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to come from St. John, and so to St. John the work was deputed accordingly. ${ }^{1}$

J. A. S. Wilson.

## THE FREER (WASHINGTON) MS. OF THE GOSPELS.

When the news reached this country some six years ago that C. L. Freer, Esq., of Detroit, Michigan, U.S.A., had acquired from a dealer in Cairo various very ancient MSS., including one of the Gospels in Greek, great interest was aroused. It transpired that the British Museum had been outbidden by Mr. Freer. The United States has a quite pardonable ambition to rival older countries in the possession of rare and valuable MSS. As most of those known to exist

[^0]in Europe are the property of public institutions, and therefore inalienable, the only hope lies in the sands of Egypt. That this hope is not delusive is sufficiently proved by the fact that the Morgan collection of Coptic MSS. far surpasses in age and quality that of any national library in the world, and by the acquirement of the splendid Gospel MS. which will one day rest in Washington.

Whatever the quality of the text of this MS. had been, its age would have commanded attention. For only two MSS. of the Gospels in Greek are certainly older than this, namely B (Vaticanus) and $N$ (Sinaiticus). Experts have decided-and there is now abundance of material on which to form a judgment, thanks particularly to the discoveries and labours of Professors Grenfell and Hunt-that the MS. belongs either to the fourth or to the fifth century. No one, I believe, has dated it later than the sixth. It is written on thin vellum in sloping pointed uncials, in what is now known as the Oxyrhynchus script. The Gospels are in the Western order, Matthew, John, Luke, Mark. The whole MS. seems to have been written in Egypt by one scribe, except the first eight leaves of St. John, which are written in a more erect character, to fill a gap due to the loss of that part as written by the first scribe. The covers of the manuscript still survive, two wooden panels with paintings.

Thanks to the munificence of Mr. Freer and the public spirit of the University of Michigan, as well as to the scholarly care of Henry A. Sanders, Professor of Palæography in that University, a complete set of splendid photographs of the MS. has now been issued, as well as a companion volume, which is on sale, containing a complete collation of its readings with those of the ecclesiastical text, and other valuable material. ${ }^{1}$ Professor ${ }^{[S a n d e r s}$ is primarily a palæo-

[^1]grapher and secondarily a textual critic. This MS. has an interest similar to that of the Sinaiticus discovered by Tischendorf and that of the Sinaitic Syriac palimpsest. It will give rise to a whole literature, and Professor Sanders will understand that it is from no low opinion of his lists and arguments that I prefer for the present to study the MS. from my own point of view. It is only by such individual judgments correlated with one another that the manuscript will receive its final place in the textual scheme.

The method I propose to follow is to quote the reading of W (for such is the symbol for this MS.) in the passages referred to in the new apparatus to the Oxford Greek Testament (1910), which I have reason to believe is in the hands of many readers of the Expositor, and then to attempt to draw some conclusion as to the character of the MS. from the data thus furnished.

Matt. i. 8, as text.
11, as text.
16, as text.
18, 'İrov̂ only.
21, as text bis.
25 (1), as text.
bis, còv viò̀ aưr $\hat{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{s}$ тòv $\pi \rho \omega \tau$ óroкоу.
Matt. ii. 11, as text.
18, $\theta \rho \bar{\eta}$ vos каì кגav $\theta \mu \grave{s}$ каì ö $\delta v \rho \mu$ òs $\pi$ тodv́s. Cf. Syr. vet. Marutha.
20 , as text.
Matt. iii. 16, as text, ter.
17, as text, ter.
Matt. iv. 2, as text.
6, as text.
10 , as text.

Matt. iv. 1l, as text.
17 , as text (so also 23, v. 4, $5,10,11)$.
Matt. v. 19, partly omitted homœoaret
22, єік $\hat{\eta}$.
25, as text.
28, aủ $\eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$.
37, 37 bis, 39 , as text.
42, סós.
bis, as text.

45, as text.
Matt. vi. 1, ėोє $\neq \mu \sigma \sigma u ́ v \eta \nu$.
4, $\bar{\epsilon} v \tau \bar{\omega} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \varphi \hat{\varphi}$.
$6,8,10,11$, as text.

Michigan, 1912); The New Testament Manuscripts in the Freer Collection, Part i. ; The Washington Manuscript of the Four Gospels, by H. A. Sanders (Now York, The Macmillan Company, 1912) (price 2 dols.).
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Matt．vi．13，öтı oov ধ̇สтiv $\dot{\eta}$
 סóka єis тov̀s aî̀vas，ả $\mu \eta \eta^{\nu}$ ． $15,21,21$ bis，25，as text． 33，ßacı入cíar tov̀ $\theta \iota o \hat{\text { ．}}$ bis，as text（so vii．6， 13）．
Matt．vii．14，$\tau$ ．
bis，as text．
21，has the addition．
22，23，as text．
29，has the addition．
Matt．viii．4，as text．
 vaoú $\mu$ ．
8，9，as text．


