, enhanced by the consciousness of his former guilt. Before him stands the lord of Egypt, whose heart he is trying to pierce; behind him are his prostrate brethren, all of whom he is representing. Judah was the most eloquent among his brethren. It was his eloquence that at last induced his father to entrust Benjamin to him, xliii. 8-10; he, by whose advice Joseph had been sold as a slave, condemns himself to slavery, for the sake of saving Benjamin. The change of disposition in his brethren has now been sufficiently tested, and a continuance of the restraint, which Joseph has put upon himself, is no longer The force of both the pain and the rapture of love can no longer endure restriction. The moment for the most touching and sacred scene of recognition-a turning-point full of important results in the history of Israel-has arrived.

THE RECOGNITION, CH. XLV.

The chief narrator seems here also to be J, his account being however completed from E. The passage vv. 17-23

is that which is the most certainly derived from the latter. The Divine name אלהים) decides nothing, for only at xlvi. 2 does E, and at xxxix. 2, 3, 5, 21, 23 does J announce himself by the former, calling the God who presides over the history of Joseph אלהים, and the latter calling Him ההית. Joseph himself never calls Him יהוה (not even in ch. xxxix.), but six times האלהים and nine times Pharaoh also, the brethren and Jacob call God אלהים (ה) with or without the article; and what is striking, Jacob, in a text derived from J, xlviii. 20, even calls the God in whose name he is blessing אלהים. Nor is ישראל for מעקב any safe criterion. Certainly this name makes us think in the first place of J (p. 225); but E also calls the sons of Jacob בני ישראל at xlii. 5, xlvi. 5, and here ver. 21. In ch. xlviii, the names are interchanged both in the parts taken from E and those taken from J, and is it then J, who at xxxv. 21 sq. says שראל three times in one breath?

Joseph has hitherto suppressed his feelings, for the sake of carrying out the plan of simulation which he had devised. His object is now attained. He has convinced himself that Benjamin is still alive, and has not become like himself a victim of his brothers' envy. He has taken a deep look into his brothers' hearts and has found them changed for the better. He has heard them, and above all Reuben (the comparatively least guilty, yet still as an accessory not innocent), repent and bewail the crime committed against himself, which is now visited upon them. Their tender affection for their aged father, and their loyalty towards the only remaining son of Rachel, have been made manifest by Judah's speech. cannot but regard Benjamin as the guilty one, who has by theft plunged them all into misery; but they do not load him with reproaches, they do not regard themselves as released from the promise given concerning him to their father, they take the blame upon themselves as for their common act. Their conduct under this last test is the clear reflection of their wakeful conscience, of their converted heart. At the

same time he looks into the whole depth of his miserably deceived father's mourning of now twenty-two years' duration for himself, his lost son. By sympathy he is sharing the anxiety which that father is now certainly undergoing about Benjamin. Any longer continuance of the seeming callousness. which he has not even been able to maintain without intermingling in it various marks of kindness, would be the greatest self-torture, and is indeed in the overwhelming rush of emotion utterly impossible, ver. 1a: Then Joseph could no longer restrain himself before all them that stood before him, and he cried: Make every one go out from me! At the first sight of Benjamin it already became difficult to him to restrain himself (xliii. 31), but he did it because of the bystanders מיב על), as at xxiii. 2 and also xxviii. 13); he now commands them to retire, their presence being, as is shown by בָּעָלֵי, an intolerable burden. He is thus left alone, and as the narrator, with profound consciousness of the significance of this scene in the redemptive history, adds, ver. 1b: And there stood no one with him, while Joseph made himself known to his brethren. The Hithpa. יְּתְּחַבֵּע only again at Num. xii. 6, properly to make oneself known, comp. to make oneself great. was a transaction so tender and sacred, that the presence of an observer could not but be regarded as a profanation, a mutual outpouring of hearts, which, beside God, Who knows all things, no one ought to hear, and indeed no one was capable of understanding, ver. 2: Then he burst out into loud weeping, and the Egyptians heard it, and the house of Pharaoh heard it. The Egyptians (הַפְּצְרִים=כִּצְרִים) outside heard it, and the news that some extraordinary occurrence must have happened soon reached Pharaoh's palace. His first word is, ver. 3a: I am Joseph, and his next: Is my father yet alive? He has already often heard that he was alive and has himself already asked it, but it is the first and greatest need of his heart again to assure himself of it. But his brethren-continues the narrator, ver. 3b-could not answer him, for they were dismayed before him.

Then Joseph said to them, ver. 4a: Come nearer to me, I pray you, and they came nearer. And he said further, vv. 4b-13: I am Joseph your brother, whom ye sold into Egypt; and now trouble not yourselves, think not that you must be angry with yourselves that you sold me hither, for Elohim sent me hither before you to preserve life. For there have now been two years of famine in the land, and there come yet five years, in which shall be neither ploughing nor harvest. So then Elohim sent me before you to preserve you a remnant in the earth and to spare your life for a great escape. Now then—it is not you that sent me hither, but God, and He has made me a father to Pharaoh 1 and lord of all his house, and ruler over the whole land of Egypt. Go up quickly to my father and say unto him: Thus saith thy son Joseph: Elohim hath made me lord of all Egypt, come down to me, tarry not. And thou shalt dwell in the land of Goshen and shalt be near me, thou and thy children and thy children's children and thy cattle and all that is thine. And I will nourish thee there, for there are yet to be five years of famine, that thou mayest not come to poverty, and thy household and all that is thine. And behold your eyes see, and the eyes of my brother Benjamin, that it is my mouth that speaketh unto you. And tell my father all my honour in Egypt, and all that you have seen, and hasten, bring my father hither to me. On אַשר . אַרְיּ (relative of the 1st pers.) see Ges. § 123, note 1. corresponds with J's description of the procedure, according to which Joseph was sold by Judah's advice, xxxvii. 26, 27, 28b, comp. (according to E) xl. 15a. peculiar ארה בּעיני 5a also belongs to the style of J at xxxi. 35, besides which a similar example to יוה שנתים is also found at xxxi. 38, 41. The phrase שום שארית 7a is like 2 Sam. xiv. 7. The hind which follows is combined with

¹ The Codex of R. Meir and that which was, as the Midrash on Genesis of Mose-ha-Darshan (in MSS. at Prague) says, preserved in the Severus synagogue at Rome, read here ישׁני, i.e. as it is explained יְשָׁנֵי (and he lent me to Pharaoh that I should be a father to him), an incredible various reading (see A. Epstein in Gratz's Monateschrift, xxxiv.).

in the sense of לְחֵת מְחָיָה Ezra ix. 8 sq.: to you for a great escape (comp. xxxii. 9 in J and the Assyr. balâțu to live, properly to escape, to be preserved). They are the notions פלימה and פלימה which subsequently attained so great importance in prophecy, which here appear by way of prelude in the mouth of Joseph, the type of Christ, the preserver of his family, and in it of the future nation (see Hoelemann in the Sächs. Kirchen- u. Schulblatt, 1873, No. 14). "Father to Pharaoh" is the title of the highest dignitary, who as first councillor is always near the king, comp. on אַכָּרָגּ xli. 43. here corresponds with שַׁלִים in E, xlii. 6. Dwelling in Goshen (see concerning this district of Lower Egypt, situate at at all events on the east of the Nile, on xlvii. 27; the LXX translates in this passage έν γη Γεσέμ 'Αραβίας), and therefore on the soil of Pharaoh's kingdom, Jacob is near his son, and incomparably easier to be reached, than at that time in Canaan. There he will nourish his family (בְּלֶבֵּל, as at xlvii. 12, l. 21) and protect them from poverty in the years of famine which are still to come (transformed from hardly: taken possession of = to be without posses-"Your eyes see" sounds Deuteronomic, Deut. iii. 21, iv. 3, xi. 7, xxviii, 32, which is not strange in the Jahvistic Three times does Joseph (vv. 7, 8, 9) bring it forward to comfort them, that what they did, had been of God's disposing for their own good. What a thoroughly noble heart it was, that he opened to his brethren! When he had thus poured forth his heart, vv. 14, 15: He fell upon his brother Benjamin's neck and wept, and Benjamin wept on his neck. And he kissed all his brethren and wept on them, and after this his brethren talked That עליהם has not a causal (as e.g. at Lam. i. 16) with him. but a local meaning is shown by the preceding "on his neck." It is not to be seen why ver. 15 should be from E (Dillm.), and not, like ver. 14, from J (comp. xlvi. 29, xxxiii. 4). was now that the brothers first ventured to approach him, the string of their tongues is now loosened, and they are able to

talk with him. The sacred history maintains in the history of Joseph all its greatness; here especially, in the scene of the recognition, all is nature, all spirit and all art; every word is as it were bathed in tears of sympathy, in the heart's blood of love, in the wine of rapture. Never, says Klopstock, have few words expressed more noble passion. The foil however of this history, so beautiful in itself, is the Antitype, Who sheds over it His glorifying light. For after the Jewish nation delivers Jesus into the hands of the Gentiles, the antitypical history of this fraternal treachery also discharges itself into adorable depths of the wisdom and knowledge of God. Ad hoc enim—remark Augustine, Rabanus Maurus and others on this subject—Christus a Judæis traditus est gentibus, tanquam Joseph Ægyptiis a fratribus, ut et reliquiæ Israel salvæ fierent.

The intelligence of the arrival of Joseph's brethren, which soon reached the palace, made a favourable impression upon Pharaoh, and therefore of course upon the court officials, ver. 16: And the report was heard in Pharach's house, saying: Joseph's brethren are come! And it was pleasing in the eyes of Pharaoh and in the eyes of his servants. The interposition of this information was needed by what follows in ver. 17; hence the narrator is not necessarily another than in ver. 2, but still J (comp. ימב בעיני, xli. 37). The command of Pharaoh, vv. 17-20: And Pharaoh said unto Joseph: Say to thy brethren: This do: lade your beasts and go hence to Canaan. And take your father and your families and come to me, and I will give you the best of the land of Egypt, and eat the fat of the land. Now thou art commanded (to say to them): This do ye: take you out of the land of Egypt waggons for your little ones and your wives, and set your father upon one and come! And let not your eyes rest regretfully upon your stuff, for the best of the land of Egypt is yours. It was an act of gratitude for the king to invite the family of Joseph to Egypt; this free and honourable invitation implied the right of Israel to leave it also without

obstruction. There is not a word of this invitation in xlvi. 28 sqq.; but this involves no contradiction, the matter there in question being the securing their possession of the land of Goshen, by reference to their occupation of shepherds; nevertheless since xlv. 28 sqq. is from J, xlv. 17-20 may be from E. שם to load, is משם אַר (comp. עמס על in J xliv. 13). occurs also in the book of the covenant, Ex. xxii. 1, and elsewhere only Num. xx. 4, 8, 11, Ps. lxxviii. 48, Arab. عد camels, as the chief element of property in cattle (DMZ. xxx. On לכרכאוי comp. Isa. xxii. 15, Ezek. iii. 4; on the interchanged with חֵלֶב of the land, 2 Kings viii. 9 (differing, as Dillm, remarks, from מיטב הארץ the best part of the land); and on עינכם אל החלם Deut. vii. 16 and frequently, it is an expression native to Deut. within the Pentateuch. After צויתה we must with the Syr. supply אָלר אָל־אָתִיּך; but according to the LXX Jer. צייה אתם was incorrectly written for צייה אתם Execution of the royal command, and supplies for the journey, vv. 21-23: And the sons of Jacob did so, and Joseph gave them waygons according to the command of Pharaoh. and gave them provision for the journey. To all of them he gave each man new raiment, and to Benjamin three hundred pieces of silver and five new suits of raiment. And to his father he sent on this manner, ten asses laden with the good things of Egypt, and ten she-asses laden with corn and bread and victuals for his father upon the journey. Ancient Egypt was rich in vehicles and horses for both warlike and peaceful purposes, comp. l. 9 with Isa. xxxvi. 9. חַלְפוֹת שׁמָלת changes of raiment, then like بَدَلَة new garments in general, as at Judg. xiv. 12 sq., comp. ver. 19 and frequently. Instead of we have everywhere else with a foretone Kametz; the meaning is the same, not like the LXX, Vulg.: as many changes of raiment, but so many presents, viz. the following. dismissal, ver. 24: So he sent his brethren away and they departed, and he said to them: Fall not out on the way, viz. as

to the share of one above another in the injustice committed which had now to be confessed to their father, or from envy at the preference of one above another. The LXX and all ancient translations correctly give μη δργίζεσθε, while on the other hand the explanation: Tremble not, i.e. be of good cheer on the way, gives here a superfluous and moreover an inaptly expressed thought. The arrival, the announcement and the impression made, vv. 25-28: And they went up out of Egypt and came to the land of Canaan, to Jacob their father. And they told him saying: Joseph is yet alive, and he is governor over the whole land of Egypt; and his heart was numbed, for he believed them not. And they told him all the words of Joseph, which he had said unto them, and he saw the waggons which Joseph had sent, then the spirit of Jacob their father revived. And Israel said: Enough, Joseph my son is still alive. go and see him before I die. With the announcement turns into an oratio obliqua. מַנ לְבוֹ does not mean: his heart remained cold (Kn. Arnh. Keil), but it became cold, it stared at the fabulous narrative without being able to grasp it as true. But when he recognised, in the words and conduct of Joseph as they were related to him, the image of his son, and when the waggons, which were before him, brought to his eyes his rank and wealth, he exclaimed, esteeming rank, wealth and presents as nothing: Enough (briefly, as at 2 Sam. xxiv. 16, 1 Kings xix. 4 for יָברלי), my son Joseph is alive, and faith and love renewing his youth: I will go and see him before I Jacob believed not—then the spirit of Jacob their father revived and Israel said-what a judicious change of The feeble old man says: I will go and see him, as if he needed the aid of no one in going to Egypt. Joseph is the one thought in which he is absorbed. This one thought he follows like a magnet, turning neither to the right hand nor the left. But this Jacob to whom the spirit of his youth thus returns is Israel. It is the nation of that name whose migration to Egypt and its birth there is decided by this אַלְבָה

THE REMOVAL OF ISRAEL TO GOSHEN IN EGYPT, CH. XLVI.

Here begins that third section of the Toledoth of Jacob which extends from the migration to Egypt to the prosperous sojourn and increase in Goshen, ch. xlvi.-xlvii. 27. 1. REMOVAL OF THE FAMILY OF JACOB, xlvi. 1-7. This is the first of the three portions of which ch. xlvi, is composed. The account down to ver. 5 is by E, and its amplification, ver. 6 sq., by Q. That J has a share in ver. 1 sq. is inferred from Beersheba being, according to E, the dwelling-place of Jacob, and not merely the intermediate station. But this assumption cannot be proved (comp. on xxxvii. 14). 1b is also similar to xxxi, 54, and 2a to xx. 3. In vv. 3-5 indeed the tokens of E are incomparably more abundant; in the first place, ver. 5, comp. xlv. 19 (where at the same time 21a showed that ישלראל for your is no decisive sign against him), and 3b, comp. xxi. 13. Parallels are also furnished in E to conspicuous particulars of style, while, on the other hand, ver. 6 sq. is a transition to the following catalogue of names similar in style to the second Elohist. The departure, ver. 1: And Israel departed with all that he had and came to Beersheba, and offered sacrifices to the God of his father Isaac. Travelling from Hebron, xxxvii. 14. in the direction of Egypt, Jacob arrives at Beersheba (באַכה אָבֶע, comp. xxviii. 2), where were the tamarisk planted by Abraham, xxi. 33, and the altar of Isaac, xxvi. 25. he offered sacrifices to the God of his father Isaac (according to xxxi. 54, sacrifices with a sacrificial repast, the only passage, apart from ch. xxxi., where the patriarchs appear as sacrificing), just when he was, certainly not without a deep feeling of melancholy mingled with his joy, about to leave the Land of Promise. Manifestation of God in Beersheba, vv. 2-4: And Elohim spake to Israel in a vision of the night, and said: Jacob! Jacob! And he said: Here am I. Then He said: I am El, the God of thy father, fear not to go down into Egypt, for I will there make thee a

great nation. As for me, I will go down with thee to Egypt, and I will also bring thee up again, and Joseph shall close thine eyes. The plur. מָרָאֹת is the intensive plur. expressive of grandeur and importance. The inf. ni stands midway between בָּרָה and בְּרָה, according to לֵרָה, בַּעָה, the ancient original form ridat, and וַם־עָלה is like נָם־אָכוֹל, xxxi. 15, both in E, comp. AN, Isa. xxxv. 2, and on the inf. abs. of Kal with Hiph., Ges. § 131, note 2. However high Joseph might stand in Pharaoh's favour, Egypt was still a foreign land, and it would not be without apprehension that Jacob would contemplate his own and his descendants' future. His heart would cleave to Canaan, which was his native land by nature and his true home by promise. Hence it is that the Divine encouragement vouchsafed him takes the form of an assurance, that he does not go to Egypt alone, nor without hope of return. Thus reassured he continues his journey, ver. 5: And Jacob rose up from Beersheba, and the sons of Israel took their father and their little ones and their wives in the waggons which Pharaoh had sent to carry him. In an Egyptian painting there is a representation of an Ethiopian princess returning to Thebes, the capital, in a waggon, under a sunshade attached to it, with her servant guiding the two cows harnessed to it. The body of the vehicle, resting on two wheels, is only just large enough for two persons, as are also the frequently depicted state chariots and war chariots מֵרְכָּבָה and רֶבֶב Egypt. markabuta). The waggons which Joseph sent were, on the contrary, certainly four-wheeled conveyances, like that of the chamberlain, Acts viii., who, though surely not without servants, yet asked Philip the deacon to sit beside him. In such waggons drawn by oxen did the women and children of the patriarchal family travel with their aged father. The cattle were driven, and the rest of their goods packed upon asses and camels. Thus they came to Egypt, vv. 6, 7: And they took their cattle and their goods, which they had gotten in the land

of Canaan, and came to Egypt, Jacob and all his seed with him. His sons and his sons' sons with him, and his daughters and his sons' daughters and all his seed brought he with him to Egypt. It is the same kind of statement as at xii. 5, xxxi. 18, xxxvi. 6, comp. also on ink vii. 7, 13, and other passages.

Here follows the second of the three portions of which ch. xlvi. consists: 2. A CATALOGUE OF THE NAMES OF THOSE WHO MIGRATED TO EGYPT, vv. 8-27. Kuenen (Einl. § 6, note 1) regards this as a piece of patchwork put together from Num. xxvi. In our opinion its author is Q, who is characterized both by פרן ארם and יצאי יירכו (ver. 26 as at Ex. i. 5, comp. Gen. xxxv. 11, elsewhere only at Judg. viii. 30); nor is ייבל אַת ver. 20 against him, for he thus writes at Num. xxvi. 60; also (as the Jahvist does, iv. 18) R may have interposed here and there, but nothing can with certainty be shown to be of his insertion, except the relative sentence in ver. 20, and that not from its contents, but from the syntactic harshness of the annexation. words יַעֶּלֶב וּבָנִין are the title and theme of the table, which is arranged, as it were, in four columns. Jacob stands at the head, and his sons are classified according to his four wives, Leah, Zilpah, Rachel, Bilhah; all is clear, it is only strange, but not doubtful, that in ver. 15 Jacob is reckoned in with the בני לאה (with these, because his seed began with them), instead of being added to them. Under Leah stand Reuben with four sons = 5; Simeon with six = 7; Levi with three = 4; Judah with five sons, of whom 'Er and Onan are, as is remarked, omitted, as having died in Canaan, and two grandsons, as a compensation for the two sons who died childless = 6; Issachar with four sons = 5; Zebulun with three = 4; and Dinah (who, having fallen, remained single, and moreover did not become a mother). hence mentioned alone, and is included in the computation as being also the eldest of the daughters, ver. 7. have 5+7+4+6+5+4+1=32, but with Jacob, 33. VOL IL

Under Zilpah stand Gad with seven sons = 8; Asher with four sons, a daughter (Serah, who, like Dinah, is enumerated for a special reason) and two grandsons = 8. Hence 16. Under Rachel, Jacob's wife kar' ¿£.: Joseph with two sons = 3; Benjamin with ten = 11, consequently **14**. Bilhah: Dan with one son = 2; Naphtali with four = 5, consequently 7. These together (33 + 16 + 14 + 7) make 70 souls. The catalogue however reckons at first, ver. 26, only 66 descendants of Jacob (who "came forth out of his loins," comp. xxiv. 2), leaving out of the computation Jacob and Joseph with the two sons of the latter, whom the family that migrated to Egypt found there. If however Jacob and Joseph, with Ephraim and Manasseh, are added, there are 70.1 And Joseph's sale into Egypt being, as he himself regarded it, xlv. 2, only a sending thither beforehand, the account is quite right when it says finally, ver. 27b: All the souls of the house of Jacob which came into Egypt (אשר באה=הבאה, see Ges. § 109) were seventy. The same number is given Ex. i. 5, Deut. x. 22. The LXX however, comp. Acts vii. 14, reckons έβδομηκονταπέντε, counting, in accordance with its enlargement of ver. 20 (which omits בֶּבֶר the son of Ephraim, Num. xxvi. 35), three grandsons and two great-grandsons of Joseph, and at last, ver. 27, by the addition of 9 Josephites to the 66 descendants of Jacob makes the number 75.

