

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

(LXX)

- 87 27 Bless the Lord, ye holy and humble (men) in heart, Praise and highly exalt him forever.
- 28 Bless the God of Gods, all ye that worship, Praise and acknowledge (him), For his mercy (is) forever.

BENEDICTUS ES

- 52 1 Blessed art thou, O Lord God of our Fathers, And praised and highly exalted forever.
 - 2 And blessed is the holy name of thy glory, And highly praised and highly exalted forever.
- 3 Blessed art thou in the temple of thy holy glory, And highly praised and highly glorified forever.
- 4 Blessed art thou on the glorious throne of thy kingdom, And praised and highly exalted forever.
- as b (s) Blessed art thou that sittest (upon) the cherubim,

 And praised and highly exalted forever.
- ssa s Blessed art thou that beholdest the depths,
 And praised and glorified forever.
- 50 7 Blessed art thou in the firmament of heaven, And praised and glorified forever.

Okanogan, Wash.

ELMER B. CHRISTIE

An Identification of tiškah yemīnī, Ps. 1375

The common rendering of this phrase is still, "Let my right hand forget her cunning"— as if having to deal with the usual verb now "to forget." The words her cunning, however, are not to be found in the Hebrew text. They merely represent an indispensable addition made by translators in order to supply the direct object required by now in consequence of its interpretation as a transitive verb, "to forget." The actual absence of this object in the MT then naturally induced modern scholars to suggest a change of vocalization, viz.

to give the same verb a passive form in supposed accordance with LXX ἐπιλησθείη. Now "Let my right hand be forgotten" presents a very poor sense and bad parallelism with "ΠΡΟΤΑ, although apparently more satisfactory grammatically. But precisely in view of this latter fact one could hardly imagine how, in dealing with so familiar a verb as ΠΡΟΤΑ, "to forget," it would have occurred even to the least scholarly copyist or Masorete to mistakenly change the vocalization from a supposed ΠΡΟΓΑ to the apparently less correct MT ΠΡΟΓΑ. Thus the authenticity of the latter vocalization seems well to be warranted by the very fact of its having been preserved by the Masorah notwithstanding the grammatical disadvantage entailing a forced interpretation of ΠΡΟΓΑ as "forget her cunning." If there is anything wrong here, it must then be with the consonants.

Ehrlich's suggestion to read הְשְּׁכָּח יְמִינִי instead of הְשְּׁכָּח יִמִינִי is a little too easy, paying no heed at all to parallelism. There is, however, all evidence from the context that our phrase is parallel to הדבק לשוני לחכי. While the latter clause speaks of a physical disablement of the tongue that would henceforth be prevented from singing, חשבר ימיני must similarly convey the idea of crippling the right hand to make it incapable of playing the musical instruments mentioned in verse 2.

The reading אַרְבְּה, however, adopted by many a scholar since Graetz's days, can hardly suit our context where "to grow lean" (אובס would present an obviously too pale and prosaic parallel to האבס... האבס, "cleave to the mouth-roof." This latter expression seems best to point to a counterpart similarly connoting loss of the faculty of motion.

Now to the same effect we find a very curious and suggestive

¹ This accordance seems to be only superficial, i. e., of a morphological character. Semantically speaking, however, the optative aorist ἐτιληνθείν might perhaps have the same active connotation that attaches to the MT πρψη, being derived from a deponent verb ἐτιλανθένομαι, "to forget." The rule of the object in the genitive characterizing this verb makes it further improbable to give the above passive form also a passive meaning.

² See A. B. Ehrlich, Die Psalmen, Berlin 1905, pp. 356-7.

^{*} The same can be said of Perles' suggestion to view nywn as a combination of the Mishnaic phrase... "I wa, lit. "the power of... grew week." It is rather ingenious, but can hardly have here any practical value.

remark in Ibn-Ezra's commentary (XIIth cent.). After having accepted the usual interpretation of our verse, albeit "the object of יביני הוא השבח is missing," he adds with regard to this verb the following short observation: "However, some say it means מתבל השבה "However, some say it means ביביה, being a draf heryoperor." This יביל השבה "to dry up" evidently refers to 1 Ki. 13 4 אלי יכל להשבה אלי "to dry up" evidently refers to 1 Ki. 13 4 אלי יכל להשבה אלי "Cf. also Zech. 11 17. Thus in the XIIth century there were scholars who considered our mash as an intransitive verb—different from the common with forget"—with the proper signification "to be paralysed." Very probably we are here in the presence of one of those curious cases where tradition might have sporadically preserved the correct connotation of a phrase even after the original form of the latter had been impaired in writing.

Be that as it may, the MT השלף certainly represents a mere metathesis of השלף from an archaic verb השלף, "to be paralysed, lame." This is sufficiently borne out by Arabic where the same root has been preserved under various forms, as "to be crippled in the legs," "lame, cripple," "weak-handed, impotent," "lameness, impotence of the limbs," "crippleness." Our "crippleness." "If I forget thee, O Jerusalem, Let my right hand grow paralysed (or crippled)" originally presented a mere case of assonance, a form of style of which Hebrew poetry is quite fond, and which in medieval Arabic, for instance, became almost a mania. Now, when the identity of this most as a hapaz legomenon had been forgotten, any copyist could not but confuse its root with that of השלף, precisely on account of the original assonance with it. Thus most naturally arose the MT השלף, instead of the archaic and genuine השלף, cf. above

Arab. كَسِمَ etc. Pittsburgh, Pa.

ISBAEL EITAN

Compare, e. g., any paragraph or maxim in Zamakhshari's Golden Necklaces (اطواق الذّهب), ed. Barbier de Meynard, Paris 1876.