11，12，22，as text．
28，$\Gamma_{\epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \sigma \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu . ~}^{\text {．}}$
Matt．ix．4，iớv．
$14,15,34,36$ ，as text．
 $\theta$ cis ©a $\delta \delta a i o s$.
4，Kavavítjs．
8，om．vєкроѝs $\grave{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \tau \epsilon$.
19，тара $\delta \omega \sigma \sigma v \sigma \iota$ ，otherwise as text．
23，as text．
25，as text．
29，as text．
bis，as text．
ter，as text．
33，as text．
42，as text．
bis，as text．
Matt．xi．2，as text．
5 ，as text．

15，as text．
19，as text．

Matt．xi．23，as text．
bis，as text．
27，as text．
Matt．xii．4，as text．
15，add．ö $\chi$ доь．
31，as text．
47，as text．
Matt．xiii．9，add．а̀кои́єข．
11，as text．
15，as text．
33，as text．
35，as text．
bis，as text．
36，фрá̃ov．
43，as text．
bis，add．àкov́єı．
50，as text．
55， $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \hat{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{s}$.
Matt．xiv．3，as text．
24 ，as text．
28，as text．
29，as text．
30，íqXupòv $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a$.
34，as text．
bis，as text．
Matt．xv．6，add．$\hat{\eta}$ т ${ }^{2} \nu \quad \mu \eta \tau \epsilon \dot{\rho} \alpha$ a บ่тồ．

 бто́цать а兀゙ти̂ข каí．
17，ойтш．
30，кшфoùs $\chi \omega \lambda$ 人ò̀s rvф入oùs кu入入ov̀s．
31，as text．
39，Maүōa入áv．
Matt．xvi．2－3，om．$\pi$ uppá̧ec $\gamma$ à $\rho$ о oùpavòs каі̀ $\pi \rho \omega \grave{\imath} \quad \sigma \eta \mu \epsilon \rho о \nu$ хєí $\omega \nu$ ，ob homoeoarcton．
13，as text，but $\mu \epsilon$ after $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota$ ． bis，as tert．

Matt. xvi. 19, as text, but $\kappa \lambda \epsilon i ̂ i o s s$
20 , as text.
21, as text.
Matt. xvii. 12, 13, as text.
15, as text.
2l, has the verse.
22, as text.
23, as text.
26, as text.
Matt. xviii. 1, as text.
3, as text.
7, as text.
10 , as text.
11, has the verse.
14, as text.
15, as text.
20, as text throughout.
Matt. xix. 3, as text.
4, as text.
9, practically as text, thus :
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ (without ci) émi порvía

bis, as text, except for $\quad$ а $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$.
11, as text.
16, as text.



bis, as text.
19, as text.
21, as text.
 рафíbos.
29, has $\bar{\eta}$ ruvaîka.
bis, as text.
Matt. xx. 16, modnoi $\gamma$ á eicuv

17, as text.
$b i s$, as text.





(二-є).
28, as text.
31, as text.
33, as text.
Matt. xxi. 3, as text.
7 , as text.
9 , as text.
12, as text.
17, as text.
22, as text.
29, 30, as text (except $\dot{\text { é } \tau}{ }^{\prime} \rho \Psi$
for devt $\hat{\rho} \varphi \mathrm{\psi}$ and the error
àтєкре $\theta$ eis).
31, as text.
42, as text.
44, as text.
Matt. xxii. 7, as text.
12, as text.
 Хєіраз, äратє аưтòv каі ёк-


35, as text.

39, AYTH.
Matt. xxiii. 4, as text. bis, as text.
8, as text.
9, as text.
14, comes after verse 12.

23, as text.
25, as text.
bis àкрабias àdiкéas.
26, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.

Matt. xxiii. 27, as text.
$b i s$, as text.
ter, as text.
35 , as text.
38, as text.
Matt. xxiv. 24, as text.
31, $\phi \omega \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ om.
36, oủ̊è ó viós om.
Matt. xxv. l, as text.
39, as text.
40, as text.
41, as text.
bis, as text.
46, as text.
Matt. xxvi. 15, as text.
20 , as text.
27, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
28, add. каıท̂̀s.
29, as text.
59, as text.
60, cijpov] add. кai (with later differences).
73, as text.
Matt. xxvii. 2, Погтí $\Pi \iota \lambda а ́ \tau ч . ~$
4, as text.
9 , as text.
10, $\delta$ бшка.

16, 17, as text.
17, as text.
24, as text.
28, as text.
32, as text.
34, ö ${ }^{3}$ os.
35, as text.
38, as text.
bis, as text.
(42, as text).
43, as text.