So far all is clear. But taking the statement literally, that the sixty-seven—for this is their number including Jacob and excluding Joseph with Manasseh and Ephraim—came to Egypt, difficult questions arise. Since there are only about two-and-twenty years between the sale of Joseph and the migration of Jacob,² and the birth of Judah's twin children

¹ According to ancient Jewish explanation the meaning is, that when they came into Egypt, by including among them Joseph and his two sons and Jochebed who were born איני שוריא (i.e. at the wall of Sesostris at the eastern boundary of Egypt), there were 70 of them; see Targ. Jer. and Bashi on xlvi. 26, and Brüll's Jahrbücher für jüd. Lit. u. Gesch. 1888, p. 100 sq.

² Kanzleirat Paret, in his work on The Era of the World, 1880, p. 24, in

takes place after the former event, Perez, who, according to ver. 12, came to Egypt with Hezron and Hamul, must have been born and already have begotten two sons within these twenty-two years. This is not impossible, but with regard to patriarchal custom improbable. A greater difficulty arises from the fact of ten sons being awarded to Benjamin (according to the LXX: three sons with five grandsons and a great-grandson). Benjamin appears indeed in the preceding history not as a boy in the ordinary sense of the word, but at all events as still a young man. His birth took place, as we saw, p. 234, in the 106th year of Jacob (the last before Joseph's disappearance), and perhaps some years earlier. Hence, at the time of the migration he was perhaps twenty-four years old (according to Demetrius in Eus. Praep. ix., twenty-one ἐτῶν κή), and as such might as well be called נַעַר as Joseph when nearly thirty, xli. 12, comp. xlvi.; Absalom is also called נער 2 Sam. xvii. 32, and Solomon, 1 Kings iii. 7, calls himself נער קסן, while at xiv. 24 נער קסן are men fit for war. But this was an age at which, even if he is made, as by Grossrau, a polygamist, he could hardly have already had, and certainly according to the impression made by the preceding narrative had not had, ten sons. Nor is this indeed the meaning of the The rude contrast said to exist between A(Q) and C(J), by the former making Benjamin a man above thirty, and the latter representing him as a young boy, is improbable in itself, and is done away with by the obvious view (Hengstb., Reinke and others), that those grandsons of Jacob, who were not born till after the migration, are regarded as members of his family, who came into Egypt in their fathers. The expres-

which he relies for chs. v. and xi. on the numbers of the LXX, thinks that the sojourn in Egypt amounted to 400 years, to 480 if we date it from Joseph's arrival there; for that from Joseph's sale to the settlement of the family of Jacob in Egypt there elapsed 30 years. But the statements, xxxvii. 2, xli. 46, xlv. 11, give 18+7+2 years, which cannot be extended to 30. Paret is however right in saying that 215 years are insufficient for the number of the people assumed, Ex. xii. 37, comp. Köhler, Gesch. i. 164 sq.

sion of the catalogue is consequently cautious, it does not say עם־יעקב but ליעקב (לְבֵית־יעקב) אינ (לְבֵית־יעקב) ייעקב This view," objects Kn., "is inadmissible; the narrator reminds us only in the case of Manasseh and Ephraim that they were born in Egypt; he makes this remark repeatedly, and hence with special purpose (vv. 20, 27, Ex. i.)," But the remark with respect to Manasseh and Ephraim distinguishes these two, as found in Egypt, from those who migrated thither. That many of those named were not born to their fathers till after the latter had come to Egypt, is not contrary to either the object or meaning of the list. From xlii. 37 (E) we know that Reuben had two sons at the time of the second journey to Egypt, but the list reckons four as coming to Egypt with their father. by the counterpart, Num. xxvi., what the author was concerned about: he desired to show that the roots of the subsequent nation were transplanted to Egypt in the family of Jacob; he names the ancestors of the families, who were at the time of the exodus the most notable and numerous (as many as five were then already extinct). In such enumerations the power of the idea over the materials is shown. The sacred historians enclose their materials in the frame of significant numbers. Ten is the number of the finished whole, upon which is impressed the characteristic of sacredness by multiplication with seven, the number of disclosed unity, and especially of the Divine glory. The number 70 (=7 x 10) stamps the little band of emigrants (Deut. xxvi. 5) as the holy seed of the people of God.

The list of names, Num. xxvi., differs in many respects from that of Gen. xlvi. The LXX modifies the latter by the former. Two of the sons of Benjamin appear, Num. xxvi., as his grandsons. And ten names of the same persons there differ more or less. The deviating pairs of names are either two different names of the same meaning, as אָרוֹר (from אַרוֹר) and אָרוֹר (or slightly differing forms of the same name, as אָרוֹר (מַרְאָל and מָרוֹר, אָרוֹר (מַרְאָל and אָרוֹר), אַרוֹר (מַרְאָל (מַרְאָל (מַרְאָל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַר (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַר (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַר (מַרְאַר (מַרְאַל (מַרְאַר (מִבְּי (מִבְי (מִ

and באָחיִי, or the abbreviated and the full name, as מוֹיִלָם and אַחִייָם, or apparently various readings of the tradition, as and and מְּחִייָם and מַּחִייָם and מַּחִייָם and מַּחִייָם and מַּחִייָם and מַּחִייִם and מַּחִייִם and מַּחִייִם and מַּחִייִם and מַחִייִם and and מַחִייִם and מַחַייִם and מַחַים and מַחַייִם and מַחַייִם and מַחַייִם and מַחַייִם and מַחַים מַחַּים and מַחַים מַחַּים מוּתְּיִּים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַים מַּחַים מַחַּים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַים מַחַּים מַחַּים מַּחַים מַחַּים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַחַּים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מִּחַים מַּחַים מַּחַים מַחַּים מַּחַים מַּחַם מַּחַים מִּחַים מִּחַם מִּחַים מִּחַם מִּחַם מַּחַם מַּחַים מִּחַם מַּחַם מִּחַם מַּחַם מִּחַם מַּחַם מִּחַם מִּחְּתְּים מִּחַם מִּתְּים מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחְים מִּחַם מִּחְים מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מִּחַם מְּחַם מִּתְּים מִּחַם מִּחְים מִּתְּים מִּחְּתְּים מִּחְים מִּתְּים מִּתְּים

After the list, xlvi. 8-27, whose contents and object extend beyond the immediate present, the narrative is again taken up, and the third of the three portions of ch. xlvi. now follows. 3. THE MEETING AND RECEPTION IN GOSHEN. The narrator is J, as is at once perceived by the prominence given to Judah. Judah sent before, ver. 28: And Judah he sent before him to Joseph, to give information before him to Goshen, and they came to the land of Goshen. Instead of the LXX, Sam. Syr. read להראות, which Wellh. Dillm. pronounce to be Niph.: that he (Joseph) might appear before him (Jacob). It is indeed fitly said, 29b, of Joseph, the ruler of Egypt, that he appeared before (showed himself to) his father; but the lower cannot without discourtesy and irreverence send word to the higher to appear before him. The translation too of Arnheim and others: that he might show the way to Goshen before him, is impossible; for that would only have meaning and purpose if Jacob and his family had gone directly after him, which is excluded by שַׁלָּה. The purpose of sending the energetic and fluent Judah was, that he might take information to Goshen of the approaching arrival of the family. Both לפניו refer to Jacob; the second includes the obj. of הורה: information before him, is that of his speedy following (comp. Ex. xxxv. 34: to instruct, to give information). Luther too

¹ If Alfred Jeremias, Die Babylonisch-assyr. Vorstellungen vom Leben nach dem Tode (1887), p. 123, is in the right, when he says that Zion is called אַראַראַ Isa. xxix. 1 sq., with reference to the Babylonio-Assyrian Arala, which on the one side is the seat of God (comp. Ps. xlviii. 3), and on the other conceals within it the world beneath, the proper name אַרְאָב (here and Num. xxvi. 17) might be compared with the Greek proper name 'Ολύμντος.

gives this explanation of the ambiguous words: ut doceat Juda et significet fratri Joseph adventare patrem et hortetur eum ut veniat in Gosen; the LXX, taking the commission of Judah as an announcement to Joseph, translates with more exact designation of the place of meeting: τὸν δὲ Ἰούδα απέστειλεν ξμπροσθεν αὐτοῦ πρὸς Ἰωσὴφ συναντήσαι αὐτῷ καθ' 'Ηρώων πόλιν είς γην 'Ραμεσσή. The Memphitic translation has: "at Petom the city in the land of Ramses." excavations of E. Naville (1883) in Tell el-Maskhuta make it overwhelmingly probable, that it was not the store-city Ramses, but Pithom (i.e. the place of the god Tuen) that was The inscription EPO CASTRA upon a stone, situate there. which was found in a wall of the Roman settlement hard by the ruins of Pithom, speaks in favour of Hero (Herôônpolis) being a more recent city near Pithom.1 It may well be supposed that the meeting between Jacob and Joseph took place here, the latter coming from Memphis for the purpose. On the arrival of Jacob and his family, Joseph hastens to welcome his father, ver. 29: And Joseph made ready his chariot, and went up to meet his father to Goshen, and he appeared before him and fell upon his neck, and wept on his neck a long time. The אַלה, generally used of the journey from the valley of the Nile to Canaan, stands here for that from the interior of Egypt towards the wilderness; and the יניהא, elsewhere only used of Divine appearances, corresponds with the החחש with respect to the brethren. The high-pitched expression serves to designate the solemnity of the meeting. He who falls upon his neck seems to be Joseph, but perhaps it is Jacob (Reggio), after Joseph had made himself known to his uncertain and anxious father (comp. the change of subject, Ps. lxxii. 15). אור (from אור redire) means, as at Ruth i. 14, Eccles. vii. 28, again and again, repeatedly and continually. The aged father's overwhelming joy, ver. 30: Then

¹ See Dillmann's article on "Pithom, Hero, Klysma," in the Report of the Royal Academy of Sciences, xxxix., 1885.

Israel said to Joseph: Now let me die, since I have seen thy face, that thou art yet alive. A similar Dyna as at ii. 23, xxix. 34, xxx. 20, at the attainment of a wish. Advice to the newly-arrived, vv. 31-34: And Joseph said to his brethren and to his father's house: I will go up and tell Pharaoh, and will say to him: My brethren and my father's house, which were in the land of Canaan, are come to me. And the men are shepherds, for they have always been keepers of cattle, and they have brought with them their flocks and their herds and all that they When then Pharaoh shall call you and ask you, What is your occupation? say: Thy servants have been keepers of cattle from our youth up till now, we as our fathers—that ye may dwell in the land of Goshen, for every shepherd is an abomination to the Egyptians. The last words also form part of Joseph's address. Kn. lays stress upon צאן, in distinction from בקר, for sheep and goats were not among the Egyptians customary sacrificial animals, because their flesh did not form part of the priestly and royal diet, and because woollen fabrics were esteemed unclean by the priests and not used for the apparel of the dead. But the conclusion, that shepherds and goatherds were therefore הiעבה in a high degree to the Egyptians, is not confirmed. Only swineherds were such (Herod. ii. 47), and they were nevertheless reckoned together with cowherds among the seven castes (Herod. ii. 164), both together forming the herd caste (Diod. i. 74). The name βουκόλοι is only an appellation a potiori, for pictures of goatkeeping and sheep-tending appear on the monuments, together with representations of cattle-rearing, while among the herds appear together with asses and horned cattle, also sheep and rams, goats and he-goats by thousands; goats, wethers and he-goats are being driven over the newly-sown fields, to tread the seed-corn into the soil; and the flesh of sheep and goats is customary and favourite food. In xlvii. 17 not only horned cattle, but also flocks of small cattle, are mentioned, together with horses and asses, as property of the Egyptians.

Hence the statement of Joseph can only be a strong expression for the depreciation of the shepherd caste as the lowest, and not for the depreciation of non-Egyptian nomads (Dillm.), for the reason 34b sounds unlimited (comp. on the contrary xliii. 32). Graul in his Travels, ii. 171, remarks, that the shepherds and goatherds on the monuments are depicted accordingly -- they are all long, lean, haggard, sickly and almost ghost-like forms, recalling the famished appearance of those Indian castes who are similarly contrasted with the well-fed appearance of the agricultural Brahmanic state. Joseph hopes that Pharaoh, when he learns their occupation, will the more readily allow them to dwell in Goshen, far away from the centre of the country, that fertile district which his brotherly affection intended for them (xlv. 10), while Pharaoh had only offered in general terms to give up to them "the best of the land" (xlv. 18, 20). At the same time Joseph's wisdom sought to prevent his brethren from coming to the court and having too much inclination for, and contact with the Egyptians; he took care for this beforehand, by affixing to them a vitium originis (v. Moser).

THE SETTLEMENT OF ISRAEL IN EGYPT, AND THEIR PROSPEROUS AND CONTINUED EXISTENCE THERE DURING THE EXTREMITY OF THE FAMINE, CH. XLVIL 1-27.

The narrator from ver. 1 onwards is J, but R seems from vv. 5-11 to have kept to Q; מַמָבּ 6a, 11a, occurs again indeed only in the Book of the Covenant, Ex. xxii. 4, and מַמְבֵּעְ יִ בְּעְּמְבֵּע is without further confirmation in the Hebrew text. The LXX has it once more, xlvi. 28, in a Jahvistic connection. If however Q has a share in the composition, vv. 5-11 almost entirely, and ver. 27, belong to him. Only J and E have claims to the rest, without its being possible to effect any certain division.

Joseph now announces to Pharaoh the arrival of his family,

ver. 1: And Joseph came and told Pharaoh, and said: My father and my brethren and their sheep and their oxen and all that they have are come from the land of Canaan, and behold they are in the land of Goshen. He thus did as he had told his brethren, xlvi. 31 sqq., he would, when he also instructed them how to behave towards Pharaoh. The audience and the king's decision, vv. 2-6: And out of the body of his brethren he took five men and presented them unto Pharaoh. Pharaoh said unto his brethren: What is your occupation? And they said to Pharaoh: Thy servants are shepherds, both we and our fathers. And they said to Pharaoh: To sojourn as strangers in the land are we come, for there is no pasture for thy servants' flocks, for the famine is sore in the land of Canaan, so thy servants wish to dwell in the land of Goshen. And Pharaoh spake unto Joseph saying: Thy father and thy brethren are come to thee. The land of Egypt is before thee, in the best of the land make thy father and thy brethren to dwell; let them dwell in the land of Goshen, and if thou knowest that there are able men among them, place them as chief herdsmen over my property. In 2a מָקצָה (with p raphatum) as at Ezek. xxxiii. 2 and nixpo 1 Kings xii. 31, has still its undiluted original meaning: out of the collective whole (this is conceived of as the circumference, comp. xix. 4); מְקצָּת for the meaning: a part (some), is in use both in the Talmud and already at Neh. vii. 70, Dan. i. 2. On the number five, see on xliii. 34. It is characteristic of the Egyptian custom and way of looking at things, that the first question which, as Joseph had expected (xlvi. 33), is put to them by Pharaoh, relates to their occupation. They answer, ver. 3 sq., truthfully and discreetly according to Joseph's directions. generic singular, Ges. § 147c, but certainly a mere error of transcription for יֹעֵי. Pharaoh grants their request to be allowed to dwell in Goshen, by authorizing Joseph to settle his relatives wherever he chooses, in the best part of the land, therefore in Goshen as they desire it, and directs him, if he

knows of competent men among them, to make them chief keepers of the royal cattle (which were consequently in Goshen as the best pasture land). The audience of the five not taking place in Joseph's presence, the information given by Pharaoh to Joseph contains nothing inappropriate, hortatory being easily transposed into recapitulatory speech. It is however evident from the text of the LXX, a text apparently as they found and not as they arbitrarily corrected it (Wellh, Dillm, Kuen.), that in the Hebrew text two accounts are interwoven, that of J and that of Q, who has been continuing from xlvi. 27 (Dillm.). That Q also related the presentation of Jacob to Pharaoh, results even of itself from the analysis of vv. 5-11, and is confirmed by the LXX, in which ver. 5 of the Hebrew text is preceded by: ηλθον δὲ εἰς Αἴγυπτον πρὸς Ἰωσὴφ Ἰακὼβ καὶ οι υίοὶ αὐτοῦ καὶ ήκουσε Φαραώ βασιλεύς Αιγύπτου και είπε Φαραώ πρός 'Ιωσήφ κτλ. Jacob presented to Pharaoh by Joseph, vv. 7-10: Then Joseph brought his father and set him before Pharaoh, and Jacob blessed Pharaoh. And Pharaoh said to Jacob: How many are the days of the years of thy life? And Jacob said to Pharaoh: The days of the years of my pilgrimage are a hundred and thirty years; few and evil have been the days of the years of my life, and have not attained to the days of the years of my fathers in the days of their pilgrimage. Jacob blessed Pharaoh, and went out from before Pharaoh. Not till after the brethren had given account to the king of the external concerns of the family, because Jacob was himself too old and infirm to act independently in this new turn of domestic affairs, did Joseph bring in his father also. aged Jacob greets the king with a blessing. When the latter asks him how old he is, he calls the hundred and thirty years of his life pup, for Abraham lived to be one hundred and seventy and Isaac one hundred and eighty years old (xxv. 7, xxxv. 28), and he feels himself, as the perf. א הַשִּׁינוּ shows, near the end. He had moreover a right to mention the

he had experienced; for hard work, long and profound grief and also much self-inflicted misery lay behind him. He regards his own and his father's unsettled homeless earthly life as a pilgrimage, compared with the rest beyond, which, because hidden with God, is his true home, Heb. xi. 13-16, comp. Ps. cxix. 19, 54, xxxix. 13, 1 Chron. xxix. 15. The narrator is silent as to what further questions on the part of Pharaoh followed this answer; he only tells us that Jacob departed blessing Pharaoh, as he had also thus greeted him. The settlement, ver. 11: And Joseph settled his father and his brethren and gave them a possession in the land of Egypt, in the best of the land, in the land of Ramses, as Pharaoh had commanded. The land of Goshen is here called אַרץ רַעְמָטָם. for which, Ex. i. 11, the poppy is vocalized in pause as a trisyllable; the appellation of the eastern pasture land alternates in the Hebrew text, and hence furnishes to analysis no characteristic of a source.

