


Reformation  
& Revival



---

A Quarterly Journal for Church Leadership

---

Volume 2, Number 2 • Spring 1993

---

# *Reformation & Revival Journal*

---

**A Quarterly Journal for Church Leadership**

Published by  
Reformation & Revival Ministries, Inc.  
P.O. Box 88216  
Carol Stream, IL 60188  
(708) 653-4165

**Editor:** John H. Armstrong

**Associate Editors:** Donald E. Anderson

Jim Elliff

Thomas N. Smith

**Design and Production:** Jennifer McGuire

**Subscriptions Manager:** Anita Armstrong

*Reformation & Revival Journal* is published four times each year by Reformation & Revival Ministries, Inc., a not-for-profit teaching ministry organized in the state of Illinois in 1991. The ministry is committed to the Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments as the infallible Word of God and is in essential agreement with the confessional statements of historic Reformation theology. The purpose of this ministry is centered in its name:

1. To encourage *reformation* in the local Christian churches worldwide,
  2. To promote the cause of *revival* and spiritual awakening through prayer and the provision of resources to aid Christian leaders.
-

## Information

---

**Subscription rates** are \$16 for one year, \$30 for two years. Please remit in U.S. currency only. For overseas orders add \$4 for each year for postage. Back issues and single issues are \$5 each. Canadian subscribers add \$2 per year for additional postage.

**Australian subscriptions** available through:

Mr. Malcolm Bouchard  
110 Marshall Road  
Rocklea 4106

**United Kingdom subscriptions** available through:

Reformation & Revival Ministries  
P.O. Box 1W8  
Leeds LS16 7XB

**Correspondence** concerning articles, editorial policy, books for review, suggested manuscripts, and subscriptions should be addressed to the editor. Comments are welcome.

**The conviction of the staff and editors** of the *Reformation & Revival Journal* is that awakening, of the kind seen in the First Great Awakening in this country, wedded to the doctrinal concerns of the historic Protestant Reformation as expressed in the terms *sola scriptura*, *sola gratia*, and *sola fide*, is needed in our generation.

*All Scripture quotations, unless otherwise marked, are taken from the HOLY BIBLE, NEW INTERNATIONAL VERSION. Copyright © 1973, 1978, 1984, by International Bible Society. Used by permission of Zondervan Bible Publishers.*

## Table of Contents

---

Reformation & Revival Journal

<b>Editor's Introduction</b>	<b>9</b>
John H. Armstrong	
<b>Alpha and Omega</b>	<b>15</b>
James M. Boice	
<b>God's Last Word to Men</b>	<b>29</b>
S. Lewis Johnson, Jr.	
<b>Made Like His Brothers</b>	<b>45</b>
Tom Wells	
<b>The Humanity of Christ</b>	<b>55</b>
John Blanchard	
<b>Christ in the Wilderness</b>	<b>67</b>
Donald Garlington	
<b>The Unique Christ and the Modern Challenge</b>	<b>85</b>
John H. Armstrong	
<b>Worship of Christ and the Biblical Covenant</b>	<b>101</b>
Richard C. Leonard	
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>121</b>
<b>Book Reviews</b>	<b>125</b>

---

**F**rom the very beginning Christians have been a people who confessed certain tenets of faith. They were people of doctrine, of teaching. They expressed beliefs in both their worship and their witness. The most basic of these beliefs surrounded the person of Jesus of Nazareth.

It is believed by many scholars that statements like "Jesus is the Christ" or "Jesus is Lord" (1 Cor. 12:3) were simple formulas of confession for the earliest disciples. The rise of false teaching led to several of the letters we have in the New Testament. The Epistles of John, for example, call upon early believers to confess faith in Jesus as both true God and true man. This confession was written, most scholars of early Christianity generally assume, to counteract Docetism, an ancient heresy which denied the humanity of Christ, and Ebionism, which cast doubt upon Jesus' unique position as the Son of God.