Matt. xxvii. 45, as text.
46, as text. [ $\lambda_{\iota \mu \grave{a} .}$
$\mu a$, doubtless haplography for ter, as text.
49, as text.
56, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text. quater, as text. 'I $\omega \sigma \grave{\eta} \phi$.
Matt. xxviii. 6, as text.
7, as text.
18, as text.
19, as text.
20, as text.
Mark i. 1, viov̂ $\theta$ єô.
2, غ̇v тoîs $\pi \rho о \phi \eta$ ทitals. bis, as text.

bis, as text, but in reverse order $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu$ '́ $\rho a s$.
24, as text.
27, Tís $\dot{\eta} \delta \delta \delta a x \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \cdots \grave{\eta}$ (i.e.



34, add. Xpıテтòv єival.
40, каі̆ yovvтєтஸ̂̀ aưtóv.
41, as text.
Mark ii. 4, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \theta \epsilon i ̂ v$.
14, as text.
16, as text.
каì тiveı om.
22, as text, but with $\beta$ ád $\lambda o v-$ $\sigma \iota \nu$ after кálvoús.
26, ( ( $і \sigma \in \lambda \theta \omega \downarrow)$.


27, க̇ктí $\theta \eta$.



$\mu a \theta \eta \tau$ ás bis，as text（except é $\chi$ ct
aửov̂］add．oûs кaì

15，סaıцóvıa］add．каi тєрıá－
 $\gamma^{\prime} \lambda \iota$ вov．
17，Boavav ${ }^{2} \rho \gamma_{\epsilon}$（sic）．
18，©aঠסaîov om．
29，as text．
bis d $\mu$ aprías．
32，as text．
Mark iv．9，as text．
17，as text．
21，as text．
28，$\pi \lambda$ й $p \eta \mathrm{~s}$ ó $\sigma \epsilon i ̂$ ìos．

33，as text．
36，as text．
41，as text．
Mark vi．2，as text．
3，as text．
bis，as text．
14，ềлсо⿱亠䒑．
20， ท̈торєїто．$^{\text {．}}$
22 aủगท̂s（only）．
bis，as text（except
odav for $\begin{gathered}\text { èà } \\ \text { ）}\end{gathered}$ ．
23，каї．．．ঠَ́́бш om．
ter，as text．
33，каì $\pi \rho \not \supset \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta$ ov aủroús om．
36，as text．
47，as text．
56，as text．
Mark vii．3，тvкıà．

bis，as text．
ter add．кai к入єเข $\omega$ ข．

9，$\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \eta \tau a 1$（i．e．$-\epsilon$ ）．
13，as text．
16，has the verse．
19，as text．
bis，as text．
24，каi $\Sigma_{\imath} \delta \hat{\omega} v o s ~ o m$.
28，кúpıє．（simply）．
bis，＂as text．


 $\gamma^{\lambda \omega ́ \sigma \sigma a s ~(s i c) ~ a u ̉ r o v ̂ . ~}$
Mark，viii．10，$\pi$ pòs tò̀ ôpos $\Delta a \lambda$－
بovvat．

22，B $\eta$ өaï ááv．$^{2}$
25，as text．
26，as text（but $\mu \eta$ ）．
32，as text．
34，àкодov $\theta$ єiv．
Mark ix． 3 as text（but is for oia，and oṽ $\omega$ is omitted）．
6，$\lambda a \lambda \epsilon \hat{\text { in }}$
15，as text．
bis，as text．
23，as text（but $\delta \dot{v} v \eta$ ）．
24，as text．
29，add．каì v $\eta \sigma \tau i q$.
35，as text．


42，as text．
43，єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma^{\prime} \epsilon v \nu a v ~ o m . ~$
44，46，om．as text．
49，as text（except d̀ $\lambda_{\iota} \sigma \gamma \eta-$
$\theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \hat{c} \tau a \hat{\imath}) .{ }^{\mathbf{n}}$

1 Prof．Sandera has failed to understand this．It is the verb behind the mysterious dilormua of Acte xv． 20.
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Mark x. 1, кaì (pr.) om.

7 , as text.
11, 12, transposed.
14, каi] add. і̇ $\pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$.
19, $\mu \eta \eta_{\text {фovévgns tr. post. } \mu \eta}$ $\mu о<\chi<\dot{\sigma} \sigma \eta$ s.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
20, as text.
22, as text.
bis,'as text.
 $\mu a \sigma \iota v$ om., sed add. $\pi \lambda \frac{1}{\sigma} \sigma \iota \circ$ post $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \theta \epsilon \epsilon \bar{\nu}$.
25, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text (but $\left.\tau \rho \omega \mu a \lambda_{\iota} \hat{\varsigma}\right)$. quater, as text.
26, éautoús.
27, as text almost (thus:
 à $\begin{gathered}\text { Úvatov } \mathfrak{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi a \rho a ̀ ~ \tau \hat{a}\end{gathered}$
 $\theta \in \hat{\epsilon})$.
29,30 , practically as text.
30, practically as text.
50 , as text.
51, as text.
Mark xi. 1, as text.
3, т.
bis, à äocte $\lambda \epsilon \hat{i}$ (sine $\pi a ́ \lambda ı \nu)$.
8, $a b a ̈ \lambda \lambda o c ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ usque ad finem
versus omnino om.
10, єipウivๆ
18, as text.
19, і' $\xi є \pi о \rho є$ v́гто.
26, om.
32, no point after $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{m} \pi \omega \nu$. bis, ${ }^{\text {nd }} \mathrm{I} \sigma a v$.