The settlement takes place during the seven years of famine, which furnish the outer frame of the narrative. narrator (it is uncertain which) finishes this off, to return to the closing period of the scarcity, ver. 12: And Joseph provided his father and his brethren and all his father's house with bread, in proportion to the children. The verb בַּלְבֵּל is, according to Ew. § 283b, combined with a double acc., and means properly in proportion to the little ones (who would eat most, and whom one would be most unwilling to see wanting), hence according to the size of each family. What is now related, vv. 13-26, is no interpolated episode, but shows us the influential activity of Joseph in Egypt at its culminating point. The famine increased, and all the ready money came into the royal treasury, vv. 13, 14: And there was no bread in all the land, for the famine was exceeding sore, and the land of Egypt was exhausted and the land of Canaan by reason of the famine. And Joseph collected all the money that was found in the land of Egypt and in the land

of Canaan for the food which they bought, and Joseph brought the money into Pharaoh's house. All the store of money in both countries came into the State treasury, which stood at the king's disposal. Egypt and Canaan were both quite exhausted by reason of the continuance of the famine: from לְּאָה Prov. xxxi. 18, לְאָה Prov. xxxi. 18, syn. אָלאַכ Zech. xiv. 18, where v. Hofmann acutely conjectures that לאבה or ילאבה was the original: thus it (Egypt) was utterly dried up. As those who were famished could pay no more money, Joseph takes the cattle, which they possess, as payment, vv. 15-17: So the money failed out of the land of Egypt and the land of Canaan; then came all the Egyptians to Joseph saying: Give us bread, for why should we die in thy presence? our money is at an end. And Joseph said: Give your cattle, and I will give you for the value of your cattle, if the money is at an end. And they brought their cattle to Joseph, and Joseph gave them bread in exchange for the horses and for the cattle of the flocks and the cattle of the herds and for the asses, and satisfied them with bread for the value of all their cattle that year. Down used here and at Ps. lxxvii. 9, Isa. xvi. 4, xxix. 20, is without further confirmation in the Pentateuch. used here 17b in the sense to appease, to quiet, proceeds from the meaning to rest, to lie down, which Friedr. Delitzsch (in the Athenaeum, 1883, p. 569 sq., and often since) has shown to be the root-meaning of נהל. Assyr. nahdlu, synon. of nahu and rabdsu, according to which 2 Chron. xxxii. 22 is also explained, without our needing to read מָטַח לָהַם, hence: he satisfied them with bread. The further offer to which they are compelled by want next year, vv. 18-20: And that year ended, and they came to him in the second year and said to him: We cannot conceal from my lord, but (must tell) that our money and possession in cattle is gone to my lord, there is nothing left in the sight of my lord but our bodies and our land. Why should we die before thine eyes, both we and our land? Buy us and our land for bread, and we and our land will be

slaves to Pharaoh, and give us seed, that we may live and not die, and that the land may not lie waste. And Joseph bought all the cultivated land of Egypt for Pharaoh, for the Egyptians sold each man his field, because the famine compelled them; so the land became Pharaoh's. The peculiar expression הַּשְּׁנָה has its equal only at Ps. cii. 28. אַליי is used as Monsieur is, though several are speaking. is not to be separated: "that as . . ." it is the usual "but," to be explained by means of an ellipsis (e.g. after solemn affirmations, 2 Sam. xv. 21, 1 Kings xx. 6, 2 Kings v. 20). They offer themselves and their lands as payment; the latter to become crown property. themselves bondmen; im (everywhere else corpse) here as at Dan. x. 6. Ezek. i. 11, 23, Neh. ix. 37. To die and to become slaves is by a zeugma referred also to the land, as the latter expression is at xliii. 18 to the asses. The intrans. Kal form prin from Dow is found also Ezek. xii. 19, xix. 7. We translate ver. 21 according to the LXX: And he made the people bondmen from one end of the realm of Egypt to the other. Such is the thought which we expect according to ver. 20, viz. that Joseph made the people themselves vassals to Pharaoh. LXX answers to this expectation, and like the Sam. and Hebr. Sam. translates: καὶ τὸν λαὸν κατεδουλώσατο αὐτῷ εἰς שמדהעם: Hence it must have read (comp. also Jerome): מארדהעם העביד אתו לעברים, i.e. according to a like causative meaning of the Hiphil, as at Jer. xvii. 4: and he made him (viz. Pharaoh) enslave the people to slaves; Houbigant, Kn. Dillm. Reuss, Kamph. (Jenaer LZ. 1876, p. 170) find this reading correct, and in fact it entirely obviates the difficulties of the Masoretic The latter can mean nothing else, than that Joseph translocated the agricultural population from one end of the kingdom to the other. העביר to cause to depart from one The translocation took place for the place to another. sake of removing from the soil those whose property it had hitherto been, and of thus avoiding future disturbances. But what is the meaning of Dy?? According to Onk. Rosenm.

Ges. Tuch, Reggio: from one city to another (בַּעִיר לְּעִיר 2 Chron. xxx. 10); but אַל־הַעָּרִים, which we expect instead of יַערִים, if it is to be a statement of the place whither, could not have this meaning. Perhaps לערים of the Masoretic text is to be understood distributively: according to each city (like פְּיִבְילָי every fifth, 26a, comp. Josh. vii. 14), and what is meant is, that he divided the whole people among those towns in which the granaries at that time were, and which were also subsequently to form the centres of appointed districts, vouol (comp. xli. 48). But however it may be explained, the expression is too scanty and inexplicit—the authentic text is that reproduced by the Exemption of the landed property of the priests, ver. 22: Only the land of the priests bought he not, for the priests had an appointed portion from Pharaoh, and ate their appointed portion, which Pharaoh gave them, therefore they sold not their land. The lands of the priests were inalienable; nor did they need to alienate them, since they were besides protected from famine; pin a legal appointment, something legally appointed, here both times in the latter concrete sense, as at Ps. lxxxi. 4 and Prov. xxxi. 15. Taxation of the people, vv. 23-26: And Joseph said to the people: Behold, I have bought you this day and your land for Pharaoh; here is seed for you, and sow ye the land. And it shall come to pass at the ingatherings that ye shall render a fifth to Pharaoh, and four parts shall belong to you for the sowing of the field, and for your food, and that of your households and children. And they said: Thou hast saved our lives, let us find grace in the eyes of my lord, and we will be bondmen to Pharaoh. And Joseph made it a law to this day in the land of Egypt, that to the amount of a fifth should belong to Pharaoh, only the land of the priests, that alone became not Pharach's. The demonstrative No 23b occurs again only Dan. ii. 43, Ezek. xvi. 43, comp. אם Dan. iii. 25. The ב of הַּבְּבְּאוֹת is the temporal, at the ingatherings, i.e. as often as the harvest is gathered and brought home. We already had דֹל in the meaning "parts" at xliii. 34 (five parts

= five times). אותה 26a refers neutrally to what has been just related, and to this Joseph gave the character of a fixed The soil of Egypt was, from this time onwards, partly royal domain and partly the property of the priestly caste. According to Diodor. i. 73, it was divided into three parts, the third belonging to the warrior caste. According also to Herodotus (ii. 168), it was among the privileges (yépea) of the warriors to have their own share of landed property, every warrior receiving for his own twelve excellent apoupas exempt from taxation. The Scripture narrative however tells us nothing of the exemption from taxation and landed property of the soldiers, because this appointment was of later origin; it was cancelled by Sethos the priest of Hephaestos, when he came to the throne (Herod, ii. 141). We cannot expect a remembrance that it was through Joseph that all the land in Egypt became the property of the crown, from those who report according to the statements of Egyp-According to Herod. ii. 109, Diodor. i. 73, it was Σέσωστρις (Σεσόωσις) who divided the country into thirtysix voµol, and made it over by square measurements to the Egyptians for a yearly tribute (comp. Artapanus in Euseb. Prace, 9, 23). That a certain allowance of provisions was, as the scriptural report declares, given by the king to the priests, and that this obviated the alienation of their lands in the years of famine, was an ordinance which may have been afterwards annulled, because their landed property more than sufficiently supplied their wants. Diodorus at least (i. 73) reports, that the Egyptian priests defray the expenses of the national offerings and support themselves and their servants out of the revenues of their lands. Herodotus also says (ii. 37) that the priests have no need to use their private property (Tûr ointwo) for their support, but that their sacred bread is baked for them, and that each (ἐκάστφ) has daily a quantity of goose and beef for his consumption, that grape wine is also given them, viz. the produce of the farming of the order—that each

lives very well, and at the expense of the community. proceedings of Joseph preserved, in the first place, the interest of the king and respected the privileges of the priests, but abolished the free peasant class. It is left to the readers to pass their moral and politico-economical verdict upon them. Joseph undoubtedly had in view no less the good of the country than that of the king, when changing the disproportionately divided landed property into uniform parcels of copyhold liable to rent. Besides, the tribute of a fifth was, with the astonishing fertility of Egypt, a very tolerable burden. Nevertheless G. B. Niebuhr is in the right when he says, that the history of Joseph is a dangerous model for crafty Nor can Ebrard and others be contradicted when ministers. they assert, that in Joseph's financial speculation, as well as in Jacob's bargaining for the birthright, one of the unamiable sides of Semitic (Jewish) hereditary peculiarity comes to light.

Ver. 27 now returns to the family of Jacob in Goshen, of whom we certainly have to think as not exempted from the fee levied upon the whole country: And Israel dwelt in the land of Egypt, in the land of Goshen; and they settled therein, and they were fruitful and multiplied. The close, especially 27b, is in the style of Q (xxxiv. 10, xxxv. 11).

We have now arrived at the place for discussing more particularly, than has hitherto been done at xlvi. 28, the province of Goshen (LXX Γεσέμ, Artapanus in Euseb. Καισάν, Κεσσάν). It is to be sought for in Lower Egypt on the east side of the Nile. Its eastern boundary was the desert of Arabia-Petraea leading towards Philistia (Ex. xiii. 17, comp. 1 Chron. vii. 21), on which account the LXX translate, xlv. 10, xlvi. 34, Γεσὲμ ᾿Αραβίας. On the west it extended as far as the Nile, for the Israelites had abundance of fish, Num. xi. 5. Which part of the Nile was its western boundary will be determined, according as the question, Which was then the royal city? is answered. For Goshen was not very far from this, since Joseph there had his family near him, xlv. 10,

and there was easy and rapid intercourse between Goshen and Joseph's dwelling-place, xlvi. 28, xlviii. 1 sq. Num. xiii. 22, comp. Ps. lxxviii. 12, 43, is appealed to, to show that Tanis was then the capital (Bochart, Hgst. Baumg. Kurtz); but this testimony holds good only for the time of Moses, not for the time of Joseph. In his time Memphis (on the left bank of the Nile south of the subsequent Cairo), Hebr. ab or (see on Isa. xix. 13), founded, according to Herodotus, ii. 99, before Menes, was the royal city with its famed Ptah-temple, which stood where are now found the monuments, and among them the Colossus Ramses (the Sesostria Colossus of the ancients) at Mitrahine. Philo also thinks of Memphis. In ornear to Goshen lay, at the time of the exodus, the cities Ding and רַעִּמְמֵם, magazine - cities, provisioned fortresses, in the building of which the Israelites were compulsorily employed, Ex. i. 11. Goshen is at xlvii. 11 anticipatively called my רַעְּמְמֵם (Targ. Jer. אַרִעָא רָפִילוּסִץ), from Ramses, the place of assembly and departure at the time of the exodus, Ex. xii. 37, Num. xxxiii. 5. Pithom is Πάτουμος, past which flowed, according to Herod. ii. 158, the canal from the Pelusian branch of the Nile to the Red Sea (παρὰ Πάτουμον τὴν 'Aραβίαν πόλιν); it was a city dedicated to the god Tum, the ruins of which, as E. Naville has shown (see on xlvi. 29), are concealed in the Tell el-Maskhuta; while, on the other hand, Lepsius and others take this for the situation of Ramses (Pa-Ramses-Miamun), and transfer Pa-Tum to the neighbourhood of the Tell Abu Sulêman. In any case Goshen lay to the west of the Wadi Tumilât, which originally belonged to the desert, and the cities of Pithom and Ramses about denote the line of the southern boundary of Goshen.

See A. Wiedemann's essay, "The Age of Memphis," in the Biblico-Archeological Proceedings, 1887, pp. 184-190.

י אבינים sthe Arabic אָרֵי מִקְבְּוֹת, אבינים are cities with stone houses, whence the people are provided for (from כן provide for, Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg. 186).

³ Zeitschr. für äg. Sprache u. Allerthumskunde, 1883. VOL. II.

Its eastern boundary was, according to Ebers, the Isthmus of Suez and the line of fortifications that protected the country against its eastern neighbours. Of the western boundary the extreme southern point was the city of Heliopolis, the northern that of Tanis. On the north it was terminated by the Menzale lake and the marsh of Pelusium. Hence it would reach westward as far as the Tanitic branch of the Nile. But perhaps it did not extend so far either westward or northward; it may have been bounded on the west and north by the Pelusian branch of the Nile; and Fakus (according to Ebers, Pa [Pha] Kos = [via] have been its northern point. The district thus bounded comprised both desert and cultivated land. At present the Pelusian branch of the Nile is quite choked up with sand, and the country is less frequently covered by the Nile, but the region of Bubastos, as far as the entrance of the Wadi Tumilât, and for the greater part the latter itself, the Wadi Sebabiar and other districts, are still capable of cultivation, while there are some which are like luxuriant gardens. The region, whose name partly coincides with Goshen, اللورة الشرقنة (the eastern district), is still one of the most fertile and lucrative provinces. Its capital is Belbés, according to which Makrizi determines the western boundary of Goshen. North-east of it lies Sadir (between Abbasie and Chasbi), by which Saad., the Arabic translators and Samar. render ניש; in the neighbourhood of this Sadir. Arab tribes were settled, as Makrizi (Ueber die in Aeg. eingewanderten Stämme, ed. by Wüstenfeld, p. 39 sqq.) states, like Israel in ancient times.² Of the whole period between the 130th and 147th years of Jacob's life

י See his Durch Gosen zum Sinai, 2nd edit. p. 519, and "Historical Truths of Israel's Sojourn in Egypt," in the Sunday School Times, 1887, No. 18 (in which he always identifies Rameses, for the building of which the 'Aperu are dragging bricks, with Tanis, and the 'Aperu with שנוכרים).

² We leave out of consideration the view, which Cope Whitehouse, in the *Proceedings* of the Biblico-Archeological Association, 1885–86, seeks, after the precedent of Jablonski (in the *Pautheon Aegyptiorum*), with great confidence whimsically to confirm, that Goshen was Fajûm, with the adjacent districts of the Nile valley, in a northern direction towards Gizeh and Heliopolis.

nothing further is told us, than that Israel settled and increased in this district.

TESTAMENTARY DISPOSITIONS OF JACOB, CH. XLVII. 28-XLVIII.

From this sketchy remark, in which the threads of the history of Israel are again taken up, the narrator proceeds to the testamentary dispositions of Jacob. The fourth section of the Toledoth of Jacob commences here. Jacob has become much older since his entry into Egypt, and feels that his death is near, vv. 28-31: And Jacob lived in the land of Egypt seventeen years, so that the days of Jacob, the years of his life, amounted to one hundred and forty-seven years. And the days of Israel drew nigh to death, and he called his son Joseph and said to him: If I have found grace in thine eyes, put, I pray thee, thy hand under my thigh and deal kindly and truly with me: Bury me not in Egypt, but let me lie with my fathers. and take me out of Egypt and bury me in their burying-place -and he said: I will do according to thy word. And he said: Swear unto me; and he swore to him, and Israel stretched himself upon the head of the bed. Apart from ver. 28, we have the text of J, according to whom Jacob's wish and intention with respect to his burying is related; the direction is here given to Joseph and repeated to the twelve, xlix. 29-32, according to the text of Q. How Jahvistic the style is, is shown by parallels such as "the days draw nigh to death," Deut. xxxi. 14; אַם־נאַ xviii. 3 and frequently, the kind and manner of the corporeal oath, as at xxiv. 2; חַמַר וַאָּמַת xxiv. 49, xxxii. 11; "to lie with (Dy) the fathers," as at Deut. xxxi. 16, and in the kindred Deuteronomistic remarks in the book of Kings, 1 Kings ii. 10. Jacob desires Joseph to put his hand under his thigh, and thus to assure him on the ground of the covenant of circumcision made with Abraham, the actual proof of faithful love, that he will not bury him in Egypt, but

with his fathers in Canaan (l. 4)—in the promised land, which is appointed to be the place of the promised redemption. Joseph swears. His aged father had sat up in his bed for the purpose. And after Joseph has sworn, Israel (for so is he called at this solemn moment) stretches himself upon the ראש המפה. To rise from the bed, sitting up in which he had talked with Joseph, and cast himself upon the ground, to thank God for the proof of His mercy involved in Joseph's sworn promise, was not possible to him, because of the infirmity of age. Hence he imitates the by turning himself (like David, 1 Kings i. 47) in the bed, and stretches himself towards its top, worshipping with his face downwards, Vulg. adoravit Deum conversus ad lectuli Böhmer, on the contrary: he bowed himself at the head of the bed in the direction towards its foot. According to a different vocalization, LXX (Syr. It., comp. Heb. xi. 21): προσεκύνησεν Ίσραὴλ ἐπὶ τὸ ἄκρον τοῦ ῥάβδου (Τιμο) αὐτοῦ = αύτοῦ, as Rabanus Maurus remarks. According to this reading he made use of the staff, with which he had walked all his life (xxxii. 11), to raise himself in the bed, and now worshipped upon it, while calling to mind God's help during his pilgrimage and its end in another world. This passage, xlvii. 28-31, is the first portion from the last days of Jacob.

The second, ch. xlviii., relates his adoption and blessing of his two grandsons. The narrative as we have it accredits itself as a mosaic from all three sources: vv. 3-6 (7) is from Q, all the rest from JE, but so that notwithstanding editorial intervention, the portions respectively derived from J and E can still be distinguished. Following Dillm. and Budde (art. on Gen. xlviii. 7 and the adjoining sections in Stade's Zeitschr. iii. 56 sqq.), we separate them as follows: J, 1 sq. 8 sq. 13 sq. 17-19; E, 10-12, 15 sq. 20, 21 sq.; Kuenen claims for E, vv. 1 sq. 8-12, 15 sq. 20-22. In the introduction to ch. xlv. we already stated, that here in ch. xlviii. neither beauty (for appr) nor page (for which we expect

mm ver. 20) is a certain token of a source. What is decisive both here and elsewhere is, that the two threads of the narrative, which R (perhaps already the reductor of JE) intertwined, can be separated. The case of ver. 7 is peculiar. Budde brings forward the conjecture, that in xlix. 31 מאתרותל originally stood also after אח־לאה, that a redactor expunged this, and for it inserted the wording of xlviii. 7 from xxxv. 16a, 19. The conjecture is supported by the expedient, that according to Q Rachel also was buried in the cave of Machpelah. But we are certainly told that Rachel died on the journey from Aramaea to Canaan, was buried in the neighbourhood of Ephrath, and by no means at Hebron; and her death being the consequence of the birth of Benjamin, xxxv. 26, must be accommodated to this. If xlviii. 7 is really a "lost post," it must have become such some other way.

The aged and bed-ridden patriarch carried out this confirmation by oath of his desire, xlvii. 29, in anticipation of his approaching death. He is now actually ill, the end seems imminent, and Joseph is summoned, vv. 1, 2: And it came to pass after these things, when Joseph was told, Behold, thy father is sick, and he took his two sons with him, Manasseh and Ephraim. And when they told Jacob, and said: Behold, thy son Joseph has come to thee, and Israel strengthened himself and sat up in bed. Both מָּבֶּר and have the most general subject, as at xliii. 34, and, according to the extant text, xlii. 25 also.1 The interchange of the names ישכה and ישכה is not everywhere so significant as here. Jacob lies down sick, Israel draws himself up. On the arrival of Joseph, Jacob begins to speak of the blessing and the promises of God, by reason of which he raises Joseph's two sons, as though they were his own, to the station of ancestors of two independent tribes in the nation descending from him, vv. 3-6: And Jacob said to Joseph:

¹ Jewish expositors in such cases explain איאטר דְאָטֶר = דְאָטֵר , and this corresponds with the spirit of Semitic speech (see Driver in the *Expositor*, 1897, p. 260).