Even the harshest critics of early Christianity understood what these followers of Christ believed. The Emperor Trajan, in writing to Pliny, the governor of Bithynia, said:

They were in the habit of meeting on a certain fixed day before it was light, when *they sang an anthem to Christ as God*, and bound themselves by a solemn oath (*sacramentum*) not to commit any wicked deed, but to abstain from all fraud, theft and adultery, never to break their word, or deny a trust when called upon to honor it; after which it was their custom to separate, and then meet again to partake of food, but food of an ordinary and innocent kind (*italics mine*)<sup>1</sup>.

Aristides, an early writer, defended Christianity by writing:

As for the Christians, they trace their origins to the Lord Jesus Christ. He is confessed to be the Son of the Most High God, who came down from heaven by the Holy Spirit, and was born of a virgin and took flesh, and in a daughter of man there lived the Son of God. . . . This Jesus . . . was pierced by

the Jews, and He died and was buried; and they say that after three days He arose and ascended into heaven. . . . They believe God to be the Creator and maker of all things, in whom are all things and from whom are all things.<sup>2</sup>

Irenaeus, another early writer, speaks of "The Rule of Faith" which was also called "the faith" or "the tradition." In it he writes of things early churches taught against heretics, including belief in ". . . one Christ Jesus, the Son of God, who was made flesh for our salvation. . . ."<sup>3</sup>

It is plain that when these worshipers confessed "Jesus is Lord" they meant that He was God. One clear evidence of this is seen in how they took Old Testament references to Yahweh, the Lord, and applied them directly to Jesus, thus worshipping Him as God!

Yet at one and the same time these worshipers believed, with ancient Judaism, that the Lord God was one. The ancient shema, "the Lord our God is one God," was freely confessed.

How could both of these truths be understood? God is one God, but both the Father and the Son were fully God. (It becomes apparent that quite early on they also understood the Holy Spirit to be fully God as well.) The explanation and unpacking of these simple faith confessions led to serious doctrinal development. By the fourth century the church had some serious problems to address, relating particularly to the teaching of these affirmations. During the fourth, fifth and sixth centuries councils were convened and creeds were written. These addressed two primary areas of concern. First was the doctrine of the Trinity. Second was the doctrine of Christ, as God and man. This is what we call Christology, or the doctrine of Christ's person.

Various statements were written, seeking to redress the errors being taught by many in these early centuries. One such statement, called the Nicene Creed, reads (in part):

We believe in one Lord, Jesus Christ,  
the only Son of God,  
eternally begotten of the Father,  
God from God, Light from Light,  
true God from true God,  
begotten, not made,  
of one Being with the Father.  
Through Him all things were made.  
For us men and for our salvation  
He came down from heaven;  
by the power of the Holy Spirit  
He became incarnate of the  
Virgin Mary, and was made man,  
For our sake He was crucified under  
Pontius Pilate;  
He suffered death and was buried.  
On the third day He rose again  
in accordance with the Scriptures;  
He ascended into heaven  
and is seated at the right hand of the Father.  
He will come again in glory  
to judge the living and the dead,  
and His kingdom will have no end.<sup>4</sup>

These early centuries were times ". . . of unparalleled importance in the formation of Christian theology," writes David Wright.<sup>5</sup> Creeds and doctrinal formulations were being written which would guide the church for centuries, distinguishing between what would and would not be allowed in understanding the fundamental teaching of the New Testament regarding the central person in Christianity, Jesus Christ.

The most basic of all these early formulations came in A.D. 451. This definition, drafted at Chalcedon, spoke of Jesus as

. . . the God-bearer; one and the same Christ, Son, Lord, Only-begotten, recognized in two natures, without confusion, without change, without division, without separation; the

distinction of natures being in no way annulled by the union, but rather the characteristics of each nature being preserved and coming together to form one person and subsistence, not so parted or separated into two persons, but one and the same Son and Only-begotten God the Word, Lord Jesus Christ. . . .<sup>6</sup>

Here the clearest thought came to open expression. Jesus was a person in whom there were two natures, that of God and man. He was one person, with a human nature and a divine nature. He was not two persons, but one. He was fully God and He was fully man. The two natures were not mixed or confounded in the one person.

