

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



https://www.buymeacoffee.com/theology



https://patreon.com/theologyontheweb

PayPal

https://paypal.me/robbradshaw

A table of contents for *Journal of Biblical Literature* can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles_jbl-01.php

BRIEF COMMUNICATIONS

Four Strutters 1

NE of the most desperate passages in OT is the quatrain in Prov. 30 29-31, enumerating four beings that are comely in going, as AV² renders. Heb. mêţîbê läkt corresponds to Gr. καλλωπιζόμενοι, strutting, i. e. walking with a pompous gait, or prancing. The characteristic of the four beings enumerated is not fleetness, but stateliness. The usual pace of a lion is a walk (EB¹¹ 16,738^{bs}). In Schiller's poem Der Handschuh we read: Und hinein mit bedächtigem Schritt | Ein Löwe tritt, while we find in the following stanza: Daraus rennt | mit wildem Sprunge | Ein Tiger hervor. Καλλωπίζεσθαι, to make a show in walking, to move proudly, means prop. to give oneself a good appearance. In Italian (and Spanish) you say to peacock, i. e. to strut like a peacock (It. pavoneggiarsi).

It is possible that the Hebrew poet did not use the verb $h\bar{\ell}\ell\bar{l}$ in both hemistichs of the first line. The scribes have often repeated the same expression where the original text had two different phrases. We may substitute $ma\bar{g}b\bar{l}h\hat{e}$ for $me\ell\bar{l}b\hat{e}$ 2°, but we must not read $mi\ell\bar{l}ahh\bar{a}r\hat{e}$ instead of $m\ell\ell\bar{l}b\hat{e}$ 1°. The text of the first two lines is correct except that $ma\bar{g}b\bar{l}h\hat{e}$ may be substituted for $m\ell\ell\bar{l}b\hat{e}$ 2°, and $h\ell\bar{e}mm\hat{a}$ between $s\ell\bar{l}b\hat{s}a$ and $m\ell\ell\bar{l}b\hat{e}$ should be omitted (Proverbs 68, l. 30): it is probably

¹ Read at the meeting of the Society of Biblical Literature, New York, Dec. 28, 1925.

² For the abbreviations see this JOURNAL, vol. 40, p. 167, n. 2; cf. AJP 46, 197, n. 3.

² Cf. JBL 26, 184; 29, 106, l. 5; 31, 130, l. 1; 34, 65, l. 7; WF 217, l. 1; Is. 11 5: AJP 40, 68; Am. 59: JBL 35, 283, l. 14.

due to dittography of the final consonant of $\delta \tilde{e} l \hat{o} \delta \hat{a}$ and the initial consonant of $m \hat{e} f \tilde{t} \hat{b} \hat{e}$. We may therefore read the first two lines:

29 שלשה מקיבי צעד וארבקה מגביהי לכת 30 ליש נבור בבהמה ולא ישוב מפגייכל:

which may be translated:

[proudly:

29 There are three that are stepping bravely, yea, four that are strutting 30 The lion, the mightiest 'mong beasts, who turns not away from any.

This is all perfectly clear, but the following verse in **A** is meaningless. Ehrlich said that it was beyond interpretation, and it is not translated in AT⁴ (1923). In **A** the text is evidently incomplete, the second hemistich of the line describing the cock is wanting, as is also the characterization of the buck. 5 says that the buck is the leader of the flock of goats, **3**: that he walks at the head of the herd. Also in **C** we must read instead of têšâ dě·âzêl bêţ gîţôrâ, the he-goat that walks into the house of the proselyte: têšâ de·âzêl bĕ-rêš gizrâ, the he-goat that walks at the head of the flock. This emendation was suggested long ago by Bochart (1663). The y in gîţôrâ is miswritten for z, and the preceding bêţ is a corruption of bênâţ, between, which is an erroneous repetition of bênâţ in the preceding hemistich bênâţ tarnâgēlê, among the hens (should be tarnôgēlâţâ).

66.3° of the last three lines are given in JBL 33, 155, but the 12 words of v. 31 in 3, as quoted there, contain six mistakes, e. g. mêzrēbil for mizdarkil (also mizdarbil for c mizdarkél). JBL 33, 156, 6 acknowledges indebtedness to Dr. Richard Gottheil for some kind help in the Aramaic and Arabic references; if Gottheil had seen the proofs of the article, the mistakes would no doubt have been corrected. Aram. zarkél is an S of rakál, the original form of the stem of Heb. rägl, foot. The g in rägl is due to partial assimilation of the k: also the z for s in zarkél is due to the r.

We may compare some of the numerical saws in Talmud and Midrasch, e. q. the four haltered animals (Shabbath 51^b):

⁴ For S in Prov. 80 29-31 cf. ZAT 14, 102. 111. 215.

horse, mule, camel, and ass (ZDMG 65, 396, l. 27). In a Midrashic passage (ZDMG 65, 407, l. 14) four leaders are enumerated: the leader of all living beings: man; the leader of the birds: eagle; the leader of domestic animals: ox; the leader of wild beasts: lion. In Assyrian, the eagle (našru) is called ašarid iççūrūti, the leader of the birds (HW 150°, l. 7; 487°; KB 2, 98, 69). Ašaridu, which we have also in the second part of the name Shalmaneser, seems to be a compound like Syr. gurmūdā, fore-arm, cubit, prop, bone of the arm; cf. Syr. gĕrim-hūççā, spine, Ass. eçinçēru which would correspond to an Arab. 'ātmu-'z-zūhri.

