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may well have expelled the demon. At any rate, this remedy may have had a most powerful effect on Sara. It may seem strange that asafetida should have been used for incense, but this gum-resin is relished as a condiment, not only in Persia and India, but also in France, and in Northern Abyssinia it is chewed like a quid of tobacco in this country or betel-nuts in the East (BL 79). In England, valerian (setucall) was used for sachets in the sixteenth century. The nard-plant, from the base of which the fimous perfumed unguent of the ancients, known as spikenard, was derived, is closely allied to valerian. The odor of Nardostachys Jatamansi is intermediate between valerian and patchouli which gives their peculiar perfume to India ink and Indian slawls. Hysteria (or neuromimesis) is essentially a lack of inhibitory power, and something nasty or dreaded may induce sufficient inhibitory power. A hysterical fit may be prevented or checked if the patient is threatened with something particularly disagreeable.

As to the cure of Tobit's blindness, Tobias may have tattooed Tobit's leucomata (Tob. 2 10) i.e. white opacitios of the cornea with the soot of the charred incense mixed with the (evaporated and dried) gall of the dolphin. Black-lead or crayon drawings are set with a coating of ox-gall. The treatment administered by Tobias did not cure his father's blindness, it consisted merely in pigmentation of the leucomata (EB 1455). Cf. PAPS 40, 71-95.
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## The Last Supper

When we speak of the Last Supper we generally associate with it Leonardo da Vinci's famous painting at Milan, which has become for all Christendom the typical representation of the scene $\left(\mathrm{EB}^{11} 16,447^{\mathrm{b}}\right.$ ). But the Master and His disciples were not seated along the far side and the two ends of a narrow table, with the disciples ranged in equal numbers on His right and left. In Leonardo's picture Jesus sits in the center, and John and Peter next to Him on the right hand side of the

Lord, while John's brother James has the first seat on the left side (see Brockhaus ${ }^{14}$ 11, 83). In Mark 1037 James and John ask Jesus, Grant unto us that we may sit, one on Thy right hand, and the other on Thy left land, in Thy glory. Here $\kappa а \theta \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta a t$ is used, not катакєї $\theta$ at or катак $\lambda \iota \hat{\eta} \nu a t$ which we find in the Greek original wherever the English Bible has to sit at meat, although the Latin Bible has acombere, recumbere, or uiscumbere. Meals were eaten in a recumbent posture. Accubation, derived from the East, was introduced in Rome after the first Punic War (264-240). Nor did it prevail in the Homeric times of Greece (BL 68). For the chief places (AV, uppermost rooms) at feasts the Greek text has $\pi \rho \omega \tau 0-$ $\kappa \lambda \iota \sigma i a t$. For sat at meat (Matt. 9 10) RV gives in the margin: Gir. reclined: and so always (ff. Mark 14 18; Luke 9 14).

If the Last Supper was arranged according to the Roman fashion, there would have been three couches on three sides of a square table. Jesus would have occupied the couch on the left

side, and the place of honor would have been, not the place before the place of the host at the rear end of the left couch. hut the phace (locus comouluris) at the left end of the couch
 $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ v o v$ ётoıus (Mark 1+15) means a lurge diminu-roum bedded ared ready, i. e. provided with dining-couches (leeti strati, triclini"n stratia) and the table set. In the Odysseg we often find
 Luke 1.1 17; Matt. 22 4. ь). $\Sigma_{\tau \rho \omega \mu}$ in denutes a couch (e. g. Plato,

Prot. 12, A). 'E $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \boldsymbol{\mu}^{\prime}$ évov in this connection does not mean pared or carpeted or furnished or provided with cushions.

Each couch was usually occupied by three persons, but to accommodate Jesus and His twelve disciples, two of the three couches must have been occupied by four (cf. Hor. Sat. 1, 4, 86) and one, by five. The three disciples on Jesus' couch were no doubt Peter, Jannes, and John (cf. Mark 5 37; 9 2; 14 33): Peter in front, then James, then his brother John, and finally Jesus. The left couch was generally reserved for the host and his family. When John wanted to ask the Master a question, he leaned back toward the breast of Jesus behind him. Both rested on the couch in a semi-sitting position, supported on the left elbow. 'Avađє $\begin{gathered}\grave{\omega} \nu \\ \epsilon \\ \epsilon \\ i \\ i \\ \tau o ̀ \\ \sigma \tau \hat{n} \theta o s ~ \tau o u ̂ ' I \eta \sigma o u ̂ ~(. J o h n ~ 13, ~ 25) ~ m e a n s ~\end{gathered}$ leaning back toward Jesus' breast, not lying on Jesus' breast (contrast Wellhausen, Luc. 91) and $\hat{i} \nu \dot{\alpha} v a \kappa \epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \epsilon \hat{s} \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 v. 23 signifies simply one of His disciples, whom Jesus loved, was reclining beside Him, not There was leaning on Jesus' bosom one of His disciples, whom Jesus loved. 'Avaкєíuevos èv