Mark xii. 14, as text, but added above the line. 22, as text.
23, as text, practically.
36, і̀тока́тш.
38, as text.

41, ė $\sigma \tau \omega ́ s$.
Mark xiii. 2, á $\phi \in \epsilon \hat{\eta}$ oú $\delta \grave{\varepsilon} \delta \iota a \lambda v$ -

 xєเрติv.
8, add. каì тарахаí.
33, as text.

 éautoùs каі $\lambda$ é́ootes.
8, as text (except cixev for ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}} \sigma_{\chi \in \nu}{ }^{2}$ ).
23, тò $\pi \circ \tau$ й $\rho \iota o v$.
24, as text.
25, as text.
30, as text.
bis, dis om.
38, no point at either word.
39, as text.
41, add. тò ré̉os. [aủróv.
51, oi đè veavícкot èkpárचбav
58, as text.
65, è $\lambda \alpha ́ \mu \beta a v o v$.

72, as text (but ei $\theta^{\prime} \omega \mathrm{\omega}$ ).
bis, as text.
ter, $\delta i \mathrm{~s}$ om.
quater, as text.
Mark xv. 6, ôv $\mathfrak{\eta} \tau \boldsymbol{\imath} v \tau 0$.
8, ávaßoń $\sigma a s$.
(12)-(38) missing.

39, кра́ $\xi_{\alpha}$.
40, каî quartum, as text.
bis, as text.

Mark xv. 44, ${ }^{\eta} \delta \eta$.
47, 'I $\omega \sigma \hat{\eta} \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \rho$.
Mark xvi. 2, as text.
3, as text.
4, $\sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a \mu$ е́ $\gamma$ as.
9-20, leguntur.
14, an interesting addition here, already recorded in my note.
15 , see my note.
17, as text.
Luke i. 17, as text.
28, as text.
35, as text.
45, nullum punctum.
46, as text.
56, as text.
78, as text.
Luke ii. 2, add. $\dot{\eta}$.
bis, as text.
7, as text.
14, as text.
29, as text.
33, as text.
34, as text.
bis, as text.
35, as text.
36, as text.
41, as text.
43, as text.
48, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
Luke iii. 1, as text.
4-6, as text (practically).
7, as text.
16, as text.
22 , as text.
bis, as text.

Luke iii. 23, as text (but without ${ }^{\text {o }}$ ).
24-38, deliberately omitted as unimportant ${ }^{2}$ or as inconsistent with Matthew.
Luke iv. 1, as text.
11, as text.
13, as text.
18, as text.
bis, as text.
29, as text.
44, т $\bar{\nu}$ 'Iov ${ }^{\text {'aíínv. }}$
Luke v. 10, 11, as text.
14, as text.
bis, as text.
17, as text.
39, as text. bis, as text.
Luke vi. 1 , as text.
4, as text.
5 , as text.
17, as text.
bis, add. каì भ̂s Пєрє́as.
21, $\gamma^{\text {e }}$ ááбovotv.
22, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
29, eis.
31, as text.
bis, as text.
35, $\mu \eta \delta$ éva.
45, as text. bis, as text.
46, as text.
48, as text.
Luke vii. 11, $\tau \hat{\eta}$ (without $\dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\varphi}$ ). bis, as text.
14, as text.
22, єïnare. bis, as text.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. the omission by Origen and Pelagius of certain salutations in the Pauline Epistles.

Luke vii. 25, as text.
28, as text.
39, as text.
Luke viii. 3, as text.
26, 37, Га $\delta a \rho \eta \nu \omega ิ \nu$.
29, as text.
bis, as text.
31, as text.
43, as text.
45, as text.
51, as text (but spelt'I ${ }^{\prime}$ ávqv). ${ }^{1}$
54, as text.
Luke ix. 2, as text.


20, as text.
23 , as text.
bis, as text.
26, as text.
27, as text.
28, as text.
29, as text.
35, á $\mathbf{\gamma} \boldsymbol{a \pi \eta \tau o ́ s . ~}$
37, as text.

55,56 , as text.
62, as text.
bis, as text.
Luke $x$. 1 , as text.
5, nullum punctum.
17, as text.
21, as text.
41, as text.
42, as text (except $\delta$ è for $\gamma$ áp).
Luke xi. 2, add. if $_{\mu} \omega \bar{\omega}$ ò ̇̀v roîs oùpavoîs.
bis, as text (except for è $\lambda \theta$ ár $\boldsymbol{\omega})$.
ter. add. $\gamma \epsilon \boldsymbol{\eta} \theta_{\eta}^{\prime} \tau \omega$ тò $\theta^{\prime} \wedge_{\lambda \eta \mu a ́}$ бov ஸ́s ìv oủpaṿ̂ кai èmì $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$.

