

Making Biblical Scholarship Accessible

This document was supplied for free educational purposes. Unless it is in the public domain, it may not be sold for profit or hosted on a webserver without the permission of the copyright holder.

If you find it of help to you and would like to support the ministry of Theology on the Web, please consider using the links below:



A table of contents for The Expositor can be found here:

https://biblicalstudies.org.uk/articles\_expositor-series-1.php

to come from St. John, and so to St. John the work was deputed accordingly.<sup>1</sup> J. A. S. WILSON.

# THE FREER (WASHINGTON) MS. OF THE GOSPELS.

WHEN the news reached this country some six years ago that C. L. Freer, Esq., of Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A., had acquired from a dealer in Cairo various very ancient MSS., including one of the Gospels in Greek, great interest was aroused. It transpired that the British Museum had been outbidden by Mr. Freer. The United States has a quite pardonable ambition to rival older countries in the possession of rare and valuable MSS. As most of those known to exist

<sup>1</sup> Since writing the above I have refreshed my memory with regard to Professor Burkitt's suggested itinerary of our Lord's fourth journey. His conjecture, after considering "general historical probabilities," is (p. 97) that Jesus did not approach Jerusalem vid the E. of Jordan (Herod Antipas's Tetrarchy). "It is noteworthy," he writes, "that in this story) of the Samaritan village that would not receive our Lord (St. Luke ix. 51-56) Peter does not appear, only James and John. I venture to suggest that the historical reason for this was that Peter and most of the other disciples went round by Peraea, that when they arrived at the passage of the river they found Jesus waiting for them in 'the borders of Judea beyond Jordan,' i.e. on the W. side, and finally that one reason why nothing is said about the events of the previous journey is that our Lord and S. Peter had travelled to the spot from Capernaum by different routes and not together." Should this conjecture ultimately commend (The italics are mine.) itself in preference to the older idea regarding Our Lord's route (Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. i. p. 244), my theory in this article, so far from being destroyed, would be appreciably strengthened. For the fourth visit to Jerusalem would then appear to have taken place during St. Peter's absence for the reason given by Professor Burkitt and not because of that disciple's being called away to direct the Seventy. Nor, if this ever prove to be the truth, would my theory suffer, so far as the explanation (given above) of the third visit goes. For I would then be inclined to accept Hahn's suggestion (Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. i. p. 538) that the Seventy were appointed at Jerusalem (the italics are mine), and about the Time of the Feast of Tabernacles (St. John vii. 2). So that St. Peter's absence would be still more easily accounted for in that case than it is by the reason alleged in my article, to wit, that the third visit of Our Lord was a private one.--J. A. S. W.

in Europe are the property of public institutions, and therefore inalienable, the only hope lies in the sands of Egypt. That this hope is not delusive is sufficiently proved by the fact that the Morgan collection of Coptic MSS. far surpasses in age and quality that of any national library in the world, and by the acquirement of the splendid Gospel MS. which will one day rest in Washington.

Whatever the quality of the text of this MS. had been, its age would have commanded attention. For only two MSS. of the Gospels in Greek are certainly older than this, namely B (Vaticanus) and N (Sinaiticus). Experts have decided—and there is now abundance of material on which to form a judgment, thanks particularly to the discoveries and labours of Professors Grenfell and Hunt-that the MS. belongs either to the fourth or to the fifth century. No one, I believe, has dated it later than the sixth. It is written on thin vellum in sloping pointed uncials, in what is now known as the Oxyrhynchus script. The Gospels are in the Western order, Matthew, John, Luke, Mark. The whole MS. seems to have been written in Egypt by one scribe, except the first eight leaves of St. John, which are written in a more erect character, to fill a gap due to the loss of that part as written by the first scribe. The covers of the manuscript still survive, two wooden panels with paintings.

Thanks to the munificence of Mr. Freer and the public spirit of the University of Michigan, as well as to the scholarly care of Henry A. Sanders, Professor of Palæography in that University, a complete set of splendid photographs of the MS. has now been issued, as well as a companion volume, which is on sale, containing a complete collation of its readings with those of the ecclesiastical text, and other valuable material.<sup>1</sup> Professor Sanders is primarily a palæo-

<sup>1</sup> Facsimile of the Washington Manuscript of the Four Gospels in the Freer Collection, with an Introduction by Henry A. Sanders (Ann Arbour,

grapher and secondarily a textual critic. This MS, has an interest similar to that of the Sinaiticus discovered by Tischendorf and that of the Sinaitic Syriac palimpsest. It will give rise to a whole literature, and Professor Sanders will understand that it is from no low opinion of his lists and arguments that I prefer for the present to study the MS. from my own point of view. It is only by such individual judgments correlated with one another that the manuscript will receive its final place in the textual scheme.