If кó $\lambda \pi \frac{s}{}$ is identical with Eng. half, the original meaning of кó入 $\pi$ os must have been cleft which Chaucer uses in the sense of crotch, fork, the point where the legs are joined to the human lody, the bifurcated part of the human frame (JBL 35, 158). When Dives in Hades saw Abraham afar off, and Lazarus $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ тois кó入тoıs aútoû (Luke $16{ }^{23}$ ) Lazarus was in Abraham's lap. We find the phrase in the lap of Abraham in the fourteenth century poem Piers the Plouman, and Luther rendered correctly: in Abrahums Sckoss (cf. Mic. 91). Michelangelo's famous marble group Pietie at St. Peter's in Rome (pl. ix, No. 13 at the end of $\mathrm{MK}^{6}{ }^{2}$ ) shows the Virgin with the body of the dead Christ on her lap. Cf. AJP 42, 162-167.
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## He who runs may read

At the meeting of the Johms Bopkins Lniversity Plilological Association on November 16, 1917 Professor Miller presented a brief communication on the farorite dictum of Samuel Johnson with reference to Oliver Goldsmith, Nihil quoll tetigit now ormarit, which is often quoted in the barbarous form Nihil tetigit ruod non ornarit, even by scholars like Dean Stanley and Professor Jebls who was acknowledged to be one of the most brilliant classical scholars of his time (.JHL('), No. 306, p. 10; A.JP 38, 460). The glossary of foreigh words and phrases appended to the New Standard Dictionary gives the correct form under mullum. We generally substitute wihil; in Johnson's inscription on Goldsmith's cenotaph in Westminster Abbey mellum refers to gonus in the preceding clause.

Another fandiar quotation which is always cited in an incorrect form is he who runs may real. We find it not only in the daily papers, but also in the works of the masters of English literature; e.g. Swinburne says in his Shaliespeare: In Macheth there is some ground for the general baseless and delusive opinion of self-complacent sciolism that he who runs may read.

The new Oxford dictionary, vol. \& (1914) 1. $897^{\mathrm{c}}$, e states that this quotation is an alteration of Hab. $2: 2^{\mathrm{b}}$ where AV and RV have that he may ran that readeth it; but it is derived from the Generan Bible of 1500 where we tind in the margin: that he that rumeth may read it. This Calvinistic version was the most popular Bible in England for more than 7is years. The translation given in $A \backslash$ is more correct than Luther's rendering Sillreite dus Gesicht mal male es unf cime Tufel. duss es lesen

 Vulgate: Srrib, cisum, at rsplena eum sirper tubulas, ut prrarat qui legerit $\quad$ men. The real meaning of the line is: Write plainly on a large tablet that it may be read rumingly, i. ©. without pause and heritation. In (ierman you saty grlänfig lesen or yolüsiay sprechere for to spuak theently, lit. rewreutly, French couramment. C'urrency was formerly used for fluency, readiness of utterance.

The prediction which Habbakuk is to write on a large tablet, so that it may be easily read, although it may take some time before it is fulfilled, is:

> 25 The proud tyrant ${ }^{1}$ will not crush you, ${ }^{2}$ though he open his jaws like Sheol: ${ }^{3}$
> 6 All will utter against him railing rimes, lampoons, and pasquins. ${ }^{4}$
> 4 Lo, his greed is reckless within lim, but the righteous will survive despite their firmness. ${ }^{5}$

110 They'll make a mock of the great king, ${ }^{6}$ all princes ${ }^{7}$ are a scoff unto them; They'll laugh every stronghold to scorn, ${ }^{8}$ they'll throw up siege-works, and take it.
11 Then they'll sweep by as the wind, and pass on, they'll destroy them, sacrificing to God.
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${ }^{1}$ King Demetrius of Syria (162-150) who was a nephew of Antiochus Epiphanes and a friend of the historian Polybius.
${ }^{2}$ Read îônékka, and kî for the following ăšèr.
${ }^{3}$ Cf. Tennyson's They that had fought so well came through the jaws of Death, back from the mouth of Hell.
${ }^{4}$ Lit. verses, songs, and poems.
${ }^{5}$ In resisting the edicts of Antiochus Epiphanes that Jewish rites should cease, and heathen customs be observed under pain of death (JHUC, No. 325, p. 47). The Syrian tyrant regarded the constancy of
 $\dot{u} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma a \sigma \theta \epsilon \tau \grave{d} s \psi u \chi$ às $\dot{\mu} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ which does not mean In your patience possess ye your souls, but Despite your stcalfastness ye will win your lives. See also Matt. 102224 13; Mark 13 13; Dan. 1212.
${ }^{6}$ The King of Syria.
${ }^{7}$ The Syrian generals sent against the Maccabees, especially Bacchides and Nicanor.
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