Luke xi. 4, add. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \rho \hat{\rho} v \sigma a l ~ \dot{\eta} \mu a ̂ s$ à $\pi \grave{o}$ тồ $\pi \circ \vee \eta \rho \circ \hat{v}$.
11, as text.
13, as text.
15, as text.
25, as text.
33, as text.
35,36 , as text.
42, as text.
43, as text. bis, as text.
44, ©́s $\mu \nu \eta \mu i \hat{a}$ тà.
48, as text.
52, as text.
53, 54, 入ȩ́ovios סè aưtov̂ raûta про̀s aủroùs ${ }_{\eta} \rho \xi$ gavto oi $\gamma \rho a \mu$ $\mu a t i ̂ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ o i ̀ ~ Ф a p ı \sigma a i ̂ o l ~ \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ̂ s ~$





Luke xii. 11, $\pi \rho о \sigma ф є ́ \rho \omega \sigma \iota \nu$. bis, as text.
ter, $\mu \in \rho \iota \mu \nu a ̀ \tau \epsilon$.
quater, as text.
quinquiens, as text.
 à ${ }^{\text {a }}$ áá $\mu$ ov.
19, $\psi v \times \eta \dot{\eta}$ om., sed loco eius habet $\sigma v$.
bis, as text.
20, as text.
21, as text.
24, as text.
26, as text (exceptfor oütc).
27, as text.
31, тov̂ $\theta$ cov.
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 practically text, but for homœoarcton).
Luke xii. 39, as text. 58 , as text.
Luke xiii. 8, ко́трıа.
24, post i $\sigma \chi$ v́бovoıv
nullum punctum.
Luke xiv. 5, viòs ทैं $\beta$ ồs.
10, ảvá $\pi \epsilon \sigma \epsilon$.
15 , ă $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.
20, as text.
bis, as text.
Luke xv. 16, $\quad$ є $\mu i \sigma \alpha \iota$ ті̀ $\nu$
 $\dot{\mathbf{a}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \mathbf{o}$.
21, as text.

12, as text.
21, as text.
22,23 , as text.
24, as text.
25, as text.
Luke xvii. 4, غ̇лтакєis $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ${ }_{\eta}{ }^{\dot{\eta}} \mu$ є́ $\rho a s$.
10, as text.
11, as text.
17, ov̉
18, punctum (sed his codicibus sign. interrog. ignotum est).
24, as text.
31, as text.
36, om. (as text).

13, ои̉к ク̉́ঠ́v́vaто.
19, as text.
30, as text.
Luke xix. 25, om.
26, as text.
37, $\eta \rho \xi$ аго.

Luke xix. 37 bis, á $\pi a v \tau a ̂ \nu . ~$
ter, as text.
quater, as text.
quinquiens, as text.
43, as text.
44, as text.
Luke xx. 20, iv $\pi о \chi \omega \rho \eta \eta^{\sigma} \alpha \nu \tau e s$.
34, as text.
bis, as text (but iкरaцiGovzac in both places)
36, $\mu$ é $\lambda \lambda o u \sigma t \nu$.
bis, as text (but $\tau 0 \hat{v} \theta \in o \hat{v}$ ).
46, as text.
Luke xxi. 11, as text.
18, as text.
19, $\kappa \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a i(=-\epsilon)$.
24, as text.

28, as text.
30, as text.
 $\pi \alpha \gamma \epsilon i s ~ \gamma \grave{a ̀ \rho} \dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
38, as text.
Luke xxii. 4, as text.
16, as text.
17,18 , as text.
19,20 , as text (with trifling differences).
29, no stop at $\mu o v$, but a distinct stop at $\beta a \sigma i \lambda \epsilon i a v$.
 тoûto and $\mu($ ? $?$ after $\pi а \rho \epsilon ́ v є \gamma \kappa є$ ).
43, 44, om.
62, as text (with the addition

 (=є).
Luke xxiii. 2, as text (but om. $\dot{\eta} \mu \omega \nu)$.
bis, as text.

Luke xxiii. 5, as text.
9 , as text.
10-12, as text.
12, as text.
 aùvóv.
17, habet post 16.
19, deest hic 17.
34, om.
 ordine) $\gamma \rho \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \mu a \sigma \iota \nu$ ' $\mathrm{E} \lambda \lambda \eta \nu$ икоїs каі ' P мцаїкоїя каі ${ }^{〔}$ Eßpaïкois.



43, no punctuation.
bis, as text.