The method I propose to follow is to quote the reading of W (for such is the symbol for this MS.) in the passages referred to in the new apparatus to the Oxford Greek Testament (1910), which I have reason to believe is in the hands of many readers of the EXPOSITOR, and then to attempt to draw some conclusion as to the character of the MS. from the data thus furnished.

Matt. i. 8, as text. Matt. iv. 11, as text. 11, as text. 16, as text. 18, 'Ingoou only. 21, as text bis. 25 (1), as text. bis, τόν υίον αυτής τον πρωτό-TOKOV. Matt. ii. 11, as text. 18, θρήνος και κλαυθμός και όδυρμός πολύς. Cf. Syr. vet. Marutha. 20, as text. Matt. iii. 16, as text, ter. 17, as text, ter. Matt. iv. 2, as text. 6, as text. 10. as text.

17, as text (so also 23, v. 4, 5, 10, 11). Matt. v. 19, partly omitted homeoarct 22, elkŷ. 25, as text. 28, avr ήν. 37, 37 bis, 39, as text. 42, Sós. bis, as text. (εόλογείτε ... ὑμαs. 44, { καλώς ... ὑμας. (επηρεαζόντων ... καί. 45, as text. Matt. vi. 1, έλεημοσύνην. έν τῷ φανερῷ. 6, 8, 10, 11, as text.

Michigan, 1912); The New Testament Manuscripts in the Freer Collection, Part i.; The Washington Manuscript of the Four Gospels, by H. A. Sanders (New York, The Macmillan Company, 1912) (price 2 dols.).

Matt. vi. 13, ότι σου έστίν ή βασιλεία και ήδύναμις και ή δόξα είς τοὺς αἰῶνας, ἀμήν. 15, 21, 21 *bis*, 25, as text. 33, βασιλείαν τοῦ θιοῦ. bis, as text (so vii. 6, 13). Matt. vii. 14. 71. bis, as text. 21, has the addition. 22, 23, as text. 29, has the addition. Matt. viii. 4, as text. 5. είσελθόντι δε αύτώ είς Καπερναούμ. 8, 9, as text. 10, παρ' οὐδενὶ τοσαύτην πίστιν έν τῷ 'Ισραήλ. 11, 12, 22, as text. 28, Γεργεσηνών. Matt. ix. 4, ἰδών. 14, 15, 34, 36, as text. Matt. x. 3, Λεββαίος δ έπικληθείς Θαδδαίος. 4. Kavavítys. 8, om. νεκρούς έγείρετε. 19, παραδώσουσιν, otherwise as text. 23, as text. 25, as text. 29, as text. bis, as text. ter. as text. 33, as text. 42, as text. bis, as text. Matt. xi. 2, as text. 5, as text. 9. εξεληλύθατε προφήτην ίδειν. 15, as text. 19, as text. VOL. VIII.

Matt. xi. 23, as text. bis, as text. 27, as text. Matt. xii. 4, as text. 15, add. ὄχλοι. 31. as text. 47, as text. Matt. xiii. 9. add. akover. 11, as text. 15, as text. 33, as text. 35, as text. bis, as text. 36, opáoov. 43, as text. bis. add. akover. 50, as text. 55, Iwons. Matt. xiv. 3, as text. 24, as text. 28, as text. 29, as text. 30, ἰσχυρὸν σφόδρα. 34, as text. bis, as text. Matt. xv. 6, add.  $\hat{\eta} \tau \eta \nu \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \rho a$ αύτοῦ. **bis** την εντολήν. 7, ἐγγίζει μοι δ λαὸς οῦτος τῷ στόματι αὐτῶν καί. 17, οῦπω. 30, κωφούς χωλούς τυφλούς κυλλούς. 31, as text. **39,** Μαγδαλάν. Matt.  $xvi. 2-3, om. \pi u \rho \rho a \zeta \epsilon i \gamma a \rho$ ύ ούρανος καί πρωί σήμερον χείμων, ob homeoarcton. 13, as text, but  $\mu \epsilon$  after λέγουσιν. bis, as text.