El "Saddaj appeared to me and blessed me in Luz in the land of Canaan, and said to me: Behold, I make thee fruitful and numerous, and make thee a company of peoples, and give this land to thy seed after thee for an everlasting possession. then, thy two sons, which were born to thee in the land of Egypt, before I came to thee to Egypt, are mine, Ephraim and Manasseh shall be mine like Reuben and Simeon. And thy seed, which thou hast begotten after them, shall be thine, after the name of their brethren shall they be called in their inheritance. The manifestation of God, to which Jacob looks back, is that which was vouchsafed to him in Luz-Bethel after his return from Aramaea, xxxv. 6 sq., 9-15; the wording of the promise, however, is more closely in unison with that given to him when going to Aramaea, xxviii. 3 sq. The placing of Ephraim first, in opposition to their succession in age, ver. 1, comp. xli. 50-52, is done in accordance with the express declaration of purpose which follows farther on. Jacob places Ephraim and Manasseh on a level with his own first and second born sons as independent heads of tribes, while, on the contrary, Joseph's other sons form no separate tribes, but are to be reckoned as belonging to the tribes of their brethren. Jacob's speech is interrupted by a reference to Rachel, Joseph's mother, ver. 7: And as for me-when I came from Paddan, Rachel died from me in the land of Canaan, in the way, a kibra of land before Ephrath, and I buried her there on the way to Ephrath, which is Bethlehem, In the presence of Joseph, the remembrance of his never-forgotten wife thrills powerfully through him. It is as though he wanted to lead Joseph to his mother's grave, and there to give him or receive from him a promise. His regarding Ephraim and Manasseh, who were by birth natives of Egypt, as his immediate sons by Rachel, also redounds to the honour of this prematurely lost wife. It is essentially thus that Kn. also explains the apparently uncaused, and in any case abrupt close of Jacob's speech. Budde sees in ver. 7 as thus explained "a senti-

mentally dramatic picture" which was not to be expected in a historical book, and least of all in Q. But even if it is less coloured up, the fact still remains that it is in Joseph's presence that the remembrance of Rachel forces itself upon the patriarch, and that the reason for his self-interruption is to be sought for in אָרָא 8a, while, on the contrary, in Q's own text the request to bury him with his fathers in the cave of Machpelah, xlix. 29-32, is joined on to xlviii. 7 (Nöld. Dillm.). Omitting in thought the introduction commencing 'אַני ונגי xlix. 29a, which was induced by the interstratification of xlviii. 8-xlix. 28, the ואני here fitly continues the אָנְי there: he buried Rachel in Ephrath, but yet desires to rest with his fathers in Hebron. מַהָה עָלֵי implies that he possessed her, and that by dying she was torn from him; ארם alone for מרן ארם occurs nowhere else, but why should not this abbreviation be possible? הוא בית לחם, on the contrary, is a gloss, but in itself not a false one, taken over from xxxv. 19 (see on this The patriarch, who was almost blind, interrupts himself, now first perceiving that he is not with Joseph only, vv. 8, 9: And Israel beheld Joseph's sons and said: Who are these? And Joseph said to his father: They are my sons whom Elohim hath given me here. And he said: Bring them hither to me that I may bless them. The narrator is J: hoc loco, as at xxxviii. 21 sq., Ex. xxiv. 14. ואברכם has in Baer pausal Segol according to the Masora (as at חווי Deut. xxxii. 11), against which Tsere is witnessed for by Num. vi. 27. His grandsons brought to Jacob, embraced by him, and led away, vv. 10-12: And the eyes of Israel were dim from age, he could not see, and he brought them nearer to him, and he kissed them and embraced them. And Israel said to Joseph: I did not think to see thy face again, and behold Elohim hath given me to see thy seed also. Then Joseph led them away from his knees and bowed himself in his presence to the earth. patriarch had sat up in the bed as one about to rise, so that he could take the two between his knees, kiss them, and press

them to his heart (P) and Pan with a Dat. as at xxix. 13), from which it by no means follows, that the narrator thought of them as little children; they were youths, but still under age and under the guidance of their father. The inf. constr. is like עשה equally used for אשה xxxi. 28, 1, 20, Ges. § 75, note 2. Be elsewhere to decide, to judge, has here the more general signification of thinking, and the 1 sing. perf. is in the pausal form , occurring in only four verbs, see Koenig, Lehrgeb. i. 189. It is questionable whether יאפיי refers to Joseph, so as to be equivalent, as at Num. xxii. 31, to elsewhere (e.g. xlii. 6), or to Jacob, and is so equivalent to which is, according to 1 Sam. xxv. 23, comp. 2 Sam. xviii. 28, not less permissible, and seems to me preferable. The LXX has καὶ προσεκύνησαν αὐτώ (not αὐτόν as in Lagarde, 1883). The prostration is here the reverent expression of Joseph's thankfulness to Jacob for the affection shown towards his two sons. In the present combination of the extracts from different sources, the thankfulness is at the same time a request. For he leads them back to his father, who blesses them, giving to the younger the preference above the elder, vv. 13, 14: Then Joseph took the two, Ephraim in his right hand to Israel's left, and Manasseh in his left hand to Israel's right, and brought them near to him, Then Israel stretched out his right hand and laid it upon the head of Ephraim, although he was the younger, and his left upon the head of Manasseh: he crossed his hands; for Manasseh was the first-born. The perf. des at des syntactically (as at de xxi. 14), where the part, would also be allowable. Luther translates like Onk. Saad. Græc.-Ven.: and did thus wittingly with his hands; on the other hand, the tradition of both the Greek and Latin Churches takes this laying on of hands of Jacob as being in its correct translation: he entwined, i.e. crossed them, one of the most ancient types of the cross, LXX evallate, and similarly Syr. Targ. II. Ar.-Samar. Tavus Vulg., from שוכל complicare = סנול) in סנול) a plait, a cluster of

grapes. This is the first blessing by laying on of hands recorded in Holy Scripture. By means of laying on his hands, he who performs this places himself in a relation of mutual action with him who is the subject of it. This act is, according to its most obvious purpose, the vehicle by which something is conveyed and received. With hands laid on crosswise, Jacob, whose wish coincides with the counsel of God, now proceeds in the power of faith to bless Joseph in his children, vv. 15, 16: And he blessed Joseph, and said: The God in whose presence my fathers Abraham and Isaac walked, the God who hath tended me as a shepherd since my existence to this day, the angel who redeemed me from all evil, bless the lads, and let my name and the name of my fathers Abraham and Isaac be named through them, and let them increase in multitude in the midst of The picture of God as a shepherd is suggested to Jacob by his own pastoral vocation; we meet with it again in the psalms of David, and especially of Asaph. The expression כעוֹדי ער־הַיוֹם הָאָר recurs in the section on Balaam, Num. מרס 16a would not be meant differently from xxi. 12, hence in the sense of a secondary cause (comp. ix. 6a). Ephraim and Manasseh, by becoming independent tribes, propagate the names of their three ancestors, with the promises attached to these names. Targ. II. takes the two האלהים vocatively and: "the angel . . . bless . . . " as the supplication, but certainly יְבֶרֶן is the common predicate of the complex notion which forms the subject. The subject, whose blessing is desired, is a threefold one; but as results from the omission of the conjunctive , which was to be expected at least in the third place with המלאן, and from the singular predicate (to which Novatian, de trinit. ch. xv., already draws attention), a single one; the בלאן also is thought of as Deus de Deo: it is God revealing Himself in the appearance of an angel, God the Redeemer who at last, as God in Christ, fulfils mediatorially the counsel of redemption. When however Jacob in the act of blessing lays his right hand on Ephraim's head,

this appears to Joseph an unconscious mistake, vv. 17-19: And when Joseph saw that his father laid his right hand on the head of Ephraim, it was displeasing in his eyes, and he laid hold of his father's hand to remove it from the head of Ephraim to the head of Manasseh. And Joseph said to his father: Not so, my father, for this is the first-born, lay thy right hand upon his head. But his father refused, and said: I know, my son, I know; he also shall become a people, and he also shall become great; but his younger brother will be greater than he, and his seed will become a fulness of nations. On יד ימינו hand of his right side, comp. Ps. cxxi. 5, and on non of grasping and holding the hands, Ex. xvii. 12. Jacob refuses to change his hands; he knows well, viz. that Manasseh, not Ephraim, is the first-born, but the latter will be more powerful than he. This was not fulfilled in the immediate future, for at the numbering, Num. xxvi. 34, Manasseh was 20,000 above Ephraim. Subsequently however, together with the retention of the name 'wir, Ephraim gave his name to the whole kingdom, and was from the time of the Judges the greatest of the tribes in power and In מלא הגוים the determinate adheres to the second member of the st. constr. (as at xvi. 7, see on this matter remarks on ix. 20), and ממם refers, as at xxxv. 11 (comp. ממים xxviii, 3, xlviii. 4), to the tribes of Israel; מוֹן גוֹים xvii. 5 has a wider meaning, and indeed that of τὸ πλήρωμα τῶν έθνων, Rom. xi. 25. The blessing continued, ver. 20: And he blessed them that day, saying: With thee shall Israel bless, saying: Elohim make thee as Ephraim and as Manasseh-he set Ephraim before Manasseh. The speech is addressed to Joseph, who is thus abundantly blessed in Ephraim and Manasseh. The blessedness of both became proverbial (comp. on xii. 3, and the cursing formula, Jer. xxix. 21 sq.). The last word of blessing to Joseph, vv. 21, 22: And Israel said to Joseph, Behold, I die, but Elohim will be with you and bring you back to the land of your fathers. And I have given to thee one tract of land above thy brethren, which I took from the Emorite with my sword

and my bow. By שַׁבֶּשׁ ridge of land, is at all events meant a part of the Canaanite mountainous district; אָתַר is the more quickly uttered form of word, which in closely connected speech occurs also elsewhere, and very frequently in this numeral, e.g. 2 Sam. xvii. 22, without a relation of annexation (see Philippi on the Status constructus, p. 59). On the use of על־אַהֶיךּ in עַל־אַהֶי " beyond thy brethren," see on Ps. xvi. 2. האַלוּי here as at xv. 16 is the favourite general name in J for the population of Canaan (comp. Ezek. xvi. 3). But—and this is the main question requiring an answer—what is meant by לקחתי? Jacob -says Tuch-looking prophetically forward over four centuries and beholding as present the state of things after the conquest of the Promised Land, rightly says, as the representative of his descendants, אשר לקחתי ונו in the perf. proph. "Nevertheless," he continues, "the unusual expression שכם is chosen for the very probable purpose of playing upon the name of the well-known place of the same name [so Jerome: pulcre allusit ad nomen]. For Sichem was really situate in the portion of Joseph, Josh. xxi. 21, and was specially consecrated to his memory by the fact that his bones were buried there, Josh. xxiv. 32, in the field purchased by Jacob, xxxiii. 19." could not in our retrospective view of ch. xxxiv., and especially xxxiv. 25 sq., comp. xxxv. 5, help remarking, that the vengeance of the sons of Jacob upon Sichem had a bright side, on which it was represented by E, and to this xlviii. 21 sq. also Sichem seems indeed to have prematurely become a town with a predominantly Israelite population, an "ancient tribal possession." But in the intention of this composition of extracts from sources, as it has come down to us, and in which xlviii. 22 and xlix. 5-7 are in all but direct contact, לקחתי cannot be so understood as to make Jacob appropriate to himself on its brighter side the deed of arms of his sons. לקדהי must be conceived of—as by Tuch—as spoken in the power of a prophetic self-consciousness raised

¹ See A. Eckstein, Gesch. u. Bed. der Stadt Sichem (1886), p. 18 aq.

above itself. Kuenen's former conjecture לא בחרבי (not with my sword, but by means of honourable purchase) is very tempting, as it removes all difficulty.

JACOB'S PROPHETIC SAYINGS CONCERNING HIS TWELVE SONS, CH. XLIX.

The third portion, ch. xlix., carries on the history of Jacob's last days and records his last words. These have been called, and not incorrectly, the blessing of Jacob, for xlix. 28 refers They are however introduced at ver. 1 as a back to them. prophecy, and are indeed both: words of prophecy as disclosures made by God concerning the future history of redemption; words of blessing as wishes strong through faith, and bringing within themselves the energy for their accomplishment. In lofty words, which already indicate his solemn frame of mind, the patriarch summons all his sons (Joseph included), vv. 1, 2: And Jacob called his sons and said: Assemble yourselves, that I may announce to you what will befall you at the end of the days. Come together and hear, ye sons of Jacob, and hearken to Israel your father. of to befall), xxiv. 12, xxvii. 20, xlii. 29, xliv. 29, is also exchanged for אָדא at xlii. 4, 38. From the standpoint of the present, the future may be regarded either as that which lies before us, which is coming הַאֹמילת Isa. xli. 23, xliv. 7, הַבָּאִים Isa. xxvii. 6), or also as that which lies after us (Greek τὰ ὀπίσω), whose development is still kept back, and will come after the present course of time, will succeed it (Orelli, Hebr. Synonyma der Zeit u. Ewigkeit, p. 14), hence as אחרית (Assyr. adverbially ahrátas the future), which as the opposite of the beginning of time means the end of time (the last time), or as the opposite of the present, the time following (the time to come). It has this latter meaning, e.g., at Jer. xxiii. 20 and also Deut. xxxi. 29, though it there already designates not the time to come in general, but in an eschatological sense, like ἐν ὑστέροις ממוף אחרית הימים denotes the אחרית הימים denotes the final future, the extreme end, but this not merely as the last epoch at the end of the course of time, but as that final period, lying entirely beyond the present course of development, which will bring the work of God to its full and final realization. In such wise also, that the notion varies in proportion to the stage of development, to which the work of God has advanced in the present, and to the horizon of the present thereby given, and the range of vision thereby determined. For in the prophetic prospect, the final redemption is ever combined with the promised event of the immediate future; both advance in close union even when they do not perceptibly coincide, and the progress of the expected redemption is such, that it is by this immediate future, when it is realized and shows itself to be only a portion of that work of God which is in a process of development, that the fulfilment of the glorious and most glorious, which is yet in arrear, is pledged, and a deeper understanding of it brought about. Thus the view of Jacob, who is borne by the spirit of prophecy beyond the sojourn in Egypt, is fixed upon the promised possession of Canaan by the nation of the twelve tribes. him this stands in the foreground of the אחרית הימים; it is the watchword of his hopes; all that follows stands on a line with this one fundamental hope, as in a picture painted without It is just in this circumstance that we have a strong proof that no mere recent fiction is before us. period which evidently and palpably was not as yet the promised end of the days, no one would have put into Jacob's mouth a prophecy concerning it as the end of the days; while, on the other hand, he could not, according to the tenor of the promises made to the patriarchs, but concentrate all his expectation of redemption in the promised possession of the land. By the criticism, indeed, which either denies miracles, whether in the spiritual life or in history, or as much as possible attributes them to natural causes, these

prophetic sayings will be a priori regarded as a vaticinia post eventum, or as Hupfeld expresses it, as a prophetic myth. Ewald insists upon the "truth which since 1828 he has publicly taught, and which will always force itself upon every better mind, that these sayings belong to the time of Samson" (Jahrb, 5, 238). Anger and Dillm, also make the time of the Judges their horizon. But we may with good reason regard the parallels in the Song of Deborah (Judg. ch. v.) as well considered and effective references, while borrowings of this kind would make the author of Gen. xlix. 3-27 show a poverty of thought which he by no means manifests. Hupfeld and others still now deeply depreciate ch. xlix. Kuenen (Einl. § 13, note 16) agrees with Renan and Land in regarding these as sayings of different periods here worked If everything is regarded as prediction up into a whole. invented from after events, it must be indeed looked upon as such patchwork. We too might deal with such criteria, but are kept from so doing by our inmost convictions. Neither in the Old Testament nor the New, is the nonreality of historical or spiritual miracles the necessary consequence of critical analysis. But if prophecy is no delusion, testamentary words of a prophetic character might be expected from the departing ancestor of the chosen people; and if his discourse to his sons consisted of single sayings applying to individuals, it is quite comprehensible that these sayings, and consequently the blessing which was composed of them, should have remained in the memory and on the lips of the twelve tribes. And when and for what purpose should this blessing have been invented? The saying concerning Reuben affixes on him a blot, for the preservation of which subsequent history furnished no reason. The saying concerning Simeon and Levi is depreciative and reproving in a manner only conceivable from the pre-Mosaic standpoint. The saying concerning Zebulun contemplates an extent of the territory of his tribe, which was not realized either in the time of Joshua

or under the Kings. And the saying concerning Issachar gives a picture of this tribe differing from the Song of Deborah, Judg. v. 15a. So too can ver. 23 also be understood without being a reflection of Syrian warfare.

The patriarch knew his children, knew the circumstances of their birth, knew the dispositions they had manifested, and was therefore naturally capable, so to speak, of casting their nativity.\(^1\) And his blessing bears throughout the mark of the date claimed for it, and of that spontaneity, both human and Divine, which distinguishes the prophecy of the redemptive history from heathen Manticism. For all such prophecy is of an ethic nature, and for that reason no deluding spell; the history is the product of the interaction of God and man, and hence something different sometimes comes forth from what prophecy had predicted by promise and threat.

It cannot be determined from which of the three main sources of the Pentateuch the redactor has taken this prophetic portion. In itself, and especially by reason of marver. 18, it suggests our regarding J as the source; but the framework, vv. 1 and 28, leads to Q, who is not absolutely excluded either by marver. 18 nor by ver. 28 δ (see there).