48, as text.
53, as text.
55, ai om.
Luke xxiv. 1, as text.
3, as text.
6, as text (but àv $\begin{gathered}\text { éct } \\ \text { for }\end{gathered}$ $\dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\epsilon} \theta \eta$.
9 , as text.
12, as text.
13, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.

27, as text (but $\delta_{\iota \epsilon \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu) . ~}^{\text {a }}$
32, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
36, as text.
bis, post aủroîs habet $\begin{gathered} \\ \boldsymbol{\gamma} \\ \boldsymbol{\omega}\end{gathered}$ $\epsilon i \mu, \mu \eta े$ фоßєi $\sigma \theta a \iota(-\epsilon)$.
39, $\mu \in$ от.
bis, as text.

Luke xxiv. 40, as text (but $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \dot{\delta} \epsilon \epsilon \xi \varepsilon \nu)$.
42, as text.
43, as text.
bis, as text.
44, as text.
[ $\mathrm{\delta} \delta \mathrm{\delta} \epsilon$.

47, as text.
bis, punctuation as text,

51, as text.
52, as text.
53, aivov̂vtes ка̀ єỉdoyov̂vтes.
John i. 1, 2, punctuated as text.
3, as text.

$\gamma^{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime}{ }^{\text {ovev }}$
4, as text.
5 , as text.
9, no punctuation.
13, as text.
bis, as text.
14, as text.
bis, as text.
15, as text.
18, $\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀ \delta^{\prime} \mu o v o y \epsilon \iota \eta े s ~ v i o ́ s, ~$
27 , as text.
bis, as text.
28, as text.
34, as text.
41, $\pi \rho$ ритоя.
42, 'I $\omega$ ávov.
45, as text.
51, as text.
John ii. 2, as text.
3, as text.
12, as text.
15, praem. ©s.
17, катафа́уєтє (=-а८).
20. as text.

## 362 THE FREER (WASHINGTON) MS. OF THE GOSPELS

John iii. 3, as text.
4, as text. bis, as text.
5 , as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
quater, as text.
6, as text.
$b i s$, as text.
7, as text.
8 , as text.
bis, as text.

16, as text.
18, as text.
25 , as text.
31, 32, as text, with distinct stop at écriv.
34, as text.
John iv. 1, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, خ̀ om.

- 9, as text (but in the order
 bis, as text.
23, 24, as text (except:-)
23, aủóv] add. ̇̀v $\pi \nu$ cúuатı.
24, as text.
25, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.
quater, as text.
35 , no punctuation.
42, as text.
46, 49, as text.
John v. 1, as text.
2, as text. bis, B $\eta$ Өनaïó.
3, as text.
 кi̋ $\eta \sigma$.

John v. 4, as text.
27, 28, clearly as text (large
gap between ívriv and $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ).
44, as text.
bis, $\theta$ єồ om.
John vi. 4, as text.
14, as text.
15, as text.
51, as text.
52, as text.
55, as text.
56, as text.
59, as text (but Kaфapvaoú $\mu$ ).
63, as text.
69, as text.
John vii. 4, aùrò.
8 , as text.
16, as text.
21, 22, no indication of punctuation.
38, punctuated as text.
bis, as text.
39, $\pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ ä $\gamma \iota o v$.
52, as text (but ràs rpaфàs after épaúv ${ }^{2} \sigma o r$ )
bis, as text.
53-viii. 11 om .
John viii. 25, as text (but thus, ort).
28, no punctuation.
34, as text.
35, ò viòs . . . aî̀va om.
 тaтpòs та̂̂тa $\lambda a \lambda \hat{\omega}$ каi
 тarpós.
38, $\boldsymbol{\eta} \tau \epsilon$.
ย்тоєєิтє.
44, as text.
57, є́шракєя.

John viii. 59, without the addition.
John ix. 4, as text.
bis $\eta_{\mu} \mu \mathrm{s}$.
34, as text.
$35, \mathfrak{a} v \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o v$.
John x. 8, as text.
11, as text.
bis, as text.
18, as text ( $\epsilon \rho \epsilon t$ ).
22, то́тє.


John xi. 18, as text.
25, as text.
39, as text.
42, as text.
45, à.
50, $\eta_{\eta} \mu \mathrm{i} v$.
54, as text.
John xii. 2, as text (but avir $\hat{\omega}$ after $\delta \iota \eta \kappa o ́ v \epsilon \iota)$.
7, as text.
8, as text.
John xii. 12, as text.
bis, as text.
27, as text.
bis, no punctuation.
28, as text.
32, as text.
41, èmè.
John xiii. 2, as text.
bis, iva $\pi a \rho a \delta \hat{\omega}$ av̉ròv Ï $\delta a \sum \epsilon i \mu \omega-$ vos 'I $\sigma \kappa \alpha \rho \iota \omega ́ t \eta$.
10, as text.
18, $\mu \in \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \mu o v$.
26, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, 'Iткарьө́тд.
31, as text.
John xiv. 4, as text.