Matt. xvi. 19, as text, but κλείδας 20, as text. 21, as text. Matt. xvii. 12, 13, as text. 15, as text. 21, has the verse. 22, as text. 23, as text. 26, as text. Matt. xviii. 1, as text. 3, as text. 7, as text. 10, as text. 11, has the verse. 14, as text. 15, as text. 20, as text throughout. Matt. xix. 3, as text. 4, as text. 9, practically as text, thus: μή (without ei) επί πορνία γαμήση άλλην μοιχατε. bis, as text, except for γαμῶν. 11, as text. 16, as text. bis as text, but ζωην έχω. 17, τί με λέγεις άγαθόν ; ούδεις άγαθός εί μή είς δ θεός. bis, as text. 19, as text. 21, as text. 24, είσελθείν δια τρυπήματος ραφίδος. 29, has \$ yuraika. bis, as text. Matt. xx. 16, πολλοί γάρ είσιν κλητοί, όλίγοι δε εκλεκτοί. 17, as text. bis, as text. έν τη όδφ καί (for καί έν τη όδφ). Matt. xx. 22, ή το βάπτισμα δ έγω βαπτίζομαι βαπτισθήναι. 23, και το βάπτωτμα δ έγω βαπτίζομαι βαπτισθήσεσθαι  $(=-\epsilon).$ 28, as text. 31, as text. 33, as text. Matt. xxi. 3, as text. 7, as text. 9, as text. 12, as text. 17, as text. 22, as text. 29, 30, as text (except  $\epsilon \tau \epsilon \rho \psi$ for  $\delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon \rho \psi$  and the error άπεκριθείς). 31, as text. 42, as text. 44, as text. Matt. xxii. 7, as text. 12, as text. 13, δήσαντες αύτοῦ πόδας καὶ χειρας, άρατε αύτον και έκβάλεται (= —ε). 30, άγγελοι τοῦ θεοῦ. 35, as text. 38, ή πρώτη καὶ ή μεγάλη. 39, AYTH. Matt. xxiii. 4, as text. bis, as text. 8, as text. 9, as text. 14, comes after verse 12. 23, as text. 25, as text. bis akparías adikeías. 26, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text.

Matt. xxiii. 27, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. 35, as text. 38, as text. Matt. xxiv. 24, as text. 31, φωνής om. 36, oùoè ò viós om. Matt. xxv. 1, as text. 39, as text. 40, as text. 41, as text. bis, as text. 46, as text. Matt. xxvi. 15, as text. 20, as text. 27, as text. bis, as text. ter. as text. 28, add. Kalvns. 29, as text. 59. as text. 60,  $\epsilon v \rho ov$ ] add. raì (with later differences). 73, as text. Matt. xxvii. 2, Ποντίψ Πιλάτψ. 4, as text. 9, as text. 10, έδωκα. 11, δ ήγεμών om. 16, 17, as text. 17, as text. 24, as text. 28, as text. 32, as text. 34, ő fos. 35, as text. 38. as text. bis, as text. (42, as text). 43, as text.

Matt. xxvii. 45, as text. 46, as text. [λιμά.  $\mu a$ , doubtless haplography for ter, as text. 49, as text. 56, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. quater, as text. 'Ιωσήφ. Matt. xxviii. 6, as text. 7, as text. 18, as text. 19, as text. 20, as text. Mark i. 1, vioù  $\theta_{\epsilon o \hat{\nu}}$ . 2, έν τοις προφήταις. bis, as text. 13, ἐκεῖ ἐν τη ἐρήμω. bis, as text, but in reverse order  $\mu' \eta \mu \epsilon \rho a s$ . 24. as text. 27, τίς ή διδαχή ή κενή (i.e. καινή) αύτη ή έξουσιαστική αύτοῦ, καὶ ὅτι. [ nλθev. 29, έξελθών δε έκ τής συναγωγής 34, add. Χριστόν είναι. 40, καί γονυπετών αύτόν. 41, as text. Mark ii. 4, προσελθείν. 14, as text. 16, as text. καὶ πίνει ΟΜ. 22, as text, but with  $\beta \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega$ σιν after καινούς. 26, (είσελθών). έπι 'Αβιάθαρ άρχιερέως καί **οπ. 27.** ἐκτίσθη. καί ούχ δ ανθρωπος διά το σάββατον οπ.

14. δώδεκα] add. Mark iii. μαθητάς αύτοῦ] add. οῦς καὶ άποστόλους ώνόμασεν. 15. δαιμόνια] add. καί περιάγοντας κηρύσσιν το εύαγγέλιον. 17, Boavav $\eta\rho\gamma\epsilon$  (sic). 18, @abbaîor om. 29, as text. bis άμαρτίας. 32, as text. Mark iv. 9, as text. 17, as text. 21, as text. 28, πλήρης δ σείτος. Mark v. 1, Γεργυστηνών. 33, as text. 36, as text. 41, as text. Mark vi. 2, as text. 3, as text. bis, as text. 14, έλεγον. 20, ήπορείτο. 22 aυτη̂s (only). bis. as text (except obar for 8 dar). 23, καί...δώσω οπ. ter, as text. προήλθον αύτούς 33, καὶ om. 36. as text. 47, as text. 56, as text. Mark vii. 3, nukvà. 4, add. δε όταν ελθωσιν. bis, as text. ter add. και κλεινών.