For some 15 centuries the church held faithfully to the teachings of these early creeds and councils. Then, with the rise of modernism and liberal theology all was called into question. Schleiermacher, Hegel and Ritschl challenged the historic Chalcedonian formula. They wrote of a unitary divine-human nature. More recently Rudolph Bultmann rejected Chalcedon, believing it presupposed supernatural realities outmoded by modern thought. Defections from Chalcedon are now legion. While the church has continued to confess its faith in the words of these old creeds, multitudes of pastors and teachers (and growing numbers of lay members as well) have opted to say the words without really believing that they mean what they meant in the past. (One would wish for a more basic honesty in this, but error hardly ever comes screaming openly, "Here is error, beware!")

In our own time we have "Christs" of liberation, feminism, blackness, functionalism, universalism and postmodernism. Can we respond to the huge shifts in thought in our our time without denying Chalcedon? To put it another way, can we still hold to Chalcedon, confessing Jesus as fully God and fully man, and at the same time respond adequately to the late twentieth century?

This may sound like an academic exercise appropriate for intellectual giants and seminary trained ministers. What does all of this have to do with my following Jesus in simple faith? I answer, "Everything!"

An example of the importance of theology and its relationship to simple faith joined with godly living occurred to my own mind as I was praying this morning. Each day I take a portion from the helpful book, *Operation World*, and pray for a country, the church in that land, and the unreached peoples of the world. Today's entry concerned the country of Burundi, in Africa. The entry read as follows:

The East African revival stirred the church in the 1950s, but liberalism and promiscuity have seriously damaged the credibility of many congregations. Pray for the Holy Spirit to be poured out afresh. Liberal theology has also made many inroads. Praise the Lord for stirrings of new life in some areas and among young people in secondary schools and in the university (IFES). This movement has begun to deeply affect churches around the country.<sup>7</sup>

True theology and theological reflection should guide the mind and fire the heart as well. True theological reformation will lead to personal revival. Revival will bring fuller obedience to the lordship of Jesus Christ in every realm of society. It will issue in changed lives and changed culture. At the heart of such an awakening will be love for Christ. Love for Christ must be grounded in the person of Christ who is revealed to us in the New Testament. Nothing could be more basic to our prayer for revival in this day than a recovery of, and current application of, orthodox Christology. Such should lead to an attendant rise in devotion for and worship of the living Christ! True devotion to Christ, in the power of the Holy Spirit, is the *essence* of true revival.

Our prayer as this issue is sent out to readers around the world is quite simple:

Lord, lead Your church into a full recovery of the great truths concerning Jesus that were confessed by the earliest Christians. Give us contemporary understanding that is healthy, and give us wisdom to apply it to our culture and generation. Send Your Spirit, with power from above, and revive Your people so that they might declare Your glory to the nations!

---

**End Notes**

---

- 1 Pliny, *Letters*, x:96, AD 112.
- 2 Tim Dowley, ed. *The History of Christianity*, Batavia, IL: Lion Publishing Co. David F. Wright, "What the First Christians Believed," p. 115.
- 3 *Ibid.*, p. 115.
- 4 Taken from *The Alternative Service Book*, quoted in Dowley.
- 5 *Ibid.*, David F. Wright, "Councils and Creeds," p. 164.
- 6 Henry Bettenson, selections and ed. *Documents of the Christian Church*, 2nd. ed., New York: Oxford University Press, 1967, pp. 51-52.
- 7 Patrick Johnstone, *Operation World*, 4th Edition, p. 124. Pasadena, CA: William Carey Library, 1986.