The first saying, xlix. 3 sq., passes sentence upon Reuben and determines his future. In every genealogical table of the twelve, from xxxv. 23 to 1 Chron. v. 1, Reuben stands first, as the first-born among the sons of Jacob; hence, looking back with joy and sorrow to his Aramaean servitude, he greets him: ראובן בַּלִרִי אַקה לֹחִי וְרֵאשִׁית אוֹנִי, Reuben, my first-born, thou, my might and the first-fruits of my strength. He is the product of Jacob's full manly strength, and the first offspring

¹ Such is the assumption, correct in itself, upon which Heinr. Mosler, in his work, Die jüdische Stammverschiedenheit, 1884, defends the authenticity of Jacob's blessing, with much profound insight, which is however overgrown with oddities. So too does Diestel, Der Segen Jakobs, 1853, who thinks that the sayings are connected with the position and conduct of the twelve during the sojourn in Egypt.

of his generative power after a long and unspotted celibacy מאשית און), as in legislative prose, Deut. xxi. 17, comp. Ps. lxxviii. 51, cv. 36). And how Reuben towers as the firstborn above his brethren! He is יְתֵר שִׂאַת וְיִתֵר עָן, pre-eminence (properly superabundance) in dignity and pre-eminence in power (My, not an adjective, but, as the order and parallelism show, the pausal form of ty, comp. xliii. 14), i.e. precedence, both in respect and power, is due to him above his brethren, a position excelling theirs in both respects. But Reuben has deprived himself of his privilege: פּמִים אַל־הּנוֹתָר, Boiling over like water, thou must have no pre-eminence, i.e. not as boiling over, or because thou art such. The words פחן כסים are a descriptive and, at the same time, a confirmative apposition of the subj., which is more probable than taking it vocatively (Oh, boiling over like water!) or making it form a noun sentence by itself (viz. a boiling over of water is come to pass). The Hebraeo-Sam. obliterates the plasticism of poetic diction by changing into nine, whence most ancient translators, except Symm., render it according to the reading υπερζέσας, and Graec. Ven. κοῦφος ώς ύδωρ. The moral nature of Reuben is notified in a rapid picture, his characteristic is passion, like bubbling up, boiling water (775). not subsilire, of which also Targ. Jer. Deut. xxxii. 15 is no confirmation, but bullire, fervere, not related to m, ; to spring up. but, on the contrary, a shade of the √ns to breathe, to blow, to swell, of the inflation of pride). The Samar. translates, in accordance with the original meaning, ארתעה (from רחה also Symm., whose התע ארתעה), also Symm. participle giving the reason (which Field exchanges for the less apt ὑπερέζεσας), the LXX more freely: ἐξύβρισας, in the sense of Ezek. xlvii. 5 ἐξύβριζεν τὸ ὕδωρ = נאו המים. Because he indulges his sensuality, he incurs the loss of precedence, and the reason is now more particularly stated: For thou didst go up to the bed of thy father, then didst thou defile, i.e.

didst perpetrate a deed, defiling that which should have been sacred to thee—the verb אַלְאָם is left without an object, this (צְּעָּעָ), for which, according to 1 Chron. v. 1, the Chronicler read "". plur. like מִשְׁלָבֵי Ges. § 108. 2, note 2) being made the object of an independent sentence (comp. a like case with the subj. Deeply annoyed, Jacob turns from this Ps. lxxii. 17b). criminal encroachment of Reuben upon the rights of his father and chief, xxxv. 22, as from an intolerable sight, and speaking to himself says only, with hollow voice, אויי עלה: he went up to my bed! The first blessing is thus limited to Reuben's not being expelled from the number of the twelve but in other respects it is changed into the curse of degradation. According to Deut. xxi. 17 (the passage in which או ראשית occurs), a double portion of the inheritance was the due to the first-born, and he was naturally the representative of the family and had precedence among his brethren. The deep and important results obtained by the birthright, are shown in the history of Esau and Jacob. Reuben thus loses not merely the property, but the rank of the first-born-he loses that position in the national and redemptive history which properly belonged to We are told 1 Chron. v. 1 sq., to whom Reuben's privileges were transferred: Joseph received the בָּלְהָה, i.e. the double portion of the inheritance, but the princely position went to Judah. Jerome, together with the Targums and Midrash, reckons not only regnum and hareditas, but also sacerdotium, among the privileges of the first-born, and hence translates (being herein Luther's predecessor): prior in donis major in imperio. But 1 Chron. v. 1 sq. shows that only regnum and haereditas are here under consideration. It was by the providential leading of God, whose plan hovers over all free human action, that the double inheritance was transferred from the first-born of Leah to the first-born of Rachel. that what was here brought to pass by God's righteous government, may not be imitated by human caprice, the Thorah, Deut. xxi. 15-17, forbids the preference of the first-born son of the VOL. II. 2 A

beloved wife before that of the hated one. The blessing of Moses, Deut. xxxiii. 6, takes up the words of Jacob concerning Reuben so far as to promise him indeed continuance, but (since there is no necessity for making וָאַל־יִהִּי=יְיִהִיּ with Ges. Baumg. Graf and others) fewness of numbers and general insignificance. This history fulfilled. That Reuben had at the second numbering, Num. xxvi. 7, when compared with the first, Num, i. 21, suffered the loss of 3000 men, cannot, in view of the still more considerable losses of other tribes. come into consideration; but the fact, that in the time of the Kings from David onward only a Moabito-Ammonite and no longer a Reubenite region east of Jordan is spoken of, cer-The tribe of Reuben had not wholly died out, tainly does. 1 Chron. v. 6, but had become quite powerless, and had already so entirely vanished from the sight of Isaiah, that his elegiac lamentation, ch. xv. sq., has only Moab as such for its subject, without any regard to his Reubenite fellow-countrymen. History knows nothing of the deeds of this tribe beyond the victories of the Reubenites and Gadites over Sihon king of the Amorites, and a victorious campaign against the Hagarens in the time of King Saul, 1 Chron. v. 8-10, 18-22. In post-Mosaic times its national importance soon sank to nothing, no judge, king, or prophet being designated as a Reubenite.

Now follow the second and third sons of Leah, ver. 5: אַרָּיִם אַרִּים Simeon and Levi are brothers. Brothers in the fullest sense of the word, not merely of the same parents, but of the same nature, as was shown by the treacherous and cruel vengeance which in common they inflicted on the Shechemites: אַרָּי חָסָס מְבֵּרְיִנְיִם instruments of violence are their slaughter weapons. The Hebraeo-Sam. and perhaps Onkelos also read בֹּלִי for בֹלי their slaughter weapons have executed violence. It was מבווים in the same parents, i.e. a deed of violence by the stronger against the weaker and unarmed; for, that they might be able to take vengeance upon them, they first rendered the

Shechemites incapable of defending themselves. The meaning murderous weapons is in any case the most obvious for מכרתיהם; the Midrash (Bereshith rabba, c. 99 and elsewhere) remarks, that in Greek חרבות swords, are called מכירין, i.e. μάχαιραι (Goth. mêkja, from the √ μακ to pierce, Lat. mac-tare), with which Donaldson also (Jashar, pp. 128, 196) regards it as one and the same word "changed by Greek mercenaries of David (1 Chron. xi. 36, Καῦρος ὁ μαχαιροφόρος)" into But σςς is no more μάχαιρα than the Assyr. pilakkua is the Greek πέλεκυς; it comes from פָּרַר (after the formations מְבֵּרָה ,מְבֵּרָה ,מְבֵּרָה , which means to dig (for which usually בְּרָפֶּרְ= ּבְּכֶּר and to round (comp. בְּרָפֶּרְ= בְּבֶּר, Arab. ζ, properly of the ricochetting ball (کَرَة), both meanings being combined in that of a round digging or boring out, so that it might also be used of the weapon which bores a round gaping wound, like נַפָּר and נַאָר Ps. xxii. 17, comp. thereon, p. 233 of the Comm., according to the LXX, Pesh.; the verbs ὀρύσσειν and fodere (hasta)—stimulo fodere bovem is even said—also admit the sense of piercing. Tuch, Baumg. explain otherwise: twistings, from פַּרַר, which however means to round and not to twist; de Dieu, Schultens, Maurer: intrigues, from בא, = מָכָר without any support in Hebrew diction; Kn. Luzzatto, Merx and others: marriage contracts, after the Syr. 100 desponsare (with reference to xxxiv. 15 sq.); but then יְבֵי is not suitable. Schröter gives another meaning (DMZ. xxiv. 525): their signs of recognition, from נכר (so that the punctuation would have to be מַכְּרותיהם). It would be better to explain with Targ. II. III. Syr.: their nature indoles, but Ezek. xvi. 3 (comp. also Isa. li. 1) is not sufficient to prove this meaning for the word, and כלי חמם shows itself to be the predicate, not the subj. The meaning instrument of piercing is the most certain. Dillin. denies to the verb כרר the meaning to pierce, and would rather understand a curved instrument, from כרר to be round, hence something of a sabre. Perhaps the Assyrian, in which in the meaning to cut, to hew, to fell, is a syn. (Friedr. Delitzsch, Proleg. 121), gives the decisive casting vote. had already, xxxiv. 30, made bitter complaints of the deed of Simeon and Levi, here on his death-bed repudiates all share in it, ver. 6a: Into their council, my soul, come thou not; with their assembly, my honour, be thou not united. On Jip, see on Ps. xxv. 14: it means compression, constipatio, in the sense of concentrated closeness, impervious to light, and consequently both the secret meeting and the secret matter. is impf. Kal of אחר and כבֹין here as at Ps. vii. 6, xvi. 9, xxx. 13, lvii. 9, cviii. 2, used of the soul as the glory of man, the Divine image, is as the name of the soul feminine, as is also e.g. her as the name of the wind, and as that of a fetter. the misconception or intentional setting aside of , the name of the soul, by ancient translators, see Geiger, Urschrift, Reason for this repudiation, ver. 6b: For in their wrath they slew men, and in their self-will they maimed oxen. Unrestrained self-will, which disregards truth and justice, is here, as at Dan. viii. 4 and frequently, called וֹציֹן. exegetical and historical connection of the translation suffoderunt murum (שֹּרֵי) in Jerome, see Fürst in DMZ. xxxv. p. 132 sq. The LXX, as it already lay before the Itala, has ἀπέκτειναν ἀνθρώπους and ἐνευροκόπησαν ταῦρον, hence τίς. not των (as Onk. Aq. Symm.: τείχος). According to Herder and others, nizi is said here to mean figuratively (as at Deut. xxxiii. 17) the same as אָלי: they slew the princes of Shechem together with the people like defenceless animals, whose sinews had been cut, and Reuss thinks it possible that is is an image of the male population maimed by circumcision, Since however, according to xxxiv. 27-29, they took possession of the flocks and herds, and cared more for vengeance than for booty, עַפַרוּ שׁוֹר is meant in its literal sense: they cut the knee tendons (LXX ενευροκόπησαν) of the oxen, whom they either could not or would not bring away, for the purpose of laming them and making them useless, which is also called

in Arab. عقر. This treacherous and cruel act of vengeance though inflicted on Canaanites, is pronounced by Jacob to be a sin worthy of condemnation, ver. 7: Cursed is their anger, which was so fierce, and their wrath, which was so cruel. will divide them in Jacob, and scatter them in Israel. predicates שַ and קשָׁה (unbending and inexorable) are also interchanged, Cant. viii. 6. The Hebraeo-Sam. (which the other Samaritan texts follow) has here changed אדור into אדור (=הרור praiseworthy) and עברתם into חברתם (their association) to get rid of the curse (DMZ. xx. 160-162); the prayer of Judith also in ch. ix. (see thereon Fritzsche) begins by praising the righteous retribution executed by her ancestor Simeon (with Levi). The patriarch solemnly repudiates all share in this massacre. The punishment of Simeon and Levi is division and dispersion. Their fierce resentment is deprived of the support of an independent territory, and their despotic violence of a prerequisite of political power. cities of Simeon lay as a powerless and almost nameless enclosure within the territory of the tribe of Judah (Josh. xix. 1-9, ch. xv.), and when the descendants of Simeon found their dwelling-places no longer sufficient, they emigrated in two companies and conquered dwelling-places and pasture lands outside the Holy Land (1 Chron. iv. 38 sqq.). Simeon is left quite unmentioned in the blessing of Moses, Deut. xxxiii, and disappears almost entirely after the disruption of the kingdom.1 Levi received no territory of his own, the Levites being scattered among all the tribes, within which the law, Num. xxxv. 1-8, allotted to them forty-eight cities. sequently this scattering became a means of the clerical vocation of the tribe of Levi, here it appears as the punishment of This penal sentence on the two brothers a brutal fanaticism. is a proof of the great antiquity of the blessing. The blessing

¹ The Midrash ישמעון לא העמיר לא מלך ולא שופט בשביל says: שמעון לא העמיר לא מלך ולא שופט בשביל with reference to Num. xxv. 14; see Epstein, Beiträge zur jüd. Alterthumskunde (1887), p. 24.

of Moses, Deut. xxxiii., is silent concerning Simeon, and speaks quite otherwise of Levi. The difference between the two periods at once strikes the eye.

No blessing without a shadow has attached to the first three sons; an unobscured blessing now comes with so much the greater intensity upon Judah, the fourth son of Leah. The Samar. Targum tries as much as possible to turn the blessing of Judah into an insult (DMZ. xxx. 348). indeed true that Judah's previous life had not been unspotted; he sinned against Joseph, he sinned with Tamar, but these sins are now expiated, and they bore within them reasons in mitigation of their guilt. For it was Judah who wanted to sell Joseph rather than to shed his blood; it was he whose nobleness of mind towards his father and brethren made him so irresistibly eloquent before Joseph; and though not inaccessible to sensual temptation, he was, as the transaction with Tamar shows, of an heroic character ennobled by the fear of God. To him is transferred the princely dignity of the first-born, which Reuben had forfeited (1 Chron. v. 2). His name, according to xxiv. 35, signifies the being praised; this nomen Jacob takes hold of as an omen and explains it as a prognostic of Judah's future, ver. 8: Judah—thee shall thy brethren praise: thy hand upon the neck of thine enemies! thy father's sons bow down before thee. The pers. pro. stands first as nom. abs., as e.g. at Deut. xviii. 14b, comp. אוכי xxiv. 27 (Ges. § 145. 2). Judah will be the ever victorious; his enemies flee, but they do not escape him, he grasps them by the throat (Job xvi. 12). His heroism procures him the homage and respect of his brethren, and that not only of his five brethren by the same mother (see on xxvii. 29), but of all the sons of their common father. Judah obtains this exaltation above his brethren by the lion-like nature which God bestows upon him: מר אריה יהודה a lion's whelp is Judah. Jacob has now before him the person of Judah, the ancestor of the lion tribe, hence he compares him to a young lion.

But his view is immediately transferred to the tribe in the full strength of its maturity: from the prey, my son, hast thou gone up—he stoops down, he couches like a lion and like a lioness, who would rouse him up? Jacob in spirit beholds his son as having become that to which he is destined. On response on Scripture is rich in names and images of lions, for it was then easy to become by personal observation acquainted with the lion, which has now almost disappeared from the lands of the sacred history. As a lion, which after he has obtained his prey goes up (יְלָהְיּ in its first meaning, not as at Isa. liii. 2, Ezek. xix. 3, in the sense of growing up) from the forest dwelling to the forest mountain to his den (Eccles. iv. 8, comp. όρεσίτροφος, the epithet given to the lion in Homer), so does Judah return from all his conflicts to his dwelling-place; there he couches in proud repose like a lion and like a lioness (who is still fiercer in defence of her young), who would venture to stir him up and to occasion fresh conflicts? The historical greatness of Judah is now further described, the image of the lion being laid aside, ver. 10: The sceptre shall not depart from Judah and the leader's staff from between his feet, until he comes to Shiloh, and to him devolves the obedience of the peoples. LXX, Targum Samar. Saad. Gr. Ven. and the ancients in general understand pency personally of a leader in peace or war, as at Judg. v. 15 and elsewhere, and as ישבטי 2 Sam. vii. 7 (=σκηπτοῦχοι) is perhaps meant; and יְבָּין רָנְלָיִי is accordingly used as at Deut. xxviii. 57 of the coming forth from the maternal womb (comp. the euphemisms, Isa. vii. 20, xxxvi. 12, and Homer's $\pi i \pi \tau \epsilon i \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \lambda \pi \sigma \sigma \sigma \lambda \gamma \nu \nu a i \kappa \delta \varsigma$, Il. 19. 110 = to be born), hence a ruler from the maternal womb of Judah, a not impossible expression, Judah being conceived of not as an individual but as a tribe, which at once bears and begets. Luther otherwise: noch ein meister von seinen Füssen, in which מָחֹכָּיִ is (as in lawgiver of the English A.V.) understood, according to with of the Targums, with reference to the circumstance that scribæ inter pedes regum aut magistratuum sub illis sedere solent.

The Mecklenburg Kirchenblatt, 1885, p. 5: the territory upon which he walks-an impossible rendering, for the ground is not between, but under the feet. Considering that has no less frequently the meaning ruler's staff, suggested by the parallel משכם (Num. xxi. 18, Ps. lx. 9), than the personal meaning ruler; secondly, that a long staff held by the upper end is the insignium of the Assyrian kings, and that the Persian king represented in a sitting posture upon the monuments of Persepolis holds it between his feet; and thirdly, that the choice of more dignified expressions than the objectionable מָבֶּץ רָגְלָיי (especially so as a declaration concerning an ancestor) were furnished by the language (see xlvi. 26, xxxv. 11, Jer. xxxiii. 26, Ps. cxxxii. 11), on which account the Hebraeo-Samar. writes מבץ דגליו (from his banners), it must be explained: Judah will ever bear the sceptre, and the ruler's staff ever rest between his feet. Ever—for that ver. 10 awards the princely position to Judah, not merely for a period but for ever, is already required by the character of the saying as purely one of blessing. It is not meant that Judah shall bear the sceptre till the new turn of things and then lose it, or as the passage is already explained by Justin, Apol. i. 32, and in the Clementine Hom. iii. 49, and is still explained, e.g. by F. T. Bassett, Grossrau and others, that the Messiah will come at a time when the sceptre has departed from Judah, i.e. when the Jewish people have fallen into subjection to the heathen, which, according to Verbrugge (1730), was definitively fulfilled by the issue of the revolution under Hadrian. Advent festival play by Hans Sachs (written Dec. 8, 1730) it is by this saying interpreted in this sense, that the Jewish Rabbi is finally overcome by the Christian doctor. in this blessing cannot possibly be such an exclusive "till." Nor, on the other hand, is there any reason for translating with Hitzig (Bibl. Theologie, p. 153), G. Baur and others: "as long as he shall come to Shiloh," for though שר ער (Cant. i. ער and על seq. infin. (Ex. xxxiii, 22, Judg. iii. 26, Jon. iv. 2,

comp. 2 Kings ix. 22) may mean "as long as," yet ער כי nowhere expresses limited duration, but the terminus ad quem. less do we need, with an ancient MS. in Pinsker (Zur Gesch. des Karaismus, p. בס), to draw עד to what precedes with Athnach instead of Jethib (not . . . for ever, for he will come . . .), but עריבי with the impf. following has the same temporal sense as עֵר אֲשֵׁר " until that " (elsewhere followed by a perf. of gradative meaning, xxvi. 13, xli. 49, 2 Sam. xxiii. 10, 2 Chron. xxvi. 15), and here denotes the turning-point to which Judah's greatness lasts, not then to cease, but to be enlarged to sovereignty over the peoples, comp. on this use of ער xxvi. 13, xxviii. 15, Ps. cx. 1, cxii. 8. לער Matt. v. 18. is neither equivalent to אָקָה (LXX, Syr. Vulg.) nor to the Talmudic קּהָיָה assembly (both Arabic translations), but as at Prov. xxx. 17, obedience, from جو فه (for which also יָפָה, whence the nom. pers. בָּה, the obedient, the pious); here, as at Prov. xxx. 17 with Dagesh dirimens, a connective form, not of יְּקְהָה but יְּקְהָה), like אָרְבָה the approach and נצרה (parallel שָׁמָרָה the watch, Ps. cxli. 3. שִׁמָרָה might mean the Israelitish tribes, as at Deut. xxxiii. 3, Hos. x. 14 and But the leadership of the tribes was already frequently. awarded to Judah in לא יסוד ונו', and the question as to whether he would maintain this with respect to the peoples around was pressing; hence עמים will not have the meaning of Deut. xxxiii. 3, but of Deut. xxx. 17. But if the nations of the world are intended, this suggests taking שָׁלֹה (such is the Masoretic writing, see Frensdorff, Masora magna, p. 322 sq., besides which however שלו and ישלו occur in MSS.) as a name Jacob has before him in his sons the twelveof the Messiah. tribed nation. A nation however needs a single leader. suggests taking שילה personally. The king of the latter days exalted above the heathen might be meant as at Num. xxiv. 15 sqq.; moreover, the Messianic interpretation of שילה has the recommendation of being ancient (Sanhedrin 98b).