Mark vii. 6 dyamâ. bis, as text (except  $\xi_{\chi \epsilon \iota}$ for  $d\pi \epsilon \chi \epsilon \iota$ ). 9, στήσηται (i.e. - ε). 13, as text. 16, has the verse. 19, as text. bis, as text. 24, καί Σιδώνος om. 28, κύριε (simply). bis, 'as text. 31, και Σιδώνος ήλθεν. 33, έβαλε δακτύλους πτύσας els τα ώτα αύτου και ήψατο τής γλώσσας (sic) αὐτοῦ. Mark viii. 10, πρός τὸ ὄρος Δαλμουναι. 16, ότι... ἔχουσιν. 22, Βηθαϊδάν. 25, as text. 26, as text (but  $\mu \eta$ ). 32, as text. 34, ακολουθείν. Mark ix. 3 as text (but is for ola, and ουτω is omitted). **6**, λαλεί. 15, as text. bis, as text. 23, as text (but  $\delta v v y$ ). 24, as text. 29, add. Kal vyotía. 35, as text. 38, δς ούκ ήκολούθει ήμιν καί έκωλύσαμεν αὐτόν. 42, as text. 43, eis the yéervar om. 44, 46, om. as text. 49, as text (except άλισγηθήσεταί).1

<sup>1</sup> Prof. Sanders has failed to understand this. It is the verb behind the mysterious  $d\lambda l \sigma \gamma \eta \mu a$  of Acts xv. 20.

Mark x. 1, kai (pr.) om. 2, οί δε Φαρισαίοι προσελθόντες. 7, as text. 11, 12, transposed. 14, καί] add. επιτιμήσας. 19, μή φονεύσης tr. post. μή μοιχεύσης. bis, as text. ter, as text. 20, as text. 22, as text. bis, as text. 24, τούς πεποιθότας επί χρήμασιν om., sed add. πλούσιον post είσελθείν. 25, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text (but τρωμαλιâs). quater, as text. 26, *éavroús*. 27, as text almost (thus: παρά μέν άνθρώποις τουτο άδύνατον άλλὰ ου παρὰ τῷ θεφ. πάντα γαρ δυνατά τω θεŵ). 29, practically 30, 88 text. 30, practically as text. 50, as text. 51, as text. Mark xi. 1, as text. 3, τι. bis, ἀποστελεῖ (sine πάλιν). ab aλλοι δè usque ad finem versus omnino om. 10, εἰρήνη 18, as text. ¿ξεπορεύοντο. 26, om. 32, no point after ἀνθρώπων. bis, jourar.

Mark xii. 14, as text, but added above the line.

22, as text.

23, as text, practically.

36, ύποκάτω.

- 38, as text.
- 40, add. και δρφανών.

**41, έ**στώς.

- Mark xiii. 2, ἀφεθή οὐδὲ διαλυθήσεται, καὶ διὰ τριῶν ἡμερῶν ἄλλος ἀναστήσεται ἄνευ χειρῶν.
  - 8, add. καὶ ταραχαί.

33, as text.

- Mark xiv. 4, ήσαν δέ τινες τών μαθητών άγανακτοῦντες πρός έαυτοὺς καὶ λέγοντες.
  - 8, as text (except  $\epsilon l \chi \epsilon \nu$  for  $\ell \sigma \chi \epsilon \nu$ ).

23, τὸ ποτήριον.

- 24, as text.
- 25, as text.
- 30, as text.
  - bis, δίς om.
- 38, no point at either word.
- 39, as text.
- 41, add. τὸ τέλος. [αὐτόν.
- 51, οί δε νεανίσκοι εκράτησαν
- 58, as text.
- **65**, έλάμβανον.
- 68, και αλέκτωρ έφώνησε οπ.
- 72, as text (but εὐθέως).
  - bis, as text.
  - ter, Sis om.

quater, as text.

- Mark xv. 6, 8v 1 rouvro.
  - 8, avaßonoras.
  - (12)-(38) missing.

39, ĸpáξas.

40, και quartum, as text. bis, as text. Mark xv. 44,  $\eta \delta \eta$ . 47, 'Ιωση μήτηρ. Mark xvi. 2, as text. 3, as text. 4, σφόδρα μέγας. 9–20, leguntur. 14, an interesting addition here, already recorded in my note. 15, see my note. 17, as text. Luke i. 17, as text. 28, as text. 35, as text. 45, nullum punctum. 46, as text. 56, as text. 78, as text. Luke ii. 2, add.  $\dot{\eta}$ . bis, as text. 7, as text. 14. as text. 29, as text. 33. as text. 34, as text. bis, as text. 35, as text. 36, as text. 41, as text. 43, as text. 48. as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. Luke iii. 1, as text. 4-6, as text (practically). 7, as text. 16, as text. 22, as text. bis, as text.