John xiv. 14, as text (but غ̇ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ for тоиิтo).
bis, as text.
22 , as text.
23, as text.
25-xvi. 7 wanting.
John xvi. 8, as text.
bis, as text.
11, as text.
33, as text
John xvii. 7, ধ̈үvшка.
11, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text (as in 12).

21, as text.
bis, пıбтєúg.
23, as text.
bis, as text.
24, as text.
bis, as text.
John xviii. l, тô̂ Kéópov.
10, as text.
13-24, as text.
24, as text.
28, as text.
John xix. 4, aitíav èv aưTệ oủ єірі́ $\kappa \omega$.
bis, oủx.
13, as text.
14, as text.
30, as text.
39, ${ }^{i} \lambda \iota \gamma \mu a$.
John xx. 1, as text.
16, as text. bis, as text.
17, as text.
18, as text.
23, as text.
bis, as text.
ter, as text.

John xx. 24, as text.
29, no punctuation.
John xxi. 15, as text.
16, as text.

John xxi. 16 bis, as text.
ter, as text.
17, as text.
25, as text.

Antecedently we expect a MS. from Egypt to show close relationship with $B N$ and their kindred, but when we were informed that the Gospels were in their Western order, visions of a text like that employed by Clement of Alexandria and by the first translators into the Sahidic dialect floated before our oyes. The publication of the text has considerably disillusioned us. For in the first place it is not homogeneous in character. Roughly speaking, the greater part of Matthew goes with the ruck of manuscripts, Mark is agreeably Western at times, Luke is interesting but inconstant, while John is definitely of the BN class. Westcott and Hort long ago pointed out a somewhat similar situation in the oldest uncials as regards different sections of the New Testament, and while it may not be that the four Gospels in our codex were directly copied from four separate rolls of various textual type, it is clear that the texts have come through a deal of experience in the course of their history.

I conceive that the immediate ancestor of this manuscript was," like itself, a codex, with the Gospels in the same order. For it does not seem that in the latter half of the fourth or in the fifth century any one would deliberately choose to give them this order in Egypt, unless for the reason that this was the order of the exemplar. Also it seems that there can be little doubt that the text of Matthew in the first hand of the exemplar was different from what it is in the copy we have. Clearly it had been gone through carefully by a corrector to bring it as far as possible into harmony with the new ecclesiastical (Syrian, Antiochian, Constantinopolitan) type of text which bad become fashionable in
the other parts of the Greek-speaking world. And our scribe copied the corrections, not what they were a substitute for, thus following the invariable practice of his class. But the industry of this correcting scribe flagged after he had gone so far. This is what we often find in MSS., ${ }^{1}$ and hence the character of the text of the original hand has been better preserved in the later parts. Mark has suffered least of all, partly because it came last in the codex, and partly because it was the least read and the least appreciated of all the Gospels down to the nineteenth century. This manuscript is interesting, then, because of the number of different strata that appear in it. It will be an advantage to the reader to select from the passages recorded above, first, a number that illustrate the relationship between this manuscript's readings and the Neutral type of text; second, some that show the relationship with "Western" Greek MSS.; and last, some readings that cannot be definitely assigned to either of these classes. Between 'the second and third groups there is at times no hard and fast distinction. To save space, details of the authorities are not given, except in some striking cases; they are to be sought in the Revisers' Greak Testament with select apparatus, above referred to.
"Neutral" readings are found at the following places:
 NBL and the Egyptian versions), vii. 3 тикvá with $N$, Luke v. 39 bis, vi. 17 каi $\uparrow \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho \in ́ a ́ ~ c o m p a r e d ~ w i t h ~ к а i ~$ Hıpaias of $\aleph$ (first hand), John iii. 13, viii. 57 émpaкes compared with B éóракєя, ix. 4 bis, x. 22, xvii. 21 bis, xix. 39 é $\lambda \iota \gamma \mu a$ with $\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{~B}$. Some of these coincidences are most striking.

Examples of readings shared with one or more " Western " Greek MSS. are the following : Matthew vii. 21, Mark i. 40,

[^2]vii. 4, 6, 9, 24, 28, 33, ix. 38, x. 1, xi. 32, xii. 40, xiii. 2, xiv. 41, 51, Luke vi. 29 tis with ${ }^{*} * D 700$ (the MS. made known by Mr. Hoskier), manuscripts of the Sahidic version, Clement and Origen, vii. 22, xi. 53, 54, xx. 36.