Tais does not necessarily mean he-goat: in German as well as in Danish the term buck denotes not only a he-goat, but also a ram and a roebuck. We apply buck also to the male of the antelope, rabbit, hare, chamois, reindeer. The Hebrew poet evidently had in mind a ram (bell-wether) leading his sheep (cf. Jer. 50 s; Iliad 13, 492; 3, 198) although 6 has rpayos πγούμενος αιπολίου. We must supply after tais: nagid adro. In Latin you call the bell-wether dux gregis. The same restoration is suggested by **CS**. I has aries. Also Luther rendered: Widder. St suggest also the restoration of the line beginning with zarzîr which does not denote a greyhound (Graecus Venetus, Luther, Ewald, Böttcher, Bertheau, Delitzsch, RV) or horse (Bochart, J. D. Michaelis, Umbreit, Hitzig. Elster, Bunsen, Reuss, Strack) or zebra (Ludolf, Simonis. C. B. Michaelis, Döderlein, Ziegler) but a cock. Also the Karaite Japheth Ibn-Ali Halevi (950-990; see Proverbs 66,46) referred zarzîr to the cock. Heb. zarzîr corresponds to the Arab. cárcar. The z instead of c is due to the r as it is in Heb. ze er, little, for cuquir (JHUC 364, 58, 1. 7; 59, 1, 13). In the same way Heb. sîr, pot (JBL 36, 256) appears in Arabic as zîr. The rare term zarzîr is used instead of tarnegol, because we must read in the second hemistich: bë-tôk tarněgôlôtâu. For the same reason St use the rare term abákka instead of tarnagela. There is also a Syriac word akdâiâ, cock, which may mean Accadian (AkF 51) just as we

^в Лаумоном». Greyhounds course hares (JAOS 45, 318 ^с).

speak of Leghorns, Plymouth Rocks, Wyandottes, Hamburgs, &c. Tarnēgôl is a Sumerian loanword < Ass. tarlugallu — Sum. dar-lugal, i. e. the king of the pied or multicolored birds.

The cock is figured on certain Babylonian gems and cylinders (RB 658). Arab. cárçar, cock, means prop. cryer. The cock is known as chanticleer (cf. Fr. chantecler, chante-claire). Arab. cárçar is a reduplication of the root cr > cáraxa, to cry > carrâx, peacock. Cárçara is used of the singing of the wind (JHUC 316, 23) or the cry of a hawk or woodpecker, the cooing of a dove, &c. (cf. WZKM 23, 360). Our to rout was formerly used of the bellowing of a bull, the snorting of a horse, the howling of the wind, roaring of the waves, snoring, &c.

For the unintelligible motnáim ô after zarzîr we must read nôśê karbaltô, lifting up its comb. In Dan. 3 21 karbēlâ means cap, but in pB Hebrew karbalâ is used for cockscomb. A naim ô is a corruption of nôśê. The erect head is characteristic of the cock. In the Merry Wives of Windsor (1, 4, 31) Dr. Caius' servant, Mrs. Quickly, asks Slender's servant, Simple, with reference to his master: Does he not hold up his head, as it were, and strut in his gait? Dyserinck (1883) proposed zarzîr mitnaśśê ua-tájš instead of zarzîr motnaim ô-tájš. Hitzig (1858) suggested that motnaim might be part. Hitpa'el.

While the second syllable of the unintelligible motnáim after zareîr is a corruption of nôśe, the first syllable of motnáim may represent the noun met, man, male, which denotes husband in Assyrian and Ethiopic, and which may have been used for cock, just as gäbr, man, male, has this meaning in pB Hebrew. I renders gübr in Is. 22 17, for which we must read hag-gübr, O man: gallus gallinaceus. The word met, it may be supposed, was added as an explanatory gloss to the rare word zarzîr. Heb. met may have denoted orig. membrum virile, esp. iθυφαλλος (JHUC 348, 48, Oct. 3). It exhibits the older form of the root md, to extend; Ass. madâdu, to measure, means orig. to determine the extent.

After u-mälk in the last hemistich we must read maqhil qijômô. Also in Deut. 11, e hajqûm should be changed to haqqijôm. It means there followers, not substance. The qijôm of a king denotes hâ-'ômědim lě-panâu (Zech. 34). Cf. Ass. manzaz

pâni (HW 457°). The l of A alqûm⁶ is a remnant of the preceding maqhîl. Peiser's conjecture that A alqûm is miswritten for alqûs representing the Edomite god Qôš (KAT³ 473, n. 1) so that the last hemistich would mean a king with whom al-Qus is, does not commend itself. Halévy (cited in S-S) regarded alqûm as the name of an Arabian god more than 50 years ago.

We may restore the quatrain with the help of the Ancient Versions:

- 29 There are three that are stepping bravely, yea, four that are strutting proudly:
- 30 The lion, the mightiest 'mong beasts, which turns not away from any;
- 31 The cock, holding up his comb in the midst of all his hens; The ram which is leader of his flock, and the king haranguing his retinue.

The king may imagine he appears like a lion, but he looks more like a cock or a bell-wether.

The Hebrew text should be read as follows:

29 שלשה" מימיבי צעד וארבעה מגביהי לכת: 30 ליש גבור בבהמה ולא ישוב מפני־כל: 31 זרויר געלתיו ברעד תרנגולתיו מלד מקהיל קיומוץ: תיש גגיר עדרי ומלד מקהיל קיומוץ:

קמו (γ) ממר מת (β) ממר 29 (α)

Johns Hopkins University

PAUL HAUPT

Mistranslated Lines in Proverbs 7

Sixteen years ago (Mic. 79; cf. GB¹⁷ 321°, l. 12)° I showed that the original signification of Heb. įaráš, inherit, was press,

[•] Geiger (1857) referred alqum to Alcimus (MF 122, n. 10).

⁷ Read at the meeting of the Society of Biblical Literature, New York, Dec. 28, 1925.

[•] For the abbreviations see above, p. 326, n. 2.