Luke iii. 23, as text (but without b). 24-38, deliberately omitted as unimportant 1 or as inconsistent with Matthew. Luke iv. 1, as text. 11, as text. 13. as text. 18, as text. bis, as text. 29, as text. 44, των Ιουδαίων. Luke v. 10, 11, as text. 14, as text. bis, as text. 17. as text. 39, as text. bis. as text. Luke vi. 1, as text. 4, as text. 5, as text. 17. as text. bis, add. και της Περέας. 21, γελάσουσιν. 22, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. 29, eis. 31, as text. bis, as text. 35, μηδένα. 45, as text. bis, as text. 46. as text. 48, as text. Luke vii. 11,  $\tau_{\hat{\eta}}$  (without  $\epsilon_{\nu}$ ). bis, as text. 14, as text. 22, einare. bis, as text.

<sup>1</sup> Cf. the omission by Origen and Pelagius of certain salutations in the Pauline Epistles.

Luke vii. 25, as text. 28. as text. 39, as text. Luke viii. 3, as text. 26, 37, Γαδαρηνών. 29, as text. bis, as text. 31, as text. 43, as text. 45, as text. 51, as text (but spelt Ἰωάνην).<sup>1</sup> 54, as text. Luke ix. 2, as text. 10, τόπον ἕρημον πόλεως καλουμένης Βηθσαίδαν. 20, as text. 23, as text. bis, as text. 26, as text. 27, as text. 28, as text. 29, as text. 35, άγαπητός. 37, as text. 54, add. ώς και 'Ηλίας εποίησεν. 55, 56, as text. 62, as text. bis, as text. Luke x. 1, as text. 5, nullum punctum. 17, as text. 21, as text. 41, as text. 42, as text (except  $\delta \epsilon$  for  $\gamma \delta \rho$ ). Luke xi. 2, add. huêv b ev rois ovpavoîs. bis, as text (except for έλθάτω). ter. add. γενηθήτω το θέλημά σου ώς έν ούρανῷ καὶ έπὶ γης.

Luke xi. 4, add. ἀλλὰ ῥῦσαι ἡμῶs άπὸ τοῦ πονηροῦ. 11, as text. 13, as text. 15, as text. 25, as text. 33, as text. 35, 36, as text. 42, as text. 43, as text. bis, as text. 44, ώς μνημία τά. 48, as text. 52, as text. 53, 54, λέγοντος δε αύτοῦ ταῦτα πρός αύτοὺς ήρξαντο οἱ γραμματίς καί οι Φαρισαίοι δεινώς έν χειν καὶ ἀποστοματίζειν αύτον περί πλειόνων ενεδρεύοντες αύτον ζητούντες θηρεύσαι τι έκ τοῦ στόματος αὐτοῦ ἶνα κατηγορήσουσιν αύτοῦ. Luke xii. 11, προσφέρωσιν. bis, as text. ter, μεριμνάτε. quater, as text. quinquiens, as text. 18, τα γενήματά μου καί τα άγαθά μου. 19,  $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$  om., sed loco eius habet ov. bis, as text. 20, as text. 21, as text. 24, as text. 26, as text (except for  $ov\tau\epsilon$ ). 27, as text. 31, τοῦ θεοῦ. 38, και έαν έν τη τρίτη φυλακή έλθη καὶ εὖρη οὖτως μακάριοί

<sup>1</sup> Cf. the discussion in Blass.

είσιν οι δούλοι εκείνοι (i.e. practically text, but for homeoarcton). Luke xii. 39, as text. 58, as text. Luke xiii. 8, κόπρια. 24, post ίσχύσουσιν nullum punctum. Luke xiv. 5, viòs  $\eta$   $\beta$ oûs. 10, ἀνάπεσε. 15, åριστον. 20, as text. bis, as text. 16, Luke xv. γεμίσαι τὴν κοιλίαν χορτασθήναι Kal åπò. 21, as text. Luko xvi. 9,  $\epsilon \kappa \lambda \epsilon i \pi \eta \tau a i (i.e. -\epsilon)$ . 12, as text. 21, as text. 22, 23, as text. 24, as text. 25, as text. Luko xvii. 4, έπτακείς της ήμέρας. 10, as text. 11, as text. 17, ούχ . . . ούτοι. 18, punctum (sed his codicibus sign. interrog. ignotum est). 24, as text. 31, as text. 36, om. (as text). Luke xviii. 5,  $\delta \pi \circ \pi \circ \pi \circ 4 \zeta \eta$ . 13, ούκ ήδύνατο. 19, as text. **30**, as text. Luke xix. 25, om. 26, as text. 37, ήρξατο.

Luke xix. 37 bis, amavrav. ter, as text. quater, as text. quinquiens, as text. 43, as text. 44, as text. Luke xx. 20, ύποχωρήσαντες. 34, as text. bis, as text (but inyamiζονται in both places) 36, μέλλουσιν. bis, as text (but  $\tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ ). 46, as text. Luke xxi. 11, as text. 18, as text. 19, κτήσασθαι (= --ε). 24, as text. 25, ή ώς ήχούσης. 28, as text. 30, as text. 34, 35, ή ήμέρα ἐκείνη· ώς παγείς γαρ έπελεύσεται. 38, as text. Luke xxii. 4, as text. 16, as text. 17, 18, as text. 19, 20, as text (with trifling differences). 29, no stop at  $\mu ov$ , but a distinct stop at Baoileíar. 42, as text (but  $\tau \partial \pi \sigma \tau \eta \rho \iota \sigma \nu$ τοῦτο and μι (?) after παρένεγκε). 43, 44, om. 62, as text (with the addition δ Πέτρος after  $\xi \omega$ ). 68, add. μοι η απολύσηται

 $(=\epsilon).$ Luke xxiii. 2, as text (but om.