Here follow examples of readings, some of which might very well have been put into the list immediately preceding. With regard to these and their like, two points deserve attention. The text of Mark in this MS. is thoroughout of consummate interest. It is not perhaps too much to say that it exceeds in interest that of any other surviving Greek MS. It needs to be studied throughout, preferably by a scholar who knows Aramaic as well as Greek. There are some signs that the whole language of the Gospel has been worked over either in an ancestor of this MS. or in an ancestor of the majority of our MSS. Meantime, the two points to which I allude are, first, that our MS. is in a number of cases the earliest (even by far the earliest) Greelc attestation for readings previously known only from minuscules; second, that this MS. sometimes provides the only known Greek evidence for readings hitherto attested only by a version or Father. These facts are illustrated by some of the following places: Matthew i. 18 'I $\eta \sigma o \hat{v}$ (only) with 74 (a minuscule of the thirteenth century), viii. 5, x. 3, xiv. 30, xv. 39 , xxii. 13, Mark i. 27,29 , ii. 27 bis éктi $\sigma \theta \eta$ with 1 and its family, 700, Old and Peshitta Syriac, Ethiopic, vi. 22, 23 кai$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ omitted, with the Old Syriac (cf. I and its family), 33, ix. 43 єis т̀̀v $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime} \epsilon \in \nu a v$ omitted with 1 and its family, 28 , some others, and the Old Syriac, $\mathbf{x} .14$ adds $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \sigma a \varsigma$ with 1 and its family, 13 and its family, 28, 565, the Old Syriac and the Armenian, xi. 3, 8, 10, xiv. 4, 51, agreement with 1 and its family, 13 and its family, 565, 700, Mark xv. 39 $\kappa \rho a ́ \xi a s$ with 565, Old Syriac and Armenian, Luke iv. 44
 compared with ye入áбovraı of one Old-Latin MS., of the

Old Syriac, MSS. of the Sahidic, of the Armenian and the Ethiopic, of Marcion, Origen, Eusebius, xv. 16, xvii. 17, xviii. 13, xix. $37 b i s \dot{a} \pi a \nu \tau \hat{a} \nu$ with Origen, and apparently Origen only (!), xx. 20, xxiv. 39, John viii. 38, xii. 41, xvii. 7 érvcoкa with a few minuscules.

The above lists and remarks are a far from adequate treatment of this interesting MS. For further information readers are referred to the article of Mr. Hoskier in the Expositor"for May and June, 1913, and to the complete collation with the text of Westcott and Hort which Professor Goodspeed of Chicago has published in the American Journal of Theology, from July, 1913, to April, 1914.

Alex. Sodter.

## NOTES ON THE FOURTH GOSPEL.

XVII. The Arrest, the Trials, and the Crucifixion (John xviii. and xix.).
(1) In dealing with this portion of the Fourth Gospel we are on ground common to it and the Synoptics, and are especially confronted with a discrepancy as regards the day and the hour of the death of Jesus. (i.) As regards the first point many scholars give the preference to the view of the Fourth Gospel, that the Lord's Supper was held

 and that Jesus died at the time when the Passover Lamb was being sacrificed. This seems to have been also Paul's
 wise appears to be the primary tradition in the Synoptics. According to Mark xiv. 2 (=Matt. xxvi. 5) the plan of the Jewish rulers was to take Jesus by craft, and to put Him to death, but " not on the feast day, lest there be an uproar of the people." "To the secondary tradition in


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since writing the above I have refreshed my memory with regard to Professor Burkitt's suggested itinerary of our Lord's fourth journey. His conjecture, after considering " general historical probabilities," is (p. 97) that Jesus did not approach Jerusalem vid the E. of Jorden (Herod Antipas's Tetrarchy). "It is noteworthy," he writes, " that in this story; of the Samaritan village that would not receive our Lord (St. Luke ix. 51-56) Peter does not appear, only James and John. I venture to suggest that the historical reason for this was that Peter and most of the other disciples went round by Peraea, that when they arrived at the passage of the river they found Jesus waiting for them in 'the borders of Judea beyond Jordan,' i.e. on the W. side, and finally that one reason why nothing is said about the events of the previous journey is that our Lord and S. Peter had travelled to the spot from Capernaum by different routes and not together." (The italios are mine.) Should this conjecture ultimately commend itself in preference to the older idea regarding Our Lord's route (Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. i. p. 244), my theory in this article, so far from being destroyed, would be appreciably strengthened. For the fourth visit to Jerusalem would then appear to have taken place during St. Peter's absence for the reason given by Professor Burkitt and not because of that disciple's being called away to direot the Seventy. Nor, if this ever prove to be the truth, would my theory suffer, so far as the explanation (given above) of the third visit goes. For I would then be inclined to accept Hahn'e suggestion (Expositor's Greek Testament, vol. i. p. 538) that the Seventy were appointed at Jerusalem (the italics are mine), and about the Time of the Freast of Tabernacles (St. John vii. 2). So that St. Peter's absence would be still more easily accounted for in that case than it is by the reason alleged in my article, to wit, that the third visit of Our Lord was a private one-J. A. S. W.

[^1]:    1 Facsimile of the Washington Manuscript of the Four Gospels in the Freer Collection, with an Introduction by Henry A. Sanders (Ann Arbour,

[^2]:    1 A good instance that came under my own notioe in 1901 was a Latin MS. at Brussels, 1049 (II. 972).