But it rests in its traditional form upon an explanation of the word which cannot be accepted. When the Samar, texts write שלה, and Onkelos, Targ. Jer. II. Syr., whom Aphraates, Ephrem, Bar - Hebræus (see his Scholia published by R. Schröter in DMZ. xxiv.) and Saadia follow, translate: donce veniat Messias cujus est regnum, Aquila and Symmachus (comp. Constitut. apost. 6. 11): φ ἀπόκειται (whom it is reserved for and belongs to, viz. ή βασιλεία), Peshitto: is cujus illud (sc. regnum) est, all these proceed upon the assumption that שילה (the Masor. reading) or שׁלה (an ancient variation) is equivalent to אָשֵׁר לוֹ=שַׁלוֹ. The translation also of the LXX (Theod.), ἔως ἃν ἔλθη τὰ ἀποκείμενα αὐτῷ (continuing: καὶ αὐτὸς προσδοκία ἐθνῶν), proceeds from the reading του, only it does not directly make the person of the Messiah the subject, on which account Justin, Dial. c. 120, would willingly stamp the & ἀπόκειται of Aq. and Symm. as the original reading of the Alexandrine translation. Eusebius (Eclog. proph.) rightly explains έως αν κομίσηται (according to the context, not the Messiah, but Judah through Him) την κατά των όλων Baoilelav. With אישר לו agrees the saying of Ezek. xxi. 32, where the utter destruction of the royal crown, which had been so shamefully desecrated in Zedekiah, is predicted ינרבא אַשרלו הַפִּשׁפָּט וּנְתָהִיי, i.e. till He comes to whom the government belongs, and on whom Jahveh bestows it. But this אשר of Ezekiel (LXX φ καθήκει, strangely without any rendering of המשפת) is certainly only such a modification or bending of שלה as Jeremiah also frequently allows himself when borrowing older passages of Scripture. For it is impossible that שילה should be equal to שילה, and the same must be said of שלה also, for, not to mention that בשלה cannot be authenticated, win as the first letter of a proper or quasiproper name is also unexampled, and iby (for which we should at least expect with reference to משלו הוא מחקק or חושה (שלו הוא cannot of itself mean the same as מי שהמלכות שלו "he to whom the kingdom is due." Wellhausen indeed (Gesch. p. 375)

manages to help the שָׁלָה to become a subject by expunging and then translating: till He comes to whom the obedience of the nations is due-this is however no untying, but a cutting in twain of the knot. Stade (Gesch. p. 160) further enhances still more the violence practised, by the conjecture that ver. 10 is a post-exilic addition. Another ancient view (Targ. Jer. I. Jepheth, Abulw. Kimchi 1), which derives שׁילה from שִׁילה, like עִיר from עִיר, and this שָׁלִּבּשׁת (whence the Talmudic שליל, Arab. שליל foetus, young) and שליל (whence afterbirth), must, if for nothing else, be rejected because this designation of the Messiah (according to Jos. Kimchi and Dav. Costelli in his Il Messia, 1874: of King David) as the son of Judah, would be among all possible designations the most ignoble. Comparatively more attractive is the solution to whom the consecrated offerings of the nations belong, الإن الله (to whom the consecrated offerings) according to Ps. lxviii. 29, in the Midrash Lckach tob on the passage) and Lagarde's ישאילה שישילה "his prayed for or longed for one;" while, on the contrary, Jerome's donec veniat qui mittendus est is a bold quidproquo. There is no need of such byways and ventures for understanding שילה of the Messiah. If שילה is a proper name, it designates the Messiah as the bearer or bringer of rest, and is synonymous with שַלְמַה, which according to 1 Chron. xxii. 9 is equal to אִישׁ מִנחָה, and the Samar. translator of the Pent. into Arabic (Abu-Sa'îd) actually translates المناس, referring the prediction to Solomon. So too Donaldson: Habemus vatem Salomoneum, sui temporis lauda-Luther explains somewhat differently, and referring to prosperity and welfare, translates: der Helt, as "one who prospers, who freely carries out his plan;" but the meaning: the peaceful, peaceful kingdom, peacemaker,

¹ So too Samuel ben Choini in the Arab. Comm. of Israelsohn (Petersburg 1886) on Gen. chs. xli.-l.: ענג פייילה (his son and descendant). This Gaon does not mention the explanation שלח at all. Paulus Cassel (Messianische Stellen, 1885) even explains: acion, from שלח שלח.

certainly a more appropriate name for the Messiah, is a far more obvious one. For at Micah v. 4 He is called من عدات as at Eph. ii. 14 εἰρήνη, Isa. ix. 5 שִׁרשׁלוֹם, and at Zech. ix. 9 sq. he comes to Zion as the King of Peace. The ending would then be the same as in the proper names שלמה דודו ידו and others, whose oh or o is weakened from on, and though שׁלָה cannot be regarded as the verb lying at the root (from which the noun must have been שָׁלִיוֹן, or if we compare שָּׁיִלוֹת קִימוֹר קִימוֹשׁ בִּירוֹר. ישלה (שלוי = שילוי), yet שלה, synonymous with שלה, can, and this means to hang down loosely, to be unstrung, to rest, whence שׁלה as a proper name means a quiet, homelike place, inviting to rest (comp. וְלֹה Josh. xv. 51, from إِذِّا Josh. xv. 51, from إِذَا bringing peace and happiness, without our needing to have recourse to Rödiger's expedient, that שׁלה (LXX Judg. xxi. 12 and frequently $\Sigma \eta \lambda \omega \mu$ with $\Sigma \eta \lambda \omega$) is weakened from i.e. At all events it is a proper name, for a nomen appell. שׁלה with the meaning of rest or place of rest, would be unique as to formation; even אַבּרֹה Prov. xxvii. 20 (Chethib), as a name of Hades, being rather a n. pr. than a n. appell. The language has the nouns כנוחה שלום שלוה (שלה (not מנוחה שלום, with the meaning of rest. To take it as an appellative: till rest comes (Neum. Hofm. Reuss), or: till he comes to the resting-place, seems with such a store of synonyms inadmissible.

But the שׁלֹה of our passage is no מֹת. אַפּאָף, and the first question of all must be, what ישׁלֹה or, as it is everywhere else written, שִׁלֹה (שִׁלֹי) means elsewhere. It is there the name of an Ephraimite town in the country on this side Jordan (hence mins of which are still to be seen, in conformity with the ruins of which are still to be seen, in conformity with the statement Judg. xxi. 19, "on the north of Bethel, on the east side of the road that goes up from Bethel to Shechem, on the south of Lebonah (Lubbân)." They still bear the name of Sêlûn (Σιλοῦν in Josh.), and lie upon a bare height above the village Turmus Aja, which is situate on a plateau enclosed

¹ See G. Böttger, Topographisch-hist. Lex. zu Josephus (1879), p. 231.

on all sides by hills. When the name of this town is used as an accus, of direction, it is said just as here בוא שלה Josh. xviii. 9, 1 Sam. iv. 12, הביא שלה Judg. xxi. 12, 1 Sam. i. 24, שלח שלח 1 Sam. iv. 4, הלך שלה 1 Kings xiv. 2, 4. The next thing then surely is to see whether "till he (Judah) comes to Shiloh" gives a meaning agreeable to the context and to history. It has been objected against this geographical comprehension of שילה, which has been preferred by Herder and since him by many others, that the name Shiloh did not originate till Joshua's time, and that the place was formerly called האנה (Hgst.), or that האנה שלה, in the meaning of "meeting at the resting-place," was the full name then given it (Hofm.); but the Taanath Shiloh of Josh. vi. 6, in Euseb. and Jer. Thanath (Thenath), now Ain Tanah, is a northeastern border town of the territory of Ephraim, differing from Shiloh. It was the name of a place already existing. which Jacob made, as he did the names of his sons, an omen of the future. Why should he, who had resided for a period near Shechem, not have known of this mid-Palestinian Shiloh? At ver. 13 he names צָּיִלוֹ, and at ch. xlviii. uses the word מבס district, with an allusion to Shechem, just as he here uses the word מילה not without consciousness of its meaning of place of rest. But the question is—(1) Did Judah maintain this stated supremacy among the tribes till the twelve-tribed nation assembled at Shiloh? and (2) Was Shiloh the turningpoint from Judah's tribal to his national sovereignty? With respect to the first question, it is not against an affirmative answer, that, first Moses, a Levite, and then Joshua, an Ephraimite, were the leaders of the people on their march to Canaan—for Moses and Joshua were what they were not by reason of their descent from this or that tribe, but in virtue of the Divine choice personally resting on them; and the question here is as to the relation of the tribes to each other. Nor is it any contradiction, that Reuben, Gad and half of Manasseh marched before (למני) Israel (Num. xxxii, 17, Deut. iii. 18 and frequently)-for they marched before the other tribes, but not at their head. The primacy of the tribe of Judah among the tribes was really that which Jacob pre-At the first numbering of the people in the wilderness of Sinai, Judah appears as the most numerous of all the tribes, Num. i., and at the second in the plains of Moab he had, notwithstanding the judgments meantime inflicted, increased, Num. xxvi. In the order of encampment he is the first tribe of the three, who form the front of the square encamped about the sanctuary, and hence the bearer of the first of the four chief standards, Num. ii.; and when the signal for starting was given, the three tribes (Judah, Issachar and Zebulun), which together were called the camp of Judah, were the first to move, Num. x., comp. ii. 9. Judah also maintained this position during the wars of conquest under Joshua; for when the conquered country was divided, it was Judah who in Gilgal received first of all the tribes his hereditary territory, Josh. xv. The camp was then transferred to Shiloh in the heart of the country. Here the tribes assembled, Judah at their head; here the sanctuary was set up and the division of the land completed. This coming to Shiloh undoubtedly forms the boundary between two periods of Israel's history. We only need to read how the assembling of the people at Shiloh is related, Josh. xviii. 1: "And the whole congregation of the sons of Israel assembled themselves together at Shiloh, and set up the tent of meeting there, and the land was subdued before them." Is not the coming to Shiloh here held up as a deeply cut mark in the history of Israel? Then was fulfilled what Moses had in his blessing entreated for the tribe of Judah, Deut. xxxiii. 7: "May Jahveh hear the cry of Judah and bring him home to his people—his hands contended for himself, and thou art his help against his oppressors" (see the Targums and Volck on this passage). The coming to Shiloh, till which Judah had not ceased to stand at the head of the tribes, was the commencement of the settlement and possession; שילה became what its name denoted, the resting-place of Israel, comp. Josh. xxi. 42, xx. 4 with xviii. 1.1 The second question is, whether after Judah, the נניד (1 Chron. v. 2) of the tribes, had come as a victor to Shiloh the אלי יקהת עמים was fulfilled. This too is confirmed, if only we do not forget that Jacob's prediction, like all prophecy, has regard to the climax of the time following and overlooks the interval which elapses. It is not necessary, in order to regard the prophecy as fulfilled, that the tribe of Judah should, after Shiloh became the head and centre of the tribes, have always maintained and exercised its princely rights; it is sufficient that the time of the Judges shows single fulfilments of the prophecy. For when Joshua was dead, the tribe of Judah was called to take the precedence in the war against the Canaanites, Judg. i. 1 sq., and afterwards in the war against Benjamin, Judg. xx. 18; and when the people submitted to that rule of individual judges imposed upon them by circumstances, it was Othniel, of the tribe of Judah, who was the first of the series, Judg. iii. 9. Besides, did not Judah, after being, during the disorganized period of the Judges, kept back from its dignity as the chief tribe, become the royal tribe of Israel? Elohim chose not the tribe of Ephraim, as it is said Ps. lxxviii, but chose the tribe of Judah, the hill of Zion which He loved. David and Solomon, through whom the victorious conflicts and peaceable sway promised to him were gloriously fulfilled, were of the tribe of Judah. What Israel experienced under David and Solomon was not indeed as yet the period of final and unfading glory.

¹ Driver, in the Expositor, 1885, vol. vii., and in his exegetical studies on Gen. xlix. 10 in the Cambridge Journal of Philology, vol. xiv., thinks himself obliged to understand property in its strict meaning of "a royal sceptre" (but comp. Num. xxi. 18), and therefore finally acquiesces in the explanation according to the LXX: till His (Him appointed to Him by promise) shall come (which Briggs also follows in his Messianic Prophecy, 1886). We are thankful for the information, that the explanation quousque veniat Silo of Seb. Munster's translation (1534) and that of Herder, after the precedent of W. G. Teller (1766), are in circulation.

But did not the kingship of Judah, given Him according to promise, become the tree from which Jesus Christ, the predicted Zémach, grew? Πρόδηλον γὰρ ὅτι ἐξ Ἰούδα ἀνατέταλκεν ὁ κύριος ἡμῶν, Heb. vii. 14. In Him Judah is for ever the Adored, the Victor, the King, the universal Ruler. For though it is true that the super-terrestrial existence of the exalted Redeemer, as such, is one which is also super-national, yet the earthly history, from which He proceeded and rose on high, was not thereby undone. Even in heaven the seer, who was transported thither, was comforted by one of the elders before the throne with the words: Weep not; behold, the Lion of the tribe of Judah hath overcome, Rev. v. 5.

Thus the prophecy has Christ as the goal of its fulfilment; it is Messianic without our needing to understand שילה personally. Judah is the subj. of יבא, and remains such in ver. 11 sq., which describes the full blessing of Judah's peaceable possession, when he shall have come to Shiloh: Binding his foal unto the vine, and his ass's colt unto the choice vine, he washes his raiment in winc and his mantle in the blood of the grape. His eyes dark with wine, and his teeth white with milk. Judah binds without concern (in Judah they bind) the wild foal to a tree bearing good fruit instead of a stake—the fruitfulness of the land is so great that there is no anxiety to prevent damage. with the ancient and almost always accented connective sound, stands before a word with a prepos., as at Ex. xv. 6, Ps. cxi. 5, Obad. 3, Isa. xxii. 6, Micah vii. 14, Lam. i. 1, Ps. cxxiii. 1 and frequently, and has the same connective sound as the first member of the stat. constr. as at xxxi. 39. Besides לַשְׁלֵכְה, הָבֶּבֶּה, הָבָּבָּה designates a special kind of good wine from the light red colour of its grapes, or rather of their juice. For light red is in Syria and Palestine the colour of the so-called white wine. while the red wine is black vino nero. On the perf. 11b as expressing the abstract present, see Ges. § 126, 3. The is

contracted from amp, from op to wrap round, not maimed, from מפחוה as the Samaritans read. The connective form follows the analogy of אָבֶל Ps. xxxv. 14, Olsh. § 167b. Then-for this is the meaning of these images-begins a time of happy and prosperous peace. The disquietude of battle will then be at an end. Judah rides upon the animal of peace, and the land is full of vines, the seed of peace (Zech. viii. 12), and abounds in wine. The eyes of Judah then no longer flash with eagerness for the battle, but are dimly dark (יְבְּלִילִי adj. after the formation הַבְּלִילִי, from באל, תָּבֶל, to be firm and close, then to be dark (Assyr. akâlu¹)) with wine, and his teeth white with milk, his mouth being full of this childlike and rural nourishment. To understand the two po comparatively: his eyes have a darker fire than wine, and his teeth are whiter than milk, gives a trait more adapted to Canticles than to this context. Judah has finished his conflicts, and now enjoys in confident peace the abundance of his land. The territory of Judah was favourable for the culture of the vine (Josh. i. 7 sqq., iv. 18, 2 Chron. xxvi. 10), especially the hilly district of Hebron and Engedi (Num. xiii. 23 sq., Cant. i. 14), and not less so for cattle-breeding, especially the excellent pasture land at Carmel and near Tekoa (1 Sam. xxv. 2, Amos i. 2, 2 Chron. xxvi. 10), And such a simple, idyllic, peaceful life was indeed, during the period of the Judges, the happy lot of Judah above all the other tribes. In the times of David and Solomon all Israel shared in the prosperity of Judah, 1 Kings v. 5. Solomon it came to an end. The Ephraimite Shiloh was not yet the turning-point to true and lasting prosperity. Hence vv. 10, 11 are also eschatologic. The promise of peace bestowed upon Judah, was first fulfilled in Him who entered rejoicing Zion riding upon an ass and spake peace to the nations, and not perfectly and enduringly in Him, till not only

¹ See Friedr. Delitzsch in Zimmern, Babyl. Busspeal. p. 115.
VOL. 11.
2 B

He Himself, in whom the history of Judah is recapitulated, shall have entered into the κατάπαυσις of the other world, but shall also have fetched His Church into that κατάπαυσις of the other world, which is the heavenly counterpart of the ripe of this world and of the full enjoyment of earthly peace. The prophecy sounds as if it were national, temporal, earthly; but viewed in the history of its fulfilment, it discloses relations and facts of a spiritual, eternal and heavenly nature.

If the succession of birth were observed, Dan and Naphtali, the two sons of Bilhah, and then Gad and Asher, the two sons of Zilpah, should follow Judah. Instead of this, the four already named sons of Leah (Reuben, Simeon, Levi and Judah) are followed by her two other sons, and in suchwise that, as in the blessing of Moses, the sixth, Zebulun, precedes the fifth, Issachar. The blessing pronounced upon Zebulun, ver. 13: Zebulun-near to the coast of the sea shall he dwell, yea he, near to the coast of the ships, and his side leans on Sidon. The והוא occurring three times in ch. xlix. is justified at ver. 19, and to a certain extent at ver. 20, by the contrast; here it is only a corroborative idenque; this rather pleonastic than emphatic use of the personal pronoun recalls The territory of Zebulun at its western the style of Hosea. extension never directly reached the Mediterranean (see Josh. xix. 10-16), nor did that of Asher to whom the ימים אוֹת אוֹא Judg. v. 17 is, in accordance with Josh. xix. 20, transferred. It was shut in on the north in the direction towards Sidon and on the west towards the Mediterranean by Asher. fulfilment did not come up to either the wish or the prophecy. as e.g. Acco and Sidon also, which were allotted to the tribe of Asher, remained in the possession of the Canaanites, Judg. i. 13, Josh. xix. 28. Besides by the reading up (Targ. Jer. Samar. and Codices) is also found. The plur. מַנִּים does not refer to the western sea and to the sea of Kinnereth, but means (comp. Gen. i. 10) the main sea, as is evident from Judg. v. 15. In what sense his position with respect to the main sea is a blessing to Zebulun is indicated in אָלִיה. It is through his nearness to the sea that he becomes a prosperous tribe, rejoicing in the blessings of marine commerce; a tribe which, as Deut. xxxiii. 19 says of him and of his neighbouring brother Issachar, sucks the accumulated abundance of the seas and the hidden treasures, which are carried from the sandy coast into the interior.

Zebulun is followed by his elder brother. The saying concerning Issachar, ver. 14: Issachar is a bony ass, stretching himself between the sheepfolds. Geiger's conjecture חמור גַּרָם (an ass of burden of foreigners), approved by Schröter and Olsh., does not give the meaning put upon it, for which we should on the contrary have expected instead of גרים, וכרים or וכרים; nor is it true that חמור וכרים means an emaciated ass, the expression points to a strong-boned ass. the square sheepfold, is an image of the country within which Issachar, contented with material advantages and enjoyments, and indifferent to the honours of victory and independence, quietly employs and takes care of himself, ver. 15: He saw rest that it was good and the land that it was pleasant, and bowed his shoulder to the burden and became a serving task-worker. Instead of the neutral and (good, or: a good) the Samar. needlessly corrects מובה. The phrase " to become מְסִכּעבֶּר," i.e. a serving (task-working) tributary, is found also in historic prose, Josh, xvi. 10, 1 Kings ix. 21. The bright side of the saying is, that Issachar will become a robust and hardy race, and receive a pleasant country, inviting to comfortable repose (according to Josh. Bell. iii. 3. 2 also, τον ήκιστα γης φιλόπουου, the attractive Lower Galilee with the lovely and fertile plain of Jezre'el) (the Midrash understands by נעים. Nain in the west of the so-called Lesser Hermon). The dark side, that he is no freedom-loving side, but a willingly labouring חמור, who, through his tendency to gain and comfort, will rather submit to the yoke of foreign sway, than risk his

profits and possessions by warlike efforts.¹ Ritter finds here described the occupation of the nomadic tribes in the neighbourhood of Phænicia, who furnished the Phænicians with their caravan horses, and were their carriers; for the territory of Issachar, to which belonged the great plain of Jezre'el towards Beisân, lay on the high caravan road between Phænicia and the Jordan, leading to Arabia and Damascus (Erdkunde, xvi. 19). At all events the yoke upon the neck (Isa. x. 27) is no blessing, and אַרָּיָה לְּמָכִּם עָּבֶּר to be bound to villeinage, to be, as it were, taxable in labour, does not become Israel, the nation called to free dominion, 1 Kings ix. 22, comp. Prov. xii. 24, but the Canaanites, upon whom was inflicted the curse of bondage, Josh. xvi. 10, 1 Kings ix. 20 sq., and the enemies of Israel in general, so far as they are not utterly extirpated, Deut. xx. 11.