> ήμ $\hat{\omega}$ ν). bis, as text.

Luke xxiii. 5, as text. 9, as text. 10-12, as text. 12, as text. 15, ανέπεμψα γλρ υμας πρός αντόν. 17, habet post 16. 19, deest hic 17. 34, om. 38, γεγραμμένη έπ' αὐτῷ (hoc ordine) γράμμασιν Έλληνικαὶ 'Ρωμαϊκοîs κοῖς каì Έβραϊκοîs. 42, 43, και έλεγεν τῷ Ίησοῦ, μνήσθητί μου, κύριε, όταν έλθης έν τη βασιλεία σου. 43, no punctuation. bis, as text. 45, καί ἐσκοτίσθη ὁ ηλιος. 48, as text. 53, as text. 55, ai om. Luke xxiv. 1, as text. 3, as text. 6, as text (but  $dv \epsilon \sigma \tau \eta$  for ήγέρθη. 9, as text. 12, as text. 13, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. 17,  $\epsilon \sigma \tau a \iota (= \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon)$ . 27, as text (but διερμηνεύειν). 32, as text. bis, as text. ter, as text. 36, as text. bis, post airois habet eyú είμι, μή φοβείσθαι (---ε). 39, µε om. bis, as text.

Luke xxiv. 40, as text (but ἐπέδειξεν). 42, as text. 43, as text. bis, as text. 44, as text. [έδει. 46, ούτως γέγραπται και ούτως 47, as text. bis, punctuation as text, distinctly (but apgameror). 51, as text. 52, as text. 53, αίνουντες και εύλογουντες. John i. 1, 2, punctuated as text. 3, as text. bis, punctuated ovde ev. 8 γέγονεν 4, as text. 5, as text. 9, no punctuation. 13, as text. bis, as text. 14, as text. bis, as text. 15, as text. 18, εί μη δ μονογενης υίός, 27, as text. bis, as text. 28, as text. 34, as text. 41, πρώτοs. 42, 'Iwávvov. 45, as text. 51, as text. John ii. 2, as text. 3, as text. 12, as text. 15, praem. ώς. 17, καταφάγετε (=-αι).

20, as text.

John iii. 3, as text. John v. 4, as text. 27, 28, clearly as text (large 4, as text. bis, as text. gap between ioriv and 5, as text. μή). bis, as text. 44, as text. ter, as text. bis, θεού om. quater, as text. John vi. 4, as text. 6, as text. 14, as text. 15, as text. bis, as text. 7, as text. 51, as text. 8, as text. 52, as text. bis, as text. 55, as text. 13, δ ών έν τῷ οὐρανῷ om. 56, as text. 16, as text. 59, as text (but Καφαρναούμ). 18, as text. 63, as text. 25, as text. 69, as text. 31, 32, as text, with distinct John vii. 4, avrò. stop at coriv. 8, as text. 34, as text. 16, as text. 21, 22, no indication of John iv. 1, as text. bis, as text. punctuation. ter,  $\eta$  om. 38, punctuated as text. 9, as text (but in the order bis, as text. γυναικός Σαμαρίτιδος ούσης). 39, πνεῦμα ἄγιον. bis, as text. 52, as text (but  $\tau$ às  $\gamma$ pa $\phi$ às 23, 24, as text (except:---) after έραύνησοι) 23, αὐτόν] add. ἐν πνεύματι. bis, as text. 24, as text. 53-viii. 11 om. 25, as text. John viii. 25, as text (but thus, bis, as text. οτι). 28, no punctuation. ter, as text. quater, as text. 34, as text. 35, no punctuation. 35, b vids...aiŵva om. 38, α έγω έώρακα άπο τοῦ 42, as text. 46, 49, as text. πατρός ταῦτα λαλῶ John v. 1, as text. ύμεις α ήκούσατε παρά του 2, as text. πατρός. bis, Βηθσαϊδά. 38, nre. 3, as text. έποιειτε. 44, as text. bis, έκδεχόμενοι την του υδατος κίνησιν. 57, ξώρακες.