After the six sons of Leah comes the turn of the sons of the handmaid, whose sons were born before Rachel's own sons, and first of Dan whose nomen Jacob makes an omen of his future, ver. 16: Dan shall judge his people as one of the tribes of Israel. By ion is meant Israel, as at Deut. xxxiii. 7, he will defend this as an independent tribe, without being lost among the other tribes; on the contrary, he will stand up with them for the rights and honour of the nation notwithstanding his smallness, for what he lacks in power he will compensate for by stratagem, ver. 17: Dan is a serpent in the way and a horned snake in the path, which bites the necks of the horse, and he that rideth it falleth backwards. The post is, as frequently, consecutive without being conversive.

on a level with the war-horse, so that not only the notion of endurance but also that of eagerness for battle is combined, e.g. in the surname "the ass of Mesopotamia," borne by the Chalif Merwan II. (DMZ. xxxiv. 735). On the other hand, the stupidity of the ass is proverbial in the East also (DMZ. xl. 266 sq.).

reference to DD, as also at Ex. xv. 1, the rider equo vehentem. וֹשִׁמְישׁ (from שִשׁ to rub the ground, to creep) is according to Jerome cerastes (κεράστης), i.e. the horned viper. This viper has the brownish yellow colour of the sand, from which it protrudes its knot-like antennæ, and inflicts its deadly bite on any one treading on it unawares (Diod. 2, 49, 20, 42, Plin. 8. 23, Solinus, p. 136, ed. Mommsen); it is called in Arabic مقرن (the horned) or (according to Curt Vogel in Vom Fels zum Meer, 1881, November) لفاح Leffah (the striker, The territory of Dan lay between Judah and Ephraim, and only attained in some degree its requisite size by the relinquishment of some of their cities by these two Dan was nevertheless an independent tribe like any other, and held his own against Canaanites and Philistines, with whom he was by his bold craftiness involved in constant strife. This trait of character in Dan shows itself in the expedition described ch. xviii., and reaches its climax in the romantic chivalry of Samson. The patriarch, beholding the nation that descends from him imperilled by obstinate conflict with the nations of the world, and the future salvation threatened together with it, looks up in prayer to Jahveh, ver. 18: I hope for Thy salvation, Jahreh! The name of Jahveh does not exclude the possibility of Jacob's blessing being derived from Q or E. Jacob's end is indeed the threshold of the Mosaic period, the sign manual of which is this name. In no case is ver. 18 a heterogeneous insertion (J. D. Mich. Vatke). It is just the prophetic glance of the seer at the history of the tribe of Dan, which he beholds involved in obstinate and enduring conflict with the Philistines, so far his superiors in numbers and military power, which is changed into an upward glance to the God of salvation. The meaning of ישתרך קרתי יהוח cannot well be better developed than in the Jer. Targum: "Yet not to the redemption wrought by Gideon, the son of Joash, does my soul look, for it is temporal; not

to the redemption wrought by Samson, the son of Manoah, is my longing directed, for it is transitory; but to the redemption which Thou hast promised to bring to Thy people, the seed of Israel, through Thy word. To Thy redemption, O Jahveh, to the redemption of the Messiah, the Son of David, who will one day redeem Israel and bring him back from exile, to that redemption is my sight and my desire directed, for Thy redemption is an everlasting redemption." The patriarch expects the full and final redemption of Israel from all hostile powers, not from man, but from an act of Jahveh Himself.

Then having, as it were, obtained from Jahveh's fulness new power and matter for blessing, he turns to Gad, his first son by Zilpah, ver. 19: Gad—a warlike throng shall press upon him, and he shall press upon their heel. The verb upon, with which the name is here brought into combination, means to cut into, to press upon, to attack in a hostile manner (with an acc. following as at Hab. iii. 16, or is as at Ps. xliv. 21). Gad had to dwell beyond Jordan and there to endure much from the Ammonites, half of whose territory was taken possession of by him (Josh. xiii. 24–28), and from the marauding desert tribes in general, but faithful to

his name he will victoriously resist their raids (غزوات), putting the hostile troop to flight, following on their heels and slaying the fugitives. Saying concerning Asher, Jacob's second son by Zilpah, ver. 20: From Asher comes fat, his bread, and he yields royal dainties. To understand "from Asher (i.e. as to what comes from Asher)—his bread is fat" is forced; ישֵׁימָי is in apposition to ישִׁימָי: fat, fat food as his daily nourishment. But all these sayings begin with the mere name of the person to be blessed; and we must, with Scheid, Bleek, Kn. Olsh. take over the p of מַּמְיִים עַּקְבַם) עַקְּבַ עַּקְבָּם) עַקְּבַ עַּקְבָּם) עַקְּבַ מוֹ אוֹנִייִ אַרְּבָּים עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּקְבַם אַרְבָּיִים עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּקְבַם אַרְבָּיִים עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּקְבַם אַרְבָּיִם עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּיִּבְּיִם עִּיְבָּיִם עִּיִּבְיִם עִּיִּבְיִם עִּיִּבְיִם עִּיִּבְיִם עִּיִּבְיִּבְּיִם עִּיִּבְיִם עִּיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְּיִם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְּיִם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְיִם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְּים אַרְבִּים אוֹצִייִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְים אוֹצִייִים עִיִּבְים אוֹצִּיִים אוֹצִייִים עִיִּבְיִּם עִיִּבְים עִיִּבְיִּם עִּיִּבְים אוֹצִייִּים עִיִּבְּים אוֹצִייִים עִּיִּבְים עִיִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִייִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִּיִּים עִייִּים עִּיִּים ע

fat himself, he furnishes kings with the dainties of their is meant to recall שָׁכֵּי, according to Deut. xxxiii. 24, Asher dips his foot in oil. His territory in the low lands of Carmel along the coast of the Mediterranean (Judg. v. 17) up to the more mountainous districts of Sidon, was one of the most fertile of lands, and yielded excellent Saying concerning Naplitali, Jacob's corn, wine and oil. second son by Bilhah, ver. 21: Naphtali is a hind let loose, one who is the bringer forth of goodly words. Two things are here allotted to Naphtali: unrestrained agility of movement and the gift of refined and agreeable speech. The former alludes to the independent possession of a mountainous district, in which he ranges unfettered, and the latter marks him as the poetic and eloquent tribe of Israel; of this however no evidence can be produced except that the song of Deborah, Judg. v., is introduced as the song of Deborah and of Barak the Naphtalite-by the commendation "Naphtali upon the high places of the field," ver. 18, it enters into relation with the blessing of Jacob. אילה שלחה means a hind let loose, left to itself (comp. my Deut. xxxii. 36), roaming about at will; the point of comparison is not, as in the image of the gazelle 2 Sam. ii. 18, 1 Chron. xii. 8, swiftness, but as at Hab. iii. 19, Hence ancient translators the happy state of freedom. (Targ. III. Syr. Saad. Pers.) have incorrectly allowed themselves to be led by with to the notion of a messenger, and to make the image represent Naphtali's successful qualification for the vocation of a messenger. The LXX reads differently and translates: ώς στέλεχος ανειμένου, επιδιδούς εν τώ γεννήματι κάλλος, i.e. like a tall stem supplying beauty in the fruit. It cannot be certainly determined upon what wording of the text this is founded: στέλεχος seems to be a generalizing rendering of אילה (although this is translated τερέβινθος xxxv. 4 and στέλεχος is more closely defined Job xxix. 18: ώσπερ στέλεγος φοίνικος), and έν τῷ γεννήματι points rather to בפר than to אַכִּר (Wipfel). In no case can στέλεχος

aνειμένον mean virgultum resolutum (Jer.) or truncus ex quo virgulta (βλαστήματα) prodeunt (Schleusner), but on the contrary stirps procera (from ἀνιέναι, to cause to grow). Hence Bochart, Lowth, Herder, Ew. Olsh. Dillm. read and translate: Naphtali is a slender terebinth, one who bears a pleasant crown; for Naphtali's territory—as Hofmann explains the image—rises from the Lake of Gennesareth to Mount Lebanon; the roots of the terebinth rest by the lake, pleasant cities are the branches which it casts forth, and Mount Lebanon, to which it extends like an arch, is its crown. The Masoretic text however has the testimony of Targums and the Samar. in its favour; besides, with this figure we should expect אַרְהָּוֹלְהָיִלְהָּיִלְּהָּיִלְּהָּיִלְּהָּיִלְּהָיִי in the meaning of stretched, slender, is uncorroborated and linguistically improbable.

The sayings concerning the sons of the handmaids close They began with Bilhah's son Dan and with Naphtali. terminate with her son Naphtali, Zilpah's two sons, Gad and Asher, standing between them. Rachel's own sons, Joseph, born in Aramaea, and Benjamin, born on the way to Ephrath, form the conclusion (xlviii. 7). Joseph is the deliverer, the stay, the pride of his family; it has really come to pass that the sun, moon and stars, i.e. his father, mother and brethren, bow down before him; the blessing pronounced upon him flows from the fulness of grateful love, and is therefore the most comprehensive of all, vv. 22-26. The image, with which it begins, is perhaps occasioned by the name אַפְרָים, ver. 22: The son of a fruit-tree is Joseph, the son of a fruit-tree by a fountain, whose branches run upon the wall, or if we esteem the chief point of the comparison to be not support and shelter by means of a prop, but productive power and elevation: over the wall. Both "up" and "over" are implied in "yp. The absence of Makkeph cannot be the reason that 2 and 12 are pointed with Tsere, for the word with Mahpach, e.g. at xvii. 17, is equally pointed 2 without Makkeph; the pointing takes בו as a plur., בנות branch, as fem., and שנה שלה (with ath for ath as in עשה Lev. xxv. 21, אים and the like) as an adj. to it (Targ. Rashbam: a growing son, Rashi: a noble son), which is linguistically impossible. The connection is genitival (hence בּוֹשֹׁרַת), son of a fruit-tree (בּוֹשֹׁרָת, Isa. xvii. 6, of the olive tree, usually of the vine). In India also daughters and branches are interchangeable words (Roberts, Oriental Illustrations, p. 55). Aeschylus, Oed, Col. 701, calls the olive tree παιδοτρόφος with respect to its fruits. The sing. comprises in a whole the branches, which are compared to daughters (comp. Zech. vi. 14, John i. 20). We can hardly entertain the notion that we is in some way connected with שור a bullock, the emblem, according to Deut. xxxiii. 17, of Joseph (DMZ. xxiv. 539, see Volck, Segen Mose's, on this passage). On the other hand, Ps. lxxx. 16 is not out of relation; for Ps. lxxx. is, as it were, a commentary on the comparison of Joseph to a young vine. Who is to be understood by the nib the fruit-tree, whether Rachel or Jacob or all Israel, is not the question; בן פרת is a perceptibly indivisible image. Luth. translates: Die Töchter tretten ein her im Regiment (of the daughter cities of the two tribes of Joseph), which, even if it were שור instead of שור, would be linguistically impossible. What now follows is no retrospect to the past experiences of Joseph, the warlike imagery being inappropriate to express Joseph's victory over the persecutions he endured both at home and in Egypt. historical tenses express something future, which is present to the mind of the patriarch as an accomplished fact, vv. 23, 24: The archers sorely pursue him and shoot at him and make war upon him. But his bow remains in firmness and the arms of his hands move nimbly by the hands of the Mighty One of Jacob, from thence, the shopherd, the stone of Israel. LXX, Sam. translate וַרבּוּ from רִיב to make war, but וַלְבוּ from עע a עע mid. O. like ורג Isa. i. 6, and רפו Job xxiv. 24, Ges. § 67, note 1, is more significant. באיתן is equivalent to איתן at a place of firmness, from which he neither

swerves nor falls. MB is the same as the Arab. is to be nimble, active. His arms are called the "arms of his hands," as ruling his hands and imparting to them elasticity and energy (comp. Ps. xliv. 3). Luther already remarks on ביים: hos viros sagittarum intelligo non tribum Juda, ut alii volunt (the Midrash understands it, according to Ps. exx. 3, of his slanderous brethren) sed Syros, qui vehementer afflixerunt hoc regnum et fuerunt insignes sagittarii; we have indeed to think chiefly, but not exclusively, of the Syrians. In פִידֵי אֲבִיר 'מידי יְעַלְב וּנו is inconvenient. Olsh. approves of Lagarde's coniecture משרי As the words stand, the מיסרי designates the cause or source of this invincible defence: from the hands of the אביר שקב (a Divine name occurring also in Isa. and Ps. cxxxii.), these hands strengthening and supporting his (Joseph's) hands. The terms that follow are permutative: from thence (Dyp, i.e. from God, like Dy Eccles. iii. 17, with God, hence, according to the meaning, $\delta \nu \omega \theta \epsilon \nu$): the shepherd (xlviii. 15, comp. the echo of this Ps. lxxx. 1), the stone (28, as elsewhere צבר the immoveable foundation and protection) of Israel. The Syr. reads prop, according to which Oettli 1 proposes : מָשֶׁם רֹעָה אָבִיךּ יִשׂרָאֵל, but this מְשֶׁם (from the name = the disclosed fulness of strength) is without analogy, and as a bold variation leaning on צור ישראל (2 Sam. xxiii. 3, comp. Isa. viii. 14) must be esteemed possible. Luther translates: aus jenen (the Josephite tribes) sind komen Hirten und Steine in Israel, i.e. great rulers and prophets. But the rulers of a people are called shields, pillars and the like, not The moderns see in אביר יעקב, which they translate the "ox of Jacob," an after influence of the ancient Semitic worship of the ox, as in אבן ישראל of the ancient Semitic worship of stone fetishes or baetylia, but the appellations are in no need of such intervention by the history of religions. Ancient Jewish explanations already attempt to make אבן ישראל

¹ Theol. Zeitschr. aus d. Schweiz von Friedr. Weili, 1885, p. 147 aqq.

dependent as an obj. on רעה; so does Dillmann, who, reading רֹעָה, explains with Herd. Ew.: Shepherd of the stone of Israel, which would be equivalent to the God of Bethel-very improbable, since אלהי ought in this sense to stand instead of the misleading right. The blessing now turns from the descriptive to the supplicatory tone, the m, referring to the cause in the former sentence, still at first continuing, ver. 25: By the God of thy father-may He help thee-and with Shaddai -may He bless thee, with blessings of heaven above, blessings of the deep couching beneath, blessings of the breast and of the womb. It is unnecessary, either with Ew. § 351a, Dillm. and others, to alter, according to the LXX, Syr. Sam. Vulg., the ny, used as at iv. 1, v. 24, into מאָת, or, with Kn., into מאָת, or to take it under the after influence of the p (comp. Isa. xlviii. 9, 14, xlix. 7, and perhaps liii. 8) in the sense of אמאָס; for "by the God of thy father " and "with Shaddai" (used instead of שֵל שׁבָּי only again in the Pent. in the sayings of Balaam) continues the thought of whence and in whose fellowship the bow and arms of Joseph would be so invincibly strong; ורברכך is developed in the acc. which follows (comp. ver. 28). combination שמים מעל is like xxvii. 39, Ps. l. 4. Rain and dew from above, springs and moisture from beneath, shall shed their fertilizing powers on Joseph's territory, and his cattle shall never fail in productiveness and abundance of It is superabundance which Jacob desires for Joseph, ver. 26: The blessings of thy father tower above the blessings of my parents even to the boundary of the everlasting hills, may they come upon the head of Joseph and upon the crown of the illustrious among his brethren. The LXX already combines הורי עד varying translation of Targ. III. combines parents and hills in הורי ("above the blessings with which Abraham and Isaac, who were like the hills דְּמָתִילִין לְמוּרֵיא, were blessed "); and Rashbam, like S. J. Rapoport (on Freund's Hülfsbuch, 1866), takes חשובי as a collective plural like חשובי in the

meaning hills. Since however hor appears elsewhere only in proper names as a dialectic form, we must adopt the view that הַּנֵי is either softened or miswritten from הַנָּרָ (as perhaps שור Ps. xcii. 12 is from שורי). That הורי עד is meant for "everlasting hills" (Ges. Win. Tuch, Kn. Dillm. and others) is certainly, as supported by Deut. xxxiii. 15, comp. Hab. iii. 6, very probable. In the text as we have it הוֹרִים means parentes, in which sense it is common in post-biblical and also in biblical Hebrew, as הוֹרָה mother (Cant. iii. 4, Hos. ii. 7) shows (comp. Arab. ummāni, probably both mothers = parents); and man, which elsewhere means concupiscentia (from אמה), may be taken in the sense of terminus (from אמה), Num. xxxiv. 10 = אָאָה Num. xxxiv. 7 sq., אָהָה 1 Sam. xxi. 14, Ezek. ix. 4). According to this traditional text, the patriarch intends to say, that he so far surpasses the blessings bestowed on him by his parents, in his blessings of Joseph, that the latter tower above the former like the highest summits of the everlasting hills-but wherein did this superabundance consist? Here the answer is wanting. But if we read הררי עד, חאות deprived of the prep. של will now mean not the boundary mark, but as the parallel word to בּּרָכֹת, will (without our needing, with Olsh., to correct it to the equally plural הַבְאוֹת) mean the charm, i.e. the charming endowment "of the everlasting hills" with all that is beautiful, enjoyable and useful, a meaning confirmed by Deut. xxxiii. 15 (משמנה נבעות עולם), and the sense will be, that the blessings which Jacob inherited far exceeded the bestowal of an elevated and excellent hillcountry, which is also confirmed by xxvii. 27-29. rightly says: La bénédiction morale du patriarche vaut encore mieux que la bénédiction materielle de la nature. In this view is understood historically, while in the Masoretic reading he who blesses would mean that he is now grasping at a blessing beyond what he himself received. Thus, however we explain it, the blessings implored upon the head of Joseph, on the crown of the נויר among his brethren, are superabundant. לְרֹאשׁ and not בָּרֹאשׁ is purposely said, because נראש (or עלרראש) is the usual expression for the coming down of a curse upon the head of some one, and לראש for the coming down of a blessing (Deut. xxxiii. 16, Prov. x. 6, means separated (from נֵיר), and the question is, whether Joseph is here and at Deut. xxxiii. 16 said to separate from his brethren (Onk. Pers. Gr.-Ven.) on account of his chastity and self-denial, and thus a Nazir in the moral sense (Jer. Saad. Ar.-Sam. Luth.), or on account of his acquired power and elevation in Egypt, and thus as a dedicated one = prince (Targ. II. and III. LXX), unless the word in this sense is perhaps combined with מַל diadem (Sam. Syr. Arnheim). As the transference of this word to the moral region in general is not to be proved, מיר designates Joseph as elevated to princely rank, and as by means of Ephraim and Manasseh the inheritor of this precedence in power and dignity.

After this long saying concerning the blessing of Joseph, in which grateful affection struggles for utterance, follows all the more briefly the saying concerning Benjamin, Joseph's own brother and the second son of Rachel, ver. 27: Benjamin—a wolf that tears, in the morning he devours the prey and in the evening he divides the spoil. The comparison with the ravening wolf has apparently a touch of moral criticism, as that of Issachar with the bony ass has a touch of irony. The LXX translates λύκος ἄρπαξ; but ነጋሮ does not properly mean rapere, but carpere, and according to the context decerpere (viii. 11) or discerpere (xxxvii. 33). אָבֶל מֵרֶף Num. xxxiii. 24 may be also said instead of אָכֵל עָד, for what has been torn or is being torn (bitten) is called אָכָה. The Fathers (since Tertullian) have dreamt in a contrast between 27a and 27b, but morning and evening together give the notion of incessant victorious spoiling. What a warlike tribe Benjamin became is shown in the time of the Judges by his participation in the contest for freedom under Deborah, Judg. v. 14, and by his war with all the other tribes, waged indeed in an unrighteous cause, Judg. ch. xx. sq. Ehud the judge may also be regarded as an example of the warlike character of this small tribe, but above all King Saul, who everywhere appears surrounded by his brave and armed tribe (1 Chron. viii. 40, xii. 2, comp. 2 Chron. xiv. 7, xvii. 17) and accompanied by his heroic son Jonathan, and whose victorious deeds had in the history of Israel the significance of a pioneer's.

All these are the tribes of Israel, says ver. 28 retrospectively, twelve, and this is what their father spoke unto them and blessed them, each with what was conformable with his blessing he blessed The interpunctuation of 28a is correct, שׁנֵים עָשֵׂר is brought in afterwards, "together twelve." In 28b the circumstantial expression " with what was conformable with his blessing" appears strange, perhaps אשר is corrupted from איש (Olsh.): man by man according to his blessing (that appertaining to him), compare the erroneous אשר corrected in the Kert, 2 Sam. xxiii. 21, Num. xxi. 30. This closing verse is in the style of Q (comp. i. 27, Num. i. 44). That it excludes Q as the narrator of the twelve sayings (Kn. Dillm.) is without foundation—the retrospect assumes that the sayings concerning Reuben, Simeon and Levi conceal blessings behind the form There are twelve tribes, not thirteen, for the of curses. double tribe of Joseph is reckoned as one, as at Deut. xxvii. 12, comp. xxxiii. 13. More frequently however, e.g. Num. ch. i. sq., xiii., Ezek. xlvii. 13, xlviii. 4 sq., Ephraim and Manasseh are counted as two tribes, and the number twelve maintained, by the tribe of Levi, which as the priestly tribe was scattered among all the rest without separate territory, solid unity, or political importance, being left unmentioned There are however other computations and unrepresented. which seem strange. In the blessing of Moses, Deut. xxxiii., Simeon is passed over, and the number twelve made up by the double tribe of Joseph counting as two and Levi being expressly reckoned. And in the prophecy of Ahijah, 1 Kings ch. xi., where the one tribe which is left to the house of David is the tribe of Judah, the ten tribes are thus made up, Levi is left out of consideration, and Benjamin, which partly by territory and entirely by inclination belonged to the northern kingdom, is added (Köhler, Gesch. ii. 457) to Ephraim, Manasseh, Issachar, Zebulun, Naphtali, Asher, Gad, Reuben and Dan; the tribe of Simeon, which never had a settled territory and remained without political independence, being left out of the computation. The number twelve in like manner results in the Apocalypse ch. vii., but here Simeon is specified, and on the other hand Dan is enigmatically passed over. Everywhere twelve remains undiminished and unexceeded as the sign manual of the covenant people.

Jacob's last request and his departure, vv. 29-33: And he charged them, and said to them: I shall soon be gathered to my people, bury me with my fathers in the cave which is in the field of Ephron the Hittite, in the cave which is in the field of Machpelah, which is in front of Mamre in the land of Canaan, which Abraham bought together with the field from Ephron the Hittite for a possession of a burying-place. There they buried Abraham and Sarah his wife, there they buried Isaac and Rebekah his wife, and there I buried Leah .- The field bought and the cave therein from the sons of Heth. And when Jacob made an end of charging his sons, he drew his fect into the bed and departed, and was gathered to his people. The sentence אשר קנה ונו' in which את־השרה is antecedently intended, is defended from the suspicion of being a gloss by l. 12 where it is repeated; but ver. 32, which joins with nothing, is a marginal note in explanation of "the cave with the field" 30b which has been admitted into the text; it shows at the same time that all the three אישר in ver. 30 are meant to refer to the cave. here expressly said that Isaac, Rebekah and Leah had been buried in the cave of Macphelah. He must renounce lying near Rachel, because the neighbourhood of her grave was still

in the hands of the Canaanites. His drawing his feet into the bed refers back to xlviii. 2, although his sitting up, as there mentioned, need not necessarily be conceived as continuing beyond xlix. 1. On יאסף אלעפיו see on xxv. 8. died in full consciousness, without a struggle, comforted by the hope of the salvation of Jahveh, willingly passing into another world to join his fellow-ancestors of that people for whom he knows this salvation of Jahveh was intended, and hiding in him his personality, as that of which he could not It is intentionally that the brief non is omitted, be deprived. the last moments of the ancestor of Israel are kept back as long as possible, the reader is to see and feel that he departs from this world in a manner consistent with his piety and dignity. We already know from xlvii. 28 the length of his life in this world.

THE BURIAL OF JACOB AND DEATH OF JOSEPH, CH. L.

It is evident from the junction of 12 sq. with xlix. 29 sqq. (Q) and of ver. 4 ('שֵׁבֶּבֶּר וֹשִׁר)-11 with xlvii. 29 sqq. (J), as well as from the similar kind of statement in vv. 19, 23 and xxx. 2 sq. (E), that R has here brought together the three main sources, but no certain separation into J and E can be carried out, especially since judgment is divided as to xxxiii. 18-20, and the use of the Divine name here (comp. the introd. to ch. xlv.) affords no support. In the closing portion too, ver. 15 sqq., which is by general acknowledgment traced to E, much is also found which is Jahvistico-Deuteronomic, and which we could not set to the account of R.

Joseph with the corpse of his father, ver. 1: And Joseph fell upon his father's face, and wept upon him and kissed him. Thus was fulfilled the promise, xlvi. 4. The embalming, vv. 2, 3: And Joseph commanded his servants, the physicians, to embalm his father, and the physicians embalmed Israel. And forty days were passed thereat, for so long is the time of

embalming accustomed to last, and the Egyptians mourned for The physicians (LXX ενταφιασταί = him seventy days. דמף are called Joseph's עברים, not because they belonged to a caste subordinate to him, the priest (for a caste of physicians was probably first found under Psammetichus), but because physicians in his private service are intended; moreover it was the subordinate priestly class of the pastophori (Egypt. the Kolchyti) who, being according to Clem. Alex. in possession of the last six (medical) of the forty-two socalled Hermetic books, performed the embalming and burial of corpses and the worship of the dead in the grave chapels. These executed the embalming (חַנְמִים) in the customary forty days, and the Egyptians mourned for the dead seventy days (these forty included). Diodor. i. 91 reckons more than forty days for embalming (another reading is thirty); Herodot. ii. 86, 88 exactly seventy; the shortest delay, if the greatest possible haste was made, would have been forty. The corpse was opened by an incision in the side, the intestines and the brain were taken out and separately preserved in vessels The drying (mummyizing 1) of the body was promoted by the insertion of bituminous material, it was wrapped in numerous bandages and layers of byssus or linen, and, after remaining seventy days in the house of those to whom it belonged, was enclosed in a coffin and buried.

Pharaoh's permission to bury the dead out of the country obtained, vv. 4-6: When the days of mourning for him were passed, Joseph spoke to the house of Pharaoh saying: If I have found grace in your eyes, speak, I pray you, in the ears of Pharaoh saying: My father made me swear, saying: Behold, I die—in my grave which I have digged for myself in the land of Canaan, there shalt thou bury me. And now I would go up and bury my father and return again. And Pharaoh said:

The word "mummy," in use since the thirteenth century, is derived from the Persian mām, wax, and travelled back to the Persian in the foreign form māmia == μουμία as the name of a medical remedy.
VOL. II.
2 C

Go up and bury thy father as he made thee swear. The form שָּבֶית (with שָׁבָית xxxv. 8) is like שָׁבִית Num. xxi. 29. Joseph does not himself go at once to Pharaoh, but, desiring to go out of the country with all his family and a great retinue, he first seeks, for the sake of avoiding malicious insinuations, to dispose Pharaoh's surroundings to favour his request. Besides. etiquette forbade him, a mourner (and therefore unshorn and unadorned), to appear in his own person before the king. That Joseph makes his father describe the grave in which he desired to be buried as prepared by himself, is an abbreviation suited to the brevity of the communication. The verb means to dig, and according to Deut. ii. 6, also to bury, whence the Syr. Alo translates (emi), but a grave being spoken of "I digged" (LXX, Targ. Jer. Jerome, Gr. Ven.) is according to the custom of the language the more obvious, and is confirmed by 2 Chron. xvi. 14. The king and the court did not need to be acquainted with details, and Onk, correctly renders the word by אַרְקְנֵּתְ (I have prepared), which is what is meant. The escort and mourning solemnity, vv. 7-11: Then Joseph went up to bury his father, and with him went up all the servants of Pharaoh, the elders of his house and all the elders of the land of Egypt. And the whole house of Joseph and his brethren and the house of his father, only their little ones and their flocks and herds they left in the land of Goshen. And there went up with him both chariots and horsemen, and the host was a very imposing one. When they were come to the threshing-floor of Atad, which is beyond Jordan, they made there a great and very imposing mourning solemnity, and he ordered a mourning of seven days for his father. And when the inhabitants of the land, the Canaanites, saw the mourning in the threshing-floor of Atad, they said: This is a great mourning of the Egyptians, therefore they called the name of it Abel-Mizraim, which is beyond Jordan. The principal courtiers and state officials journeyed with him, to show the last respect to the father of the chief ruler of Egypt (is here a name

of dignity, as at xxiv. 2). Chariots and horsemen (comp. Ex. xiv. 9, xv. 19, and above on xii. 16) enhanced the pomp and served as escort. It was a very great, i.e. imposing מחנה. They took the indirect route through the wilderness round the Dead Sea, because they desired, without touching upon Philistia and Idumea, to shorten as much as possible the passage through foreign and mistrustful states. They halted in Goren-Atad (אַמָּדְ a thorn ῥάμνος, as Dioscorides explains it, and i gathering-place, viz. of the corn for threshing) beyond Jordan, and Joseph there ordered a seven days' mourning for The place was afterwards called, in allusion, as the narrator thinks, to this mourning (אֶבֶל) of the Egyptians, אבל מצרים, the plain of the Egyptians. V. Raumer, Ritter, Kn. and others are indeed of opinion that this Goren-Atad is said to have lain בעבר חירה from the point of view of the funeral procession, and so on the west side of Jordan, as required by the statement of Jerome: Area Atad locus trans Jordanem, in quo planxerunt quondam Jacob, tertio ab Hierico lapide, duobus milibus ab Jordane, qui nunc vocatur Betagla, quod interpretatur locus quri, eo quod ibi more plangentium circumierint in funere Jacob. The trans Jordanem here can only be a quotation from this passage, for the distance stated points to the western side, where was the ancient בית הנלח, situate on the southern boundary of Benjamin toward Judah, whose position has been ascertained by the discovery of the well and castle of Hagla 2 of a league south-east of Jericho and 11 leagues from the Dead Sea. Not equally certain however is the identity asserted by Jerome of Area Atad and Betagla (בֵּיתְדְתָּלֵכֵה) in his possible indeed, but in this instance far-fetched interpretation of nich means partridge), by gyrus (circular motion). This might be reconcilable with ver. 10, where בעבר הירדן may proceed from the view-point of the funeral procession, but not with ver. 11, according to which אבל מצרים אשר בעבר הירדן, the plain of Egypt on the other side of Jordan, became

the usual name of the locality (על־בֵּן קָרָא, as at xxxiii. 17). Hence we must regard Goren Atad or Abel Mizraim as some place unknown on the east of Jordan, to which the attention of the Canaanites, the inhabitants of the country (on this side), which was the goal of the journey, was attracted by the unusual mourning solemnity performed by so many distinguished foreigners. Conclusion of the funeral journey from Q, vv. 12, 13: And his sons did to him as he had commanded them, and his sons brought him to the land of Canaan and buried him in the cave of the field of Machpelah, which Abraham bought together with the field for a possession of a burying-place of Ephron the Hittite before Mamre. From the floor of Atad the procession passed over Jordan by the most southerly of its three fords, situate below the sea of Tiberias (the upper of which was at Beisan, the middle one from Nablus to Salt, the lower at Gilgal), to bring the patriarch, in accordance with his last will, to Canaan, and they buried him there in the hereditary grave, which undisputedly belonged The abrupt בְּנִין 12a shows that the brief narrative in Q was thus continued in adjunction to xlix. 33. blending with JE, as it is before us, states, what is besides obvious, that the transference of Jacob to Hebron was performed by his sons alone, the Egyptian retinue being left behind.

Return from the burial, ver. 14: And Joseph returned to Egypt, he and his brethren and all that went up with him to bury his father, after he had buried his father. It is the text of J, in which Joseph, as was according to xlvii. 30 sq. to be expected, is the chief figure in the burying. The anxiety of the brethren, ver. 15: When then Joseph's brethren saw that their father was dead, they said: If now Joseph should treat us as enemies and should requite us all the evil which we did to him! The narrative here proceeds according to E with assistance from J. It is a hypothetical sentence in the tone of an exclamation (here beginning with 3 as Ps. xxvii. with

become of us? Deputation of intermediaries to Joseph. vv. 16, 17: And they sent a message to Joseph saying: Thy father commanded before his death saying: Thus speak ye to Joseph: Forgive, I pray thee, the crime of thy brethren and their sin, for they did evil to thee, and now, we pray thee, forgive the crime of the servants of the God of thy father. And Joseph wept when they spake to him. Certainly their father would not once only, but often, have impressed upon them this duty in the case of his death, and at the same time have allayed their anxiety by such counsel. There is no need for supposing that this was expressly related in either E or JE.

אַנָא has Pazer on the tone syllable and Munach on the penultima instead of the countertone Metheg; in Ex. xxxii. 31 also (the only passage of the Pent, where it occurs in Jbesides here) it has emphatic double accentuation (König, Lehrgeb. i. 678 sq.). On אינו with a dative obj. comp. xviii. 24, 26. In the יעתה which follows is inserted the foundation for their request, viz. the oneness of his and their God. It was with tears that Joseph received their message, and they now come themselves, vv. 18-21: Then went also his brethren and fell down before him and said: Behold, we will be thy slaves. But Joseph said to them: Fear not, for am I in the place of You meant indeed evil against me, but Elohim meant it for good, to do as it is this day, to preserve a great nation Now then fear ye not: I-I will nourish you and your Thus he comforted them and spoke to their heart. The question התחת אלהים אני meant at xxx. 2: have I the power, here: have I the right, to interpose in God's dispensation, and both times: am I not bound to submit to God? The form of the inf. constr. אָלוֹם is like אָלוֹם xlviii. 11, comp. xlvi. 3. The promise אַכְלְבֵּל וּנוֹ sounds, if we compare xlv. 11, xlvii. 12, as if the famine were still continuing, but what had occurred once might occur again, even if not for so great

a length of time; hence it is unnecessary to ascribe to E a different chronology (Kn. Dillm.); besides, the narrator here may just as well be J as E, for it is not certain that xlv. 7 is from E, comp. להחיות xix. 19, עם־רָב Num. xxi. 6, בַּבֶּר עַלֹיבֶב xxxiv. 3, and ביוֹם הַאַה Deut. ii. 30, iv. 20 and frequently, for the style of J, especially here, is the nascent style of D. Remainder of Joseph's life, his last will and his burying, vv. 22-26: And Joseph remained in Egypt, he and the house of his father, and Joseph lived an hundred and ten years, and Joseph saw the sons of Ephraim of the third generation; the sons also of Machir, the son of Manasseh, were born upon Joseph's knees. And Joseph said to his brethren: I die, and Elohim will certainly visit you and bring you up out of this land into the land which He sware to Abraham, Isaac and Jacob. And Joseph took oath of the sons of Israel saying: Elohim will visit, yea visit you, and ye shall bring up my bones hence. And Joseph died an hundred and ten years old, and they embalmed him, and they put him in a coffin in Egypt. The sons of Machir, the son of Manasseh (Num. xxvi. 28 sq.), are the great-grandsons of Joseph, hence בֵּנִי שֶׁלֶשִׁים do not mean children of the third generation to the exclusion of the ancestor, ie. great-great-grandsons (=בעים), but great-grandsons, so that שׁלֵשִׁים is not a proper but an appositional genitive (Tuch, Kn. Dillm.). The question, why it is not also stated through which of the sons of Ephraim (Num. xxvi. 35) it was that Joseph became a great-grandfather, is settled by the circumstance, that none of the sons of Ephraim were equal in historical importance to Machir, the son of Manasseh (see Num. xxxii. 39 sq., Deut. iii, 15). To be born on any one's knees is equivalent to being received into his or her bosom with paternal or maternal joy (xxx. 3). On the fulfilment of what Joseph caused to be promised to him with an oath, see Ex. xiii. 9, Josh. xxiv. 32. After with the unnamed

¹ Started and answered in a needlessly circuitous manner in Lion Gomperz' Nachgelassenen Schriften (Wien, Lippe 1887).

subject of the persons employed (see on xli. 14), the sing. בּשְׁרֵים with a similarly general subject is harsh (comp. however xliii. 34, xlviii. 1 sq.), and a Keri שִׁלִשׁ would have been still better applied here than at xxiv. 33 (König, Lehrgeb. i. 435 sq.). He was embalmed and laid בַּשְּׁרֵים , which also occurs, written אַרָּא, in Hauranian inscriptions (DMZ. xxii. 264). It is here, as the article shows, the sarcophagus in common use in Egypt, which might consist, like that of Mycerinus discovered in the third pyramid, of the wood of the ficus sycomorus, but was mostly of stone, frequently of porphyry, from the porphyry quarries still to be seen of the oasis of Bethin in the Sinaitic peninsula. The Haggada (in the Talmud, Midrash and Targum) turns it into a metal coffin, which was sunk in the Nile for its greater security.

- with this statement, in itself self-comprehensible. in its connection with the whole subject significant, the first Israel is still in Egypt, and is book of the Thorah closes. there in full process of growth into a nation, waiting to be brought thence according to promise. When it became free from bondage and entered Canaan there entered with it, as the Talmud frequently reiterates, two ארונות, the ark of the ever-living One and the coffin of the dead Joseph. The latter was now standing ready for conveyance, and Jacob, the father of twelve tribes, was already buried in the Promised Land. The impulse of faith was in those days towards Canaan. Canaan was then the present form of the blessing of salvation. Itself of an earthly nature, it acquired as the promised gift of grace, a spiritual and to a certain extent a heavenly character. Buried there, the patriarchs believed that they rested in the love of God. Marching thither, Israel hoped to enter into the peace and glory of God.

¹ Soo J. H. Bondi, Dem hebräisch-phönizischen Sprachzweige angehörige Lehnwörter in hieroglyphischen und hieratischen Texten (1886), pp. 120–128.

The primitive history began with the formation of the heavens and the earth from the original chaos, the patriarchal history with the bringing forth of Abraham from the chaos of the heathen world. The primitive history ended in the Semites as well as the Japhethites and Hamites being sunk in heathenism; the patriarchal history ends in the deliverer and preserver of the house of Jacob being placed in his coffin. This "coffin in Egypt" is the coffin of all the spiritual joy of Israel in Egypt. The deep silence of history settles like a dark night upon the succeeding centuries. During these Israel has no redemptive, but only a secular history, until at last the hour of deliverance strikes, and the dumb tongue of history again begins to speak.

END OF VOLUME IL

MORRISON AND OIRS, EDINBURGH, PRINTERS TO MEE MAJESTY'S STATIONERY OFFICE.