ĸaì

4

John viii. 59, without the addition. John ix. 4, as text. bis huâs. 34, as text. 35, ανθρώπου. John x. 8, as text. 11, as text. bis, as text. 18, as text ( $\epsilon \rho \epsilon \iota$ ). 22, τότε. 29, δ πατήρ μου δ δέδωκέν μοι πάντων μείζων έστιν. John xi. 18, as text. 25, as text. 39. as text. 42, as text. 45, å. 50, ήμιν. 54, as text. John xii. 2, as text (but airý after διηκόνει). 7. as text. 8, as text. John xii. 12, as text. bis. as text. 27, as text. bis, no punctuation. 28. as text. 32. as text. **41, ἐπε**λ. John xiii. 2, as text. **bis, ίνα** παραδώ αὐτὸν Ιδα Σείμωνος Ίσκαριώτη. 10, as text. 18, μετ' έμοῦ. 26. as text. bis, as text. ter, Ίσκαριώτη. 31, as text. John xiv. 4, as text.

John xiv. 14, as text (but eyw for τουτο). bis, as text. 22, as text. 23. as text. 25-xvi. 7 wanting. John xvi. 8, as text. bis. as text. 11. as text. 33, as text John xvii. 7, έγνωκα. 11, as text. bis. as text. ter. 8.8 text (as in 12). 21, as text. bis, πιστεύη. 23, as text. bis, as text. 24. as text. bis, as text. John xviii. 1, τοῦ Κέδρου. 10, as text. 13-24, as text. 24. as text. 28, as text. John xix. 4, aitíav ev avto ovy εύρίσκω. bis, oix. 13, as text. 14. as text. 30, as text. 39, ἕλιγμα. John xx. 1, as text. 16, as text. bis, as text. 17, as text. 18, as text. 23. as text. bis, as text. ter, as text.

John xx. 24, as text.	John xxi. 16 bis, as text.
29, no punctuation.	ter, as text.
John xxi. 15, as text.	17, as text.
16, as text.	25, as text.

Antecedently we expect a MS. from Egypt to show close relationship with BN and their kindred, but when we were informed that the Gospels were in their Western order, visions of a text like that employed by Clement of Alexandria and by the first translators into the Sahidic dialect floated before our eyes. The publication of the text has considerably disillusioned us. For in the first place it is not homogeneous in character. Roughly speaking, the greater part of Matthew goes with the ruck of manuscripts, Mark is agreeably Western at times, Luke is interesting but inconstant, while John is definitely of the BN class. Westcott and Hort long ago pointed out a somewhat similar situation in the oldest uncials as regards different sections of the New Testament, and while it may not be that the four Gospels in our codex were directly copied from four separate rolls of various textual type, it is clear that the texts have come through a deal of experience in the course of their history.

I conceive that the immediate ancestor of this manuscript was, like itself, a codex, with the Gospels in the same order. For it does not seem that in the latter half of the fourth or in the fifth century any one would deliberately choose to give them this order in Egypt, unless for the reason that this was the order of the exemplar. Also it seems that there can be little doubt that the text of Matthew in the first hand of the exemplar was different from what it is in the copy we have. Clearly it had been gone through carefully by a corrector to bring it as far as possible into harmony with the new ecclesiastical (Syrian, Antiochian, Constantinopolitan) type of text which had become fashionable in

the other parts of the Greek-speaking world. And our scribe copied the corrections, not what they were a substitute for, thus following the invariable practice of his class. But the industry of this correcting scribe flagged after he had gone so far. This is what we often find in MSS.,<sup>1</sup> and hence the character of the text of the original hand has been better preserved in the later parts. Mark has suffered least of all, partly because it came last in the codex, and partly because it was the least read and the least appreciated of all the Gospels down to the nineteenth century. This manuscript is interesting, then, because of the number of different strata that appear in it. It will be an advantage to the reader to select from the passages recorded above. first, a number that illustrate the relationship between this manuscript's readings and the Neutral type of text; second, some that show the relationship with "Western" Greek MSS.; and last, some readings that cannot be definitely assigned to either of these classes. Between the second and third groups there is at times no hard and fast distinction. To save space, details of the authorities are not given, except in some striking cases ; they are to be sought in the Revisers' Greek Testament with select apparatus, above referred to.

"Neutral" readings are found at the following places: Matthew xi. 9, Mark iii. 14 (vi. 20, compare with  $\eta\pi \delta\rho\epsilon\iota$ NBL and the Egyptian versions), vii. 3  $\pi\nu\kappa\nu\dot{a}$  with N, Luke v. 39 bis, vi. 17  $\kappa a \eta \tau \eta \eta \Pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon a \eta$  compared with  $\kappa a \iota$  $\Pi \iota \rho a \iota a \eta$  of N (first hand), John iii. 13, viii. 57  $\epsilon \delta \rho a \kappa \epsilon \eta$ compared with B  $\epsilon \delta \rho a \kappa \epsilon \eta$ , ix. 4 bis, x. 22, xvii. 21 bis, xix. 39  $\epsilon \lambda \iota \gamma \mu a$  with N\*B. Some of these coincidences are most striking.

Examples of readings shared with one or more "Western" Greek MSS. are the following: Matthew vii. 21, Mark i. 40,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> A good instance that came under my own notice in 1901 was a Latin MS. at Brussels, 1049 (II. 972).

vii. 4, 6, 9, 24, 28, 33, ix. 38, x. 1, xi. 32, xii. 40, xiii. 2, xiv. 41, 51, Luke vi. 29  $\epsilon$ 's with  $\aleph$ \*D 700 (the MS. made known by Mr. Hoskier), manuscripts of the Sahidic version, Clement and Origen, vii. 22, xi. 53, 54, xx. 36.

Here follow examples of readings, some of which might very well have been put into the list immediately preceding. With regard to these and their like, two points deserve attention. The text of Mark in this MS. is thoroughout of consummate interest. It is not perhaps too much to say that it exceeds in interest that of any other surviving Greek MS. It needs to be studied throughout, preferably by a scholar who knows Aramaic as well as Greek. There are some signs that the whole language of the Gospel has been worked over either in an ancestor of this MS. or in an ancestor of the majority of our MSS. Meantime, the two points to which I allude are, first, that our MS. is in a number of cases the earliest (even by far the earliest) Greek attestation for readings previously known only from minuscules; second, that this MS. sometimes provides the only known Greek evidence for readings hitherto attested only by a version or Father. These facts are illustrated by some of the following places: Matthew i. 18  $I\eta\sigma\sigma\hat{v}$  (only) with 74 (a minuscule of the thirteenth century), viii. 5, x. 3, xiv. 30, xv. 39, xxii. 13, Mark i. 27, 29, ii. 27 bis  $\epsilon\kappa\tau i\sigma\theta\eta$  with 1 and its family, 700. Old and Peshitta Syriac, Ethiopic, vi. 22, 23 kal- $\delta\omega\sigma\omega$  omitted, with the Old Syriac (cf. 1 and its family), 33, ix. 43  $\epsilon i_{5} \tau \eta \nu \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \nu a \nu$  omitted with 1 and its family, 28, some others, and the Old Syriac, x. 14 adds  $\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \tau i \mu \eta \sigma a_{S}$  with 1 and its family, 13 and its family, 28, 565, the Old Syriac and the Armenian, xi. 3, 8, 10, xiv. 4, 51, agreement with 1 and its family, 13 and its family, 565, 700, Mark xv. 39 κράξας with 565, Old Syriac and Armenian, Luke iv. 44  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$  'Iovoaí $\omega \nu$  with one minuscule, vi. 21  $\gamma \epsilon \lambda \dot{a} \sigma o \nu \sigma \iota \nu$ , to be compared with yelágovrai of one Old-Latin MS., of the

Old Syriac, MSS. of the Sahidic, of the Armenian and the Ethiopic, of Marcion, Origen, Eusebius, xv. 16, xvii. 17, xviii. 13, xix. 37 bis  $\dot{a}\pi a \nu \tau \hat{a} \nu$  with Origen, and apparently Origen only (!), xx. 20, xxiv. 39, John viii. 38, xii. 41, xvii. 7  $\xi\gamma\nu\omega\kappa a$  with a few minuscules.

The above lists and remarks are a far from adequate treatment of this interesting MS. For further information readers are referred to the article of Mr. Hoskier in the EXPOSITOR for May and June, 1913, and to the complete collation with the text of Westcott and Hort which Professor Goodspeed of Chicago has published in the American Journal of Theology, from July, 1913, to April, 1914.

ALEX. SOUTER.

## NOTES ON THE FOURTH GOSPEL.

# XVII. THE ARREST, THE TRIALS, AND THE CRUCIFIXION (John xviii. and xix.).

(1) In dealing with this portion of the Fourth Gospel we are on ground common to it and the Synoptics, and are especially confronted with a discrepancy as regards the day and the hour of the death of Jesus. (i.) As regards the first point many scholars give the preference to the view of the Fourth Gospel, that the Lord's Supper was held on the day prior to the Passover (xiii. 1  $\pi\rho\delta$   $\delta\epsilon$   $\tau\eta\varsigma$   $\epsilon\rho\tau\eta\varsigma$ τοῦ πάσχα, xviii. 28 (να μὴ μιανθῶσιν ἀλλὰ φάγωσιν τὸ πάσχα), and that Jesus died at the time when the Passover Lamb was being sacrificed. This seems to have been also Paul's view (τὸ πάσχα ἡμῶν ἐτύθη Χριστός 1 Cor. v. 7). It likewise appears to be the primary tradition in the Synoptics. According to Mark xiv. 2 (=Matt. xxvi. 5) the plan of the Jewish rulers was to take Jesus by craft, and to put Him to death, but "not on the feast day, lest there be an uproar of the people." "To the secondary tradition in